The Dogmatic Egg

Mankind is still inside the immanent egg (AD 2026), unable to hatch from immanence to transcendence. Despite the constant urge to liberate ourselves from the dogmatic enclosure.

God as I Can Conceive Him

- Religious Philosophy Essay -

Introductory statement:
We declare the possibility that everything we have stated in this work could be wrong[1]!

-Variant 01.29.2026 -

Dr. Marius Golea
Arad, 01.29.2026

[1] We state the total limitation of the human mind in comparison to God. All we know about God and even about the world which we live in, it is relative and by no means absolute. There are only a few things we declare as absolute: the existence of God Who created the whole universe; the teachings of our Lord God Jesus Christ; the birth, the existence, and the death of the human being; the gradual depreciation/evolution/transformation of the Creation. Everything else can constitute only appropriations in the meaning used by philosopher Lucian Blaga. See also the *Conceptual Metaphor Theory*.

Anagogies / The theologians fear of other interpretations than their own not because those new interpretations would not make sense but because they contradict the general adopted doctrine. But this is not an argument for the new interpretations' invalidity from axiological point of view. New interpretations can hold logical truth and therefore they cannot be rejected logically. And postulating that only some interpretations are right and true while others are wrong is not an argument but a mere declaration. But then can a declaration be a philosophical argument?!... Not to mention that some anagogies state the exact opposite of the text they interpret…

Idolatry / A theologian wrote a book called *The Need for Ritual*. Is this idolatry?!...

Religious philosophy: The theology is actually a philosophy about God as well as religious philosophy is actually theology[2].

Experienced truths: The sufferance is the only way to wisdom and gentleness and kindness and humbleness.

Experienced truths: The prayers really help both the one who prays and on them whom he is prayer for. I only know that surely about the living recipients of the prayers. We don't know why, yet (AD 2024).

Change needed: In Christendom there is a core truth, and there is also a pile of theological speculations that covers that truth and that gives to many the impression that the core truth would actually be unreliable. The confusion starts from claiming that all the theological speculations, the cultic contrivances, and the so diverse traditions would be of the same first order as the teaching given us by our Lord Jesus Christ is.

Incoherence: Claiming that the Old Testament – that is actually the Jews "Bible" and that is totally answering the Jews need for survival and domination – is in coherence with the Gospels it had as result almost all the incoherences one can find in the Holy Scripture. The biased anagogies only worsened that and they went astray by claiming that the words of God actually wanted to say something different than they actually said.

---

[2] Theology formulates an ontology, a gnoseology, and an ethics as any philosophy does. Neither the object of the theology is exclusively belonging to theology, for philosophy too speculates about God. There cannot be draw exact borders between theology and religious philosophy. The religious philosophy is actually a superior theology to that common theology that only reiterates or repeats what other theologians have already said. The religious philosophy brings in support of its these: argumentation, inferences, systematization, coherence etc.

Ethics: Teaching other that what you actually haven't experienced, or that what you actually do not do, it is unethical. Where do you know from if your teachings are actually realistic and appliable?

Ethics: Establishing and stating too high standards as minimum requirements, it is unethical. Not all the people can run the marathon. Too high standards mean a readymade failure for the most majority of the people. One can ask different animals to come closer to a tree, but asking all those animals to climb that tree is stupid.

Foreword / General Statements: This philosophy of ours doesn't intend to achieve a definitive solution. It will be added, changed, restructured, until the very end of our work capacity and mental health. We are going to mention, on each time, the date of the edition and – if possible – the cardinal numeral identifying the edition. We actually don't even thing that we should ever declare our religious philosophy as complete, because that will be an outrageous lie. No religious philosophy could ever be complete.

Epistemology: Pushing the nature away is wrong. We can use the natural laws as validation witness for our knowledge about God – the Holy Fathers as Saint Maximos the Confessor set up the bases for that by the concept of the natural gnosis used by them. We even can start in evaluating if something is good or evil by ascertaining the consequences that thing has upon the human being and which are the natural laws triggered by that thing. God has placed His laws in the universe's nature so that when some laws are transgressed a punishment is necessarily triggered. For instance, having a disorganized sexual life, living in debauchery, it will lead one to contract sexually transmitted diseases which he will suffer and even die of. Eating too much will lead one to all sort of health problems and finally to his death, but also refusing to eat will or starving on oneself it could also lead to illnesses and to death. Among the Christian Orthodox theologians Saint Dumitru (Stăniloae) the Restorer of the Christian theology contoured a middle path, a path of temperance in all aspects, so that the Christendom not to be a religion of excesses of any sorts. He also spoke about the too much separation between body and soul as conceived and theorized by the theologians previous to him, and he reevaluated and revalorized the body and the world and the sex as good and as gifts give us by God.

Ontology: The real power of the human being is not that of eliminating the natural aspects placed by God Himself in His creation as God Himself wanted, but the human being real power is that of shaping her of his reaction to the manifestation of the natural laws, of course that only to some extent.

Totally eliminating the natural laws is impossible, and any attempt to do that is contrary to God. And even after one's death, her of his body wills tiller main submitted to the natural laws of being consumed by other organisms and their material elements to be reintegrated within nature's chains and processes. And because almost all the natural reintegration processes consist of oxidation processes, we cannot see any sin in cremation. That theological speculation that one will take again his body's atoms is totally wrong. Imagine that after a billion of years the same atom traveled through and belonged to millions of people: whom that atoms will belong too?!... We better admit that we do not know anything about the bodies we are going to receive at the general resurrection. But perpetuating such an approach on the obligatoriness of the burial into the soil constitutes a line of power and of monetary earnings the clergy attempts to preserve upon believers, by mandatorily providing them the burial services in the cemeteries own by the Church.

Postulate: Nothing is exterior to God.

Ethics: People will more easily follow the evil than the good, because following the good requires effort. And also, people get bored of doing the good, after a while. The human being rather oscillated between good and evil. All the theologians admit that the good takes effort and struggle. The good is more difficult to do. The evil stirs up in the human being all passions and addictions. This raises the question if not the human being is prone to evil. Statistically speaking, there are more evil people than good people. So, it is that a satisfactory argument that the human being is evil by nature?! We cannot answer this question.

Ontology: Despite claiming the preservation of some initial unchanged absolute values, the Christian theology has actually been constantly evolving and progressing and adapting. We adhere to what Saint Dumitru the Restores asserted: there is an unchangeable core of the Christendom and there are also a bunch of aspects which must be changed to that the human society will remain able to understand the Christian theology and in order to avoid – because of the theology's stubbornness of locking itself in a no longer possible to understand past – the Christendom's legging behind the human society. The diminishing of the Christendom's influence upon the mankind is not the guilt of the sinners but the clergy and the theologians are to be blamed of that. For instance, as revolutionary and as progressive some theological texts were when written, they have become outdated and wrong and restrictive: blaming the science as coming from the devil, or claiming that the matter is composed of water, earth, fire, and air – and so on – are no longer sustainable.

Language: Countless translations and interventions in the Biblical texts made the literalism wrong. And some interventions are clearly visible and also inappropriate: the Holy Mother of the Lord, the Holy Mother of God, was taken at the age of twelve "in the church", and not in the temple or synagogue; our Lord Jesus Christ told peter that on him He will build His "church"; we think that it is also important to study the imagology of the cross during Christ's time and the conditions Jesus would have used the word cross.

Epistemology / anagogies: If something can be interpreted in diverse says, that something will be interpretable by anyone. If something can be given diverse interpretation all those interpretations will mutually diminish their value, and deny on each other – or even annul on one another. Anagogies did nothing good to Christian theology; they hold no truth; they are only intellectual and imagination exercises.

Epistemology / anagogies: A part of the Christian theology states as real what it actually is wishful thinking. Instead of actually doing what our Lord Christ Jesus commanded us to do we speculate on how the sin of the world has been removed and how the world and the human being have been restored. And look around; we actually are as savages and as sinner as ever and the wars have never ceased since Christ resurrected and ascended on the right hand of the Father. But we refuse to fulfill his commandments and to assume the basis He taught us and to assume our task of transforming this Plant Earth so that it could become the Kingdom of God.

Subtitle: *A Christian Realistic Religious Philosophy*

Ontology (Ethics too) / Freedom: We are not free[3].

Epistemology: No theology: positive cataphatic, negative cataphatic, apophatic can formulate absolute knowledge on God. They remain relative[4].

---

[3] Freedom means absence of conditionality. We are born without asking for it, and we are born in a certain area of the Planet Earth, with a certain religion, man or woman, rich of poor, genius or stupid. God Who is omniscience He has been knowing from eternity what we followed to become. Affirming that we are free is like affirming that God is not omniscience but He actually constantly adapts and ascertains. Affirming that we are free means speaking against God. Of course, this creates an inconvenient to the theology who made of blaming the human being its reason of existence. If we are not free, why would be hold accountable for our sins?!... But even illustrious theologians as Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology spoke about a partial conditioning by destiny. We think this is an unsolved problem of the human being – God relationship.

[4] Because of being formulate by limited human minds by using limited languages and notions, all notions originating in a process of sublimation having a starting ground the material reality

Epistemology: One can know God by feeling Him and by living Him[5].

Epistemology: Putting together the Old Testament and the New Testament has been causing incoherence[6].

Epistemology: Questioning the theological affirmations and searching for answers is not a sin[7].

---

which we live in. We attempt to express spiritual order realities by using material order generated notions. Symbols are deceiving, relative, changing in their paradigms.

[5] We certify this by own experience. We dedicate our life to translate in the English language and to make know Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the Restorer of the Christian theology to the world, and God provided us with everything we needed to a decent extent. We started to feel God all around us and withing us. Some readers will condemn these words of ours by saying that we mustn't unveil or dare to tell others such words. I argue that if all people who experienced God keep that as their secret that will be buried with them, then how could they fulfill their mission of making God known to others?!... Someone who doesn't dare to talk about his experience with God because he is scared of doing something wrong, he has a wrong fear of God. He is also selfish because doesn't want to sacrifice himself for his neighbor because of fearing that himself won't be saved.

[6] The Old Testament is a collection of texts initially put together by Jews in order to serve their survivability and to justify their conquests, a justification based on a declared superiority of theirs upon other peoples. But as our Lord Christ Jesus said, you won't put new wine in old skin bellows. But even the Old Testament contains it own contradictions, because how could God Who commanded to the Jews: *you Shall not kill*, in other circumstances to order them to conquer countries and to kill the peoples from there?!... we have ascertained a biased and coward silence in answering such contradictions and even when done the anagogies are mind-blowingly far from any justification and therefore from any truth. The entire Christian epistemology affirms only one thing that it cannot be know: the Being of God. As we so easily can see, there is a whole bunch of aspects we have no idea about. Some of them have been identified by Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology, as for instance: if God created only Adam and Eva and they had only two children, whom would Cain marry to, and who were the people who were forbidden to kill Cain?!...; if Adam and Eva didn't eat from the tree of knowing the good and the bad, how could they know the good and the bad?!...; if Adam and Eva were created from the beginning as man and woman and they have reproductive dedicated apparatuses, how would they breed / intercourse without pleasure?!... And the examples could continue. We think that rethinking of the Christian theology from the ground up is necessary. Being complacent in the incoherences from now just because *we do not want to cause disturbance amid people* – namely preferring to preach inaccuracies just because we have got so accustomed to them and any effort to change that will create some resistance and discomfort, it doesn't seem so Christian to us. The Jew priests manifested the same attitude when Christ come on Planet Earth: they rejected Him and they even killed Him because they have their faith as an idol; they brought Christ as sacrifice to their idol.

[7] Somehow, along time, this counterproductive idea that questioning the theological affirmations would be a deadly sin – and the Christians killed people who dared to ask questions about the official doctrine – it has become a mutual principle, an unexpressed principle. You will believer, without asking any question, what we tell you. But then even the theologians, even the Holy Fathers, they didn't observe this principle and the Christian

Epistemology: God doesn't want from us a blind faith[8].

Ontology: God doesn't need us to defend Him[9].

Ethics: One cannot ever forsake his own will[10].

---

theology has been and it is in a constant evolution and progress. Our Lord Jesus Christ was the most progressist theologian ever and He has changed the Old Law by giving us new commandments – which, by the way, most of us haven't even started observing. A first step will be taken when the mankind will have stopped killing on each other on political, religious, or economic motifs. But we think that that will take another one million years of Christendom. For now, the Christian church approves the wars and blesses the armies and they have even military clergy accompanying the armies on the battle field and asking God for help to kill the enemy: while our Lord Christ Jesus commanded us *you shall love even you enemy*!...

[8] If God wanted from us a blind faith, He would create us mindless. Here we have the common mistake of confounding a strong faith to a blind faith. A strong faith is based on the certitude brought by your own experience of feeling and living on God. A strong faith can be brought by a rational and logical reasoning that objectively – as much as this is possible to the human mind – argues and justified and ascertains the existence and the works of God. A blind faith can lead to all sorts of persecutions and killings; a bling faith means fanaticism and anyone evil enough and claiming of being clergy anointed by God can drive those who have a blind faith to persecute and to kill their neighbor on "behalf of God".

[9] There is a part of the believers, priests or laymen, who think that God need them to defend His Church, or to defend the right-faith, and in order to do that they argue with, they curse, they persecute, and they even kill their neighbors who, in their opinion – sometimes they do not even now why but they think that because they were told so – they would blaspheme. If it had been our task to defend God's Church it wouldn't have last even for an instance. The mankind is bond to this external way of imposing their beliefs to other and they do that violently. This is what we call the external way. But there are only a few true Christians who have adopted the internal way: fulfilling the God's will as conveyed us by our Lord Jesus Christ's commandments, and doing the good, and becoming perfect, so that their neighbors to be attracted to them and to start imitating their ways. The external way if that of the *letter that kills*. The internal way if that showed to us, with the deed, by our Lord Jesus Christ Who dies for us while not opposing by anything, except by teaching us the good.

[10] The Holy Fathers spoke a lot about the need for one to forsake her / his won will and to totally obey, for instance, the will of the spiritual guide. But this is a psychological – and even logical – impossibility, for even when one "forsakes his will" he wills till does that "willingly", he will still focus his won will to obey another's will. We think that speaking about one forsaking his own will it wrong. We should rather speak about focusing our own will for doing whatever the person whom we obey to wills. Or, even more than that, focusing our own will to do good things and to avoid doing the evil things. We thing that we rather must speak about watching our own will and willingly do good things and refusing to do evil things. Identifying our own will necessarily to an evil will and identifying another's will necessarily to a good will, it is wrong. The problems created by such an approach could be very complex relatedly to ethics. First of all, where one can find somebody to totally trust? Secondly, by trying to do whatever another says to us, we attempt to renounce to our instance. What if our adviser unintentionally says to us to do something wrong – will him be the one hold accountable for our mistake?!... what if we understand his saying wrongly, and we will

do something wrong – will the responsibility be his?!... We think that we rather must see the disciple-confessor-spiritual guide as a collaborative attempt to identify and to do the good willingly and as a result of combined wills. For instance, if our confessor tells us to do something good, we will do that willingly, namely by still using our own will. Totally "forsaking" our own will is going to male it impossible to us to do anything. The *doing* cannot be separated from *will*, except in some clinically defined states of insanity. This matter cannot be solved even by using a terminological improvisation, for instance replacing the word *will* for the word *desire*. There are desires oriented towards good and towards bad things, but in both cases the *desire* is present: we renounce or reject our *bad desires* by employing our *good desire* of renouncing to those. Totally obeying somebody raises very complex matters. Is that somebody qualified enough and enough spiritualized to perform such a task? Is that obedience as self-chosen slavery? Could that obedience actually restrict the completion of a human being? - for instance, let's say a disciple asks his spiritual guide to follow PhD research in a science domain, or even in theology, and his adviser won't approve that saying that such an endeavor will cause his disciple to get haughty and proud. Now, while the Christians commend the "forsaking" to one's own will, this psychological mechanism is condemned when used by adherents to certain sects or to other religions. We cannot see any difference of essence regarding the functioning of that mechanism, except in what regards the recipient: the Recipient must be our Lord Jesus Christ. But who can guarantee our confessor is able to perform such a huge task? Another aspect is that – and this is a general misinterpretation and confusion willing or unwillingly perpetuated by the clergy – the Holy Fathers were monks and writing for monks but, because there were no other theologians to write theology (or at least the works for instance of laymen who wrote theology haven't been preserved…), the non-monastic clergy took the teachings of the monastic clergy and attempted to apply it to the life within society. All sorts of contradictions have been coming out of that and they are still coming, until a courageous theologian will speak openly about the fact that one must not confound the recipient of – for instance – the hesychastic writings. If a parishioner priest preaches about poverty and he comes to church by car, now that is a lie. If a parishioner priest preaches about how bad the woman is but he is married, not that's hypocrisy. The conclusion we have reached is that the Christian theology need to be rewritten from the basis, namely tarting from the word of the Gospels. And we also ascertain the need for constant revising and coherence-restoring in what concerns the Christian theology, a fact that should be reckoned as a goal of the Church.

And again, the matter is not the request for forsaking the evil things which our will could lead us to do, but the matter consists of bluntly and ultimate asking for the total forsaking on one's own will. A nuancing should be done because in this concern. Our Lord Jesus Christ has never asked us impossible or illogical things. But by adding burden upon burden, some of the theologians reached to state impossible and incoherent things and necessary for salvation.

[11] There are contradictions among the saying of the theologians by and large. We think that such contradictions must be identified and underscored in order to provide the Christian theology with the maximum coherence and unity possible. Overlooking those contradictions, or keeping one's silence on them, it is now a manifestation of love by any means. Such a "love" manifested relatedly to a punctual contradiction it will sum up with other such gestures in a manifestation of hatred towards the coherence of the Christian theology by and large. We have ascertained that, despite the official claim that there is the possibility of a monolithic and totally identical faith, actually even the Holy Fathers manifested differences in their faith, even those from Paterikon and from Philokalia. We think that the faith is actually the result of one's interaction with God and with other believers, a result that will be always unique for the

Epistemology: There is no contradiction between God and science[12].

Axiology: A supreme value in Christendom is the temperance, the balance in everything[13].

---

human person is unique too. And such ascertainment doesn't menace at all the official Church! As not truth menaces anything but the lie. We admit that each believer has a core faith that approximates the official faith and then he added to that his own understanding, his own prejudgments, his own education, his own life experience and so on. An identical faith is an impossibility and speaking about that is an ethical requirement, because we don't think that the Church willingly propagates any lie.

[12] Religion is a form of knowledge as well. Take for instance, the very elaborate observations and analyses done by the Holy Father in relation with the psychological mechanisms. The first who rejected the "philosophy" / namely the lay sciences were some of the Holy Fathers, some of them stating that he who follows those science would be insane and the only true science is that provided by God Himself to them who have achieved a certain level of spiritually obtained after deep ascesis. The scientists answered by arguing all sort of things among which the main one is the inexistence of God. All of those were wrong. The sciences only unveil the laws place by God Himself in the universe He created. The theology could constitute – after openly declaring the validity of the science and their beneficial character, as it mutually has already done it partially – a meta-science, a science destined to establish and constantly reassess the mankind's relationship with God. The theology can constitute an ethical basis for all sciences, if it assumes corrects suppositions and hypotheses. "God nowhere and never wanted us to be stupid!" (Father Monk Nicolae dela Rohia). Read the works of Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the Restorer of the Christian theology and see that science is good and it must help the mankind to progress and to transform the present world in a Kingdom of God here on earth. We think that as the human condition determine us to fear what we do not understand or to reject what contradicts us because of our self-preservation instinct, there is a paramount need for forming interdisciplinary scholars highly familiarized with bot sciences and religion so that they will be able to argue that there is not unavoidable and necessary contradiction between religion and sciences and they could also argue that those theological texts speaking against science must be removed from the recommendable theological bibliography and mentioned as such.

[13] The Christian Orthodox theology claims that it is the "imperial-way", namely "the-middle-path". And most of it is so. But when coming about the teachings of the Holy Fathers one easily can ascertain that those teachings are extreme. The ideal person – and not even then a guaranteed saved one – as described by the Holy Fathers would be one who totally renounced to have any personal opinion, who sleeps at most an hour a night eventually standing and leaning towards a wall, who constantly starves on himself, who refuses to meet anyone, and who torments on himself insomuch than he waits for and desires his own death ad a relief. Of course, in order to be saved one should totally reject any kind of sex. Could such an ideal person be fit for the current society which the mankind lives in? But the question is: how could such a role-model be the result of a middle and temperate way? We thing the matter is that the Holy Fathers wrote for other monks who were searching for experimenting the deep ascesis and in some cases the hesychasm, but the parishioner priests and the official doctrine of the Church indiscriminately formulated identical requirement s for the laymen as well.

Introductory statements: Our intent is to formulate a stance as detached as possible from any sociolect[14].

Ontology: One's faith is unique and individual[15].

Ontology: Ascetics do not actually remove the pleasure[16].

---

[14] We also thing that such an attempt is almost impossible. In the same time, one must strive as far as his capabilities uphold him to avoid the "earthing" of his philosophy so that the relativization and then the denial of if to come as later as possible. We aim to identify and describe and analyze general aspects of the Christian religion and of the religion by and large, and we openly state that almost all answers regarding God are unanswerable, despite the official Christian doctrines claiming of having done that. But as our thinking apparatus is based on metaphorically synthesized concepts (see CMT / *Conceptual Metaphor Theory*) as the knowledge progresses even the words which we have formulated out statements with, they will first have changed their paradigms of meanings and they will finally become unrecognizable and impossible to understand in the collocations which we have placed them in.

[15] Each human being is unique and possesses unique capacities of understanding and unique experience of life achieved in unique circumstance. Each human being possesses a certain education and the intellectual endowments differ so that the understanding power differs. Despite the claims of the theologians about a *unique faith* - more right or more wrong as the power struggle among diverse denomination has been continuing ever since the first institutional structures of the early Church crystallized – there is no such a thing. Even between two theologians educated as twin brothers on the same educational route and having crossing through the same life circumstance, there will be idiosyncrasies and differences regarding their faith. One can only speak about a main core of any faith to that being added the personal characteristics. Despite stating the same dogmas each of us will understand slightly – or not – different things. Claiming the possibility of the existence of a *one* faith it disregards the psychological realities. If there had been possible to exist a *one* faith all the Holy Fathers would have written down identical contents, which they didn't do – the only appropriate word to describe the real situation is the word *similarity*. One adheres to a certain doctrine and ritual practiced by a certain denomination, through her/his unique person and the result will always be unique/individual.

[16] A large part of the Christian theology, especially that one written by ascetics, it tells us that one could achieve salvation only after totally removing the pleasure from himself. In their opinion the only path to salvation is to one to torment on himself to the extent or reaching a total removal of any feeling of pleasure. Letting aside that such a thing is impossible because no one can actually extirpate that part of the brain where is the headquarter of the feeling of pleasure, but themselves affirm that actually only the object of the pleasure, namely the facts triggering the pleasure, it would be replaced for something else, in this case for spiritual joy. But speaking bluntly and indiscriminately about the extirpation of the pleasure is bot unrealistic and wrong. And it is the result of gradual increasing of the fanaticism in dealing with the ascesis. Our Lord Christ Jesus never commanded us to reject the pleasure, but to adopt a temperate attitude towards everything. To some extent, we think that we can say that some of the Holy Fathers made the Christian path almost impossible by the unrealistic and unnecessary requirement they formulate as unavoidable achievements to him who want to be saved. We think that a temperate attitude in everything is what some of the Christian Orthodox theologians called as the *middle path*, or *the imperial way*. And there occurs also the danger to attach the feeling of pleasure to the self-inflicted pains, or to the pains inflicted

Introductory Statements: Our demarch aims to strengthen the Christendom[17].

Ethics: Responsibility is consecutive to the capacity of deciding[18].

Ontology: Rejecting the present world is wrong[19].

---

by other upon us. That would be called as masochism, and despite of not being called like that, numerous texts belonging to the Holy Fathers speak about the joy one should feel when traumatized and abused physically and mentally, some of them commending even the fact of willingly searching for such situations as a search for God's mercy. We identify here an unethical attitude as well: being joyful because somebody wrongs – and that implied that that one commits sins against us – it doesn't pay the needed attention to the fact that our joy is founded on that one's sin, namely on the fact that that one will go to the hell.

[17] Some of the Christian theologians themselves deplore the fact that the Christendom is legging ever behind the evolution and the progress of the human society. But this is not the fault of God or of our Lord Jesus Christ, but it is our fault because we haven't answered – despite claiming as having that done – the main questions the Christendom poses. We somehow succeeded in earthing down the teaching provided us by our Lord Jesus Christ, instead of elevating ourselves towards the spiritual skies. Our Lord Jesus Christ commanded us to love even our enemies but the official churches commend the warriors defending the Christendom by killing people. Official churches intertwined themselves with the state structures so tightly that the churches and the believers have become accomplices to the states' crimes. Our Lord Jesus Christ asked us to dare but we cowardly hide ourselves behind a pretended humbleness and overlook the deep incoherences in our theology – incoherences which have nothing to do with God but they are due to out limited human mind and to our hypocrisy of claiming to have answered questions which we haven't actually answered by any means. We ask the reader to reckon our demarch as imperfect and even destined to fail, because there cannot be any individual undertaking of such amplitude not to end in failure. But what matters is the attempt, and the open-ended character of the research. Claiming that one has achieved the end of the knowledge is not only unrealistic but it will also kill any further continuation of that research. We think that vital to Christian theology is a continuous reevaluation and even establishing reevaluation as a main principle of the Christian theology, so that the theology to be able to keep up with the newest knowledge levels in sciences. You cannot speak today about a world composed of water, air, fire, and earth, and in the same time to pretend yourself to be the depositary or the absolute truth.

[18] This is an unanswered and most difficult question about the way the Christian theologians cast all the responsibility burden on the shoulders of the human being. We thing it was an easy and comfortable way of attempting to solve this problem. Throw the whole guilt upon the human being and then develop a whole scaffolding of guilt and an entire theology of the human being's guilt. But guilt supposed one having the possibility to decide the good but intentionally, consciously, and willingly deciding to do the evil. Responsibility in the absence of the freedom is a nonsense. But the best one could tackle with the problem of the freedom was the way of approaching it by Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology, who stated a partial freedom – while, sadly, claiming that the human being actually has a total freedom, which is, of course, wrong. We aim to further explore the questions as: Why are we born guilty? How can one be guilty for something he didn't do? What must we get saved of? Why is there a salvation actually needed? At the appropriate section we are going to present our conception about the fact that claiming that we are free it annuls the omniscience of God – what would God know from eternity if we could change what He knew?!...

Ontology: Introducing a separation between body and soul is both impossible and dangerous[20].

Ontology: Our Lord Jesus Christ's teaching is ultimate[21].

Epistemology: Translation implies entropy[22].

Epistemology: The critical / rational thinking can determine that what we can possibly know and state as provisory truth[23].

---

[19] As Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology said in his theological system, the world is a gift from God and our task is to deify the world and to return it to God as sanctified. Claiming that the world is evil means claiming that God created something evil. Claiming that the world is evil consequently to the man's sin is also wrong, because it implies wrong conclusions about God. As Saint Dumitru asked: didn't know God Who is omniscient, from eternity, the whole evolution of His creation. Claiming that the man's sin got the creation out of the control of God and that god cursed His creation despite He knew from eternity the evolution of what He created, it is also wrong. Our image concerning what the Christian theology has been able to formulate so far on this matter is that of a description about a defeat of God and a desperate attempt to avenge Himself on His creation. Regardless how one could look at this, it is totally wrong. But we happily continue to preach it on every occasion we can.

[20] The Holy Fathers deemed the body as the main enemy of the soul, an enemy that must be tortured and totally silenced, an enemy whose main purpose is that of plotting against the soul to send the soul in hell. Letting aside that such an assumption accuses God that He created something evil, and letting aside the fact that despite they deemed the philosophers as insane pagans, such a conception is totally Platonist. Actually, we think that there are undeniable similarities between the Christian doctrine on salvation and the Platonist philosophy on the embodiment as a punishment for the sins of the souls. We see in this regard the way of further developing our research my undertaking a comparative analysis on Christina theology and on Platonism. Saint Dumitru the Restorer said that we have operated a too big division between body and soul, and such a division is neither possible nor beneficial.

[21] The teaching of our Lord Jesus Christ forces us to be what we really are. It unveils what we are under our hypocritical mask. His teaching allows us no place to hide. His teaching exposes all our lies.

Up to these days the theologians extensively spoke on the fact that Christ Jesus's sufferance was and it still is expiatory to us. But nobody noticed the fact that Christ's sufferance is a mirror exposing us as we are: hypocritic, violent, idolater, blood thirsty, persecutors, killers, fanatics, haughty, and cowards.

[22] We think that the entropy intrinsic to translation is a factor limiting the conveyance of the initial unity of meaning the first manuscripts of the Gospels contained. To be explored the case of Codex Sinaiticus as a palimpsest containing thousands of changes and superscript words.

[23] We cannot know absolute truths except the word of Christ from Gospels. What the Christian theology added to those words is too impregnated by the human condition and totally relative.

Introductory Statements: We declare as grounds of our religious philosophy: the Gospels and the theology od Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology[24].

Title of the Book: God is what He is!

Epistemology: Knowing God implies an own exploration having as result an own conception on God[25].

Epistemology: We cannot ask the question we formulate about God except unsatisfactorily, partially, relatively, and circumstantially[26].

Ethics: Annulling the human being cannot constitute a ground for any ethics[27].

Ethics: There cannot be any ethics without rights[28].

---

[24] Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the Restorer of the Christian theology has brought the Christian theology back in coherence with the Gospels. Along history, the Holy Fathers added burden upon burden and installed a rift between Christ Jesus's teaching and the Creation – in their vision the Christian must fight the Creation. Saint Dumitru has made Christendom livable and breathable again.

[25] I heard on some occasion, a monk stating with an expression both of condemnation and of fear on his face that one can reach even to read/understand the Holy Scriptures with her or his own mind. I think I have never heard something stupider than that. Whose mind would us read or understand the Holy Scriptures with?!... and, if by reading the Holy Scriptures, we can understand them wrongly let's reinstate the Middle Age interdiction of reading them, and let's replace the Holy Scriptures for the official interpretations and anagogies! What should one read something for, and then to be told what he is supposed to understand of what he read, let's give him read directly the official interpretation and no longer making one stupidly waste her or his time.

[26] See the assumption of the *Conceptual Metaphor Theory*. See also the fact that the Christian theology introduces this contradiction by affirming that we both can and cannot know God. The Christian Orthodox theology attempted a compromise by introducing Saint Palamas' theory of the *uncreated divine energies*, which are both God and non-actually-God. The theory of the *uncreated divine energies* is a provisional solution but not a definitive one. We actually thing we must reckon everything we think that we know about God as provisional and circumstantial.

[27] A part of the Christian theology marches towards totally annulling the human being in order to save her or him. But this is such a contradictory doctrine because in the same time the Christian theology states an ultimate value of the human being. The theologians belonging to this orientation don't understand that they attempt to annul the way God has created the human being and they attribute to God the desire of seeing His creation self-annulled.

[28] A part of the Christian theology states the lack of any right of the human being in the relationship with God. Regardless how many good deeds you have done or regardless how holy your life was you won't deserve anything. That attributes a lack of logic and an arbitrariness to God. It is often reiterated that example of a holy old man who, when he was about to die, he was worried and he declared that he didn't know if he did something good. And this is deemed as an example of great humbleness. But then how can we ask others to do

Ethics: Annulling any judgment cannot be a ground for any ethics[29].

Ethics: We declare as ultimate ground for our ethics the words of our Lord Jesus Christ from the Holy Gospels and the natural laws as placed by God Himself in His creation as discovered and formulated by sciences.

Introductory Statements: We declare as validations mechanism of our religious philosophy the witness of our conscience when in accordance to the words of our Lord Jesus Christ in Gospels and in accordance to the natural laws placed by God Himself in His creation as discovered and formulated by sciences[30].

---

what we are not sure about as being good?!... Such an example at most introduces a total relativity and discouragement among others who want to follow the same path. And it also alludes to the impossibility of knowing what God really wants from us. The lack of any certainty on the value of our deeds cannot be a ground for any axiology. We agree that God is not restrained by anything because God has the absolute power and that brings him the absolute freedom – but this cannot constitute the ground for us to state about God that he behaves arbitrarily!... or that He lacks the coherence and the logics!...

[29] The Christian theology adopted to an absolute extent the commandment given us by our Lord Jesus Christ: "Do not judge so you won't be judged", but they overlooked and kept their silence on the second part of the commandments: "For with the measure you judge, you will be judged". And this is so wrong! Because if we follow the official and so widely uttered first part of the commandment, we actually affirm that our Lord Jesus Christ instituted the lack of judgment – in all its paradigm – as the supreme ethical value. But then how could the human society function?!... and how could we discern between good and bad?!... how could one teach what is good and what is bad?!... We think that the first premise cannot function without the second premise. Also, we do not deny one's right to renounce to judge anyone… but this can only be a personal choice and it cannot be an ethical principle which the human society could function on. This is another example of biased judgment operated by a grouped of people and then attempting to impose it as a general and fundamental ethical value to all society's members. Could there be not judges any longer?!... no, but Christ Jesus asks them to judge rightly.

[30] Attempting to escape reality determined some of the Christian theologians to elaborate an imaginary world, an inexistent world, which he stated that we must believed it by faith, ignoring the actual reality. For instance, they declared that the world has been saved while actually there was no moment the persecutions and the killing and the war have stopped ever. And again, it seems that the world has remained as cursed as it was after the ancestral sin, posing the same difficulties to the human life. And we think that this is a deceit because they asked the people to live in an imaginary world while our Lord Jesus Christ has commanded us to change on ourselves and that would have changed the present world by making it better. Stubbornly believing what you want to believe instead of believing the truth is not a proof of a deep faith but it is an example of wrong faith. Let's start doing with our deeds the commandments given us by Christ Jesus our Savior!

Introductory Statements: Every religion is partially affected by idolatry[31].

Introductory statements: There are two ways for conveying the faith: from inside out, and the external way[32].

Introductory statements: Any religion is affected by the lust for worldly power[33].

Epistemology: We can know that God exists out of the things we have achieved despite being impossible to us and despite any help from other people being incapable of those things[34].

Introductory statements: If theology offered us satisfactory answers, we would not write this[35].

---

[31] Due to the human nature people idolatrize very easily. How can we recognize an element of idolatry?!: by applying this key: when the result is persecution and killing of people who actually are sacrificed to that idol o fours. When we persecute and kill people in the name of God, we actually reduce the irreducible and the incomprehensible God to an idol. Idolatry is present regardless what the name of God. We tend to idolatrize material things which we attribute to the quality of holiness, but also idea or doctrines. We persecute and kill people created by God because we accuse them of not having the same faith as we do. And we do that, allegedly, on behalf of God. I thing God is not too happy about our stupidity!

[32] The inside-out path is that of the real saints – there have been declared a saint also warriors who killed people in wars!... – who have been working the commandments of God within themselves and they reach a state of holiness and people are attracted to them by their spirituality. They do not preach saying that their faith is the only real or correct faith, they do not hold conferences, they do not urge people to follow them. They work within them the love and that cerates this spiritual attraction and also provided a certitude about their truth because we can see they practically do what they believe in. The external way is that of persecuting and killing people in order to force them to adopt your faith. This is idolatry because it reduced God to an idol whom people are sacrificed to. A cause for that is the institutionalization of the faith in various structures which start grabbing and fighting for worldly power. God is banished on a second plan, and such idolaters think they have taken the control upon God Himself Who follows their instructions and Who fulfills their wicked desires and lusts.

[33] Institutionalization and gaining worldly power if mortal to any religion. It actually uses spiritual power to grab worldly power. That is the tipping point where a religion becomes idolatry and starts persecuting and killing people in the name of God.

[34] Some people simply healed of cancer while not taking any treatment. Some people survived falling from thousands of meters height. Some people accomplished things impossible to the any human being. All of these can provide us that knowledge about God called by Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology as: “knowing God out of the life’s circumstances”.

[35] Almost all theological scaffolding is annulled by applying to it, concomitantly, the attributes of God: almightiness, pervasiveness, omniscience. For instance, how can the theologians describe God as surprised and defeated by devil through the mediation of Adam

Ethics: Religious ethics is biased[36].
Introductory statements: We do not urge anyone to leave their faith[37]!

---

and Eva?!... Hasn't been God knowing, from eternity, what followed to happen?!... Did they break God's plan?!... I think that theology needs to be purged of such stupid assumptions!...

[36] There is not impartiality in religious ethics. For instance, a monk having a Homosexual intercourse with a layman is deplored in Paterikon, while the layman is called as possessed by devil. Regardless what evil thing a priest has done, the clergy instituted the interdiction to the laymen for criticizing the ordained perpetrator, even telling that, otherwise, the laymen are going to go to the hell. Bishops, priest, and auxiliary clergy raped thousands of children and that was called child abuse of child molestation; but when a layman rapes somebody he will be called, bluntly, as rapist. Since the Church has become an Institution with worldly power, they have been exercising rather public relations destined to cover up clergy's crimes, rather than applying a fair and honest justice. I think that the principle called in management theory as *the secretary's complex* is manifested within Church too: instead of serving the Boss and helping His servants, the mediator unavoidably interposed between Boss and servants; instead of facilitating the relations with the Boss and the servants, the mediator tend to limit those relations and assuming the power for making those more difficult to the people he hates and facilitating those relations to the people he loves; and instead the secretary being in the service of the employees, the secretary considers all employees are in her/his service. It is like in the military: instead of the logistics being in the service of the combatants, they get haughty and consider the combatants inferior to themselves because they are in position to provide the combatants with food. A mechanism must be instated by the Church and within the Church for the clergy to be at least periodically reminded that they are the ones who must wash up the laymen feet, and not vice versa.

[37] We are fervent Orthodox believer and attendant of the religious services. We thing we are right to do that. The theology that that is based on it is imperfect for now. But it is the best we know. We reiterate here our belief that there must be operated a distinction between: God, Church, and theology – despite the theologians' assumption that there would be actually an identity. There cannot be any identify between God Who is perfect and the Church and the theology which are imperfect. The Church and the theology are imperfect perceptions the human mind can perceive on God, and we manifest those perceptions the best we can in the conditions of still being us part of the material order existence which one cannot leave it except by dying. So, we urge each Christian believer to keep his belief because God has been The One Who born him in that particular denomination and faith. We think that from axiological point of view it totally wrong to affirm the absolute superiority of an imperfect thing upon another imperfect thing. Among imperfect thing there can be established only relative reports of superiority and inferiority. Only God is absolute because He is perfect!!! Some believers, clergy and laymen, they think they are superior to those belonging to different denominations only because the hatred discourse of their Church taught them that. But they do not even know what their faith is different by from another similar faiths. They don't even know what their denomination differs by from other denomination. They impropriated that superiority of theirs because they heard it, and not because they know anything about it. Perhaps in no other domains than the religion the *banner-like-thinking* is so widely spread. It might have been facilitated by the fact that the obedience has been and still is preached not only in material deeds but even in thoughts. One must think at and what he is told and not what he feels the need for thinking at. Any dissidence is threatened to go to the hell. There are the clergy who is the only superior category of people owning the absolute truth, and there are the laymen who are the sheep pastured by clergy – but Christ taught us

Introductory statements: Only God is holy[38].

Ontology: There is no space and time at God[39].

Epistemology: Being you absolutely convinced about a thing doesn't constitute a proof to somebody else[40].

---

differently… Somehow all the characteristics of the clergy are similar (in good as well as in bad) regardless the religion they belong to. And we think that this id because of the human condition. And even more than that, despite staunchly claiming their divine superiority, the clergy still remain humans and affected by their human condition. Let them be superior in deeds and in adopting the inward way!

[38] Everything else can receive within the manifestation of God to the extent God wants that and, in case of the human being, to the extent they want that and search for it. Saing about material things regardless they are buildings, vestments, rituals or whatever that they are holy it means transforming them in idols. They are only means easing us to become in accordance with God. We can tell because of respect and love that somebody is a saint, but that means that God manifests Himself in that person because that person adapted on himself to the maximum to that.

[39] This has consequences on the urge addressed by the clergy to the believer to come to the Church to meet God, and that means that God would not be anywhere else. God is pervasive and so God is with you even when you are regardless where. It doesn't belong to the clergy to tell God where Him to be or not be. But then why we attend the Church?!... Because there we can find an organized frame and some organized prayers and there are professionals who pray to God for us too, because we do not know how to pray and we cannot pray like some professionals. Going to Church and attending the Holy Liturgy it is good and recommendable. Exceeding the line and saying that all of them who do not come to the Church they will go in hell it is wrong, it is idolatry, and it expresses another attempt to put limits to God. God saves whomsoever He wants wheresoever He wants. Except God who is holy, everything else is conventional: religious services, symbols, vestments whatever. But they are recommendable because they are the maximum that we have been able up to these days to imagine and put in practice. Deeming a certain denomination as superior to any other or even only to another, it is an exercise of power directed upon keeping the own number of believers and the control upon those believers and has nothing to do with God: it is actually declaring the superiority of some convention over diverse other conventions. When we hate, persecute, and even kill on behalf of those conventions, those conventions have become idols to us and we perpetrate idolatry.

[40] Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology elaborated extensively on how the faith is conveyed to somebody through the mediation of somebody else. But we think that my conviction of something without my deeds will remain my conviction and it won't persuade anybody else. So, we think that the faith can be conveyed to somebody else by deed, by the fact that that one sees our deeds, otherwise the another can acquire a "theoretical faith", an "external faith", a participation to some rituals and so on. And that's not a faith but it is an external conformation to something, mainly because one fears the punishment for doing the opposite. But when one sees the good deeds of another, that one won't be able to remain indifferent, but the good deeds he sees will engage him – positively or negatively, because one can also hate seeing one doing good deeds!... – unavoidably. There could be generated feeling of appreciation and of gratitude and even of love for her of for him who does good things. We are reiterating here the fact that fearmongering will bring rather an external faith

Introductory statements: We think that the theology must be brought to coherence[41].

Ethics: The Christian theology is a theology of the human being's guilt[42].

Ontology: The Christian theology must not contradict the actual reality[43].

---

while doing good deeds and manifesting love for the neighbor will bring the internal faith that is the answer of love. Sadly, the most part of the theologians preferred the external way of fearmongering, because they haven't been able to apply the internal way of conveying the faith.

[41] For instance, the theology speaks us about the love God has for us by bringing us to existence, and then, the same theology describes the various methods and ways God makes us suffering and failing the Kingdom of God. A main question we thing we won't be able to answer is that regarding if God is good or bad, and what does it mean good relatedly to God and what does it mean bas relatedly to God. Invoking the paradox on each time we cannot answer a question – instead of simply stating that we cannot know that, at least for now – it is both stupid and hypocritical. If we maintain in actuality the whole content of the Old Testament, we will both affirm that God commanded and helped the Jews to persecute and kill other peoples and to steal their countries while, the same God commanded them: "You shall not kill!". Also, the national Churches bless their armies and they pray for their armies to be successful in killing their enemies, and they do this in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ Who commanded us to love even our enemies. Doesn't this feel strange to you, even for a little bit?!...

[42] The Christian theology says – shortly speaking – that our Lord Jesus Christ removed the sin of the world but, the human being is still born bearing the ancestral sin so that we need the Baptism for removing that sin; then, despite at the Baptism the human being is made perfect again, the people continue sinning throughout their entire life, so they need as often as possible confession of sins and Impartation with the all-precious Body of the Lord and with the all-holy Blood of the Lord. So, we understand from here that the Christian theology states, actually, that human being bears an unescapable guilt and all the attempts to heal that are a succession of failures. (Because of the gravity of this affirmation we delimitate again between God and the Christian theology) We wonder what the consequences of such a perspective – namely of the mandatory and unescapable guilt – could be when developed from ethical perspective. Could the general result of the inference be that the human being is a failure of the Creation? And how could be reconciled such an ethics with the fact that the human being bears and is guilty for a sin she or he hasn't committed?!... How could; be ethically founded the theologians' affirmation that God punishes somebody for someone's else guilt?!... And, how can one be guilty without doing himself anything?!... Another question is: If the situations was like that, what would God benefit of it?!... We see here the need for a major restructuring of the Christian theology in order to bring it in coherence.

[43] The Christian theology affirms that our Lord Jesus Christ restored the Creation, but that we see the world as cursed as ever, far from being an Eden. Also, the human being is as sinner as ever. So, we think that our Lord Jesus Christ has given us the teaching we need for transforming on ourselves in angels on Planet Earth and for transforming the Planet Earth in an Eden. But we prefer to lose on ourselves in elucubrations and intricate theological speculation while naughtily disregarding the actual commandments our Lord Jesus Christ has given us. Our behavior is actually a behavior of liars, misinterpreting and perverting the teaching of our Lord and God Jesus Christ in order to fit out sinner purposes: worldly power

Ethics: The suicidal must not be blamed[44].

General statements: Fearing God and therefore not asking your questions about Him is mere hypocrisy[45].

Epistemology: Forcing one to admit and to be satisfied with our answer to a question she or he raised, it cannot be an epistemological ground[46].

(we bless the armies in the name of Christ Jesus and in the name of the All-Holy Trinity so that our armies to be able to kill our enemies…).

[44] The Christian theologians rushed to blame and condemn the suicidal. We thing that, on the contrary, more mercy must we grant to these most unhappy sister or brothers or ours. Being a sane, successful, socially respected and honored theologian makes you unable to actually understand the human suffering. And if you are driven also by bigotry and fanaticism and by a feeling of superiority (vain-glory and pride and self-appreciation) you will be like a blind guiding the blind. I thin that the Christian theology must be restored and its staunch condemnation on suicidal must be reformed and nuanced. Common research understood by theologians together with psychologists and sociologists is keenly needed. We thing no one can understand the huge and insurmountable suffering the suicidal experiences. Our Lord Jesus Christ commanded us to forgive and not to throw the body of the suicidal in a hidden part of the cemetery and to refuse her or him the usual religious service. In this we are guilty of all the evil behaviors which like our Lord Jesus Christ warned us about. Remember also the verse from: KJB: Ps. 69: 26: "For they persecute him whom thou hast smitten; and they talk to the grief of those whom thou hast wounded" (corresponding to) ROB: Ps. 68: 30: "For he whom You have beaten on, they persecuted him and they multiplied the pain of his wounds. The ethical question is: how much control upon the situation she or he was in, they had – mentally, souly, psychologically, psychiatrically etc. -, so that we to blame him for his deed.". We intuit in the general picture the tendency to blame the victim (to study and elaborate this widely as a characteristic of the entire Christian theology: to what extent the sinner can be blamed for his sins since she or he have certain configuration of they weaknesses and strengths?!... can we talk about a predisposition to virtue and about a predisposition to sin?!... and what relations will such an ascertainment be with one's destiny?!...)

[45] Having questions, bewilderments about God but fearfully refusing to ask those questions of yours despite ascertaining that previous answers are incoherent and inconsistent, it is an actual proof of your hypocrisy and cowardice and egotism. You actually thing you will mislead God about you, and that you can let others to be blamed because of the unsatisfactory answers they formulated, while you will enter the Kingdom of Heavens. Do you think that dishonest and hypocrite souls are appreciated by God?!... A big part of the official doctrine is focused on discouraging any questions and to condemn any other opinion except that officially formulated, or else her or him who dare to differ is condemned by the Church to go in hell – except not the Church is sends to heel or to heavens, despite they would want that to badly!... That belongs to God!... We ascertain that we are co merciless and relentless in things which we actually do not know if they are so, and which we actually cannot control.

[46] Summarizing the official stance of the Christian theology – regardless which denomination they belong to – is: admit and accept and believe unconditionally what we say you or you will go to hell. Any argumentation or nuancing or even possibility of progress is excluded. Any dialogue is excluded. There is a statement you must unconditionally accept, or there is the punishment: and that's all.

Epistemology: Stating as savior the absence of any question, or stating that any questions is heretic and forbidden, it cannot be an epistemological ground[47].

Introductory statements: We state that at least some questions about God won't ever be answered[48].

Introductory statements: Fear cannot be an actual argument[49].

Ontology: Can one speak about love between master and slave?[50]

Ethics: Affirming, after a whole life of deep ascesis, that you don't not know whether you have done something good or not, it will be injurious to God[51].

---

[47] We see in the inflexible and bigot attitude of the theologians an expression of their fear of losing their worldly power. Even our Lord God Jesus Christ accepted and answered questions.

[48] Or even more than that, we state that almost all the answers we have been able to formulate up to these days are wrong and incoherent and inconsistent. And this situation is actually not a sin or something wrong, because it is a result of the way which God created the universe and us in. Instead, it is totally wrong to stubbornly affirm that we have all the answers and, even worse than that, to attempt to impose our answers to others, forcedly, by menacing them of being send to hell, or by persecuting and killing them.

[49] The Christian theology has been and it still is a fearmonger. The theologians pedaled rather on fear that on mercy and forgiveness. We think this was because of reckoning the laymen and inferior and that caused this master-slave behavior, namely frightening the slave in order him to do what the master knows that is better for the slave. But our Lord God Jesus Christ taught us to place on ourselves lower that anyone and to serve them – and not to be served! Sadly, it seems that the ordination is not a guarantee for changing the human nature (see in history the countless crimes committed by clergy…). Fearmongering is an occupation characteristic to the laymen and undesirable to the saints.

[50] Almost the entire Christian theology speaks about God as master and about the human being as a slave of God, while, though, speaking about the love of God for the people. We thing that there cannot be love between a slave-driver and his slaves. And we think that this contradiction from the Christian theology had several generative factors, among which the main one could be the way the theologians imagined God and the paradigm of God, by using what they could find in the surroundings: the absolute emperor, the organization of an imperial court, and the human conditions of the imperial subjects in their relation with the absolute monarch. But this is so limitative for God in the first place! We ascertain the need of the Christian theology for replacing the concept of the fear of God with the concept of respecting God and honoring God. Despite the sophistic attempt of talking about a certain sort of fear – the fear of God – as being a positive thing while the fear is actually reckoned by Christian theologians as a mortal sin, we think that this problem must be reconsidered and reanalyzed and requestioned. Actually, we cannot say that fear is evil because we think that God has placed the fear within His Creation – on all levels, from viruses up to the human being – as a mechanism of life preservation and as a mechanism for existence continuation of the Creation. Operating nuancing discriminations in types of fear depending on the circumstances which those types of fear occur in, in our opinion, it will introduce confusion.

Epistemology: The monetary inspiration cannot constitute an epistemological ground[52].

Epistemology: Holiness is validation criterion regarding the writings of the Holy Fathers[53].

Ontology: We state the following categories of time: the time of a human life, the history, the time of the universe, and the eternity[54].

Ontology: All Christian denominations are heretic to one another[55].

Ontology: The world is good and it is a gift from God for people's salvation[56].

---

[51] It actually comprises a plain agnosticism, namely the impossibility of knowing anything about God. But it is also an ethical nihilism. You have taught others and you have asked others – in an ultimate and mandatory manner - to live the ascesis as you have been living it, but then you tell them that it was only your – totally relative - opinion and you cannot guarantee anything to them.

[52] Reading the theological writings, we can often see the theologians adopting a stance or another according to their momentary inspiration, and then after contradicting on themselves. The alleged solution the anagoges found to this was that of affirming that the answers formulated bore in mind the fact that the person is unique and therefore the solution should be unique. But this introduces an unbearable relativism and, in the same time, it annuls any benefit of reading the Christian writings. Lo what complications and errs brings the simple fact of not admitting that there is incoherence and yes, the Christian theology must be revised and brought to coherence.

[53] This should be thoroughly explored by us. Where do we know from, that someone is saint? Can we actually know that somebody is a saint? What is the relation between holiness and knowledge, within and outside theology?

[54] The tragedy of the mankind is that we have to understand the history, the universe, and the eternity by only living on the lower order time: the time of the live of a human being. Thus, we haven't the possibility to accumulate and formulate long-lasting knowledge. We try to understand, with a human mind shaped to match the time of a human life, the realities taking place on higher order types of time.

[55] This cannot constitute a base for deeming only one Christian denomination as correct, while all others are heretic. If one was wrong, why not all of them could be wrong. And why the theologians established such a dreadful meaning to heresy?!... Didn't they know that God know how stupid and childish and incapable of anything we are?!... And, in fact, each believer commits his own heresies because no one else can be sure that he understood exactly what the official doctrine states, and because each of us perceived the faith with his own: mind, personality, capacity of understanding, education and so on. Where is then that so-much claimed and declared and idolatrized right-faith?!...

[56] See Saint Dumitru. Almost all the theologians worked so hard to organize the present world as a hell so that the believers to live the hell on earth. Was this the intention of God that His Creation/ the universe to be a hell which Hisa creation / the people to live a hellish life?!... We think not, by any means! This misinterpretation of God's will and intentions is a deceit and a delusion and an evilness directed to God. From not loving the matter more than God – namely

Ontology: The body is good and it is a gift from God for people's salvation[57].

Ontology: Woman and man are ethically equal and bodily complementary[58].

Ontology: This life's purpose is the salvation[59].

Introductory statements: Christian theology and cult are syncretic[60].

---

from a normal and natural balance and order of the priorities, some of the Holy Fathers slipped into imbalance, into "the right hand" mistakes (namely the spiritual fanaticism and absolutism).

[57] See Saint Dumitru.

[58] The Christendom is misogynistic in doctrine and in deeds. But this is totally wrong because, as Saint Dumitru said, The Holy Mother of God is superior to any other human being in closeness to God, and the prudes were far superior to the apostles. The misogynism has nothing to do with God, and some theologians (see Saint Dumitru) attempted to restore the role and the condition of the woman in Christendom. Still, because Christendom appeared during a historical period when the woman was reckoned as inferior to man, the whole structure of the cult and of the doctrine is misogynistic and we strongly believe that it must be revised. Actually, because their bodies are destined to procreation, the man and the woman compose a unit predestined by God to them. The ancient Greek philosophers intuited this and this idea is also mentioned in the Holy Scripture (to find and mention the places). Woman and man were created by God for having sex and children, so that sex cannot be, by any means, a sin.

[59] Salvation is a very widely used term and it has an unclear paradigm. Each doctrine tries to define the salvation according to their vision and interests. Summarizing the way that Saint Dumitru defined the salvation, we can formulate the following considerations: salvation is a state of high improvement of the human being so that it exits the own state of egotism and isolation and the human being integrated herself or himself in the community of the believers; by working all the virtues the human being becomes highly spiritualized and united with God so that the human being becomes transparent to God's manifestations through the human being. The saved human being has the love for God and for the neighbor as supreme ethical value. One can reach salvation both by undertaking a deep ascesis or by having a family and living within society. The fathers speak both about the irreversibility of the state of salvation beyond a certain level of spiritualization and about the actual reversibility regardless how far one has progressed. Also, there are no indicators of the state of salvation so that one could know exactly when one has been saved. Despite a declared irreversibility of the state of salvation they who declare this still affirm that one must guard himself constantly and all the way to the end of her or his life. Saint Dumitru took the idea of the salvation of the world from Saint Maximos the Confessor, meaning that the world is destined to be spiritualized by man and turned back to God as a gift. It seems to us that the salvation is that state which the human being has accumulated enough knowledge and education to get connected / integrated to God (by matching God's "frequency"); it would be like we are self-learning systems which must reach a certain level of development. TBDeveloped and quoted the placed from Saint Dumitru.

[60] Christian theology and cult are syncretic despite staunchly affirming the opposite, plainly or mutually. There are some elements predating Christendom which can be found at Egypt and Assyrian religions. But the most striking element of that the Christendom incorporates the

Ethics: The Christian virtues and sins can constitute ethical principles[61].

Ethics: A wrongly understood and unbalanced humbleness cannot be a ground for ethics[62].

Introductory statements: Our Lord Jesus Christ is the basis and the condition of the Christendom[63].

Mosaic religion belonging to the Jews, though our Lord Jesus Christ transformed the old law and fulfill it. Being a syncretism is not something that we reckon as negative, but we see in the presence of similar elements in all religions one more argument in upholding our conviction that God exists. From here we have encountered two development directions: only Christendom is right and all the other religions are degraded perceptions of God; and there was an initial knowledge about God during the Paradisiacal time, but only the Christians preserved the right-faith. The second hypothesis could be upheld if we eliminate the verb *to preserve* because Christendom appeared after, for instance, Mosaic religion, so that how can something preserve anything if it appears latter than the preserved thing, or if it is not directly connected to the things we say it preserves. This contradiction can be solved only if we reckon the Christendom as a continuation and development of the Mosaic religion, which Christendom denies it so staunchly by affirming its total novelty and by affirming itself as the unique owner of the whole truth.

[61] Still, we need to thoroughly define what the virtue and the sin are. For instance, having sex is not a sin. Also, stating too high virtues will make it impossible to reach those virtues. Also, at what pint the virtues become unbalanced and antisocial?!...

[62] For instance, persuading on yourself that you are guilty despite the fact that you are actually innocent, it will generate confusion by deeming the good things you have done as not being good. Then, if the good is evil how won't be the evil good?!... Any ethics needs a clear definition of the good and of the evil, and that will contribute to that philosophy's coherence. For instance, knowing something useful and keeping that secret is not a right-humbleness, but it is rather spiritual-parsimony and even negligence, because if you have found out something useful to the mankind, you actually have found out that form the Holy Ghost and form God, so that you owe to make it known. But, despite of claiming that the silence is a duty the monk must observe it, the Holy Father didn't stop speaking and writing… and it is also a vain-glory in assuming that only us – who are saints – are inspired by the Holy Ghost while all of you must be silent because you are sinners… and: who told them that they were saints so that they were allowed to write?!... and, according to their own teachings, they rather should have kept their silence than writing about their experiences… and: if they were humble as they preached to other to be, they wouldn't write anything… just imagine a pilot of a plane or a bus driver or a surgeon believing that they are unworthy of anything and they do not know anything and they cannot guarantee that what they know is good!... but the pilot and the bus driver and the surgeon must serve also the Holy Fathers who preach such sort of imbalanced and self-annulling humbleness… "You know, dear Father, I am going to operate you but I do not know anything and I am worthless and I do not know whether my medical science is good or not… it rather is worthless and worldly insanity!!!...".

[63] This is not a truism. Anything theological speculation or cultic convention that falls into contradiction when encountering our Lord Jesus Christ's teachings, it can be anything but Christendom, despite being developed within and declared as Christian. There is a tendency characteristic to the human condition that the human mind is stollen by its own contrivances

Ontology: If Jesus came again yesterday, we would crucify Him again tomorrow[64]!

Introductory statements: Almost the whole theology falls when applied to it the attributes of God[65].

---

and deviates, while jealously defending those contrivance at all costs and while hypocritically attempting to justify those contrivances by any means. And this is idolatry.

[64] We are not different form what the Jews were when they crucified Jesus. Despite the Christian theology affirms that everything in the world has received a solution and the only remaining thing is for Christ to come for the second time and that's all… We haven't even started to change on ourselves! The Jews murdered Jesus not because He did or He said something wrong, but because He simply didn't fit their expectations. They wanted to be earthly – despite fanatically preaching an external system of rules – and Jesus asked them to become heavenly. What the Jew clergy were searching for: glory and wealth; we are even more guilty than they were because we already have the advantage of two thousand years of experiencing Jesus's doctrine. But we have managed to resist Him and to remain earthly. We are a repainted version of the Jews who crucified Jesus. We are equally earthly. We have developed a very elaborate doctrine, we claim that we have all the answers, we build so great religious buildings, we have developed such a complex structure of clergy, we elaborated such a complex symbolistic and vestment etc. etc. but all of them the Jews had too. And we bless our armies to kill our enemies and we pray God to help us to kill our enemies and to help us defending our material things by killing our enemies… but Jesus commanded us to love our enemies… we are sick with the same illness the Jews had: we constantly attempt to force God to conform Himself to our desires, theological or not. We constantly attempt God to do what we want and expect from Him instead of obeying what He says to us. He commanded us to love our enemies, but we developed religious services blessing our armies on behalf of Him and we invoke Him on the battlefields to help us to kill our enemies whom he commanded us to love. He commanded us not to gather richer on earth but in heavens but we developed humongous properties and wealth and finance owned by our churches – namely by clergy – by we hypocritically claim that we gather that huge material wealth for Him in order to honor His Church. We do exactly the opposite to everything Jesus commanded us to do! So, if Jesus came yesterday in the same way He did, we would murder Him again because He doesn't fit out expectations.

[65] Take for instance the omniscience and the prescience of God. The theology describes a process of adaptation of the Creation after Adam's and Eva's falling. God cursed the Planet Earth and changed the status of Adam and Eva, as a result of something God didn't expect. But, like Saint Dumitru asked in his works: Didn't know God from eternity? Did Adam and Eva forced God to change His plan that He conceived it from eternity? Of course, that it is a very contentious matter saying that there is not freedom, but doesn't know God from eternity if somebody is will be a sinner to the end and cast in hell, or a saint and destined to heavens? All that we want to say is that the theology claims to have answered these questions but in fact it has only elaborated a very confuse and incoherent theory that falls when applied to it the attributed of God. To be developed with: God tests the people to see their state – but he already knows the state of our souls; God takes adaptive measures but He already knows what it's going to be with us etc. Extending this reasoning on the limitative nature of any theological affirmation about God, we have ascertained the fact that a part of the theology actually is a series of accusations addressed to God: collaboration with satan, lack of prescience, lack of almightiness, too much resemblance to a moody man etc.

Introductory statements: Theology speaks about a human being born sinner who needs to be saved and whose salvation is something uncertain[66].

Ontology: The Creation is good[67].

Introductory statements: Our intent is to express our questions[68].
Logics: There are many contradictions in the Christian theology[69].

Ontology / salvation: Not only the monks will be saved[70].

---

[66] This raises the question: Dos God create somebody sinner?

[67] The world is good. The body is good. The sex is good. Eating, sleeping, speaking, living in society etc. are all of them very good and created so by God's will. A part of the theology has introduced a schizophrenic fight against God's creation. Saint Dumitru the Restorer has argued against that and he has restored the Christian theology in its intended tracks. Fighting the Creation means fighting God's will and not fighting for God. We have taken that wrongly.

[68] We do not think we would be able to answer the questions which are hunting us. Neither we offer a teaching. And we will also just admit it when we are unable to formulate a coherent opinion on something. This is not a teaching book. This is a book formulating our bewilderments. We proclaim our deep conviction that the human being can only relatively know and only relative things. The communication of such things adds an even higher degree of relativity because the information passes through a double set of circles: reality – manifestation of that reality – receptors/senses – brain/rationality – conceptual metaphors – message (- and here comes the second circle…). We have come across contradictions and doubts even in the writings of the Holy Fathers; and some of the Holy Fathers even contradicted others' opinions and writings. This introduced an even grave gnoseological matter: if something is wrong in the body of the theology, how could we discern between what is good and what is wrong?!... And an even more counterproductive stance is that of overlooking and keeping our silence and not admitting the reality and the manifestation of such things. Stubbornly not admitting that there are obsolete writings, or that some of those writings are wrong, it is not a manifestation of love addressed to the Holy Fathers but it is rather harming and alienating the present believers.

[69] Sometimes we have come across such contradictions even in the same paragraph. For instance, the sex is not evil by nature – and how could have that been for God has places the sex in His universe by His will and intentionally – and then, in the same paragraph, the monk is told to run away from sex; the same is affirmed relatedly to the world; and why one must run away from something that is not evil but good?!... We can see here another contradiction spread throughout all the theology's body and generated by the fact that we intend to apply teachings exclusively destined to monks to the entire mankind. Also, we reject the method of declaring something paradoxical with the intention to declare contradictory facts and all of them true. Declaring those sorts of realities as unexplainable yet – but that would suppose us to be at least a little humbler in fact and not only declaratively at sermons… - it would be a more honest approach and attitude.

[70] Some Churches, for instance some of the Orthodox local churches, they are led by monks. Almost all the Holy Fathers were monks and they wrote monastic writings destined mainly to monks. So, we can say that almost the whole Christian theology is a theology wrote by monks for monks. There are, of course, some exceptions. But then, should all of us becomes monks? Will only the monks be saved? Did our Lord God Jesus Christ commanded to all of us to

Ethics: Religion mustn't have anything to do with government[71].

Ethics: Beggary mustn't be condemned[72].

---

become monks? And, it is even possible that all the people to become monks? If all of us become monks and nuns, the Christian population will disappear in three generations – but the will of God is that us to multiply and perpetuate the human species. The condemnation of the world, the condemnation of the woman, the disregarding of the people living in the world as sinners and as destined to surely go to in hell, it generated an attitude of superiority and bias that it can be perceived in the writings of the Holy Fathers – and that is vain-glory. Then, how should us perceive the phenomenon of the monasticism?!... We think that monasticism is a very generous intent, but it is not the only way to God, or the absolute way to God. The monks say they withdraw from the world but where do they go? In another world? They still live in the present world that cannot be left except through death. They isolate – to some degree – from society, they limit their contacts with the people, but they still are fed by people who live and work in the world. There is also a danger that that close society they live in to be a place of bullying, of oppression, of unnecessary sufferings, a place that leads one to psychosis. We thing that monasticism and laicism are complementary and symbiotic. Treated from an ethical perspective, the monasticism seems to be a big act of egotism: leaving and avoiding any social obligations in order to achieve your own salvation at any cost. We do not think that interrupting any contact with your siblings and parents and friends would be necessary. And, as a final conclusion: one can assume as deep ascesis and as harsh rules as she or he wants, but proclaiming that as the only way to salvation is an act of vain-glory.

[71] There I no one exception from the rule – for now – that when religion joins or accedes to the administrative or governing power the intrinsic consequences will be discrimination, persecution, and killing. Our Lord God Jesus Christ commanded us: "Give Caesar what belong to Caesar and give God what belongs to God". A state-religion drags God down on earth's mud and defiles Him. Since the beginning of the recorded history there has been a constant tendency of the clergy to take over the worldly power. They still are simple people but they think about themselves as being enrolled to a mission from above, so that they are some kinds of supra-humans, and therefore the laymen are inferior human beings (Untermensch) and therefore the clergy have the right and the justification to persecute and to kill the laymen in order to bring them under the obedience of their religion. They kill the laymen in order to save them (and such a degree of insanity is deeply disturbing!). Religion offers the clergy so much power upon their fallow humans! In some cases, they take that power to the very extreme and ask their believers to persecute and to kill, or even to commit suicide. The greatest temptation of all is to abuse the spiritual power given to you for material purposes. See the temptations offered by devil to our Lord God Christ Jesus. The theology of all religions should formulate as dogma: the use of God in order to justify any persecution of killing is a capital sin!

[72] I read somewhere: "Give the beggar something in order to spare him of the shame of keeping his hand stretched forward". Our Lord God Jesus Christ commanded us: "And he who will give even only a glass of water to these insignificant people, in the name of a disciple, I rightly tell you: he won't lose his payment." (Mt. 10: 42). And: "For I was hungry and you gave Me to eat; I was thirsty and you gave Me to drink; I was stranger and you have received Me; // I was naked and you have dressed Me up; I was sick and you visited Me; and was in jail and you came to Me." (Mt. 25: 35-36); "I verily say to you: for you did that to one of this most insignificant brothers of Mine, you did it to Me." (Mt. 25: 40). Beggary is a very complex matter and until now (AD 2024) no coherent answer have been given by anu

Ethics: Invoking God and asking for His help in order to defeat our adversaries is unethical[73].

Ontology: Saying that God "tests" the human beings it means annulling God's omniscience[74].

Ontology: Our Lord God Jesus Christ has brought us the opportunity / possibility / the potentiality to change on ourselves and the universe. But for now, we have remained the same[75].

---

theology or government. We think that beggary is a structural component of the human society and it won't ever disappear.

[73] Any kind of competition is a source of violence. The mankind is not yet capable of providing a social system not to be based on competition. All regimes, from liberal democracy to the harshest dictatorship are based on competition. God means love and love means compassion and collaboration. Love means loving our enemy. And what have been us able to understand of our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandment?!: to kill our enemies "for defending our country and our ancestral and right-faith". Invoking God Who commanded us "You shall not kill!" in order Him to help us killing our enemies it seems to us the deepest level of insanity the mankind can reach.

[74] Or even worse than that! It is a mockery addressed to God, by affirming about God that He tests to see that what He already knows… It is like the similar affirmation about wars – and this is an unsolved and very contentious matter -: "God knows but He doesn't intervene!" … it makes of God some kind of sadistic voyeur!... I think that the theologians must be very careful about what they say and they must take their sayings to their very last consequence so that to determine if they do not say something very stupid and condemnable about God!

[75] The theology speaks so nicely about the transformation and the removal of the sin of the world and about the restoration of the human being to the Paradisiacal condition and about the restoration of the world, all of these brought by our Lord God Jesus Christ. Yet, we look around and see the same cursed world and the same savage human being and that we all are going to die. Christ Jesus is not to be blamed because of our theological contrivances. But, in the same time, we overlook our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments which are, actually the ethical/moral norms which we should apply in practice so that the world around us to become a Paradise, us to love our enemy and therefore no wars, persecutions, and killing to be there anymore, and so this planet and this mankind submitted to death to defeat the death and even become – by millions of years of scientifical development and discoveries – if not immortal, at least living for hundreds of years. So, in our opinion, our Lord God Jesus Christ offered the mentioned things as potentialities and it fall to us to fulfill them. Otherwise, we are like looking at the surrounding realities and lying about it, by denying it. For instance, ever since the recorded history existed there were continuous persecutions, wars, and killings, often committed even by Christians: then, how have us been saved?!... or changed in good?!... Also, without our Lord God Jesus Christ there wouldn't have been any such thing as "human right". I reckon our Lord God Jesus Christ as the founder of the concept of the *human rights*! – still, we practice slavery of children, women, and men… As our Lord God Jesus Christ commanded us: we must change for the Kingdom of God within us!

Epistemology: The anagogies are epistemologically null and even very dangerous[76]!

Ontology: Saint is that human person who fulfills continuously and exactly and completely and all of them: the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ[77].

Ontology: Religious persecution and killings have nothing to do with God[78]!

---

[76] What the anagogies actually do is to tell us that what we read, for instance, mut be understood as the official doctrine orders us, and by no means: "with our own minds". And sometimes the anagogues even tell us to understand the very opposite meaning of what we read. And this is a very dangerous situation and it can lead to all sorts of insanities. I saw once on tv a monk saying: "we can even reach to the danger of reading the Scriptures with our own minds!" But then, why to read the Holy Scriptures anymore, and why not to directly read the anagogies. Let's relace the Holy Scriptures for their official interpretations and explanations and to we won't waste our efforts to read something and then to read what we must understand from what we have read. And it also discredits the value of truth of the Scriptures. This relativeness introduced by anagogies it sores to maximum the influence of the human condition in religion. Saying that the actual truths are hidden by God in His Scriptures and only a bunch of initiates have access to them it seems childish and hilarious. And again, it accuses God, indirectly, of intentionally hiding the truth from us. In our opinion this is rather a justification of the holding of power. More than that, affirming that the anagogies were inspired by the Holy Ghost only to a few initiates, it states something wrong about the Holy Ghost. The question is: Why did God tell us something untrue and asking us to understand something else that it would be the actual truth. Because the anagogues introduce this indirect contradiction: what would be the value of a Scripture whose text is not what we must understand of it?!...

[77] Can there be such a human person? Can a human person be constant and changeless? Our answer is not. But we have known one person close to such a condition of sainthood. It is about our confessor. We rather think that we can say that there are diverse levels of spiritual height and no saint is equally holy on all the days of his life. As also the Holy Fathers said, the highly spiritualized people can regress and there is needed a constant effort of maintenance and, on some occasions, not even the strongest effort would suffice. And we have to mention here that some of the Holy Fathers also affirmed that beyond / above a certain level of spiritualness, there is no turning back to passion and sin, but as you can see, this contradicts the first premise. But what should we do relatedly to this matter? We think that we must do the very best of what we can to implement in practice the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ. Should we aim to become saints? But again, what being a saint does it mean?

[78] Even the term *religious persecution* is very wrong. How could be persecution religious?!... Persecuting others for their different faith is an "abomination of the desolation put in an honorable place"! And we are referring here both to other religions and to other denominations. God is Love! God is lenience! God is Mercy! ("I want mercy, not sacrifice!" (Osea 6: 6; Mt. 9: 13, 12: 7)) It seems to us that the origine of the religious violences of all sorts consists of the lust for power of the clergy and of their insecurity and fear of losing that absolutely worldly power. Nothing can justify fact that we invoke God to approve our crimes! There is an ontological irreconcilable contradiction between spirit and matter when it comes

Introductory statements: Religious philosophy has been constantly hindered by the fear of committing heresy[79].

Ontology: Fear is an ontological value to theology[80].

Ontology: We think that the *internal way* of proselytism is the correct way[81].

---

about involving the spirit in destroying the matter. Or, at least, we cannot see any justification for now.

[79] The theologians struggling by any means to impose an official doctrine in their religion have been accusing - and they still are – any different opinion of heresy. The representatives of the religious power cut off shortly any attempt to differ by crying out: blasphemy, heresy, and hell. There has never been a real dialogue between different religions and denominations. The answer was fanaticism and persecutions and killings.

[80] As one can easily notice all sorts of theology are fearmongers. Believing that thus they would serve God, all they do is to menace the believers and the non-believers with the punishment they will receive from God. There is no alternative: obey us or to in hell! But then, there are more than one religion, and there is more than one denomination in all religions. I believe in Christ Jesus, but Christ didn't ask me to destroy my enemy, namely they who have diverse faiths, but to love them! For instance, the existence within Christendom or so many different denominations and variants of faith and doctrine even within the same denominations, it lives us without a certain tool or without any certitude about what denominations would actually be savior. Are there some Christian denominations more savior than others, as Saint Dumitru the Restorer said?!... And each denomination claims on itself to be the only savior denomination, the only *right-faith*. From the standing point of the logics, such a claim has no ground. And then, why should I do, for I am a Romanian Orthodox and we claim that only the Orthodoxy (or even only the Romanian Orthodoxy) is the *right-faith*?!... I think that the answer is: I am going to attend my church during my life because I do not know any better, while still remaining aware of the fact that there is no absolute Christian doctrine or faith. For instance, we constantly lie every time we utter the *Creed*: "I believe in One Church…", while there are so many churches quarreling doctrinally with one another. We must also notice the hatred speech and urging to hatred practices in all Christian denominations, against other denominations and religions. Where and when did God urge us to hate our neighbor?!... Fear, menacing with the hell, with the judgment with the punishment, it is wrong. We think that we must instead work the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ insomuch than the people around us to notice that and they to feel attracted to our faith and religion because of our deeds and not because of our menaces. And this is what we call the *internal way*, opposite to the *external way*.

[81] We discern between the *internal way*, namely working with our concrete deeds the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ, it is the only pleasant to God way of spreading the faith. This excludes: menacing, fearmongering, persecuting, and killing people who have different faiths. We have to become highly spiritual ourselves and to behave accordingly, and ask nothing from other people or from people who differ. There is such a sharp contradiction between what we say and what we do. We talk about the freedom God gives us and we deprive our fellow humans of their freedom. And there is the *external way*: menacing other people, fearmongering, persecuting, and even killing them, while our selves are only hypocrites thirsty for worldly power.

Epistemology: We notice a contradiction between the fact that we are ask to believe and, on the other hand, there is this huge effort of bringing us material proofs for our faith[82].

Ontology: The present life is very valuable[83].

Ontology: A part of the Christian theology wrongly and dangerously speaks about some sort of negotiation and collaboration between God and satan[84].

Ethics: Condemnation brings an attitude of superiority him who condemns[85].

---

[82] We think that the pilgrimages, the commerce with relics, and all sorts of similar attempts to bring us concrete, material proofs are a manifestation of the greed for money earnings. Christ Jesus our Lord commanded us: "(...) the Kingdom of God is inside you" (Lk. 17: 21). And the attempt to proof at all costs that a certain place is Christ's Tomb knowing very well that that isn't, that a certain relic is the head of John the Baptist but in the same time circulated in Europe maybe five heads claimed to belong to him, claiming that a certain spear is that used for stabbing Christ but there were actually several spears claimed to be that one, or even changing the birth date of Christ Jesus to match the winter solstice only for gaining more believers… all of these only compromised the Christendom! Any exaggeration destined to manipulate the believers doesn't do anything but compromise the Christendom.

[83] Almost the whole Christian theology disregards the present life and some of the theologians even speak about making the life from here so miserable through ascesis than one to long for and to desire his death in order to enter the future life. But such an attitude of totally disregarding the present life is totally contradictory to the cherishing of the present life as a gift from God. And then, if the present life was so negative, why would God even give it to us, and why He wouldn't born us directly into the next life?!... In this concern we totally adhere to the present life's paradigm as formulated by Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology. The life is good, the body is good, the world is good, and all of them are gifts from God. Disregarding the present life, it is a reproach brought to God for it.

[84] Take for instance Job's tragedy. Or the theology of some of the Holy Fathers treating about temptations allowed to come upon us by God in order us to be tested. But who brings the temptations upon us? And, even more than that, does God need to test us while He is omniscient?!... It is introduced the paradigm of some sort of experiment God will undertake upon us as He would need to find out what we really think. But doesn't He know our "hearts and entrails"? Doesn't He know our thoughts?!... Almost all the Christian theology talks about an "adaptation" God would undertake upon His eternal plan to the stupid acts of the human beings. Take for instance the *Book o Genesis*: God created a perfect universe but then, because of Adam's and Eva's acts God cursed them and the whole creation. But, as Saint Dumitru asked in one of his writings: "Didn't know God, from eternity, the fact that Adam and Eva followed to commit sin?" …and we would add also: wasn't God aware of what was happening when the snake/devil was on the way of fooling Adam and Eva?!... Again, we see like, by applying the attributes of God: omniscient, omnipotent, and omnipresent, it annuls almost all the Christian theology, including some books form the Bible.

[85] We have been intuiting this all along our readings and translations from the writings of the Holy Fathers. Their whole teaching deems the people living in society as destined to go in hell and inferiors and implicitly sinners. This is the result of affirming that the only way to salvation is that of monasticism. So, the world is evil, and the people living within world they are evil too. Look at what Saint Isaac the Syrian wrote in one of his *Words*: "And is the

Epistemology: Validation is almost impossible relatedly to highly spiritual experiences[86].

Ontology: Woman is good and equal to the man[87].

---

dialogue with them who restrain on themselves in a certain regard, but they still commit small mistakes in other regards, it will hurt the soul, how much more will hurt the soul the dialogue with ***the stupid and mindless, not to say worldly*** (o. h.), and seeing them?" (*Word XIII*, paragraph no. 2, p. 80, in *The Romanian Philokalia* translated and put together by Priest Professor PhD Academician Dumitru, volume X, E.I.B.M.O., Bucharest, 1981). So, while preaching the deep humbleness he actually despised the people living within world (society). And this opens the perspective of the trap such thinking fell into, namely by attempting to find justification for their withdrawal into wilderness they reached to hate the world and the people living in society, and to feel superior to those.

[86] Take for instance the writings describing the hesychasm. Even the Holy Fathers had diverse opinions and they even affirmed the impossibility of describing their experiences in words. The question is: why should I believe them? Could those experiences be similar to shamanic trance? Could those experiences be only some psychic manifestations caused by their strong conditioning, both physical and psychic? Yeas they could, as well as they could be true. But we cannot tell because we cannot determine their value of truth in the absence of an ascertainment method. Our love for the Holy Fathers is not a certification tool. It is our choice to believe what they say, but we have no quality in contradicting people who say the opposite. Accepting, allowing, establishing, employing scientific research methods could widen up the knowledge on the mentioned domain. Humbleness understood as hiding what you know about something, it is in this case counterproductive. The difficulty in adopting a balance ontological relation with the world and society it comes from the fact that the Holy Fathers claimed that the monastic way of living is the only valid one and it is the absolute way of living; that left them no choice but to condemn the creation of God meaning world and body. We mean that the monastic life is good and positive and by no means evil. But we cannot thing at it as absolute because if that was the intent of God our Lord Christ Jesus would urge us to become all of us monks. And furthermore, if all people became monks, the mankind would disappear in three or four generations, and therefore we would work again God's creation. We think that preaching the need for hating the world and the worldly people is a plain hatred discourse. And, by preaching the hatred against creation and body the Holy Fathers reached to elaborate a theology annulling the ontology of the creation: God created a world and a body which we must hate and God gives us our life only in order to wait for death and for another life. But creating the present universe and the humanoids all over this universe only in order they to be destined to another live, wouldn't that be a waste of energy and an irrational effort?!... And isn't such an affirmation against God?!...

[87] Contextualizing the time of the apparition and crystallization of the Christendom we can easily determined why the woman has been portrayed and it remains so as inferior to the man. Christendom appeared and crystalized during very primitive societies: slavery and medieval dark age. It is sad that efforts to restore the statute of the woman as equal to man have been done only sporadically by theologians, to that the Christian doctrine on woman still preserves misogynism. Saint Dumitru wrote beautiful pages on woman and he showed that at Christ's tom went the woman and not the apostles who were trembling with fear and hidden in some locked-up rooms; he also showed that Virgin Mary the Mother of the Lord and the Birth Giver of God was the closest to Christ Jesus our Lord, by bearing Him within Her womb, nourishing Him with Her breast-milk, and by bearing Him in Her arms while He was a little

Ethics: Fear has not ethical value[88].

Ethics: Hiding your experiences you had with God is not ethical[89].

Ontology: Nature is the Creation as God created it. Natural means according to nature as it is / as such[90].

---

child. But even Saint Dumitru wasn't able to totally escape the paragidm of the Christendom's misogynism expressed by deeming the woman as inferior to man, because he too reaffirmed the paradigm of a woman that is the neck and of the man that is the head. Not to say that the Holy Fathers called the woman as unclean because of having her period, and we must ask relatedly to that: isn't that calling on God as creator of something unclean, because the period was place in woman by God when He created the woman, as a natural mechanism of eliminating the unused ovules. So, how could God create something unclean?! Isn't calling the woman as unclean equal to criticizing God and accusing God of creating something unclean?! Of course, some fanatics would answer that the period and also the sex appeared in mankind after the falling of Adam and Eva. But this would be stupid too. Because it would actually say that God was forced to recreate the human beings after the falling. And look at all the living being, human and non-human: they multiply by sex because God created them so. Is it a woman having her natural period more unclean than a man – depite being him a priest or a monk - having homosexual relations, or raping on somebody, or stealing, of killing, or persecuting, or even killing people?! He supposedly chooses to commit those sins, while the woman is born by God so and having her monthly periods by natural mechanisms placed by God in her creation. Discriminating woman against man has no grounds by any means, and it is only a sign of that savageness which we still are living in. Churches made saints out of warriors who – what else?!... – killed countless people and who were even popes and bishops and priests officiating the Holy Liturgy, while the same churches say the women cannot be priests because they are unclean because of a natural process placed by Hod Himself in woman – the period. We see in this a peak of insanity, as well as an element of Mosaic religion perpetuated in and by Christendom: the blood is impure. But then, in the same time, at the Holy Liturgy, we the Christians are imparted with the All-Precious Body of Christ and with the All-Holy His blood!...

[88] A good chunk of the Christian theology developed a very elaborated doctrine on fear. The fear of God is the beginning of everything. But the fear has no ethical value.

[89] There is the misconception that one must hide his experienced he had with God, because that would mean humbleness. But then, how could others find out about God?!... Hiding what you now as beneficial to others, it is unethical. And, actually, contrary to what they affirmed, the Holy Fathers wrote a lot of "confessions" on this subject matter. See for instance the hesychastic writings. There is a difference between confessing those experiences and bragging and boasting and getting haughty with them.

[90] The Christian theology defined another nature / natural that actually opposed to nature/natural and that is actually above-nature/above-natural. This confusion emerged out of the fact that we continue to affirm that God was defeated by Adam's and Eva's betrayal and therefore God had to change His creation that has fallen from an initial perfect state that was the true "natural", being actually a highly spiritualized state that somehow opposed to nature/natural. We thing God has created His Creation as it is today and God didn't change everything in His plan he had from eternity. The fact that we do not understand why the Creation is as it is, it cannot constitute a reasonable ground to affirm that there was a better Creation in the beginning. Also, by affirming that God changed His Creation regardless what

Introductory statements: Christian theology is syncretic[91].

Ontology/Ethics: Love doesn't exclude rationality. God is absolutely rational[92].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Axiology: Paradox has not value of truth[93].

Ontology: Deeming the animals as irrational must be revised[94].

---

that cause would be, it equals to affirm that God undertook a second Creation forced by circumstances. It introduces a false causation because at God that is no necessary / forced action regardless what for. Out of Genesis it remains the fact that God created the Universe and the human beings, but the rest is counterproductive/useless. The Book of Genesis is a mere myth.

[91] Christian theologians took elements form all available preexistent sources and they formulated a doctrine, but sadly this doctrine is unable to contain and to actually apply the teachings of Our Lord God Christ Jesus. There are speculations, myths, general ideas, rituals characteristic to other cultures and religions present in the Christian theological scaffolding. But what we haven't actually succeeded in is the full impropriation of the teachings provided us by Christ Jesus. We hurried to improvise something and then, because of our vain-glory, we declared that we did everything and since then we know everything. And such a claim has become an impediment in the way of truly and fully understanding and applying the commandments of our Lord God Christ Jesus. What is even more contradictory to the statements of the official doctrine is the obvious fact that, actually the Christian theology has been constantly evolving. Sadly, some theological speculations lead to dead ends, while some of the theological speculations is mere imaginings and imagination exercise which cannot constitute an ontological, epistemological, or ethical basis. We think that it will soon become necessary – in order to avoid an even further deepening of the precipice between the official theology and the realities of the current society, and in order to provide the Christin theology with the possibility of adaptation for avoiding the constant legging behind the state of the knowledge – the theologians to undertake a work of restoration and of bringing the theology in integral coherence. And what is obsolete to be told like that and to be removed. In our view the concomitant use of writing affirming that the human body is compose of water, fire, dust, and air, with contemporary theological-scientific analysis cannot be justified. A very contentious matter – but the time will come to be studies and corrected – is that of how far has the Christian theology and doctrine got form the teachings of our Lord God Jesus Christ…

[92] Somehow the theologians, because nobody has succeeded yet to formulate a theology coherent in its integrality, the found an escape in affirming that God would paradoxical. Instead of admitting that they haven't been able to explain God, they – because of their vain-glory – accused God of being paradoxical. Regarding the love, we think hat one can love a criminal and forgive him and not to hate him, but the fact that that one committed crime, it still remains a crime. Love is not a basis for insanity and irrationality. Love is not counter-ethical.

[93] The paradox only shows our incapacity of actually knowing the filed matter which we attribute to the characteristic of being paradoxical. The paradox indicates the fact that that thinking has reached the limit of its incapacity.

[94] Later researches unveiled that animals have some kind of rationality despite we do not understand it yet. They communicate to one another, they have signs languages, they have

Introductory statements: The bulk of the Christian theology has Platonic origins[95].

Introductory statements: Valuing the fear of God so much it has formulated / generated an inferior paradigm about the human being-God relationship[96].

Ontology: There is no freedom[97].

Ethics: Contempt has not ethical value[98].

Ethics: The total self-annulation has not ethical value of truth[99].

---

vocal communication. The common place of irrational and speechless animals no longer holds gnoseological truth. And this annuls all the places in the theological writings which use the animal state as equivalent for irrational and inferior. Yoko the Gorilla uses language signs for communicating around 2000 English words.

[95] To word up the whole this matter. To identify and describe also other philosophical doctrines present in the Christian theology. The Christian theology is actually a philosophizing about God, one having the only possible basis that exists: the teaching of our Lord God Jesus Christ. But we cannot tell to what extent the meaning-content of the basis has been translated into the Christian theology and also, sadly, to what extent it has been misunderstood, dilute, and perverted (earthed).

[96] Firstly, one must define what that fear means! Contradictorily, the same theology affirming that God wants us to fear Him and this is the basis for the whole relationship we can have with God by God's will, it also describes how God loves us and how God cherishes each human person – see Saint Dumitru's personalism.

[97] We are conditioned since the very moment our embryo starts existing in our mother's womb. Then we are born to certain parents, among a certain people, man or woman, whole or handicapped, bearing a certain genome, in a material universe, among a certain faith – which is not mandatorily Christendom! -, and, as it comes out of the fact that God has a rational plan for each thing that He creates and God is also omniscience: we have a certain destiny. There is no freedom! And, having only one choice: choosing God (or go in hell), it is by no means freedom. Related to this matter the theologians attempted to issue all sorts of sophisms and truisms, among which: you are free to choose the right thing.

[98] The Holy Fathers teach us, in countless places in their writings, that we must despise the world and the body. Because this actually means despising the Creation of God, we declare that the contempt has no Christian ethical value. See also the way which Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology has changed the paradigms of the world and of the body and of the woman so that all of these must be cherished and seen as gifts from God, in sharp opposition to the doctrine elaborated by the Holy Fathers.

[99] The humbleness as described by the Holy Fathers would mean a total self-annulation. But that removes any possibility of validation if one reached the truth. Because of him affirming that he has reached nothing. We think that taking the humbleness to extreme is counterproductive and even deceiving. One must discern between goo d and bad and one must remain rational, and that is opposite to one affirming by himself – even he was reckoned a saint – that he did nothing good, or that he didn't know if what he did would have been or not pleasant to God. We think that humbleness means not haughtily claiming that the good deed you have done have been done only by your power; but affirming that you haven't been

Epistemology: Paradox has not value of truth[100].

Epistemology: Mystery has not epistemological value[101].

Ontology: There is no separation between body and soul[102].

---

knowing if what you do had been good or evil, that relativizes everything and why should one follow your full of incertitude or totally uncertain example?!... And, in the same time, they who preached such an annulling humbleness they wrote numerous theological works claiming that one can find God and the truth in their works – how could that be in the same time self-annulling humbleness?!... In our opinion humbleness doesn't exclude calmly and peacefully admitting that God has worked through you, certain good deeds; you can determine whether those deeds were good of evil by comparing them to the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ from the Gospels and to the state of the morals and laws at the time you are living in. Humbleness means attributing your evil deed to yourself and not searching hypocritically to justify them or to cast them in others' accountability. We think that a certain relativity of our judgment must be always admitted, because, for instance, some Christian yore though that it had been moral to burn "witches" as steak or to kill pagans in order to defend their right-reckoning faith. The Churches even counted among saints some warrior who were popes, bishops, kings, or all sorts of rules, and who actually killed people!... And this raise another contentious question: can the Church force God to count a certain person among His saints only because a number of clergies say so?!...

[100] Often, when we reach at a point where contradictory factors seem to be individually true, we immediately resort to use the paradox as an escape goat. Actually, the paradox has not epistemological value because of not providing us with any possibility to advance in knowledge. The paradox is a manifestation of hypocrisy: instead of admitting that we cannot know yet the reality which we are researching, we claim that we are explaining it by not-explaining it. The paradox introduces confusion and not knowledge. We think that all the situations seemingly paradoxical are due to the fact that we attempt to explain something on a superior level, while we still are on inferior level of knowledge.

[101] In theology we have encountered the following situation: while proclaiming the impossibility to explain the Holy Mysteries, the theologians actually elaborated a very intricate doctrine and a very complex theology about the Holy Mysteries, by explaining these Mysteries in detail. So, they claim that they have actually explained the unexplainable. But we think that the so much energy spent by theologians on generating this kind of mysterious aura which only they could have access to and only they can explain and manage, it is actually an expression of the general human tendency towards monopolize the power, in this case by monopolizing the knowledge.

[102] See about this at Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology. The human person is a unity constituted of body and soul, and there cannot be made any separation. Separation means death. Gradually, by fighting for achieving unnatural goals which thy to submit their body to, the Holy Fathers introduced increasingly severe a separation between body and soul, still remaining though only a conceptual separation. This separation is against God's will because God created us unities in His image and likeness. There is no separation at God between His soul and His body. Separation between body and soul led to even recommending one to hate her of his body, to fight against the body, to provide the body only with as much as not do die of caused death – committing suicide by starvation, thirst, and depravation of sleep. Saint Dumitru showed that the body is a gift from God in order the soul to have the possibility to commit good deeds and to fulfill the will of God.

Epistemology: One can know God out of the circumstances which are not under her/his control.

Epistemology: Coincidence doesn't hold truth.

Ontology: There is not an identity between God, Church, and theology[103].

Epistemology: There is no contradiction between lay knowledge and religious knowledge[104].

Epistemology: Christendom must remain a constant search for God, in a continual evolution[105].

Epistemology: Christendom searches for proofs while preaching the sufficiency of the faith[106].

---

[103] Despite the constant efforts of the theologians to construe an identity between God, Church, and theology, there isn't one. Just imagine God would be guilty of all the abominations committed by the Churches and by clergy! Just imagine that God will be accountable for all the nonsenses uttered by theologians in His name! The theology is what we think about God with our limited human minds and it is composed of our utterances about God. The fact that we say something about God it doesn't present any guarantee that what we say holds any truth. In the searching for this unity, we see an attempt of the clergy – conscious or unconscious – to impropriate and monopolize the power of God. The religion is an *appropriation* in the sense given to this word by Philosopher Lucian Blaga, namely it is the best we can produce about God, but still not-identical to God and still providing no guarantees that what we say holds truth. We get accustomed to the doctrine and rituals and we don't even ask on ourselves if those have anything to do with God. Still, I do not state a position against attending that Church you want to attend to. The religion we have is the best we can know and it is the best we can do; still, our religion is not identical to God!

[104] There were some Holy Fathers - if not the majority of them – who preached the despise, and the hatred, and the need for getting rid of the worldly knowledge which would have been insanity and sin. They preached the need for replacing the worldly philosophy for some kind of godlike knowledge inspired directly from the Holy Ghost, but that knowledge has never been systematically defined and formulated. I think the present theologians should express their opposite stance on that, because otherwise they fall into hypocrisy: now there are theological: seminaries, faculties, PhDs etc. And, as I have ascertained: the Christian theology has deeply and widely impropriated the ancient Greek philosophy, especially the Platonism.

[105] We confound the love for the Holy Fathers to the interdiction of saying about some of their sayings that are wrong. See for instance the affirmation of Saint Apostle Paul who recommended to the wisemen of the world to become insane in order to be wise (1 Cor. 3: 18). But this wrongly understood love constitutes an impediment in the evolution of the Christian knowledge and or the Christendom by and large, and it generated the concomitant presence of wrong and right within the same theological body that cannot eliminate its old shell and therefore it cannot grow and adapt, and it is shredded with contradictions. And ossified and unable to evolve Christendom condemns us to perpetuate the same slavery and medieval imagology in an era of fundamental rights and scientific progress.

Ontology: Any ascesis supposes some fakirism[107].

Epistemology: The relation between faith and self-suggestion must be explored[108].

Epistemology: Christian theology stated two kinds of knowledge: the worldly knowledge and the spiritual knowledge[109].

---

[106] See relics, pilgrimages, monuments etc. This is an expression of the human condition.

[107] Unavoidably, all types of ascesis belonging to regardless what religion, because of their search for proofs and because their sensationalism and because of their vain-glory, tend to elements and manifestations of fakirism. Take for instance what Saint Isaac the Syrian said in his *Word XIX*: "(…) that power that many by feeling it they enter the fire and they do not fear and they walk on water and they do not doubt in their though by reckoning that they would sink." (Priest Professor PhD Academician Dumitru, The Romanian Philokalia, volume X, E.I.B.M.O., Bucharest, 1981, p. 97, paragraph no. 1, r. 11-15.)

[108] A very deep self-suggestion can allow us to "see" an inexistent reality and to be convinced about that reality's existence. But that holds no epistemological truth. So, how could we discern between the realities we believe / have faith in and the actual reality? The theologians of all religions urge us just to believe in what they say about God. But why to believe in Jesus Christ and not in some other religion founder? My answer to that is: Jesus was a historical person, and He died to what he preached. And I have ascertained by my own experience that His teachings can be applied in the real life and they constitute the only way of getting us out of our savageness and to get civilized by applying those teachings. Then: believing in Jesus Christ our Lord and God is identical to believe everything the theologians say about Him?!... I think not. Believing in Jesus Christ our Lord and God means adhering to a certain way of worshipping Him and to certain rituals, while other rituals and denominations must be hated and disregarded as leading one in hell? I think not. All denominations – including here the self-praised Orthodoxy – are approximate and incapable of totally contain God: because who could contain God?!... So, we think there must be an approach that rather to adopt a rational approach on Christendom – even a scientific one – than an approach asking people to self-suggest and to allow themselves to be conditioned to believe in what a certain religious denomination tells them. Self-suggestion and self-conditioning and self-forcing to believe in something and in order to achieve faith in something holds no value of truth, because one can self-suggest and be conditioned to have faith in anything. In this regard I think that the Christian theology pushed too much in the direction of the mystery, rituals, vestments etc. instead of focusing on fulfilling the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ in the real life and with the real deeds. The faith ais defined and describe by the Holy Fathers and as currently preached, it supposes some sort and to some extent a forcing of yourself to believe, to forcedly achieve the faith – and this aspect must be further studied.

[109] In our opinion there is no such thing. In the effort to know the human being is engaged with the whole her/his unity: body and soul, unseparated. The separation stated by theologians – and actually no longer applied in practice, if it ever was… - would aim to remove the whole conditioning we have been and are exposed to, and even the thinking mechanism / apparatus seen as an interaction between reality and our conceptual-metaphors-based thinking. There is not thinking outside the use of the conceptual metaphors. Thinking is actually metaphorical by essence and nature. I have found at Saint Dumitru the Restorer a footnote expressing this matter; we do not agree the second part of his saying: "The souly knowledge, regardless how

Epistemology: Love and adulation are not epistemological criteria[110].

Ontology: While preaching the total annulation of the pleasure the Christendom actually preaches only a changing of the realities causing us pleasure and a postponing of the feeling of pleasures[111].

Ontology: Tiredness and sufferance haves no ontological value in themselves[112].

---

complicate, it moves among the realities of the world, or even when it talks to God it moves in definitions and subtleties construed about God form distance. The spiritual knowledge is the unmediated experience of God. This knowledge about God out of experience it cannot occur except into that soul cleaned up of any ideas which keep the man into the things thought by him and in separation from God. Through this knowledge one can perceive the life of the future age and one can speak spiritually about that. "(Priest Professor PhD Academician Dumitru, The Romanian Philokalia, volume X, E.I.B.M.O., Bucharest, 1981, p. 98, footnote no. 92, r. 7-8). And my question is: how could one show the path to reach such a spiritual knowledge, how could he communicate that spiritual knowledge while removing any conceptual thinking apparatus, and how could he or anyone else be sure of what he experiences? And how could be such a spiritual knowledge scientifically studied?!... And can't you see a sharp contradiction to the will of God in this: God have created us with a rational and Saint Isaac urges us to reject and to disregard and to kill that rationality in order to receive something else, which cannot be defined. Or, even simpler: how could the Christians build their magnificent cathedrals, or to adorn their beautiful books, or to issue their wonderful rituals etc. if the renounced to any rational thinking and to any worldly knowledge and ideas?!... and, instituting this kind of saying: "regardless what you know, only we know the truth and only we are right…" it institutes a total monopoly on the truth, and I believe it is rather an exercise of gabbing and preserving the power. It actually says that one cannot know anything by using his rationality and mind, but then, what the theologians used in their preaching if not rationality and mind?!... Irrationality has not value of truths whatsoever: ontologically epistemologically, ethically, or logically.

[110] We take the value of truth of the writings of the Holy Fathers as granted, because we love them and because we adulate them. But love and adulation cannot guarantee the value of truth of anything. Haven't you heard that: "Love is blind"? I see here the need of instituting an evaluation mechanism in order to discern what is good and appliable from the Holy Fathers writings and what it obsolete and unrealistic, or even counterproductive and against God's will.

[111] Letting aside that we actually do not apply this in the real life, but even the monks living in the deepest possible ascesis enjoy a glass of wine and a dome good food on special occasions. The feeling of the pleasure as psycho-physiological mechanism place by God within the whole His creation it cannot be removed or annulled.

[112] One must work hard in order to secure to him any possibility of salvation. But stating that one must avoid resting and be all the time tired and suffering it is wrong and dangerous. Imagine a brain-surgeon who has slept an hour that night, on the flour, in cold, and who hasn't eaten in several days… could he perform a brain surgery in that state?!... What we reproach to such and urge to search for suffering and for being constantly in pains, it is that is disbalanced and it searches for the suffering itself. And the categorical and absolute way

Ontology: Issuing categorical and absolute sayings on God – without any presumption of relativity and without admitting any possibility of being wrong – it is rather similar to ordering God to enter our thinking drawer as we command Him.

Ontology/ Epistemology / Ethics: Sufferance has ontological, epistemological, and ethical value[113].

Ontology / epistemology / ethics: Prayer has ontological, epistemological, and ethical value of truth[114].

Epistemology: Not all the texts form the Holy Scripture have the same importance[115].

---

which the sayings are uttered in, it seems to order God how He must deal with the people. Which is utterly stupid!

[113] The sufferance has been placed by God in the whole universe as an adjustment mechanism for keeping the living beings in balance. For all living organism the sufferance has an adaptation purpose. That's why, ethically speaking, the purposeless sufferance is unethical and nonsensical. In the case of the human beings the sufferance is accompanied by a reasoning process. The theologians so desperately attempted to separate between body and soul and therefore they deemed the body's sufferance as destined to spiritualize the human being. And they only saw this purpose of the sufferance. We must sharply contradict that because there is no such a thing as a bodily sufferance and souly sufferance. The human being is an inseparable unit and body and soul always suffer together. To some extent, even this schizophrenic separation between body and soul is a nonsense while we live in the present life. And, claiming that sufferance automatically leads one to spiritualization and to become wiser it is an overvaluation and a false claim. Some people are led by their sufferance to commit abominable acts. So, why did God place the sufferance in His universe? In this regard we can only speculate that God aimed by that to preserve the equilibrium in the universe. Does that image of God as accountant of sins, stalking each of us for mistakes, and then punishing us as He would have been surprised by our bad behavior? Of course that is stupid! Once God placed His laws in the universe created by Him anything and anyone who transgresses those laws enter the disbalance and that leads to sufferance. We do not say that God is a simple spectator because that is another stupidity making of Him as voyeur. Some of us get wiser and more temperate by suffering, other simply become worse, and others simply die. We think that this field matter deserves much more attention because for now we cannot clarify it.

[114] Praying we dialogue with God. Praying we condition on ourselves to mentally connect on ourselves to God. Prayer brings a certain state of balance, openness, kindness, so that we become better persons. The only prayer we are certain about is *Our Father Who Are in Heavens* because it was told by our Lord God Jesus Christ Himself. The other prayers and rituals have been composed by people, but, nevertheless, uttering them and participating to them is a good thing. I think that anyone should follow the way of worshipping her/his religious denomination practices, for only God knows why He gave them birth in that denomination, or even religion. As in everything, in prayer too God wants us to manifest temperance and balance.

Introductory statements: Metaphorically speaking, it seems to me that the theology keenly worked to build up a doctrinal prison which to keep the believers under control in[116].

Ethics: Intrinsic guilt hold no truth[117].

Ontology: The theology accepted only that part of the human being that it can explain / that it matched its view[118].

---

[115] Although the theologians have the tendency to and the intention to reckon all the texts form the Holy Scripture as equally important, that is a mistake. In my opinion, the Old Testament should be eliminated from the content of the Holy Scripture. Also, the most important texts and the only texts really counting are the Holy Gospels for they tell us the words uttered by our Lord God Jesus Christ Himself. The other texts as there would be, for instance, the Epistles, they try to explain and to somehow accommodate the people form the Apostles' time to the teaching of Christ Jesus. They are by no means perfect. And an even bigger mistake is that of reckoning them as adding something to Christ's teaching.

[116] The human being is allegedly born already guilty of a sin she/he didn't commit. Then, only by adhering to a certain denomination and only by slavishly surrendering herself-himself to the control of a certain clerical organization, and only by being for the whole his life slave to that organization, she/he will be shared with what that organization claims to ha monopoly on: salvation. And the highest value preached by all denominations it is the self-imprisoning and the self-enslaving which they call as supreme value: obedience, humbleness, abandoning any self-control. This doctrine seems flawless in enslaving the earthlings, but it has nothing to do with God, who commanded us: "He who wants to be the greatest among you, let him be the humblest.". Such an approach provides the clergy with an absolute power upon believers. That's why some cults end in all sorts of abominations: sexual slavery, rape, violence, and collective suicide. That's why in pursuing the worldly power in association with the state almost all denominations – except one – bless the armies and the wars.

[117] Theology attached the guilt to the human being as an intrinsic characteristic. Theology claims that God creates already guilty, and the only way one can escape guilt or she/he can have her/his guilt removed is by slavishly adhering to a certain denomination – other denominations must be hated as pagans and as misleading and as traying the human being from the right(correct)-faith. But ethically speaking, I cannot grant any value of truth to the claim that a newly born child is guilty of Adam's and Eva's sin which the newly born child contributed nothing to. The affirmation that we are guilty for the ancestral sin leads to two possibilities: affirming that God cerates us having the guilt or the sin as intrinsic to our being, or that God is unethical and blames us for a sin we haven't committed. Both these variants are equally and utterly stupid!

[118] The theology view on the human being is utterly incomplete, though God has created us as a body-soul unit. The theologians tended and still tend to value form the human being only those aspects that match their views and they leave outside what it is inconvenient or what contradicts their views. That's why, for instance, the theologians too forcedly trying to detach the soul form the body and to claim that the spirit is separated from the matter, they cannot tackle with the matter of the sex which, obviously, was place by God in His creation. That's why the wrongly chose rather to condemn God – by condemning the sex and the woman which are creations of God -, instead of, at least, admitting that they cannot explain certain aspects. The theologians defined an ideal type of man who: self-isolates from society, do not

Ontology: God speaking directly to us will be useless[119].

Ontology: Denying the actual reality has no ontological value[120].

Epistemology: The claim / supposition that something was instilled to somebody by the Holy Ghost it must be treated with maximum caution[121].

---

sleep or at most he sleeps for an hour a night, he eats only that much not to die from starvation, he sleeps on the floor, he lives in an absolute poverty, and he cries continuously. And, of course, they chose to discriminate the woman everywhere. But does God want these? Were the saints born out of men? I thing the theology must revise its take on the human being and to reformulate or renounce to all inappropriate claims which come in contradiction with God, and to rebuild a doctrine based on the wholeness of the human being taken in her/his entirety as created by God. Otherwise, incomplete views generate incomplete valuation and knowledge, and they lead to all sorts of abominations unpleasant to God.

[119] Many people ask why does God not speak directly to us. I think a reason why God doesn't speak directly to us can be the fact that, if Christ had come again yesterday, we will have crucified Him again tomorrow. The human nature hasn't changed, and the way we would react is the same the Jews reacted two thousand years ago. Just imagine that a man enters any church or house of prayer or any Christian congregation and he says that he is God!... wouldn't they reject him and criticize him and reproach him and rebuke him and mock him and finally kill him somehow?!... I bet they would!!!.... (…this deserves to be explored in a novel or something!!!: *I am Christ*). All the institutionalized structures of the state-religions and of all denominations would feel their power menaced! And the first to do that would be the Christians who have their views on Christ ossified. For instance, if Christ Jesus returned again as a Jew, He would be denied by the Christians as non-Christian!!!.... Imagine how much His humbleness would contradict the overarching and huge power structures built up by Christians!... Imagine He said that we earthed His commandments instead of spiritualizing on ourselves and the world… imagine that He said we did exactly the opposite of what He commanded us to do!... how could they react except by killing Him again?!... "Be like us or leave us!".

[120] There is a confusion coming out of theological speculations and out of inappropriately using the notions in a negligent manner, that denying the actual reality would be the will of God. For instance, denying the death, while we still see people dying (obviously!). Some theologians also affirm that the sin without repentance drives one to the eternal death, but they in the same time affirm the immortality of the soul. Again, denying the need for sex and its intrinsic belonging to the human nature as created by God, it is another example of denying the actual reality. Attempting to totally annul any pleasure it is another case of denying the actual reality and of accusing God that He has placed something evil in Hid universe; and this denial take place while actually searching for another sort of pleasure, so-called spiritual, as that pleasure wouldn't be, as well, felt in the same pleasure-centers form the human brain. Another way of denying the actual reality is that of inversing the normalcy of the good and bad, by claiming, for instance, that the illness is good and brought upon man by the love manifested for us by God. If the illness was good, God would create us to be constantly ill. Such an approach on illness even led some of the Holy Fathers to reproach God because He stopped bringing illness and sufferance upon them, and from that comes out that they thought that salvation means constant suffering. If illness was good, its causes would be good too: alcoholism, drugs addition, covetousness of the belly etc. they would be good too, because good things must have good causes, and vice versa.

Gnoseology: God doesn't deny / reject His own creation[122].

Introductory statements: We haven't been able to bring up a coherent ethics[123].

Ontology: By deeming / attributing to / reckoning as coming from – the natural processes and phenomena as place by God into the matter / human body – the devil, the theologians conceptually enlarge and "create" a devil's kingdom[124].

---

[121] The saints are not constantly saints and neither they say and do only holy things. For instance, Saint Gregory Palamas was punished by the Church leadership because of initiating and unauthorized cult of his confessor/spiritual father. The basis for rejecting anything that can be incorrect is, in my opinion, the operation of comparison effectuated between that claim-saying and the words of our Lord God Jesus Christ from the Holy Gospels, and then to the normalcy constituted by the presence of God's law as placed by Him in His universe. For instance, having sex was not blames by Christ Jesus and God placed the sex in His universe in order the living part of His creation to breed and multiply; so, claiming that sex is a sin, it actually contravenes to the Holy Ghost instead of coming from the Holy Ghost. The theology is full with man's-level assumptions and speculations uttered as coming from the Holy Ghost. Anything that any human being says must be cautioned with the presumption of relativity. There is always to be taken in account the possibility that we imprison ourselves in our beliefs and knowledge and reasonings as in a church, but that church could be a prison having no God within it.

[122] But almost the whole Christian theology urges us to hate the world and the body.

[123] For instance, if God send us a suffering, will that suffering be good? God has places in His universe the suffering as tool for preserving the life and for perpetuating the life, by being the suffering avoided by the life. But then the suffering would be bad. And then, does God send us bad things? And even by forcing the sophisms-like reasonings telling us that the suffering is bad but its results will be good, the question raising is: can an evil origin have good consequences?!... because we see as general rule: good produces good / evil causes evil. And even overlooking all the difficulties, there still remain the question: does God make us suffer?!... please ask this question in relation with God's attributes: almighty, omniscient, and omnipresent / pervasive – and also while imagining the scale of the infinite and multidimensional Universe created by God as then as why would God make us suffering?!...

[124] See all the fight against matter / body / nature / natural affects of the human being. And develop that here. But what is even more horrible is that that "kingdom" is sliced off the Kingdom of God as He created it. There is not separation between the Kingdom of God from here in the present existence and the Kingdom of God from after death, or from the future. Setting a conceptual separation between these two Kingdoms is like banishing God from the present existence and imposing Him a border – while, on the other hand, we affirm that God is omnipresent and participating even to our Holy Liturgy (which is true!)!... Almost the entire theology marched in the direction of degrading the present existence on all ways and means, disregarding the fact that the present universe has been created by God!

Ontology: Almost the whole theology crossed from temperance to fighting against God's creation[125].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: The paradigms up meaning good, and down meaning evil, it has only a conjectural use and it is annulled by extending the perspective from the world seen as Planet Earth to the entire Universe[126].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: The light-darkness distinction holds a limited value of truth[127].

Ontology: When God created the universe, He unveiled/defined on Himself[128].

Epistemology: Total and unreserved acceptation of anything the theologians say, it is wrong[129].

---

[125] If God had wanted us not to have sex, not to eat, not to drink, not to sleep, God would have created us without the bodily needs, or even without a body. If God had wanted us to live in loneliness, God wouldn't have created us a gregarious being. It seems to me that our Lord God Jesus Christ preached us the temperance but we have been gradually transforming that in fanaticism and fundamentalism. Christ Jesus our Savior preached us not to let ourselves dominated by matter and to always have a spiritual perspective, and we misinterpreted His message as us having the duty to hate the matter, implicitly to hate God's Creation. It is like the Platonism gained the battle for the theologians' minds, in the detriment of Christ's teaching.

[126] Orientation as religious distinction, towards some place or towards a cardinal point, it is meaningless. Spiritually speaking, being your soul oriented towards God, it is the only orientation you need. Choosing a certain orientation causes only division and it aims to imprison the human being within a certain religious doctrine, as there also do: the vestments, the style of buildings, the rituals etc. God doesn't need any of these.

[127] We can see that light means and causes and it is a manifestation of the life, while darkness means death and inexistence. But we cannot tell if this perception of ours is not wrong, because, at least for now, we are unable to connect and relate our vision to the new astrophysical theories on Universe, where even they do not know the role played by the dark matter. We can accept with a full heart the expression: "God is luminous light", but we cannot consciously reject that God is darkness too, because there is no place where God is absent. And both the light and the darkness have been created by God as parts of His Creation.

[128] The Creation is in God's image and likeness. Any action unveils and defined the initiator of that action. When creating the Universe God created it as He wanted to, and thus He materialized a vision/conception of His.

[129] Let's no confound the faith in God with some sort of "faith" into theologians' assertions and into certain official doctrines. There were Holy Fathers who affirmed that the human body is composed of water, fire, earth, and air – such an approach can no longer be argued. Also, if there was only one denomination the things would be different. Also, there is no such thing as two theologians having an identical faith, because no human beings are identical to ones another. Everything is approximate: one superimposes his faith – to some extent – upon a certain official doctrine adopted by the denomination he belongs to / most of the cases unwillingly. The claim that "only our denomination owns the truth" it doesn't stand.

Gnoseology: The fact that Adam and Eva fell, it supposed the possibility of falling, so this means that God created us so to fall. And such an affirmation is stupid[130]!

Gnoseology: Invoking God to helps us killing our enemies it actually invoking Him against Himself.

Epistemology: Most of people craft a drawer and then attempt to force God into it and to match God to that drawer of theirs[131].

Ontology: The absoluteness of God doesn't leave any possibility of man's freedom[132].

Ontology: If the human being does something good is the merit of God; if the human being does something bad is her/his fault[133].

Ontology: It seems that almost the entire Christian theology is based on rejecting and hating God's Creation[134].

---

[130] Or it isn't?!... If we saw the human being as a manifestation of possibilities placed by God within us at creation, the conclusion would be that God created us so to fall. We see only one way to get out of this: reckoning the Adam's and Eva's myth as only a myth. Our creation by God remains unexplainable for now.

[131] When trying to explain God we face this almost impassible obstacle: we wrongly transfer His absolute character upon our relative sayings. Somehow, we reckon what we are able to say with our limited and relative minds as being absolute, only because we attempt to refer God's absolute by those. From here come: fanaticism, absolutism, persecutions and killings on behalf of God Who commanded us: "You shall not kill!", "Turn the other cheek!", and "Love even you enemy!".

[132] This is a very contentious matter. It annuls any responsibility of the human being for her/his deeds. But suppose you were born in a fundamentalist Muslim family and fully conditioned as a Muslim whose only change to reach Paradise is to kill Christians. Would you be free to love Christ?!...

[133] I see in this – I rather intuit it and this must be explored further! – some sort of self-suggestion. Such a principle leaves no chance to the human being. You deserve nothing so that you deserve everything bad happening to you. You will achieve something because you strive so hard but, if you do not achieve that something is because of not striving enough for God granting you that something. But maybe the human being's efforts alone have been enough for the things you have achieved. I see the only possibility to know when God's help is present in the circumstances where no human help or striving would be enough. On the other hand, we swim, eat, breathe God, so that introducing such a separation/division between us and God as expressed before, it cannot reach a correct solution because the premises which we formulate our inference on are crooked.

[134] Rejecting, hating the world for the Kingdom of Heavens. Having the body and the life from here for the future life. Attempting to fight the natural affects: hunger, thirst, sleep, need for sex. Running away from people despite the people being created by God as gregarious

Ontology: Almost the entire theology – not only Christian – introduce in the human thinking this contradiction: freedom means obedience' obedience makes you free[135].

Epistemology: How could we know that seeing the godlike light is not a simple psychism?[136]

Ontology: Much part of theology – of all religions, not only Christian – affirm that taking the sufferings beyond a certain level will bring peace and love[137].

Ethics: Our feelings cannot constitute an ethical argument[138].

---

beings. Etc. Why would have God created this world in the way He wanted – for this world has been created by God! – only to ask us to hate His Creation?!... I think God askes us for keeping the right balance, namely using the present world and the body in good faith and in good balance and to grant it the importance it deserves while still remaining us free of this world by not reckoning it as the only world and as absolute. But if I hated this world and this body, I would have God their Creator.

[135] And others: pleasure is evil, while suffering is good; comfort is evil, while discomfort is good; hunger, thirst, cold, sexual abstinence are natural, while the opposite are unnatural. But this contradicts the God's Creation Who placed the pleasure throughout all His Creation in order all the species – not only the humans – to keep existing. Won't we fight God if we fight His Creation?! or His intentions as manifested in His Creation?!... Also, by stating that we must hate the Creation we actually affirm that we must hate God! And by stating that we must hate the Creation we state that God created something wrong which must be rejected by us!... One must take more care about the things emerging out of his theologizing.

[136] The main obstacle face by any scientific approach of the phenomena described by the higher levels of spirituality, for instance by hesychasm, it is that they who claim of having reached those levels avoid to speak about those (humbleness, "not knowing that they were saints", avoiding to lose the gift by speaking about it etc. etc.). But then *Philokalia* is full of such writings. Should one take the truth of such affirmations for granted, only because they were written down?!...

[137] This is similar to the Stockholm Syndrome. It also states the sufferance as a door to God. Beyond a certain level of absolutism and fanaticism, this sufferance-door is even stated as independent from God, as mandatory/imposed to God. Thus, it becomes and idol! A law which the human mind imposes to God… Though all the theology implicitly affirms that, we still feel that affirming such things diminishes God. Still, we have practically experienced in our life that sufferance improves the human being and it opens the human being's spiritual views never thought possible before. So, we feel that sufferance is placed by God throughout all His Creation, but we still think that the philosophy/theology'/science haven't yet explored it enough. What we reject, though, it is declaring the sufferance as a goal one must pursuit in an absolute manner or go to hell – namely, I can inflict upon myself whatever suffering I want but I am totally wrong imposing that upon others or commanding them to do what I am doing.

[138] The fact that we are taught to hate the teachings of other Christian denominations it is not an argument for deeming those untrue or wrong. The fact that we feel other Christian doctrines as wrong doesn't give us the ethical integrity to declare those as blasphemy, or as immoral. The fact that we wish something to match our conception is not either an epistemological or an ethical argument. Something would not be automatically wrong only

Epistemology: Imagination isn't either gnoseological or epistemological or ethical argument[139].

Epistemology: We experience the presence and the help from God when escape in circumstances which annul any human possibility of survival[140].

---

because we wanted that to be different, or only because we believed the opposite. The fact that we are convinced about our truth it won't automatically deem that that is the only truth and that anything else is wrong – our conviction or feelings do not constitute a validation/axiological argument. Or do they?!... (the witness of the conscience…) The only cert thing we can affirm here is that everything a human being can think and say must preserve the decency of assuming the relativeness. Our belief will become idolater when we force others to it, by persecution and killings.

[139] We must pay a staunch attention to always keep in mind that the imagination is only an opening and a tool for theological or philosophical (or scientific) speculation, but only by itself cannot constitute a ground for truth. We also must be constantly aware of the fact that our mind can easily get self-enchanted by our ow inferences and thus we end up in all sort of mistakes. For instance, Saint Isaac contradicted Our Lord Jesus Christ Prayer, because Saint Isaac was led by his theological speculations to affirm that "the daily bread is an unimportant thing ("garbage") and we must not pray our Father from Heavens to "Give us this day our daily bread"; he also continued and said that we also must not pray "lead us not into temptation" because nobody can achieve any virtue without entering all sorts of temptations; and father Stăniloae, by commenting that in a footnote agreed the reasoning of Saint Isaac (Stăniloae. Dumitru. 1981. *The Romanian Philokalia*, volume IX, E.I.B.M.O.: Bucharest, pp. 232-238 and the followings, and footnote no. 253). We declare as checking principle of reasoning the constant checking with the affirmation of the previous levels the affirmations we utter on a certain level, in order to spot any contradiction. And all of these must be confronted with the qualities of God: Almightiness, Pervasiveness, and Foreknowledge which must be fulfilled all of them and concomitantly. For instance, we have encountered while translating Stăniloae's works affirmation as: "God cannot", or "the Holy Ghost cannot" etc. which directly contradict God's almightiness. Also, up to these days, the theology hasn't been able to provide a satisfactory answer why God acted like He wouldn't have known, from eternity, what was going to happen with Adam and Eva; in the same time, we affirm that the plan of God from eternity was that of sending His Son on earth to save us.

[140] Up to a point anything can be self-suggestion. But the validation proof of the presence and of the help from God is that we achieve things impossible to the human power and we survive circumstance of sure death which no human power could help us survive. The presence of God is certified by wonder, understood as exceeding the normal possibilities. Otherwise, regardless how high the human performances are, they still could be attributed to self-suggestion and to the amazing and unexplored and blocked (we don't know why!) marvelous and almost infinite capabilities of the human brain and body. Despite the theologian's staunch condemnation of the despair as a sin leading one directly in hell, we affirm that God is known by somebody at the limit of her/his desperation beyond which her/him totally loses any hope in her/his capabilities to escape a grave situation, but on a given moment God intervenes and all that impossible situation will be solved. I bear witness for a few situations I were in and which came to a solution after I ascertained my total incapacity of solving them. I experienced God in the miraculous solving of those impossible circumstances.

Ontology: Our sins can be forgiven but they consequences will still remain forever inscribed in the universe[141].

Epistemology: The sermons are insufficient as epistemological source[142].

Ethics: The existence of diverse denominations and religions it loosens the human being's ethical accountability[143].

Ethics: Voluntary ethical blindness is unethical[144].

---

[141] Our Lord Jesus Christ can and will forgive our sins. But the consequences of our sins influence a certain development as departure base for future developments. If we harm a human being that harm that we have caused will determine that human being to take a certain course of life. Our sins can be forgiven but the consequences of our sins cannot be erased. That means that ethically we will still be accountable for our sins for eternity.

[142] And sometimes the sermons are even misleading. This is because they constitute a human – and therefore partial and limited and relative – understanding of what the preacher has understood. And, what is even more misleading, is the strong bias manifested in sermons for the official doctrine. We have quite often ascertained the message of hatred issued by some of the Orthodox priests when bragging about the fact that our faith is the only right-faith and all the other Christian denominations are heretics – and that could lead one to impropriate disgust, condemnation, judgment, disregard, and hatred upon the believers belonging to other denominations. And I wonder where is the hatred mentioned among the commandments give us by our Lord Christ Jesus?!... Another aspect that could mislead the sermon's authors themselves it is the fact that they are never contradicted – because so it is organized the cult in the Churches -, so that in time they could become convinced that they are infallible and what they say must be the truth. But when listening to different sermons on the same theme one can see that the things are by no means so: different preachers have different approaches and different advices. I principle that we reckon as fundamental in preaching or in composing sermons it is that nobody must speak about things he hasn't experienced by himself. For instance, I have heard hieromonks preaching about what the parents should raise their children up like, and I wondered: where this monk could know what raising children is like, because he has not children and he hasn't ever experienced how is it like to raise children. I heard hieromonks preaching about family relationships while they have never had a family and they have no idea what having a wife is like. I heard monks preaching about the way one should live in the world, while they have withdrawn themselves from the world and they have sworn to hate the world and to forever live outside the world; they also often express opinions related to the world but where could they know how the world is?!... I rather believe in that "sermon" constituted of the own deeds, because the words are insufficient and misleading and relative.

[143] For instance, the fact that a Hindu doesn't believe in our God Christ Jesus it cannot constitute a sin. We have ascertained that the theological discourse is crafted as there was "one Church" and "one religion/denomination". But that is a biased – not to say hypocritical – silent/non-mentioned assumption. So, one Christian can be declared as saint by his denominations and still be a staunch heretic in the eyes of other denominations. Still, diverse denominations commit the same mistake, for instance by declaring as saints, people who persecuted and killed other people, which is an "abomination of the desolation put in an honorable place".

Ontology: God has placed the death in His Creation as a general law and as a part of this universe's processes[145].

Epistemology: We cannot imagine God except as a human person[146].

---

[144] We have ascertained that all official doctrines and unofficial assumptions deem as the paramount Christian value the obedience. Some of the Holy Fathers even declared the obedience greater that any other duty of the Christians. Out of this it has evolved some kind of "voluntary/willing blindness" related to the sins from within the Church committed by clergy, related to the fact that some of the Holy Fathers' writings are outdated and no longer viable, related to the fact that fundamentalism is not good, related to the fact that our Lord Christ Jesus didn't command us to hate and persecute and kill our adversary and then to praise the people who did that at the Holy Liturgy etc. While preaching the courage the clergy actually encourage the fear and the obedience. Any criticism will meet a staunch PR campaign, instead of meeting – as stated in the official sermons – humbleness and desire for correcting and removal of the sins. So, in our opinion, adopting a stance of voluntary blindness it is unethical and has nothing to do with the faith, neither with the gifts of the Holy Ghost!

[145] Blaming the man for introducing the evil and the death into Creation is like celebrating the victory of the evil upon God! And we repeat this idiotic saying yet and again… Such as assumption that the man's sin deviated the whole plan conceived by God from eternity it is contradicted by the attributes of God: if God is omniscient God could the man's deeds get God by surprise and therefore forcing God to change his plan He conceived from eternity? It rather seems to me that nothing can force God to change His plan and everything that happened, has happened, it is happening, and it will happen – is the manifestation of the will of God putting in work His unchanged plan. In the myth of Adam and Eva are comprised obvious logical impossibilities all of them diminishing God's attributes. On the other hand, the official Christian doctrine affirms that after Christ Jesus Resurrection the death has been abolished – but we see that people, animals, plants and microorganism are still dying. Contradicting the reality doesn't constitute an act of faith! – it rather is a misleading misinterpretation of God's will! Relatedly to the death, the Christian theology is stuck in a visible and yet unsolved contradiction: on one hand, in order to scare the believer, it declares the fact that the sinner will suffer an eternal death, while still affirming that the sinner will suffer in hell for eternity; on the other hand, by declaring the soul as immortal, the death is deemed as inexistent. The sophisms as: the eternal death is the separation form God, or the eternal sufferance in hell, doesn't hold water. A death experienced as a bad life it still cannot be declared as a death, and it still be a life. Regardless how bad a life is, it still is a life! In our opinion the death is only a process of separation of the soul from the body followed by the present body's recycling processes and reintegration of the body's atoms in the present universe's processes. The theses that "we will resurrect with this body", or that "we will put on the same body" cannot be upheld. Let's suppose, for instance, that the same toms of Calcium will pass – in billions of years – through hundred of thousands of bodies: then, whose body that Calcium atom will belong at the general resurrection?!... And what could we say about the bodies of the people who will die on other planets?!... I rather believe that we do not know the nature of the body which we are going to resurrect with, or even if we will have a material body – in the limited meaning of the term as used by us now: AD 2024. The question is: why should we receive another material "body"?!... if we were anyway in existence in another form of (spiritual) "life"?!...

[146] Our human mind doesn't allow us to imagine God except as a human Person. We attribute God: anger, mercy, kindness, lenience etc. but all such attributed are annulled by God's

Ontology: Saying that at Baptism the devil is cast out of the little child is equal to say that the children are born demonized[147].

Ontology: We haven't succeeded yet in defining the human being's relation with the sin[148].

Epistemology: The human being's affirmations on God are constantly in danger of being accusations brought to God[149].

---

infiniteness. The staunch truth is that we are unable to think spiritual realities except by attempting to use our materially-originated notions. We attempt to think materially about spiritual realities. In my view this is an unpassable impossibility, at least for now. Even the embodiment tells us clearly about our incapacity to conceive God, and therefore God embodies on Himself as came on earth among people as Christ Jesus our Lord and God. And, in our view, that why Christ Jesus has given us so clear and materially accomplishable commandments which we can understand and apply in practice. And, again, that could have been also the reason why Christ Jesus didn't answer the Pilate's question:" "What is the truth?" – because we cannot understand the Truth. The whole our thinking is crafted to operate with *conceptual metaphors* originating in the surrounding world and processed through our senses and material brain (see TCM).

[147] And that is also equal to saying that all the children of the other religions remain demonized for the whole their lives. But why would God give birth to the human beings already demonized?!... A part of the Christian theology asserts even that God participates / is present in the moment of the conception of the new child by sending the soul into the fetus. Does God send an already demonized soul?!... We have here one of the many affirmations not considered in all their implications, an affirmation that leads to all sort of stupid conclusions. And what would we say about the Christian denominations which do not baptize the new born children until the age of twelve, or fourteen? Or who stated all sorts of other human rules having nothing to do with God… Such sorts of assertions are rather the manifestation of the human lust for power; the new born children must be quickly baptized in the denomination of their parents lest they will decide – when adults – to be baptized in other denominations, or even not to be baptized at all. And, by the way, we do this while staunchly speaking about freedom!...

[148] On one hand the theologians ask us to be perfect, while, on the other hand, they thoroughly define and describe the impossibility of such a thing in the present life. Our Lord God Jesus Christ asked us to be perfect ads our Father in heavens is perfect: "Be ye therefore perfect, even as your Father which is in heaven is perfect." (Mt. 5: 48). So, in this I can see only a solution: we haven't succeeded yet in defining the sin too. If everything that is in coherence with the nature is called by us as unnatural and therefore a sin, we annul any possibility that one could be perfect. I am seeing here the need for reviewing the whole doctrine on sin.

[149] For instance, we say that at Baptism the devil is cast out of the little child. The consequence of such an affirmation would be that the little child is born as demonized without himself committing any sin, and that would be the decision of God to torment and to punish an innocent human being. But, in the same time, we say about God that He is kind and the Kindness. Also, when condemning the world and the body we actually condemn their Creator. Also, when saying that God cursed the world and the human beings - His Creation – because they disobeyed Him, we actually say that God was defeated by His enemy and forced to curse His own Creation and to change His plan He conceived from eternity, and, implicitly, that

Epistemology: There where are several variants, asking one to absolutely obey one variant is epistemologically wrong[150].

Ontology: I think that a true dialogue is actually impossible[151].

Ontology: It seems to us that the theology speaks about the human being as a failed project, but still asked by his Creator to become perfect or to go in hell[152].

God didn't know from eternity what Adam and Eva followed to do – so God isn't omniscient. As Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology affirmed: there are parts of the Christian theology which must remain unchanged but, in the same time, there are parts which should constantly be adapted, reinterpreted, updated. So, in our opinion, maintaining the myth of the Creation in place, in the Bible, it is counterproductive and it should be removed because it brings doubt in the peoples' minds.

[150] All the heretics absolutely obeyed the doctrine of their mentors who started those heresies. Also, totally obeying the sayings of the Holy Fathers, for instance, in their assumptions that the world and the man are composed of air, earth, fire, and water, it would render all the science's progress as futile. I see rather the need for constant reevaluation of the theology and to put in in accordance to the new state of the knowledge. Preachings the total obedience was / it still is rather a manifestation of the lust for total and absolute control upon believers. As long as there is no only one Christian doctrine, the total and absolute obedience to one of the doctrines because untrue; the fact that there are many doctrines it relativizes all of the doctrines. In this regard, no one has done so much harm to the Christendom as the clergy have been doing throughout all history – not even the atheists! The clergy divided the One Church in many denominations, the clergy initiated the heresies, the clergy subordinated the Church to the worldly purposes of all sorts of tyrants wanting to conquer the world etc.

[151] A true dialogue between two human persons could be possible only if those two persons were identical. But there are no two identical persons! So, any so-called dialogue is actually only a joining of monologues. A true dialogue can exist only between God and His saints.

[152] The whole Christian theology speaks about a week, tending to sin man, and then about the fact that God knows how weak we are – and how would God not know that we are weak, if He has created us so?! – but, in the same time, we are required to achieve above-nature transformation so that not to go in hell. But it is ontologically possible that a structure projected and constituted in a certain way, to surpass on itself?!... How could a material life become immaterial while still remaining material? Are we speaking here about wishful thinking?!... Even the Holy Fathers contradict on one another, some of them affirming that the deification – the reaching of a permanent dispassion – is possible, while others affirm that the sin remain a danger even to the saints as long as they live this life. The affirmations as the perfection consists of imperfection, or that the strength is consummate in weakness, do not hold truth and are simple rhetoric. It is like speaking about an experiment undertook upon a poorly designed something in the attempt to perfect it – and it would have been ok if there hadn't been the hell involved. The question raised is: why did not God made the human beings perfect in the beginning? The answer that we were perfect but because of us who committed the sin we are no longer perfect is brings the following questions: how were us perfect is we sinned? didn't God know since eternity that we followed to sin? The sophism involving the notion of freedom is even more childish.

Epistemology: We cannot know yet why we cannot see God[153].

Ontology: The human being can be both angel and devil[154].

Ontology: One must not think unnecessary thoughts about God[155].

Ontology: Power: Powerful is she/he who doesn't intentionally cause suffering to anyone[156].

Epistemology: Between faith and science there is no contradiction[157].

---

[153] All explanations formulated until now seem to us as childish. We can affirm an intention of God to create us as unable to see Him. But we cannot affirm that God hides on Himself from us, because this would be like stupidly affirming that god plays a hide-and-seek game with us. But we cannot tell why created us unable to see Him.

[154] We live to think that there is an innate good ground / basis in each human being. But, while – and this is also rather a desire of ours and by no means a quantitative assertion – the majority of the human beings tend to do good deeds, there are also human beings who commit abominable persecutions and killings, unimaginable "abominations put in honorable places". The cruelest and the most mindless among all persecutors and criminals are those who affirm that they do the crimes "on behalf of God" for "defending God". A religious "justification" removes all other moral barriers and the killer who kills in the name of his God will even think that he is highly moral and that he will receive in paradise a prime an important place. Also, the religiously based criminal will think that their victims deserve to suffer the most unimaginable horrors and tortures and they deserve to die of the most horrific death and even that being killed is beneficial to the victims. Religions will bring the deepest straying of the human mind when religions are "humanized", namely the human tend to become God by accumulating power and wealth. While fundamentalists and fanatics are perpetrating their abominations, the devils are unemployed and jobless. And the good angels are crying!

[155] For instance, the hierarchy of the angels, the characteristics of the dwelling places from the Kingdom of Heavens etc. I argue that any thought about God must be guided by the principle of necessity. For instance, we must think about the Holy Mysteries that they are real and actually officiated by God the Holy Trinity Himself, but going from that upwards to explain how that takes place it poses the risk of getting strayed and uttering dangerous stupidities. To some extent, this is like saying that our material mind cannot truly know spiritual things – at least in the current for of our mind and knowledge development.

[156] We disagree the saying of Saint Apostle Paul who said that in his weakness was his power consummate. A weak human being is impeded in causing suffering to others by his condition and not by her / his decision. On the opposite, the true power consists of being powerful but controlling that power and dedicate that power to do good deeds and avoiding – to the extend that one can be aware of it – to cause any sufferance to anybody.

[157] Despite the blunt assertions both of some of the Holy Fathers and of some of the scientists, there is no contradiction between science/knowledge and faith, The science only unveils the laws placed by God in His universe. Not all the Holy Fathers preached the enmity between faith and science; there were some Holy Fathers who were philosophers themselves and who praised the science/knowledge. We feel the need for an initiative to remove from the recommendable bibliography those texts of the Holy Fathers which condemn and disregard the science. In a way, to some extent, we think that we can say that the Holy Fathers were those who initiated the division between faith and science, by condemning the science. Both

Ontology: There is no separation between natural and supra-natural[158].

Introductory Statements: I would call "aspiration" and not inspiration what happened to me when writing this work. I was aspired upwards, by and to the Holy Ghost.

Ontology: There must be an afterlife[159].

Ontology: There must be God[160].

Introductory Statements: We have written this work - in the first place - for answering our own bewilderments[161].

---

them who condemn the science and them who condemn the faith they do not actually know what they condemn.

[158] The thinkers who introduced this separation between natural and supra-natural they actually preached a separation between God and His universe created by Him. They conceptually / theologically banished God aways form His Creation and isolated God in heavens. God and all the supra-natural realities are as "natural" as one can be! We can bring to the natural / supra-natural separation as mitigating circumstance only the fact that what we know we deem it as natural, while what we cannot know yet we deem it as supra-natural.

[159] I would feel so claustrophobic if my short existence – actually a non-existence if I compare the time of my life to the time dimensions at the universe's scale – it will end here after, let's say, seventy years! It would be so nonsensical!

[160] If there had been no God, I would have been born absolutely haphazardly in an accidentally existing universe. Such total lack of determination and of purpose, it would have made my existence totally nonsensical. But if the nonsense and the hazard are the grounds of my existence, why am I given this innate thirst for sense and for law?!... I am not satisfied with being

[161] As we have been translating the reflexive religious philosophy works belonging to Father Professor PhD Academician Dumitru Stăniloae – whom we call as Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology – I have been gradually becoming dissatisfied with what I have been accumulating and concluding regarding the theology I've been reading: biased, manipulatory, claiming as having answered actually unanswered questions, discussing form force positions, non-admitting any different opinion even from other Christian denominating, hypocritically condemning the science while using the fruits and the comfort provided by science, liking people who accept to be modern slaves, incoherence and, above all: the fact that almost the whole theology falls when confronted with the main attributes of God: almightiness, omnipresence, and omniscience. So, I have felt the imperious need to answer myself the bewilderments which I have come across to while studying the Christian theology. The first solution I have found is that of separation among: God, Christian theology, and Christian Church and cult. This has eased my dissatisfaction to a small extent, for I have understood that God is above what we actually can say about Him and the fact that some theologians preach nonsenses about God it doesn't actually affect God and we must not take their stupidity as a sign of God's inexistence. I have taken some of my bewilderments also as formulated by Saint Dumitru the Restore in his *Orthodox Dogmatic Theology*; I wonder if anyone actually red attentively his works…

Epistemology: Theology is affected too by banner-like thinking[162].

Ontology: I adopt an optimistic view related to the man and to the universe[163].

Ontology: Faith doesn't mean stubbornness and fanaticism[164].

---

[162] To some extent all knowledge domains – and the theology is in my opinion a knowledge domain! – are affected by the attitude of them who study them and who take "by earing" some sayings and they no longer bother to check up is those sayings are real/justified or not. Maybe more than anyone the theologians "think" as a chaining of templates and of ready-made-ideas/thesis. If, for instance, a Holy Father affirmed that the knowledge is against faith/God, most of theologians will take that for granted. Rarely – and Saint Dumitru was a very rare and illustrious case – the theologians bother themselves to set their theology in coherence, so that here they say something, there they contradict what they have said, and they do that serenely and without being disturbed or bothered by it at all. For instance, is a theologian affirmed that the other denominations are heretic and we must hate their members, some of the theologians repeat that in the same sermon which they affirm in that Christ Jesus our Lord God commanded us to love our neighbor, so that what they do is joining Christ's commandment for love with their speech of hatred. And they are not bothered by that at all!...

[163] While most of the Christian theology has adopted a fatalistic view relatedly to man and Creation, I have adopted an optimistic view upon human being and Creation, as present and detailed by Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology, and as taken by him – supposedly – from Saint Maximos the Confessor. Thus, according to this optimistic view upon human being and universe the body is good, the universe is good, and the man has the duty to deify on himself and the universe and give on himself and the universe, as deified, as gifts, back to their Creator. This vision comes in sharp contradiction with the Apocalypse – which we reckon as a hallucination and nothing more. It makes sense Saint Dumitru's and Saint Maximos's vision upon the end of the man and of the world because otherwise we would state about God that He has been defeated in His eternal plan and He will only collect the remnants of His Creation that will end in a cosmic destruction and collapse. What absolutely makes different the optimistic view upon Creation from the pessimistic view, it is the fact that the optimistic view considers the universe and the human being in continuous evolution and improvement - and imagine that if this last for billions of years, what extraordinary spiritualized and advances the universe and the human being will become!!! -, while the pessimistic view prefers to reckon the world and the human being in continuous involution since the falling and ending in a total disaster. As argument against the pessimistic view, I bring the embodiment and the works of God on earth, that – and all theologians affirm this – restored the human being and the creation. We cannot say what this restoration could be, since there was no pause between continuous existence of wars on Planet Earth and since we are equally savages and murderers as ever, but we argue that that affirmation consists an intrinsic admission of the evolution towards good, and not vice versa. In this regard I think that I can say that our Lord God Christ Jesus told us as clear as possible what we must do in order the Kingdom of God to be instated also here on Planet Earth, but we preferred to continue earthing His teachings and we resorted to ask Him to help us killing our enemies while He actually asked us to love our enemies. Doesn't bother this plain and obvious mistake any of the theologian?!...

[164] If faith had been stubbornness and fanaticism, anything the human being believes it would have been true. Many people have the same stubbornness and fanaticism as some of the Christian believers have, but that doesn't make their beliefs true. Actually, what I am trying to

Ontology: Theology must come down to earth[165].

Ontology: The Creation is good[166].

---

say here is that the stubbornness and the fanaticism doesn't make one's faith truer. For instance, some of the Holy Fathers deemed the scientific knowledge as devilish. Could we still stubbornly and fanatically believe the same thing those days?!... we could, of course, but, as I said before, the stubbornness and the fanaticism do not hold truth. And, again, some of the theologians mistakenly reckon the truth of the faith consisting of denial of the material reality. The question raises: why would God create a material reality only for asking us to deny His Creation?!...

[165] Let's start fulfilling with our deed the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ and let's stop the shamanic speculations and dreaming. Some theologians elucubrated insane and totally useless and unnecessary structure of thinking while forgetting about our duty of accomplishing, in real deed, what Christ Jesus our Savior commanded us to do. Some hot minds flew towards what they reckoned to be the heights of the Holy Ghost but which actually were simple imagination that got out of control. We see a lot of vain-glory and self-delusion and self-appreciation in all of that. Who they addressed their writings to?!... and what are they writings savior like?!... and they condemned the vain-glory of "the world"!... Sometimes it seems to me that they not only physically "ran away" from the world but they even seek refuge in imagination, and in their opinion the faith meant to totally believe the imagination while refusing to admit the reality which they lived in. In is not ethical to condemn the world while not contributing anything to better it. It is not ethical to rejoice for the good life you live while around you take place endless wars which you contribute nothing to be stopped, or even lecture or accuse the victims. For instance, the Holy Fathers spoke about the fact that the world has been saved but still the wars have never stopped in the world. I wonder what the salvation means? They constantly speak about mysteries and secrets and invisible realities while they abandoned from their ethical duties in the world. It seems to us rather seeking refuge in imagination while rejecting the reality of the world. Hos can one speak about manhood while running away from the world. He rather replaces the troubled appointed him by God for some troubles he chooses. Rejecting the world means rejecting the Creation of our Creator. The theological elucubrations flagrantly contradict the same theologian's affirmation about the need for the solely appreciate and true knowledge that is the simplicity; they spoke about simplicity while bringing the intricacy to no longer possible to tackle with complications. I see the huge need for one to come and revise and bring into coherence all the Christian theology; Saint Dumitru the Restorer attempted it and he was successful in changing the main paradigms for their opposite: the world is good not bad, the body is good not bad, the woman is good not bad etc. but being prisoner to the Romanian language the Christian world didn't benefit of his courageous reflexive religious philosophy so that no revolution has taken place until now. It seems to be that some of the Holy Fathers didn't run away from the world but from the reality and from their civic duties.

[166] I mean here the whole universe – including Planet Earth and the human body! At time it sems to me that almost the whole Christian theology is an attempt to deny the Creation and to escape in an imaginary realm. The question is: why would have undertaken God such an unimaginable effort as that for creating the universe and the human being if He had intended all of this as a place which the man has the sole duty of escaping from?!... or else, the human being will be sent to hell where the throw-out of the Creation will be gathered up. The mere mentioning of the existence of the hall supposes that there will be some souls sent in, so the Creation would suppose intrinsically the certitude – and not only the possibility – of having

Ontology: The human being hasn't progressed at all[167].

Ontology: The spirit can really change the (material) matter[168].

Ontology: YHWH is the same with God-the-Father[169].

---

failed in some parts of it. But a failed Creation would mean that God failed as well… "because the man brought the evil within Creation". So, actually, a part of the Christian theology – if not the most part of it – it actually preaches a failure of God and the fact that Adam and Eva and the devils defeated God. Which is, let me be clear, stupidest as can be. If you ask us what the evil entered the Creation of God like, I will simply and openly answer: I do not know! We cannot not this yet! And, by the way, are you satisfied with the manner which we have defined in the good and the evil? I am not, by any means! It seems to me that we experience a constant relativity: we skip to other problems while having unsolved the under-related problems to the problems we attempt to solve. IN the same time, in our haughtiness, we act like we already know everything, like we have an absolute and final knowledge. And then, because of our pride, we jump to persecute and kill the people who disagree us. Does it seem to you that we have progressed at all?!...

[167] Though we like to think about ourselves as civilized, I actually strongly believe that the mankind haven't progress at all: it only take a stupid spark to star a bloody violence: religious persecutions and killing, revolutions, wars etc. A first sign of getting out of our spiritual infancy would be the total elimination of the persecutions and of the killing regardless of what motives. I think we need another billion of years to achieve that.

[168] I have added *material* in order not to be confounded the *matter* with the p*roblem* – but it only can prolong your life, without offering you the possibility to become immortal in this life, at least not with the means of the present science and technology. Let's elaborate a little. If you live a temperate life your life will be a healthy one. If you formulate your standards so that your present quality of life, it does it for you, you will have a happy life; if not, even if you are a billionaire, your life will be a hell on earth. If the mankind, by education and spiritualization, will renounce to their egotism and establish decent relations among themselves and with the material universe, the Kingdom of God can come here on Planet Earth as well. But we prefer for now to transform this planet in a hell. So, it totally depends on our spirit what the (material) matter is around us: a Paradise, or a hell.

[169] Only that the Mosaics perceived God in their image and likeness, as getting angry, as a warrior, as indifferent, and cunning, and even as asking them to kill other tribes in order to steal their land. We, the Christians, are not far from that, because we imagined God in the beginning of the Christendom as an absolute monarch who punishes his subjects or bestows them kingly gifts etc. so that imagined God in our image and likeness. The point is that the human mind imagines God according to the level of development the human mind has reached on a given moment. That's why deeming some theological affirmation as valid once and forever it is deeply wrong and counterproductive. As Saint Dumitru the Restorer said: there are some unchangeable parts or the theology, and there are also some parts that must be changed according to the mankind's cultural and scientific progress. Actually, there is no such thing as "one faith" within any religion! Each believer has his own "faith", one closer or farer form the so many official doctrines claiming to be the sole owners of the "real truth"; each believer tailors his own religion and faith according to his mind, personality, education etc. The unique faith is a lie regardless what religion is affirmed by.

Ontology: I feel more comfortable with a normal Christendom[170].

Ontology: It seems to me that some of the Christian preached an imperfect and helpless and tending to sin human being whom is asked in the same time to be a saint[171].

Epistemology: The human language/thinking is limitative to knowing God[172].

Ontology: Denying the surrounding reality, it won't change the actual reality but only our perception upon it[173].

---

[170] In they effort to convince, persuade, make proselytes, the Christian writer and creators of the cult put together an exceptionalist doctrine, searching for and bringing wonders as arguments etc. But they somewhat forgot about focusing on the fulfillment, in the daily life, of the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ. Exceptionalism, materialized in the search for seeing the unapproachable light, gaining superpowers as a spiritual sight etc. are not only unnecessary for the salvation of the average human being, but they are also unnecessary to salvation. Instead of preaching an actually unreachable Christendom, we better develop a "concrete" Christendom, one which to institute the fulfillment of the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ as normalcy and not as exceptionalism.

[171] A whole lot of Christian theology preaches a human being tending to sin, helpless, mindless, incapable of self-control, being able to do anything good without God's help, and asked, in the same time, to become a saint or to go in hell. It is set an unreachable benchmark and we are asked to reach it. But then the only way to be granted the salvation is the total obedience to clergy – so cleverly and conveniently called as *humbleness* or *humiliation* and reinforce with the interdiction *do not judge*! - indiscriminately applied to the clergy regardless they abominable crimes of all sorts during the entire history and still continuing; isn't something fishy in here?!... It is visible to the naked eye the fact that the Church as clerical structure and social institution was not able to escape the greediness for worldly power which they assumed to exercise in an absolute manner on behalf of God Who commanded them the exact opposite. I wonder if this couldn't be another ontological principle: no human social institution can avoid the manifestation of sins…

[172] One can observe this in the most obvious manner by looking at the way the theologians attempt to word up sentences about the godlike realities by using the demonstrative pronouns; or by reaching inept sayings as "the spiritual things" or "the things of God" and "the things from the future life" (that will be, by the way, totally spiritual…). All the theological or philosophical terms we use in expressing our thoughts about God are originated by the material world through a process of sublimation and getting abstract but they still have a basis the "material" matter (see the CMT!). So, we use terms, notions, categories belonging to a certain material order in our attempt to speak about a totally different order - as we say… - that is the spiritual order. This instituted from the stars an epistemological incompatibility and even an epistemological impossibility. Everything we say about God, regardless how intricate and elaborate, it still remains only an *adequation* (see at Lucian Blaga).

[173] For instance, the Holy Fathers speak about the human being as good by nature and therefore the kind people should be the most numerous while only a few sinners would exist within world. I am thinking that it will suffice if I have argued the contrary reality by urging you:" just look around! A part of the theology claims that our Lord God Jesus Christ saved the world and He restored the human nature and the world as they were before falling. Again: just look around! Our Lord God Jesus Christ told us how to do it and He demonstrated by His own

Ontology: Staunchly rejecting other religious views that us it is because of our helplessness and sinfulness and not because God askes us to do that[174].

Ethics: Bias is not love but lie[175].

Ontology: We do not know why God created the universe[176]!

---

deeds how to do it; why than we reject our responsibility to do it by conveniently saying that he has already done it?!... Aren't we shamed of ourselves?!... No, we aren't! Let's just do what Christ Jesus commanded us to do and make this Planet Eart a Paradise it deserves to be!

[174] We are conditioned and taught to hate even other Christian denominations as heretics and we behave as they are stealing something from us. What it actually does happen is the fact that the clergy of each denomination fears to lose their worldly power. That's all!

[175] Avoiding to admit and correct the Holy Fathers' mistakes, and unrealistically assuming that everything they said and deed was holy, it is not love but lie. Consecrating saint who persecuted and killed people is not love but lie. Venerating David the Psalmist as a an absolute saint while himself admitted that he send a man to die in war – who know, maybe he even ordered that man to be killed by his own brothers in arms – in order David to take that man's wife and fornicate with her, it is not love but lie. Venerating Apostle Paul as immaculate saint while overlooking his own confession that he persecuted Christians – in our book at that time persecuting meant also killing – it is not love but lie. Hiding the fact that the clergy rapes hundred of thousands of children – even using instead of the word raped the term molested – it is not love but lie. The Christian Church will exist its state of infancy when it starts discerning between bias and love, and that will make it able to honestly and plainly admit its mistakes and correct them. And, as the official doctrine says too, one must discern between person and his works, so that we do not reject the psalms, but the fact that David wrote the psalms doesn't make him a saint despite his awful deeds. Hiding the truth doesn't mean love but complicity to lie and to persecution and to crime.

[176] Please notice that I include here the human/humanoid being from all over the universe! A fundamental limitation of the theological assumptions it is the fact that the theology has been formulated and it has remained until these days as Earth-centric. When theologians say *man*: they mean the homo sapiens living on Planet Earth. But the rest would be even more hilarious if it was not so wrong and crazy: the theologians say that the whole purpose of the universe is to continually praise God… in other words, God spent to much effort and energy to create an almost infinite universe only in order Him to continually be flattered by it. I don't buy that! Even an earthly king totally addicted to fame and to glory and to flattering and thirsty to be flattered and praise for his illustriousness and for his power – or course that means haughtiness and vain-glory and loftiness – would get enough of it at a certain point. Letting aside that such affirmations limit God to a guy thirsty for flattering… God hasn't created servants to Himself because of not needing any; God wasn't bored by being alone; God didn't need the universe; God didn't need our pity – and often stupid – rituals… There must be infinitely more to that, but we cannot tell what that is. The lukewarm and self-flattering so-called answer that God wanted to share His love with us it isn't actually an answer. And, further on, the salvation – as understood by theologians – cannot be an answer either. Why would create God a universe that needs to be saved after being created?!... This would mean that God created something imperfect that need to self-perfect and that is punished if it cannot achieve perfection. What could one ethically justify like the fact that we attribute God the fact that He punishes us because Himself created us imperfect?!... And do no bring here the Genesis because it is a mere myth answering nothing but only complicating the things with

Ontology: The only certitude we can have relatedly to God are the words of Our Lord Christ Jesus from Gospels[177].

Ontology: Despite affirming the absolute character of God and of His attributes most of the theology describes God in a totally non-absolute manner[178].

Ontology: Why must God save a universe created by Himself[179]?

---

insurmountable contradictions and impossibilities (whom married Cain to if there were only three people on Planet Earth and who were the people who were forbidden to killed Cain?! Not to mention here the total stupidity of the Ark of Noah myth – the ark wouldn't have had enough room even for all the species of the flies…) and the only affirmation from Genesis that stands if that God created the universe; and that's all. So, we do not know why God created the universe!

[177] This certitude is partial as well, because of the fact that they have been written after several decades of years and from memory, after they circulated as verbal stories told from mouth to mouth, and because of the phenomena of entropy manifested in any translation in any language and because of the evolution of the languages and because of the evolution of the context and because of the corrections arbitrarily and stupidly operated by the copyists. See, for instance, *Codex Sinaiticus*, the oldest know book containing the oldest know variant of the Gospels, a book that is written on parchment, and that is a palimpsest having operated on it, thousands and thousands of corrections and replacements of the words. And by the way, it doesn't contain anything about Resurrection. Why don't we ask if the Bible variant as put together by Saint Athanassius the Great it still is adequate or it needs, for instance, to comprise the apocrypha Gospel of Bartholomew that is the only Gospel telling us what Christ did when descended in hell?!... Because the theologians and the cult tell us what Christ did in hell and the obvious question is where do they know it from?!... It is or it is not Bartholomew's Gospel and apocrypha?...

[178] God works, God adjusts His plan from eternity to Adam and Eva's stupidity, God works together with us to correct our imperfections which we are born with by His decision, God comes to judge the world as He won't have known what the world will be, God asks us to work to our salvation and He punishes us if we won't succeed as He wouldn't know what our destiny is going to be… and sop on… God allows an evil creature – created by Himself - of infinite powers in comparison to the man to fight us and if that creature succeeds in defeating us, we - the helpless people - will be dreadfully punished for eternity… the human being living for only seventy years and created helpless and condemned to be sinner because of somebody else's initial sin and condemned to live in a world that lack only a few things to be a hell… the human being is asked to be victorious against an evil angel created by God with unlimited powers in comparison to the man and who is eternal and which ahs accumulated a lot of knowledge by living for countless thousands of years… it doesn't seem to you an awful a description job for a helpless creature as the human is?!... but then the theologians even speak about the fact that God collaborated with satan by withdrawing His grace and letting the poor human being to be harassed and deceived and tormented by the devil… My impression is that we should reform and revise the Christian theology from the beginning!

[179] The Christian theology describes God as engaged in an effort for saving His creation as His creation has been corrupted by entities external and opposite to Him. We cannot see why the omnipotent and almighty and omniscient God would be forced to undertake a battle in

Ontology: The fact of being convenient to us it doesn't confer something a value of truth[180].

Epistemology: Questioning some of the theological affirmations doesn't mean speaking against God[181].

Ontology: Identical faith is an impossibility[182].

---

order to save something that He owns… It is like saying that God plays a game, or that He isn't in accordance with the attributes we assign to Him… The salvation of the world and of the human beings it is described as something necessary to God even if the theologians mellow that by saying that that would be because of God's love for people. The love doesn't annul the need: I love something and my love makes that something necessary to me! I need that what I love! The Love created within me the need for what I love! I think that this question hasn't been answered yet, despite the theology claiming to have answered it.

[180] Some theologians driven by their ecstatic love and feelings uttered all sorts of affirmations that made them feel happy, and that suited their urge and their joy and they felt them as enjoyable. But those didn't make those affirmations truer. The fact that we strongly believe in something isn't a confirmation that that something is true. The believers of other religions fanatically believe in their "truths of faith" but we say that those are wrong. In order to at least confer coherence if not value of truth, the whole Christian theology should be rethought and reformulated and place into coherence, and what no longer matches the new approach it must be told as being such. If we no longer can say – without risking the deep ridicule – to affirm that the human being is composed of air, water, earth, and fire, we must say it openly that certain writings of the Holy Fathers no longer correspond to the Christian faith. For instance, Saint Issac the Syrian argued that we must not pray by asking God to give us our daily bread and to not lead us into temptation (see *The Romanian Philokalia,* volume X), because he was spellbound by his own words and reasonings, starting from his conception that the food wads garbage and without temptations one cannot be save. He didn't realize that he spoke again our Lord Christ Jesus who commanded us how to pray. Us, at out turn, despite being bothered by such a daring that is openly heretic, still overlook those pages because we think that by doing so, we manifest love for Saint Isaac. Which is totally wrong! Because we cannot love anybody more than Christ, or we can but in such a case we cannot say about us that we are Christians!!! The only foreseeable solution here would be the removal from the used books those pages, or at least mentioning that those affirmations are wrong and they do not represent our faith.

[181] There has been always cultivated a strong opposition to any questioning of the official theological affirmations. Some of the theologians, they fear and hate and instantly reject any version than contradicts tehri belief. But if asked, some of them would show big difficulties in defining what they call as their "right-faith". There is not such reality as two people having an identical faith!

[182] Despite claiming the "right-faith", the unique faith, the faith of the Church etc. there is no such thing as an identical faith had even by only two persons. God created the universe as no place for identical realities. Everything is unique and everything differs. So, we can only admit that there can be a relatively comparable faith, a faith observing the official doctrine to some extent. Each human being has unique: background, knowledge, experience, memories, education, genome, body, soul etc. etc. No one can say – in good faith – there is an identical/one faith in more than one person.

Ontology: Faint isn't illogical[183].

Logics: Bringing as argument somebody's else sayings it cannot be a valid argument[184].

Ontology: If the present life was only a preparation for the future life, the present like would be quite unimportant, or even unnecessary[185].

Ethics: We have to work regardless our lack of sure knowledge about God[186].

---

[183] If the faith should be illogical that meant that God is illogical too. And God is superlatively logic, despite our past and present incapacity of perceiving and understanding that! Some of the Holy Fathers plainly and openly expressed their hatred for sciences and for philosophy and for philosophers, because the philosophers questioned some of the Holy Fathers' theological assertions. The Holy Fathers confounded their incapacity of answering those questions to an attack undertook against Christendom. It might be that some of the Holy Fathers hated learning and that's why they disregarded and mocked any "worldly" knowledge – they only valued the knowledge given them by the Holy Ghost and in terms set by themselves. But not all of them, because some of the most illustrious Holy Fathers were highly educated and even philosophers. The human being confounds so easily the faith to the fanaticism and the truth to her/his wishful thinking or imagination.

[184] Except for the sayings of Christ Jesus our Lord from the Gospels! Most of the theological inferences bring as arguments the fact that some other theologian said a certain thing, and that would be an undeniable premise. Which is not, because if one spends a little time studying the writings of the Holy Fathers, he will ascertain that each Holy Father had his own view or doctrine and they even contradicted fiercely, on occasion, with one another. Most of the time the Holy Fathers expressed their own views on certain theological matters without mentioning whom they were contradicting to. And then, the theology evolved constantly, so that the arguments from the early church no longer fit the official assumptions of the later writings, letting aside of the present. So, this institutes a staunch arbitrariness in bringing arguments as they fit our affirmation, for we can choose only those convenient to us while overlooking the others. And then intervened – in order to complicate the situation even further – the anagogy as phenomenon basically saying that what one reads it means actually something else, so that the initial unit of meanings is replaced for an interpretation that suits the views of the interpreter. I have ascertained also the phenomenon of taking from the words of our Lord Jesus Christ only what is convenient to us and disregarding the other sayings which contradict us. This sad state of affairs has instituted incoherence and arbitrariness and incertitude. If there had been a sole Church, a sole official doctrine, nobody could have denied that that was the sole truth.

[185] If this life was unnecessary and must be disregarded and rejected and annulled, God wouldn't give us birth in the present life. Rejecting the present life means rejecting God's will and criticizing Him for giving us something that we must hate. I think the present life must be used with temperance and in order to accumulate as man knowledge as one can get so that our spirit to be as open as possible to God. Otherwise, by hating the present life, by hating our body, by hating the present world, we actually hate Him Who created all of these. And we actually say to Him: God, why have you created all these evil and unnecessary things, only to torment us?!...

Ontology: The humbleness as preached by the Holy Fathers it makes the existence of the society impossible[187].

Initial Statements: I have intended to sacrifice the complexity and the intricacy to simplicity and clarity[188].

Ethics: Adam's And Eva's mistake can be at most reckoned as due to their stupidity[189].

---

[186] Except the Gospels we do not have anything sure about God. The theology developed by people constantly evolves despite claiming to preserve the past - which, by the way, it is impossible! Despite I am Orthodox I am not the only one bestowed the permission to pray to God. The Roman Catholics, the Protestants, the Neo-Protestants, or even the atheists in their moment of need, all of them are allowed and welcome to pray to God. We have put together rituals and prayers and rules and churchly paws which somehow tend to become idols and to replace God. So, we do not surely know that attending the Sunday religious service in the frame of a certain Christian denomination that is truly the way to enter the communion with God, but we must attend the Sunday religious services because we do not know any better. The fact that the Orthodox mark on themselves with the sign of the cross from right to left, the Catholics do the vice versa, while others do not do that, it doesn't mean anything to God! The fact that our soul is kind and loving it means everything both to us and to God. Do good deeds and if you do not believe in God that won't mean that you must chose the evil! There are so many people persecuting and killing in the name of God and claiming to be very religious and spiritual. We use the surrounding matter and our body to worship God Who is spirit. Some of the Holy Fathers urged us to hate the matter and our body. There is a general confusion and incoherence in the theoretical theology, but that doesn't mean we must not try to find God and, by the way, because each person is unique the relationship one established with God is unique. There is no such thing as a unique faith. Every believer develops his own faith depending on the paradigm she/he is and which she/he is in. So, we must try to do our best, even without having enough certitudes.

[187] Renouncing to any other things except from prayer, assuming the own worthless and incapacity to do anything, refusing any contact with other people, refusing to have a family and to procreate children, refusing any domination upon people, refusing any involvement in any problem… how could the society function with such people?!... I do not think that God commanded us to destroy the mankind and so to stop the mankind's evolution and to bring the end of the world/time/Judgment Day by ourselves!

[188] I do not know to what extent I have even succeeded in that! I see everywhere authors losing on themselves in prolix wording reaching nowhere; or ending in dilemmas. And then there are few who in simple words and in short sentences express almost the full meaning. But the second way is the most sparce and the most difficult to achieve.

[189] Almost all the Holy Fathers, in their sustained effort to blame and accuse the human being of everything evil happening to them, they attempted to make of Adam and Eva some monsters totally dominated by pride and disobedience. Letting aside that – as Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology said – where would have been they supposed to have from the capacity of discerning between good and evil previously to eating from the tree of the knowledge of the good and of the bad?!... – they were humans and therefore limited and we say that they were deceived by satan who has an angelic mind and unlimited in comparison to Adam and Eva who were quite primitive and innocent. But where were they

Epistemology: The mystery has not epistemological value[190].

Ethics: The lack of engagement/ commitment in society is unethical[191].

Ontology: There are two fundamental commandments that differ Christendom from all the other religions: turn the other cheek and love you enemy[192].

---

supposed to know from, such an elaborate and complex feeling as the pride is?!... And why do we hate Adam and Eva so much for mistaking due to their lack of knowledge, while we – after almost two thousand years of Christendom – see bishops and priests raping the children attending the Churches?!... and when we are currently seeing (AD 2024) a war waged by the biggest Orthodox country on Planet Earth against the second biggest Orthodox country in the world and the Orthodox soldier – urged by their Patriarch, bishops, and priest – commit the most abominable cruelties upon their neighbor: including women and children!!!... Letting also aside the fact that at the Holy Liturgy we affirm that Adam and Eva are now dancing into heavens… nice! They destroyed God's plan He conceived form eternity and their brought a victory of satan upon God and they left us into misery and under the curse of God, but they rejoice in heavens of all of these. Doesn't seem our theology quite incoherent and stupid to you?!... And make no mistake, regardless how many stupid things we affirm about God, God won't be affected by any of them! Our imaginings on God affect us but won't transform Him!!!....

[190] Some of the Holy Fathers – if not all of them – spoke about a knowledge that is mysterious and it cannot be explained or conveyed to others, a knowledge inspired in ecstasy, for instance. But such knowledge that cannot be conveyed to others has not epistemological value. It could be a mere psychism, or a mere imagination. Why should I believe and trust somebody that he possesses a superior knowledge that he cannot say anything about it except that he has it? And what would such a knowledge be beneficial to me through? I feel in such claims a tendency towards haughtiness, self-appreciation, and vain-glory, and superiority, and an attempt to make people accept your dominance. And what such a knowledge can be validated like, if it cannot be expressed / worded / be made known?!... Would be there enough the mere word of him who claims that he has such a knowledge, despite he can tell nothing about it?!...

[191] Avoiding to let any of the sufferings form the world affecting you, because your supreme goal is to save on yourself, despite children are starving and dying from hunger or are persecuted and killed, it is a disgusting act and attitude of supreme egotism. "I don't care, I pray continuously, and have my ascesis, I can do anything for them, I must take care of my salvation, I must mot let myself to be disturbed by external realities etc." … so good for you that you will be saved and you will dwell the Kingdom of Heavens! But aren't the insensitiveness and the selfishness equally big sins which will cast you in hell?!...

[192] All religions have: rituals, priests, vestments, symbols, mysteries, rules, laws, moral principles, dedicated buildings, believers, fanatics, ascesis: fasting, abstaining from sex, fight the natural affects of the body etc. But our Lord God Christ Jesus Who was the only religion founder who declared on Himself as Son of God and who even died for His principles which He preached us, He gave us these two fundamental commandments. Sadly, we the Christians observe all the other elements common to all the other religions, but not these two commandments. We even invoke Christ's help when we wage wars again our neighbor, let aside to love our enemy or to turn the other cheek as well!

Ontology: God divided His Church in several denominations just in order us not to make of Church an idol[193].

Ontology: God created the human being as having both possibilities: to become a saint and to become a sinner[194].

Ontology: The human being is destined to achieve as higher as possible level of completion, but still as human being[195].

---

[193] If there was only one Church and only a certain kind of vestments and of rituals and of clergy etc., we would surely made idols of those! We still do that, but our idols are relative and not absolute, right because there are other similar idols – despite we say that only our idols are holy while the others are evil.

[194] Most of theologians attempt to somehow annul God's responsibility related to the way the human being was created and they attempt to argue that somehow the human being altered God project/design and the people intentionally changed the construction of their prototype in order to introduce the possibility of the evil into Creation and they to possibly be evil. But this is the same with saying that God failed in His project, or that the man wasted God's project. I think that a foreseer and omniscient God would have known even from eternity what was going to happen, so, again, affirming that somehow God was surprised and deceived and even defeated in His plan by man's actions it will annul the quality of God of being omniscient. And this is thoroughly stupid! This is a general tendency in the human thinking / human condition: claiming of having explained unexplainable – at least for the moment – things! I think the theologians should simply say: for now, we cannot tell… The fact that the theology claims that our Lord God Jesus Christ has restored the human nature in all people it is contradicted by a simple looking around: the biggest Christian Orthodox people on the Planet Earths persecuted and kills with bestiality and with unimaginable cruelty the second largest in number Christian Orthodox people on Planet Earth. What I am trying to argue here is, basically, that our Lord God Jesus Christ showed us how to do it and He commanded us what to in order to change on ourselves and us to institute on this Planet the Kingdom of God! But we wouldn't do it! We have remained equally sinner as ever… And form this point of view we are even more guilty: because of knowing what we should do, while doing exactly the opposite! What our Lord God Christ Jesus brought new to us it was the fact that He did with His deeds what he preached!

[195] God created the human being in order the human being to specifically be a human being. Some of the Holy Fathers spoke about the human being's crossing into the ranks of the angels. But that would be another alteration of God's plan from eternity. God created us as human beings in order us to be human beings. If God needed us to be angels, he would create us as angels. Otherwise, it is like saying that God created us as something, but He commanded us to become something else! We need to be careful with what we are saying about God! (not that God would care about our stupid sayings!). And the same Holy Fathers say that Christ Jesus our Lor and God ascended to heavens while bearing a human body – form here we can see also the fact that the human being must aim to become the maximum human as one can be in the image of Christ Jesus our God and not to become an angel: Christ Jesus hasn't become an angel!!! God created His universe with impassable laws and crossing from a rank to another would be a transgression and an alteration and as contravening to His laws which He places in His creation. We cannot replace God's laws with wishful thinking fabulations!

Introductory statements: Fearing the questioning means being unsure of our answers' truth[196].

Ontology: The body is not the enemy of the soul[197].

Epistemology: Our Lord God Christ Jesus gave us His commandments in simple words[198].

Ontology: We are not required to evade this world but to progress in this world to the best of our capacity and to make this world as good and possible[199].

---

[196] The writings of the Holy Fathers are full of cases which they condemn and accuse in, on those who questioned their sayings. And we even noticed a manifestation of hatred in that. But any questioning of somebody's sayings only leads to strengthening their truth if answered appropriately. The fact that we intuit in Holy Fathers answers – and in the total and hateful stance of rejection the present theologians immediately take when their theology is questioned – that there is about uncertainty: they fear not to be proven the opposite! They do not have the actual answers, they are not convinced about the answers they have been provided with, so that they try to avoid any real discussion or, when something inconvenient occurs, they hate that. I think that any question on any subject – including the theology – must be treated with maximum availability and benevolence and it must be reckoned as an occasion to bear our battle for knowing our truth even better. If we reject the current scientifical ascertainment on the basis of some dilettantish and outdated texts, and we even reckon that as a gesture of love for the Holy Fathers, we actually will made the Holy Fathers blamable for the theology's outdatedness. Love doesn't mean overlooking the untruth.

Approaching the body and the soul in union as a person it would have been more productive rather that operating the schizophrenic separation between body and souls I – as person, namely as a synergetic body-and-soul reality - adopt the and I want to be temperate in eating, rather than my soul fight my body to death so to starve it! This separation between body and soul is one the strategic mistakes the Christian theology earthen and it will be so difficult to get rid of it because all sorts of theological edifices – if not all of them – are based on the ground of this separation.

[197] See Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology. The separation between body and soul – characteristic to all religions and one of the foundational mistakes accompanying the mankind throughout all their history – it is wrong and counterproductive. It introduced a schizophrenic division leading to all sorts of fanaticisms. If God had wanted the human being to be only spirit, God would have created the human being as a bodiless spirit, without any difficulty! There is an inseparable fusion between body and soul and the human being must be rather treated as a unit. I am amazed by the inability of most of the theologians not to see that there is a mistake in denying the reality for replacing it with wishful imaginings. If, for instance, God had wanted us not to have sex, God would have created us without a sexual apparatus. If God had wanted us not to eat, or to drink, or to sleep, He would have created us with the necessary psycho-physiology and anatomy! Condemning all of those it actually means condemning God because of the way He created us!

[198] Everything falling into intricacy and complexity gives room to misunderstanding and misinterpretation. Sadly, some theologians managed to misinterpret even the simple words of Our Lord God Christ Jesus! Because they attempted to use Jesus's word for their own worldly power and domination.

Ontology: God doesn't fight the human beings[200].

Logics: Simply denying to somebody the right of invoking an argument it won't make one's statements truer[201].

Ontology: Replacing the names of the realties won't change the actual realities[202].

Ontology: The truth is not heretic[203].

---

[199] A world which God throws us within asking us, in the same time, to escape this world, it would make no sense. Let's lay down and wait to die for starvation then… Why should God create the Planet Earth and the human being with such a complexity and beauty and then to ask the human being to escape it?!... Why would God give us the present existence in the present universe only to ask us to make everything in our powers and beyond, to escape this existence? Why would be this stage of our eternal existence even necessary?!... If we see it like a purgatory then we assume that we are born already bearing a sin from another existence – because God is not unethical to put as pay for Adam's and Eva's sin, and even while Adam and Eva "dance into heavens" -… We cannot answer, for the time being (AD 2024), this question, but it seems to me as more recommendable to adopt Saint Dumitru the Restorer's vision on a body and on a world which are good, than the Holy Fathers vision on a body and on a world which are our enemies set by God to in order they destroy us and to make us end into hell.

[200] Implicitly or not, some of the Holy Fathers' writings describe a God fighting against the human being, and in that even collaborating with satan. We must mention here some of the books form the Old Testament as well. But this would introduce an insurmountable ethical and ontological problem: what would be the human beings' chance to "defeat" God allied with satan?!... and is God unethical punishing the human being for failing to cross over obstacles set up by God Himself, and knowing that the human being as created by Himself is unable to rich the required perfection?!... Here must be a huge mistake somewhere… I have ascertained that a work of bringing the Christian theology in coherence is badly needed! Take individually and holons, the theological affirmations related to a certain and limited subject seem to be ok, but when brought in relation with other theological affirmations they fail lamentably.

[201] I have encountered so many cases which some theologians simply denied the right of somebody to bring a counterargument to their statements. For instance, the saying of Saint Apostle Paul that there is a sole Mediator between God and people: Jesus Christ, brought by neo-Protestants in order to deny the clergy, it is rejected by the other Churches; also, if one argues that Christ Jesus was able to resist any temptations was due to the fact that He was God as well, it is countered with sayings like: "and we cannot tell that Christ was God and therefore…"; if one says: if God leads me into temptation what could I do?", she/he will immediately meet the furious attacks of the theologians, and etc.

[202] For instance, if we say that God punishes us for our sins, but God does that on didactic and teaching purposes, the suffering remains even wrapped up in a mellowed light. If we say *mystery* that actually means *unknow* (at least for now); if we say *lust for fornication* that means *sex*; so, I would prefer the plain and blunt words instead of this hypocritical language. We seem to be ashamed of the realities placed by God in his creation – that means we are ashamed of God's Creation… doesn't that mean that we actually are ashamed by God?!...

Ontology: God is not fanatic[204].

Ontology: God is one[205].

Ontology: Our Lord God Jesus Christ came for all the mankind[206].

---

[203] The truth is not, by any means, against God. Got is the Truth and the truth is from God. But, because of so easily making us idols of what we have understood on a given moment, we will reject anything that contravenes to what we know. And – this is the idolater part – we to God Himself that what we can say about God with our human minds. We assume that all our theology has been inspired by the Holy Ghost, even if it flagrantly contravenes to our Lord God Jesus Christ's teaching. For instance, we bless the armies in Christ's name Who commanded us to love our enemy and to turn the other cheek too. I have ascertained that among some of the theologians there is a visceral fear to contradict any of the things learnt by them and which they have been told, as it would be heresy and blasphemy and a sin and it would be against God Himself. So that they hypocritically argue the contrary to the truth, or they overlook the truth by implicitly keeping their silence on things which even to them seem obviously wrong. I foresee the introduction and the institution of a correction and updating the faith mechanism, within the Church.

[204] Believer are fanatics! It amazes me so much how we can be so hypocrite to affirm that God – Who staunchly and plainly and clearly commanded us not to kill and even to love our enemy – asks us to persecute and to kill people who do not believe in God as we define and describe God. Even among the so many Christian denominations we have on one another, there is – at least in the Orthodox Churches – a discourse of hatred against the other heretic Christian denominations (actually a manifestation of vain-glory: we are the only ones right / we are the best!) who are not following the right-faith etc. and vice versa. Looking around, I have ascertained that fanaticism is a general trait of the human being – whether we like it or not! – while God, regardless the religion which God is worshipped by, it constantly urges us not to persecute and not to kill other people. The fanatics invoke the will of God in order to justify their own savageness and insanity. Sadly, people who is certain environment and circumstances could have been perfectly normal and socially acceptable, they are so easily radicalized and mentally subdued by all kind of criminals and they end up perpetrating unimaginable ad abominable deeds. God warned us about this phenomenon when conveying us the collocation: "abomination of the desolation put in an honorable place"! What we actually do it is imagining God as we are and openly or mutually attributing Him our own stupidity and insanity, while "believing" that God is like we imagine Him. Fanaticism is not faith, though there is a constant tendency of confounding a strong faith to a callous fanaticism! Fanaticism annuls any possibility of communion with God.

[205] But the theologians have formulated all sorts of doctrines and theologies in their own image and likeness. The general mistake is that of confounding our thoughts and imaginings and beliefs about God to God Himself. An almighty and omniscient and omnipresent and infinite God excludes any other possibility of another God. But we still attempt to perceive God and to think about God – Who is pure spirit - with our poor concepts formulated by using conceptual metaphors based on the surrounding material reality which we live in. It is like thinking with mud bricks the architectural theoretical principals which the construction of a cathedral it is based on – and then bring that difference to infinite!

[206] Namely for the laymen too, and not only for monks. The Holy Fathers, who were all of them monks, they formulated their theology on that paradigm of Christ seen with their monk eyes, namely as Christ would; have come only for monks, or in order to transform the entire

Introductory Statements: I wish there were a perfect theology![207]

Ontology: The matching between one's deeds and kindness it is a validation criterion[208].

Plant Eart in a monastery inhabited only by monks. And that's utterly wrong. Imagine all mankind became monks, they would disappear in three generation. Christ participated at wedding on Canna, Christ admitted the existence and perpetuations of other trades and professions – even that of publican -, and Christ never spoke about monks and monasticism. Somehow, on the way, the whole theological discourse slid on the side of deep ascesis, monasticism, and hatred address to world and people. Even the most pious parishioner priests, married and having children, they do not correspond to the teachings of the Holy Fathers - to say it straight: they are sinners! -, despite they preaching, zealously, that teaching. Isn't that stupid?!...

[207] And a unique theology, even if only the Christian theology was one! But the more I have researched the theological writings, the much incoherence and the many differences I have ascertained. "As many shacks, as many habits" (a Dacian proverb). And at least there was a perfect Christian theology among all of them. But there isn't any! It seems to me that we have given the best we had, but there must be undertaken a wide work of updating, bringing in coherence, and constant reevaluation and reviewing of the Christian theology, an activity that must be done by a serious and respected multi-disciplinary and multi-cultural and ecumenical organism. There is such a struggle between old and new within the Christian theology. The partisans of those two sides hate on one another. They fight – openly or mutually – one another. Some theologians have built around themselves an ivory tower and somehow, they have reached to identify their limited theological understanding to God Himself. Any tentative updating is immediately condemned and rejected as heretic and blasphemy, and this is a blunt expression of idolatry: we have started confounding our limited theology to God. We have made out of our theology an idol. And overlooking and keeping one's silence upon the deep problems the theology has, it won't solve the problems. And I ask the theologians who preach us the need to take up our cross and to sacrifice ourselves for the good of the Church, doesn't that mean that themselves must take up their cross and bring theology where it need to be?!... And isn't they attitude hypocritical, despite their killing their bodies in ascesis, to avoid the true fight and to courageously bring the theology in coherence and acceptance by eliminating the fanaticism and the exaggerations and the obsolete things out of it?!... We need a perfect theology, one that won't contain anything deniable or questionable in it! Other aspects we have to tackle with, are: the wrong way the Holy Scriptures are interpreted in, the all sorts of anagogies inventing imaginary explanations and fabulating of Holy Scripture's texts, the wrong way we use the writing of the Holy Fathers etc.

[208] How can one know if his deeds are recommendable?!... This question is paramount because some of the Christians persecute and kill people in the name of our Lord God Christ Jesus Who actually commanded us to love even our enemy! But some of the clergy bless the armies which persecute and kill the enemy "for defending our country and the ancestral faith" … Some Churches even appointed as saints some military commanders who killed tens of thousands of their enemies "for defending the right-faith against pagans" … But are they the same preaching us that, actually, our country is the Kingdom of Heavens and all the things from here should be rejected and reckoned as nothing?!... So, why do we invoke the name of Christ in order to justify our thirst for power and our abominable deeds?!... Such deeds cause us the paramount need to know what it actually is the validation criterion of our deeds. I cannot find except one: the matching to Christ Jesus Our God's commandments! Beware of

Epistemology: Any theology is actually limitative in our attempt to describe or express or define God[209].

Epistemology: We haven't understood God yet[210].

Ontology: Our Lord God Jesus Christ was a Jew[211].

Ontology: Your faith modifies / construes / presents / perceives / changes the reality accordingly[212].

---

the interpretations given them by some of the theologians which have pulled the spirit down on earth and covered it with a pile of dirt!

[209] In addition to the fact that any concept if a metaphorical sublimation of a material reality from the concrete surrounding world (even those negating it and attempting to build a "reality" opposing the actual reality! see CMT), there is a chain: reality – senses – mental processes – conclusions, which manifest an accentuated entropy relatedly to information. So, if we are unable to know even the mere reality from around us, or if we only are allowed to have personal and unique perceptions on the surrounding reality, how do we expect to define and describe and know God in an absolute manner?!... Will God punish us because He has created us in this way and with these traits?! I think not! God doesn't punish on Himself!

[210] I have encountered theologians craving for a past wisdom and knowledge about God that would have been lost. I situate myself on the very opposite view: I think we haven't yet even started to really know God. We haven't even scratched yet the surface of what God means, despite Lord Jesus our God came and told us what we must actually do, almost two thousand years ago. But we somehow have managed to build up a religion containing the same elements contained by any other religion: rituals, clergy, institutional aggregation, vestments, symbols, ascesis, monasticism etc., but we have missed the very core of Christ's teaching: turn another cheek and love our enemies!

[211] This is an unsolved problem too. The Christian theology recorded in this one more incoherence manifested in its keen attempt to individualize itself as something totally new and totally different from any other religion, even from Mosaic religion, while still needing to argue the fact that our God is eternal so that Christ Jesus our Lord existed from eternity. But we totally overlooked and kept our silence that Christ Jesus obeyed the Mosaic religion and He wads educated in this religion and he attended the synagogues and not some church which didn't exist back then. The Christian theologians even affirm that when our Mother and Master Virgin Mary was consecrated to the temple She "entered the Church" and they even names a feast with this name: "The Entrance of Virgin Mary into the Church" – a church that didn't exist back then. We must make up our mind: are we in a continuity with the Mosaic religion, and then we will be a Mosaic sect, or are we a totally new religion and then why do we use the Hebrew Bible, despite calling it as The Old Testament. Some of the staunchest contradictions in the Christian theology come right from these irreconcilable differences between The Old and The New Testaments – despite attempting to reconcile the forcedly and artificially. Can't we see the plain opposition between some of the texts form the New Testament to the Old Testament?!... or will we continue to hypocritically keep our silence on them and pretending of not seeing them?!...

[212] Between reality and reasoning there are: the manifestation of the external realities / the stimuli / the senses / the perception processes / the perception processing / the reasoning. So, we do not perceive the external reality as it actually is. Therefore, a strong faith can replace

Ontology: It is wrong making of this world a hell[213].

Epistemology: Anachronism is wrong[214].

Ontology: God hasn't created slaves[215].

Ontology: The faith out of fear is hypocritical[216].

---

what we actually perceive and make our reasoning to think that there is a reality as we desire to think about it. For instance, one can see all people good despite there are some very bad people. Likewise, you can be satisfied with a piece of bread for the whole day due to the fact that you strongly believe that. It is mandatory to research the connection / relation between faith and self-suggestion! The faith can change the way we think and behave.

[213] A part of the Holy Fathers teaches us the need for and how to make of this world a hell. To the extent of living into such pain and sufferings and sorrow than the death and the leaving of this world to be desires and waited for with joy. This self-torture, in their opinion, would be wanted by God. My question is: why would God cerate the universe and the people within only for they to reject His creation?? or: why would God create the possibility of the happiness and wellness only in order us to reject those and to live the hell on earth?! Isn't the urging of the people to reject God's creation and to hate it a not-so-subtle deceit coming right from the enemy of God?!... I think that we rather must follow the teaching of Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology and of Saint Maximos the Confessor and to transform the world on a Paradise on Planet Earth!

[214] Most of the theology - if not all of it – practices, intentionally or not, the anachronism as argument and proof. When the theologians treat upon a certain aspect, they suppose the theological scaffolding and the institutionalization of the Church were the same form the beginning as they are today. They use the present elaboration of the theology for describing and speaking about realities which took place long before such a development of the theology has come to existence. Therefore, they anagogies as well are misleading because, for instance, to the psalm verse: "Blessed is him who hit your children against the rock" they say it is not about actually killing children – but that was the way of killing children, back then… - but it is about the killing of the evil thoughts, a discipline that has been developed by the Holy Fathers way long after Christ's embodiment. This manner of argumentation that replaces the reality for one's wishful thinking, and that doesn't care of correctness but it aims only to persuade someone at all costs, it is anything but scientific! Affirming something only because I wanted that to be like I say – sometimes even being aware of the fact that I am lying, but reckoning that I am justified in doing that for the benefit of the people! -, it has nothing to do with the love, because between love and lie there is not compatibility!

[215] The almost entire Christian theology marches on the image of the human being as subject / servant / slave of God. I rather think that God doesn't need slaves to constantly sing and prayer Him. I rather think that we are parts of God and that our role is to come in coherence / harmony / union / communion with God. This would justify Saint Dumitru's theology of communion, which he affirmed in that we are saved in communion with all the human beings. Still, this doesn't offer an answer to why / what the purpose was / is for God created the Creation.

[216] When fearing, I do not believe something because I am convinced about that something, but I force on myself to renounce to any critical thinking only because I want to escape the punishment. I can change my mind and force it to "believe" but that's a manipulation of my

Introductory Statements: God is coherent[217].

Ontology: The laymen do the will of God too[218].

Ontology / Ethics: Woman and man are equal to God[219].

---

cognitive processes and a not a conviction about my faith's content as true. I pretend to believe, I claim that I believe, but I actually am only hypocritically attempting to deceive God. Fear and faith have nothing in common. Fear and freedom – as so widely claimed by theologians but actually inexistent – have nothing in common. The fact that Bod wants us to fear Him is a reminiscence of the slavery / Middle Ages sociolect. God wants us to develop our conscience and our understanding in order to understand Him as much as we can and in order us to unite ourselves with Him and to integrate ourselves in Him, and we can do that by observing Christ Jessus's commandments and applying those commandments concretely and with the deed and in this present world.

[217] But what we have been able to say about him so far (AD 2024) it isn't. Still, the fact that our limited mind has developed a limited theology and this theology is incoherent it doesn't affect God. I feel the need for a body of theologians and scientists of all kind to get together and to issue a theology coherent in all its aspects and affirmations. For instance, if our Lord God Christ Jesus commanded us to love our enemies and to turn the other cheek too, we cannot in the same time invoke Christ Jesus Lord God to bless our armies when going to war in order to kill our enemies! Can you see the meaninglessness?

[218] The theology as written by monk and by clergy tend to assume – implicitly and explicitly – that only the monks and the priests do the will of God, and the will of God would be that of receiving from us a constant prayer and liturgizing. But this is a limitative reckoning of the will of God. Otherwise, by using the method of reducing to absurd, all the people would have to become monks, or at least parishioner priests. But then the society will collapse. Why would God want our society to collapse?!... And where are the teachers who teach people who later become monk and priest?!... Where are the physicians who heal the monks and the priests?!... Where are the plane pilots flying the monks and the priest to their destinations?!... Where are the bakers baking them bread?!... Where are the builders building them monasteries and churches?!... or even: where are their parents who had sex in order they to be born and become monks and priests?!... are all of these not fulfilling the will of God and therefore going in hell?!... and, if there is not actual freedom, if God gave birth to one who have become an imam, will him go in hell?!... I see in all of this a gradual accumulation of a self-appreciation that has become even pride and haughtiness, educating a superiority in monks and in priests – that, of course, is a capital sin!... I see in this what I have always been affirming that the priesthood is a matter of life and death, and a priest must strive tenfold or even hundredfold to fulfill God's commandments, because he assumed that he would be capable of bearing the responsibility of the priestly position and he also has promised to do that.

[219] I dare saying that the woman is even superior to man as shows by the concrete cases recorded into the Holy Scripture. No human being has ever been so close to God as the Mother of our Lord Jesus Christ was: she carries Him in Her womb, She nurtured Him, She carried Him in Her arms, and Shen lived in His neighborhood for the whole His life! No other human being, be it patriarchs, saints, whatever, has ever been so close to God! While the apostles not so valiantly stayed hidden and fearful behind locked doors, those who went to the Savior's tomb were the prudes, and not some men. The prudes were the first to ascertain Christ's resurrection and the prudes told the apostles that Christ resurrected but the apostle didn't believe it. There is a remnant of misogynism and patriarchalism in the Christian

Ontology: Monasticism aims to impede themselves living[220].

Ontology: The fact that God has prepared a hell for the sinners it is an admission that God have been knowing from eternity that there will be some sinners[221].

Ontology: In this universe there is no separation between matter and spirit[222].

---

religion that, in time, it will be gone. The arguments invoked against women's priesthood – namely the monthly period – it doesn't stand, because is like accusing God that He places this natural physiological phenomenon in woman. We seem quite unable to understand – or totally hypocritical – that the cleanness God wants from us a natural and spiritual one and not an anti-natural cleanness! Up to these days, the theology and the Church adopted a unethical attitude related to woman, while in the same time, affirming a theoretical love for the Mother of the Lord, because of that being convenient and inconsequential to the men's domination in the real life.

[220] Read the writings of the Holy Fathers and see that the whole their doctrine aims to mortify the body and to somehow live without living - only because the suicide is forbidden, though, one who exhaust his body and who searched for getting ill and who rejoices of getting ill and who joyfully waits for the exit from this life, we are not sure to what extent he intentionally or not reaches his death!... But the monks still live in this world, because: where do they withdraw, in another universe?!... They still need for and at least some water in order not to commit suicide. They still nee some clothes in order to remain decent. But they aim at and they strive to radically change the rules and the order they blame in society. Do they succeed in that? I ascertained by my own experience that some of them – seemingly only a very few of them – they do succeed, while the other part of them – seemingly most of them – fail in that and they actually construe an even worse society / world around them. Despite the monks claiming that their living is the natural way of living and the living in the world is unnatural, the things are quite the opposite. We do not disregard or disrespect the monasticism, as an individual choice. But we oppose the monks' claim of having the monopoly upon salvation.

[221] Would that mean that there are sinners as consequence to how God has created the universe. I cannot answer this question… But it seems as affirming that there is an intrinsic flaw to the human nature allowing / leading to the existence of the sinners. The really important question is: why would he imperfect by birth?!... Then, if such an imperfection exists, then it will necessarily manifest in diverse degrees, so some people would be more exposed to sin than others…

[222] Despite throughout all the mankind's history has been manifesting this idea of separation between body and soul / matter and spirit, there actually is no separation between matter and spirit, in this universe / reality. Treating them separately and even reckoning the spirit as friend and the matters as foe it has been counterproductive and it has led us to all kind of imbecilities and excesses. Due to their unity, we can say – still remaining in that scholastic paradigm of the separation because we lack the possibility of expressing our idea by using other ways, for there has not been developed any other way – that the spirit can transform the matter and the matter can transform the spirit, but the direction of that transformation is not necessarily evil when determined by spirit, nor necessarily good when determined by spirit. We can see throughout all the history – even through the history of the Church – all sorts of abominations determined by "spirit" upon matter.

Ontology: Your sin, as also your good deeds, they affect the whole universe[223].

Ontology: Christendom consists of renouncing to worldly power[224].

Ontology: God created this universe by His will[225].

Ontology: Nothing is necessarily existing, except God[226].

Ontology: God created the pleasure[227].

Ontology: Christian theology is a theology of the sufferance.

Ontology: Human body is quite inefficient energetically[228].

---

[223] We are here wholistic in views. And based on quantum physics / entanglement.

[224] This is the hardest to achieve and the Christendom as religion hasn't achieved it yet. The gravity center of the preaching of our lord Jesus Christ it is right these: renouncing to the worldly power, manifested in all its paradigm: richness, social positions, controlling other people, glory etc. etc. Maybe the greatest deceit of the devil is that the Church through its clergy has been doing and they still do exactly the opposite. That's why the Church has been burdened with countless mortal sins.

[225] The official doctrine says: "by His Word" and from there it has been developed a whole broad consequential scaffolding. But who heard God uttering those creational words so that he could record those words in writing?!... I think I am not wrong saying that it is cert that God created this universe by His will, for the will is previous to speaking, or to any other communication forms. So, logically seeing this, this universe exists so God certainly and surely wanted it to exist. But how God created this universe, we cannot know sure. We can imagine all sorts of myths, but we will never be able to know, even after this universe will come to an end, because it is too complex a matter to be understood by the human mind, and, it simply isn't destined to human spirit to understand it.

[226] The First and Ultimate Cause is the only One necessarily existing. All other realities have been and continue to be added, but they have not influence upon their Initial and Ultimate Cause. Everything other is a consequence, and therefore it belongs to a lower/inferior order, so that it can be eliminated or recreated, without having any control upon its own existence. Only God controls the existence of everything, even of His own, though saying this it is limitative relatedly to God. Actually, anything we say about God is limitative from start, because we use limitative concepts reasoned with a limited mind to describe the Unlimited.

[227] The pleasure has been imbedded by God into His Creation. I think all creatures have this pleasure as a mechanism of keeping them alive. Pleasure is vital. Pleasure is a vital way of thriving. Fighting the pleasure, attempting to eliminate the pleasure is unrealistic and it is also a hypocritical lie. Fighting the pleasure means rejecting God's intentions in His Creation. The ascetics actually replace a sort of pleasure for something else equally pleasurable but declared / reckoned as superior: they reject / fight the pleasure coming form eating, sleeping, drinking, sex, but, while declaring that they fight the pleasure they actually aim to obtain the ecstasy… regardless to what they attribute the ecstasy, the ecstasy still is an overwhelming pleasure!...

[228] It consumed only around 600 kcal while running for seven kilometers in an hour, and then, the rest of maybe 2500 sitting and doing nothing all day.

Epistemology: If the only purpose of the live is the salvation in afterlife, then all religions will be right. And if not, the Christendom will be wrong too.

Ontology: Life is an experiment; you can only be spectator to life and suffer and finally die. That's all![229]

Ontology: Our thinking is rather a chaining of prejudgments than a real reasoning[230].

Epistemology: Every theology disregards the fact that we know God only circumstantially and contextually[231].

Ontology: Fanatic ascesis means suicide[232].

Epistemology: Lack of education doesn't facilitate the faith[233].

Ontology: Fanaticism is idolatry[234].

Ontology: Fearing the heresy means clergy's fear of losing the worldly power[235].

---

[229] Of course, there are more engaged spectators, or more indifferent. But nevertheless, we all are spectators. We cannot change anything.

[230] I dare top say that a large quantity of our thinking is a reiteration of "banner-like" sentences instead of a real engagement in checking up what we think as truth. Social psychology calls this as "heuristics".

[231] Every theology claims the absolute property over the absolute truth. In this regard, all theologies are wrong. If only the Christians will be saved, we must ascertain a big waste of human souls.

[232] Even though, in our embedded hypocrisy we reckon similar things with dissimilar appreciations.

[233] Several Holy Fathers preached the renunciation to all 'worldly education" in order one to only receive the knowledge from the Holy Ghost. They were contradicted by other highly educated Holy Fathers who were, some of them, even famous philosophers. We think that lack of education doesn't bring anything but brutality and cruelty.

[234] Human beings fall so easily in idolatry. Idols can be crafted out of anything. Even out of own theology, for instance. Often, the idolatry is confounded to love. Or, this connection is intentionally forged by those who lead us in temptation. For instance, overlooking the Holy Fathers theological and "worldly" mistakes is not love but idolatry. There cannot be love outside the truth.

[235] Every human being can be reckoned as heretic, because there are not two identical beliefs, even inside the same faith. The human mind is incapable of absolute judgments. Everything we think it is related to context, so it is both relative and contextual. All Christian denominations are heretic in comparison to one another, so, reciting the *Creed* is hypocritical in declaring the faith "in one Church".

Ontology: Human being is ontologically good[236].

Ontology: Universe is the expression of the struggle between life and death[237].

Epistemology: One must bring theology in coherence[238].

Ontology: There is not separate bodily and souly sufferance[239].

Ethics: Forgive us, Lord Jesus Christ, for we haven't started yet to apply Your commandments[240]!

Ontology: Why doesn't God stop the wars?!...[241]

Clergy of all religions have been striving so much – and they are still striving – for identifying the cult to God, insomuch that the cult has become God. But that has conveyed the people's shortcoming upon the way we perceive God[242].

---

[236] I admit that this is an emotionally driven declaration. I cannot explain why some people are so evil, even devilishly evil. I wish people were all good and kind and considerate, but they are not.

[237] And between aggregation and disaggregation. And there is not empty space in the Universe. Disaggregation doesn't necessarily – or always – mean death: it means destruction but it means creation too: it means changes.

[238] Even the Holy Fathers were contradicting and criticizing on one another, though the clergy keeps that as an uncomfortable secret. By the way, no other human beings have been done – and still do – so much evil to the Church as the clergy do. Regarding the writings of the Holy Fathers, one must bring those in coherence among themselves and to the present state of the knowledge.

[239] The body and the soul are a unit. The body and the soul exist only in unity. Any attempt of separation lead to illness, insanity and death. The way the Holy Fathers preached the body as an enemy of the soul not only that is not original – see for instance Plato, or almost any other religion… - but it is wrong and counterproductive. It is like claiming that God created the body to punish the man and to impede the man from being saved.

[240] We have developed all the religious elements present in all religions. But we haven't started yet to: turn the other cheek" neither to "love our enemy".

[241] God told us twice not to kill. First, by Moses. Second, by Christ Jesus our Lord and God. We are the one who must stop the wars! We are the ones waging wars. And I am not able to answer the paradigms cognate to war.

[242] Christians say that Christ is the Head of the Church and the believers are the body: the limbs of Christ. But then they persecute and kill their neighbors in the name of Chris t and they pray to Christ Him to help them in their persecutions and killing. Nobody has been doing so much harm to Christendom as the clergy have. The clergy divided the Church while hypocritically still uttering as each Holy Liturgy the Crede in "one Church". The clergy associated the Church to ruthless conquistadors who destroyed civilizations and persecuted and killed countless people. The clergy caused and were parts in bloody religious wars. The question is: what have the clergy really contributed to mankind with?!... In my view any attempt of God – including by Jesus Christ our Lord and God – to tell the mankind what we should do, it has been de hijacked and earthed (in a bad sese) by clergy, who brought down to

Ontology: The ritual is not God[243].

Ontology: Affirming that God has punished us for Adam's and Eva's mistake it means diminishing God[244].

Ontology: Christendom is not fakirism[245].

Ontology: Venerating the remnants of the Saint is an element present in all religions[246].

Ontology: Where go the angels whose people died?[247]

---

earth the initial spiritual revelation from God. The clergy drowned the spirituality in the very world they declare they hate!

[243] All our developments and structures which we worship God with, are only adequations (see Lucian Blaga's philosophy). Ritual and institutional organizations like Churches are adequations too. They are the best we can do in connecting ourselves to God. But they are not God. When we reckon those as God, we transform them in idols. But the idols are not living so that they remain behind mankind's evolution, because an ossified structure cannot adapt and evolve. That's why they become a source of persecutions and killings, a source of sufferings, and they drag their worshippers backwards. But God is a living God! So that they who reckon the ritual, the denomination, the Churches as God, they limit God and they actually separate themselves from God and fall into idolatry.

[244] It actually affirms that God was compelled by something: be it His fury, be it the transgression of His command, whatever! God reacted to something as automatically! God was forced by their sin to react predictably! And what if God had forgotten them and explained them once again what they should or shouldn't do?!... A God forced by something is not a God, he is rather a man's imagination.

[245] By adding burden upon burden, some of the Christian theologians – if not most of them – developed a Christendom ever-farer from what our Lord and God Jesus Christ commanded us to do with our deed. Unbearable ascesis, self-destructive isolation and self-punishment, those became the impossible to achieve norms and it seems to me that such theology was searching God right in the impossibility to find Him. Such ascesis became and idol which the whole other world had been sacrificed to. And there were also Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christien theology who made Christendom livable and inhabitable again.

[246] There are mummies everywhere, even better preserved that the bones of the Christian Saints. If only the Christian Saints had been unrotten, then that would have been an undeniable argument that their Christian holiness preserved them. But because there are mummies preserved in all religions - such an argument is untenable.

[247] If any human being receives and angel at birth, then there will be equally numerous angels as many people lived, are living, and will live on Planet Eart. Then where do go those angels whose human being dies? Are they recycled, appointed to another born person?!... Diverse Christian denominations invented diverse types of baptism, some immediately after birth, other at a certain age of the child, and they say that God sends His angel to a certain person only at or after Baptism. But then, without Baptism a certain person won't receive any angel?!... Is God impeded by the human rules on any Christian denomination from sending any angel to the person born because He wanted?!... And people of other religions do not receive any angel from God?!... I think that actually there is no need of angels or devils (also

Ethics: Priesthood is a life-or-death matter[248].

Ethics: Words can kill countless souls[249].

Ontology: If God hadn't separated the Church, the clergy would have created a hell on Planet Earth[250].

---

angels, but evil), but people are both angels and devils, capable of the kindest deeds as also of the unimaginable abominations!!!.... Maybe angel is that positive and kind energy created by the birth and living in the Universe of a kind human being, while devil is that energy generated by the evil deeds and life of failed human beings.

[248] Asking Christ to become His priest must be done in full awareness of the fact that you ask Christ to be crucified, and you promise Christ that you are ready to be crucified. If not, you will lie to Christ! This doesn't impede priests from having a normal life into society. Being crucified for Christ doesn't imply exceptionalism or spectacle, for it is an intimate and constant battle with their own self. But they must fight their own temptation to death. The priests must be ready for the time when their principles will be challenged and they will be asked to prove themselves. Preaching must be done with the deed, not with banner-like and cliché discourses. The sinners must be approach with love not with criticism and hatred, and they must be convinced by the personal example of the priests and not by priests' utterance of some empty words. Dogma without being applied into real life in the spirit of the love, it rather becomes a justification for persecutions and killings. Where did Christ ask us to persecute and kill in His name?!... But because we only follow him in theory and not in practice, we did this "abomination of the desolation put in an honorable place": we have been persecuting and killing – and we still are doing that – in the name of Jesus Christ Who is Love!!!....

[249] Let's take for instance the case of the confessors in any religion, who by saying even only one word, or even by being one of their words misunderstood by the believers who confess their sins to them, that one word can offend the believer and make his lose his faith or his enthusiasm. Any uncontrolled mood or emotion of the confessor can affect the believer who confesses. I cannot even imagine the courage – or the unconsciousness – the people who accept to be confessors have; maybe most of them are unaware of their immense responsibility they have in front of God for losing His souls! This is also a consequence of the fact that, also consciously or unconsciously, in order to gain power over believers, all the clergy declare themselves as absolute, as perfect, so that the believers too regard them as absolute and perfect and expect from clergy to be absolute and perfect – which most of them are not! As we can see by looking around, the clergy are simple people as well, despite they claiming they are superior because they have received an ordination. In my view the ordination is a matter of life-and-death and, if regarded as making the ordained person perfect, or superior, it will make impossible for that person to life a normal life. This is a vicious circle: declaring oneself perfect and then being incapable of being perfect and suffering because of that. There is no such thing as a confessor – or any other human being regardless how intelligent – who to be able to exactly understand the communication of another person addressed to him, and vice-versa. There is a sharp entropy which relativizes everything. So, any human can issue only relative judgments. But the confessors of all religions claim they are inspired by their Gods, in their judgments. I see here the danger that the confessor ends up to reckon himself as god.

Ontology: If only Christ Jesus our Lord and God was perfect, then the human project would be a failed project[251].

Ethics: We do not love Christ Jesus, but we love our image about Him[252].

Epistemology: If the humbleness had been applied as preached, there would have been only deacons and beginner in Christendom[253].

Ethics: The monks' purpose in life is that of not having a life[254].

---

[250] Any religion that gives its clergy with absolute powers will inevitably be corrupted by its absolute power and it will see the world around as a world of slaves forced to obey their clergy-masters or otherwise to go to hell or, yes! all religions did and still do that: be persecuted and killed here on Planet Earth. A sole religion having a sole leadership of clergy would have been a uncontested power on Planet Earth and, by gradually being corrupted by their absolute power their would have instituted a hell on Planet Earth.

[251] Why would God ask the human beings to be perfect while He knows from ever and forever that such perfection is not possible in the human beings?!... I think that even in the Gospel the clergy adopted a translation convenient to them and that served their power lust, because it provided them with the tool to dominate upon laymen by making them feel guilty. This case can be similar to the translation from Greek of the phrase "blessed will you be" for "happy will you be", so that the Orthodox fundamentalists ended up in searching for sufferings in order they to be happy.

[252] There has been taking place a special and huge sort of deceit – which Jesus warned us about: we have replaced the commandments of Christ Jesus our Lord and God for our wills. We utter prayers for Christ to help us in wars, and to bless our armies going to kill our enemies, but Christ commanded us to turn the other cheek too and to love even our enemies! The theology and the doctrine developed by the Church have become idols and Christians killed in their names, namely the Christians brought human sacrifices to their idola: the doctrine and the theology and the worldly power of the Church. Do these seem as we are loving Christ Jesus?!... We have earthen – in a bad meaning - Christ's commandments, instead of spiritualizing ourselves. We oppose Christ Jesus through our image about Him. We are willing to do everything except observing His commandments in truth! We forget about Christ in our idolatry for our image about Him. We deem as holy what was human in Christ and we cannot actually see what was godlike in Christ.

[253] Christen preachers preach everywhere the humbleness as supreme virtue, but they are the first not to apply it in their real lives, but only in their sermons! If it hadn't been so, then there wouldn't have been any ranks in monasticism, but only disciples and beginners and brothers, and there would have not been any ranks among priests and bishops and patriarch, except deacons serving the believers. The sophism that they did not want any ranks but God forced them by calling them, it would be only a sophism. One can use words and lies in order to deny everything – those stiller main only empty words and evil lies.

[254] God creates us for life and the monks refuse to accept this gift and they strive for not having an actual life "in the name of God". They "cut off their will and totally obey their spiritual father" – but don't they do that because of their own will?!... Aren't they those who want by their own will to "cut off their own will"?!... God created the human being for having sex too, for having children as well. Refusing that means rejecting God's project.

Ethics: Isn't the man God's responsibility?!...[255]

Ethics: Accepting your cross doesn't mean passiveness[256].

Ethics: People cannot actually understand humbleness[257].

Ethics: The monks affirm that they are poor, while only their monastery is rich[258].

Ontology: There is nothing consolatory in death[259].

---

[255] All theologies in addition to affirm that the human being is God's creation, they add the man's guilt for himself. Doesn't this seem like the human theologians refuse God any responsibility for the man?!... And hos could such an imperfect being like the man be responsible for everything that is wrong with himself?!...

[256] The Holy Fathers, because of their zeal, they developed a theology commending, recommending, and prescribing the sufferings, and avoiding any action to lighten the sufferings down. But then, one who stepped on a nail, he would bear that nail in his sole until his death… In my view one must examine the source of his sufferings and try and find a solution for avoiding them. The same Holy Fathers who recommended passiveness in bearing the sufferings, they still used the fire during cold days, they bore clothes, they sheltered themselves sin at least in a cave against bad whether… I think actively searching for alleviating and avoiding one's sufferings is actually a proof of humbleness, while in doing nothing for alleviating or avoiding sufferings it actually hides vain-glory. And actively searching for sufferings and self-inflicting sufferings is pure masochism. Why to use the comfort of using a pair of scissors for having our hair and beard trimmed, instead of simply plucking the hair off?!... Why to brush our teeth and wash on ourselves by using soap, or even why to wash up?!... Why to go to dentist, and why to go the physician?!... Just endure our sufferings… But does God want us to be so crazy?!...

[257] Some of the Protestants affirm that the human being cannot become saint; Catholics and Orthodox affirm that some Catholics and some Orthodox can become saints while on earth. Who do you think is humbler?!...

[258] That's a nonsense! A rich monastery will have food and accommodations and amenities for its inhabitants. So, a rich monastery means rich monks, despite they administrate their wealth in common.

[259] Our Lord Jesus Christ didn't urge us to die, but to live with Him in love. He resurrected dead people. He commanded the people from around to give the resurrected people something to eat. He glorified the life and the love! There is nothing but sadness in the fact that we killed Him so savagely! But He resurrected and he lives within the hearts of the believers. Death means sadness. death means the end of a unique moment inf the existence of the universe. So, you all theologians, stop glorifying the death!!! Our God is a living God!!!.... To crush the hearts of the Christians and do not construe a gloomy world destined only to death, because that is a subtle but a plain deceit, aiming to replace the joy from God for the sadness from the evil!... How could Christendom be a "good news" while preaching and idolatrizing the sufferings and the death?!... Can't you see that you misinterpret the word of our Lord Christ Jesus?!... The biggest heretics you are, for corrupting God's creation into sadness, gloom, and sufferings. Stop urging us to reject the gift of this present life for this means rejecting a gift from God!!!....

Epistemology: Jesus of Nazareth – our Lord Christ and God – was a reformer of the Mosaic religion[260].

Ethics: Reckoning one denomination as superior to others, it is a sign of vain-glory[261].

Epistemology: Theologians justify themselves and not on God[262].

Ethics: Stope and think before acting or reacting[263].

Ethics: You cannot say something about God only because that thing is convenient to you[264].

---

[260] And as any other reformer in any other domain, He was rejected and, in the end, we killed Him. People reject and fear any reform. People want to remain comfortable and live their superstitions and prejudices as they have even been before. We are too lazy to accept evolution.

[261] All religious doctrinal strife and the abominable persecutions and killing coming out of those, are the results of the vain-gloriousness and of the pride. This is a mega-deceit, as many other mega-deceits, as for instance: woman is evil and inferior and unclean; sex is a capital sin; the world is bad and it must be rejected; the body is bad and it must be mortified etc. All of these are what our Lord God Jesus Christ warned us about: replacing the law of God with our human laws sprang out of our stupid human thoughts – which we instead reckoned them as inspired by the Holy Ghost!...

[262] We, as humans, having our mind intentionally limited in its functionality by God, we can perceive only that much about God and only by using material metaphors for expressing our perceptions about God. But we become frustrated and we start persecuting and even killing people who disagreed our human opinion about God, and not God Himself. We fight for justifying our opinion about God! – God doesn't need us to defend or justify Him!!!.... In all of this we manifest openly our vain-gloriousness, even by claiming that our human-conceived fundamentalism is inspired to us by the Holy Ghost.

[263] Avoid automatic response to any internal or external stimuli. Take your time and think about that before displaying any behavior related to the situation which you are in. Ask yourself what our Lord Jesus Christ would do in such a circumstance, or as yourself what He commanded us to do. And do what He told us to do. Actually, all Christ's commandments can be summed up to this: be kind to your neighbor! To some extent all our responses to stimuli are learned, and not mandatory.

[264] Regardless what the imagine purpose was, you would lie saying unproven things about God or related to God. Why do we say that John was the "beloved disciple" when we do not know that for sure?!... Why do we affirm that the Evangelists were among the twelve disciples of God, when we do not know that for sure, and when the historical proofs until know tend to show that they weren't among the twelve disciples. Why do we affirm that each letter form the Gospels are sacred while we know for sure that the oldest written manuscript of the Four Gospels – Codex Sinaiticus – contains 35.000!!! corrections and supra-writing of words?!... When did God tell us to lie for Him?!... But I think this is another deceit, because the earthly goals of the Christians were confounded for the heavenly goals of God, so that actually the Christians have been lying for themselves, for their earthly power and benefits… But such an approach doesn't but bring disservices to the Christendom itself! Why us the

Ethics: A lie remains a lie, regardless its claimed purpose[265].

Ethics: Using any religion for gaining earthly power is an abomination[266].

Ontology: Followers of all religions confound the faith to the denial of the actual reality[267].

Ontology: All religions are syncretisms[268].

Epistemology: All religions are adequations[269].

Ontology: We jump to take the big things, while we hypocritically reject the seemingly humble things[270].

Christian theologians cannot simply say: "We simply do not know that"?!... Is that because we are slaved to the vaingloriousness?!... Yes, it is!!!....

[265] In theological texts as *the Romanian Philokalia* I have come across to places where some of the Holy Fathers lied and they justified that by saying that they lied out of their humbleness. I see in these two aspects: a lie remains a lie regardless the claim purpose and a lie cannot be justified by anything to become a truth; secondly, I see here the claim of having humbleness, and that's pure vain-gloriousness.

[266] Christians speak about freedom and then they anoint – in the name of Holy Trinity - kings and emperors who have an absolute power upon their earthly subject. Can you see the abominable deceit?!...

[267] There is nothing new in Christian doctrine. The Hindu spoke about Maya thousands of years before Christendom. Theologians confound the love for God to masochism, and the faith to denial of reality. But the only thing God asks from us: to be kind to one another, they sold it for worldly power, so they reached to persecute and kill one another.

[268] And that's not bad! Each religion took from God as much as they could perceive and formulate, but, because of their human weakness and limitations, the theologians were able to perceive and express from God only limited contents. Other religions did the same. So, taking everything that one can find as good in any knowledge content it is a good thing rather than to be a bad thing. But there remains a core of principles as expressed by our Lord God Jesus Christ, valid to all religions regardless the ways which they imagine and worship God in, but despite those principles being of common sense, it seems so difficult to us to apply them in practice, because we are evil. So, syncretism is not bad; bad is not applying the kindness in the real world and life, while only claiming it and speaking about it theoretically.

[269] (See at Lucian Blaga). We can only perceive, understand, and express very limited things regarding God. The only things God told of personally are the Gospels. But even in the Gospels, the words of our Lord God Jesus Christ have been altered by so numerous consecutive translations and corrections, so that we are no longer able to discern between what Jesus originally said and what a scribe wrote them from himself. The oldest manuscript of the New Testament that we have, it is *Codex Sinaiticus*, and it is a palimpsest containing 35.000 corrections. All the more the way we worship God: doctrines, rituals, clothing rules, are adequations. Myself I am practicing the Christian Orthodoxy for I do not know nothing better than that. And it has been working for me in the real life!!! But even the Christian Orthodox doesn't equal God, or, otherwise we make an idol of it!...

Ethics: Christian doctrine does injustice to the man[271].

Ethics: Blaming solely the man it is an offense brought to God[272].

Epistemology: Denying and argument cannot be an argument in your favor[273]!

---

[270] The Christian theologians speak so emphatically about how the human being has become brother and sister to our lord Jesus Christ and son and daughter of God. They also describe in countless theology pages how Christ Jesus saved us, He restored us, and He destroyed the hell. But we actually are evil to one another and sinners, and, consequently, some of us will go in the hell. I see in this an insane vain-glory. We must start once doing what our Lord Christ Jesus commanded us: to be kind to one another!

[271] Because of not being able to bring their doctrine in coherence the Christian theologians preferred the easy way: blame the human being for everything evil. The bases for that are: claim as total and real an actually inexistent freedom; deny the actual reality by claiming what suits your doctrine, despite of seeing with your own eye the exact opposite; reckoning the man as perfect when it suits your theologizing, and reckoning the man as sinful and weak and imperfect when it suits your claims and so on. But remember: the human beings are born on this Planet Earth without asking for it, and also in Muslim and Jew and Hindu etc. families, and some unborn babies are killed in gruesome wars, and some human beings are born mentally disabled etc. And if you feel yourself offended because your theology is criticized, you are not disciple of our Lord God Christ Jesus! – this is because your theology has become an idol to you and you are ready to persecute and even to kill human beings to your theology. Most of theologians start from the conclusion that the man is guilty for everything evil and then they try to justify their conclusion; they do not proceed to an actual analysis, one based on really bringing arguments in favor of the man too, but they only try to justify their position by any means, even though they commit injustice and on occasion they even shamelessly lie. As for instance by justifying the celebration of Christmas on the winter solstice while clearly lying about Christ's birthday, because Christ was rather born on 4th of 6th of June; but the clergy noticed that almost nobody celebrated on that day so they cunningly moved the celebration of our Lord and God's birthday on winter solstice, so that to attract more celebrators. I think that's why Christmas holiday looks so pagan! A reason why some of the Christian theologians hated philosophers so much was also the fact that the philosophers' reasonings exposed the theologians' mistakes and lies. God doesn't need us to lie on His behalf! Our lies don't "help" God in any way!

[272] Actually, a wide part of the Christian Orthodox theology speaks in details about how God leads and teaches and upheld and forsakes and then forgives the man again, to that there is no such thing as God's non-involvement. But then, if we say the opposite, we will actually say that God participates to our sins… But the theologians seem to assume as their main task to defend God. But God doesn't need to be defended by people! It would be more honest if we admitted that we do not know almost nothing about God!... When fitting our theology, we affirm God's total involvement, while we deny any responsibility to God when it is about our failure. But won't be our failure – for we are God's design and project – a failure of God as well?!... From here came the attempt to achieve a total ascesis, but that too is unsatisfactory and a partial solution: if all the people became monks and nuns, the mankind would disappear in three generation; and what about all the people who are not even Christians: will all of them go in hell?!... were they, therefore, created by God for hell?!... the only solution I see to this is us to admit that we cannot know almost anything despite we clam to know everything!...

Epistemology: Exaggerations and all sorts of rhetorical means are not valid arguments[274].

Ontology: Incomprehensible diversity of life on Planet Eart is an argument in favor of being created by God[275].

Ethics: God doesn't want praise from us[276].

Ethics: Theologians of all religions separated more believer from God than atheists will ever do[277].

Ontology: People are the ones creating the hell on Planet Earth[278].

---

[273] I heard for some many times, especially in sermons, that we must not affirm a certain thing, and that rejection was used as an argument in favor of sermon's claims. For instance, we have to endure Christ's sufferings, and we must not argue that He was able to do that because He was also God; or, "despite Christ knew that, because He was God, He actually asked that in order us to hear it today" and so on; or saying that Christ Jesus our Lord and God actually wanted to say something else and that His sayings actually have secret meanings; pure sophisms…

[274] There are many theologians who confounded their keen desire to be right to a valid argument. Rhetorical means when speaking about God can be persuasive but they do not constitute valid arguments. There are Christian theological texts which actually are poetries, or very emotional declarations of faith and love made to God, but they are not valid arguments in speaking about God. Let's try and theologize by using a normal language! We will see thus if we have any theological substance to provide. Any exalted language and attitude are rather a prayer and not theology.

[275] It seems logical to me that if the life had evolved by itself, the diversity of life's manifestations on Planet Earth would have been reduced to a few species. I intuit that the life has no such capability to become so diverse and so complex by itself. This doesn't contradict the existence and the reality of the existence; but I say that even this evolution is controlled by God. We do not know the solution to the contradiction: Has God created an imperfect world? But, then, what we define the perfection like? I see the need for a general revision at least of the philosophical terminology: good, bad, perfect, imperfect, life, death, evolution etc.

[276] God want us to be kind to our neighbor! Our Lord Jesus Christ told us everything we must do. But we do not do just that!!!.... Praying twenty-four hours a day, praising God continuously will be futile if we exist the church and persecute and kill one another. God doesn't need our praises neither our prayers! God need nothing from us! God wants us to be kind to His creation, people, animals, and the whole nature. But we are egotistic, savages, hypocrites, evil… We disgust God praising Him and praying to Him while doing the opposite of His commandments!!!....

[277] There's nothing more abominable than bishops or priests raping children in churches. There's nothing more abominable that waging wars in the name of Jesus Christ Who commanded us to love our neighbor and even our enemies! Buddha preached also the nonviolence… etc.

[278] Theologians invented such a childish excuse that we are not in fact guilty of the crimes we perpetrate, but somebody else who tempts us… The actual truth is that we are guilty! We do

Ontology: Saying that the human being I in the image and likeness of God is an offence to God[279].

Epistemology: Theologians force us to live in an adequation[280].

Ontology: Damnation in hell must be only temporary[281].

Ethics: Religion doesn't determine what the people behave like[282].

Ethics: Humans bring the evil in the world[283].

---

all abomination and, in order to put is in an honorable place, we attribute our abomination to God!

[279] Look around and see if the attributes of God can be found in the humans! There has been not peace since the recorded history, ever. We seen to be bond to persecute and kill on one another in the most abominable ways!... Must we deny the reality in order to be faithful?!... Will God punish us for the daring of ascertaining the reality created by Him?!... I am totally disappointed in man!!!.... Saying that the man is in the image and in the likeness of God it means also that we are God's image and He is like us!... Isn't that a blasphemy?!...

[280] Despite being claimed as the "truth" about God, any theology remains an expression of the human mind's limitations. Some theologians declare that no one can actually know God, but then they describe God… Isn't that insanity?!... There are also some sophisms claiming that, for instance, we cannot know God but we can know God's uncreated divine energies – this is like saying that we cannot know the water but we can feel if cold and wet and tasty and fresh… But all of this comes from our vain-gloriousness because we simply cannot admit that we truly cannot know God, be it us theologians as well… Theologians create some adequations (see Lucian Blaga) and force us to live those adequations as those adequations would have been the actual reality. But the reality, as created by God Himself, it remains around us. What theologians actually compel us to do it to willingly blind ourselves so that no longer to be us able to see the reality of the God's Creation. They force us to declare and live a lie that lies against God.

[281] Affirming the eternity of the damnation equals affirming God's failure. If even only one soul ends forever in hell, God failed relatedly to that soul. Any soul has been, is being, and it will be created by God Himself Who is all-knower and God knows from eternity how a soul that He creates will be. Affirming the eternal damnation would mean: God creates souls knowing that those souls will end in hell; God doesn't know that certain souls will end in hell and therefore God is not omniscient and fore-knower. The only solution to this is that God won't actually lose any soul, even if some souls need some cleaning period in hell. See what Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology said: "God doesn't leave any soul even in hell"! But Saint Dumitru were tributary to his theological formation infused by that time's idiolect, and he were unable to develop his reasoning any further.

[282] Intransigent and fundamentalist Christians would have been intransigent and fundamentalist Muslims, if they have been born Muslim. And vice-versa! People are characterized by their deeds and not by their religion. Intransigent and fundamentalist religious people would have been intransigent and fundamentalist atheists as well!!!....

[283] There's no doubt about it! We persecute and kill our neighbors. We wage wars. And not God!

Ethics: Good and evil must be defined started from the physical body[284].

Ontology: If God had listened to theologians, His creation would have disappeared in three generations[285].

Ontology: Natural are the laws placed by God in His created Universe[286].

Ethics: Sins are forgiven and erased by priests at confession. Still, sins' effects have inerasable consequences[287].

Ethics: A part of the Christian theology presents God as negotiating with the devil, or even collaborating with the devil[288].

---

[284] And not from some "spiritual" elucubrations! Otherwise, some theologians reached the absolute abomination of affirming that it is good to persecute, harm, wound or kill somebody - be it even oneself – and that in their opinion would be good. So, in the name of the "good" that was actually evil, they urged believers to do all sorts of abominations.

[285] They who have preached and who are still preaching the end of the world, they preach against God's Creation. But God doesn't listen to them. What it is actually totally wrong is that some theologians preach the end of the world as an absolute thing, and not as a possibility. They somehow confound their intellectual and imaginative productions to God's will, or even to God Himself.

[286] Most of the theologians operate a confusing overturn between natural and spiritual, so that they claim that the "actual natural" is the spiritual. But, in my opinion, everything that comes in conflict with the natural laws place by God Himself in His Creation it is totally wrong and in causes sufferance to Creation, because it comes against God Himself! Who are we the people to change God's Creation?!... Affirming that God's Creation is unnatural is disobedience to God.

[287] You harm your neighbor. She/he forgives you, and the priest forgives your sin. But your neighbor's life has been changed forever. You persecuted somebody: she/he will have those memories forever, and you have forever changed their lives. You kill somebody – be it in a war for "defending your country and your ancestral faith and Church"!!!... – her/his family will be forever harmed, and her/his life will have been irremediably cut short. Therefore, we must permanently take heed even to any of our words, for our sins can be forgiven, but the consequences of our stupidity affect the universe irreversibly.

[288] That's no wonder, because our very limited mind leads us to such dead end when trying to develop theological reasonings on God. What would be the ethical grounds for God allowing the devil to torment the people, in such kind a collaboration, and what would be the responsibility of the so weak and limited in power and knowledge human being, if fallen into temptation and committed sins?!... The theology affirms that no human being is able to do anything good except by the help and will of God! And that's absolutely true! But then, if God withdraws His power from us and if He forsake us, how will be held accountable for our sins?!... I do not see any exit from this conundrum except by affirming that, simply: we do not know!... I do not claim having answers, but I raise questions on that what seem questionable to be. My intuition tells me that the theologians have been rather preoccupied to cut off any chance of the human being to justify herself/himself, thinking that they do a favor to God; but does God need any favor from humans?!...

Ontology: The only absolute realities are: God, and our Lord Christ Jesus[289].

Epistemology: Any stupid saying will be accepted if seeming to match the official doctrine[290].

Epistemology: an exhaustive intra-lingual translation is needed[291].

---

[289] Everything else the theologians or the simple laymen add to those it is only something relative. Between God and us there is an uncrossable bridge: the human mind. We only perceive very limited things so that how could we say that we know God?!... Just look around, or just read the lives of the Saint and see how childish and limited their judgments were on occasions. Even the saints are not constantly and irrevocably saints, but only on occasions. And their holiness compared to God is nothing. So, the whole scaffolding consisting of worshipping, rituals, religious services, doctrine etc. it is only that what we are able to perceive and express about God, but it is by no means God. On the very moment that we start putting religion above human being, and persecuting and killing human beings who disagree our religion, on that very moment we have carved an idol and we start bringing it human sacrifices. Instead of reckoning the production of our human brains as absolute and in spired by the Holy Ghost – and therefore uncontradictable -, let's rather start putting in practice the very concrete and simple and clear commandments given us by our Lord God Christ Jesus! Fear not to be heretic but fear what we have been doing to our fellow humans because of the differences among our religious doctrines! And, by the way, because of not being there only one doctrine, all the doctrine become relative and contradicted by other doctrines. Where a simple human being should know from, which doctrine is better than other doctrine?!... In my opinion, affirming that "only we, the Orthodox, have the right-faith", it is a prove of our vain-gloriousness!!!.... And wee what the biggest Orthodox country in the world is doing to the second biggest Orthodox country in the world (the Russian aggression upon Ukraine), and remember what our Lord God Jesus Christ said to us that one can know the tree according to its fruits (Mt. 12: 33). What stronger proof one needs in order to see that the Orthodox manifests itself like any other religious doctrine and justified the persecution and the killing and of millions of people?!... We, the Orthodox, are demonstrating that our Orthodoxy is: "an abomination of the desolation put in an honorable place" and we the Orthodox are guilty for defiling our Orthodoxy!!!.... If you, the Orthodox, feel offended by these words, go an tell them to the mutilated, killed, and orphaned Orthodox children killed by their Orthodox killers!!!....

[290] If starting from what the Holy Father said, of even if starting from the Holy Scripture, any misinterpretation or any stupid saying has chances to be accepted, regardless how wrong that is. and in this regard the most dangerous and stupid are the anagogies, which tell us that what we read in the Holy Scripture it actually means something else, namely we are provided with a totally anachronical and imaginative misinterpretation. Add to that the fact that the oldest book containing the four Gospel it is palimpsest and it also contains 35.000 correction and super-writings operated by successive scribes. And see what the theologians have been doing to the word of Christ Jesus our Lord... But we are required to take all those as absolute, or else we will end in hell…

[291] Preserving old-fashioned language that is not longer in use, old terms no longer used, and old-wording that is so outdated those days, it has nothing "holy" in it. The word of God is a living word spoken to each generation in order they to be able to understand it. Stubbornly, fearfully, and lazily preserving outdated words and manners, it transforms those outdated words and manners in idols.

Epistemology: Rejecting everything that contradicts your beliefs it is not an epistemological principle[292].

Epistemology: Reality exceeds and contradicts any theology[293].

Ontology: Christian theology hasn't escaped the crystallization in a law[294].

---

[292] Some of the Holy Fathers commanded their disciples to stay away and forget any worldly science, including, for instance, even mathematics. They said that the only god science is that coming from the Holy Ghost into the totally untaught human being, as a miraculous science and knowledge related to nothing from the material world. Not to mention here the words they used to condemn and judge the philosophy and the philosophers as unavoidably destined to hell. But, in the same time, theologians have faculties, universities, and even academies. And they live benefitting of all the discoveries of the modern science: medicine, mechanics, physics and all. This is a deep contradiction in theology that must be solved in order the theology to restore its credibility. There is nothing wrong to say that such and such Holy Father was wrong and we no longer agree his sayings. It would only be an exercise of real Christian humbleness and courage.

[293] Enticed by their own feelings and imagination the theologians tend to elaborate an alternative reality, by wishful thinking. But the reality remains there and makes us suffer and it kills us all, in the end. But the reality has been created and it is being controlled by God. So, denying the reality means denying God's plan and will. Some theologians seem drunk by their own words and imaginings. Is it that that God wants from us, namely us to be incapable of contributing to society by locking ourselves in an imagined reality?!... All religions fight the actual reality and try to convince us that what we see is not real, or it is not good, or it is evil, and we must escape the present reality… but, then, hasn't been God Who created the present reality which the mankind has been living in since Creation?!... Christian theologians describe how Christ Jesus our Lord removed the damnation brought by Adam and Eva upon Creation, but then one needs only to look around and see that, actually, nothing has been changed, except that we have received the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ but we still do not want to apply them in practice, in the daily life, but we only speak about them in writing and by mouth in sermons… Aren't we those called to bring the change commanded us by Jesus Christ in His commandments?!... As I keep saying, God is not harmed by the stupid things we say about Him!... Christ Jesus has been the Initiator of the change, but the task to carry out the change is ours!... Until now we have failed to do that, we still are mere savages!...

[294] Christian theologians speak staunchly against the old law, against the Mosaic doctrine, citing in their sermons the words of our Lord God Jesus Christ against the letter that kills, and preaching a new freedom, but they actually followed the natural law governing the human communities and they formulated a new law, equally restrictive and abolishing or any freedom. Here they come with all sorts of sophisms in order to show that renouncing to the freedom is the actual freedom, but all of those remains mere sophism. The mankind is unable to be free; the mankind tends to enslave themselves to some idols, while being incapable of receiving our Lord God Jesus Christ. And then Christendom become an institutionalized religion, having hierarchies and chieftains and written rules and even our on justice-law. There was in history even a period which one must have proven in that he was worthy to be initiate in the mysteries of Christ Jesus: namely, the initiated chiefs monopolized Christ and dispensed Christ's teaching whomsoever they wanted. This is another idol we so easily carve to yourselves: the taking in possession even of God if we could!...

Ethics: Caring of nothing cannot constitute an ethical basis for communion[295].

Ontology: Theologians speak about God as God and as demiurge and as a being like us. And they do that in a mishmash[296].

Ethics: Reckoning the people who live in the world as sinners and already condemned to hell, it is vain-gloriousness[297].

---

[295] On one hand the Christian theology, especially the Holy Fathers, they preach the separation from all people, while, on the other hand, they preach the equal love for all people in communion. How can one be in communion and help people and love them, while refusing even his own children and brothers?!... Withdrawal from society cannot constitute a basis for contributing to society. How could I love my brother if I intentionally run away from him and if I cut off any relationship with him?!... Can an intellectual / principial love – which can also be only an imagine love –, namely praying for my neighbor but refusing to help him in the material world with material help, cat such a love be actually called a love?!...

[296] Almost all Christian theology falls when applied to it the attributes of God: almighty, omniscient, omnipresent, and all-knower. There is no moment in which God isn't in contact with every subatomic particle of His universe. If not, that's not a God, but only a being superior to us Who we say about all sorts of things, and Who we declare God but He wouldn't be really God. The incoherences and the deceits are due to the fact that the human mind cannot know God. Some of the theologians will argue this by saying that we can know God due to Christ Jesus our Lord God who embodied Himself and so the people living around Him knew Him. Which is only a partial true and a wishful thinking. Even from among Christ's disciples only a few intuited that he was God. The Christian theologians speak in the same time about a total kenosis of Christ's godlike features, and about the fact that it was possible Him to be perceived as God. Doesn't seem that a contradiction to you?!... The only two solution – and they are opposed to one another – to this are: either Christ Jesus wasn't totally emptied of His godlike features, so that was something to be perceived as godlike to Him; or He wasn't perceived as God but only as man, so that the theologians' affirmation about Him being perceived as God are false or are only wishful thinking. I said this before: if Christ had come again in the same conditions, we the Christian would have been the first to crucify Him, because of Him not corresponding to our self-flattering assumptions about Him. We have become the same with the Pharisees who wanted to kill Christ more than the Romans – who were pagans – wanted that. And this is because we have made of our sayings about Him, as the Mosaics did to, an idol; so, the Mosaic sacrificed Christ Jesus to the idol consisting of their assumption about Him, and I see that we are ready to crucify Him again as sacrifice brought to our idol consisting of our theology.

[297] An overlooked aspect of the asceticism and of the monasticism is that, in order to justify their willing search for loneliness, and they withdrawal from the world, they developed an argumentation including the fact that only they – and hardly even they – will be saved, and all the people living in society are sinners and they will surely go in hell. I see in this – though declaratively the ascetic affirm that they reckon themselves the most sinner of all people – an attitude of superiority. Another assumption related to this is the fact that only we the monks know the truth and we share it with the illiterate crowd coming to visit our monastery from the wicked world; but this has changed, and the most majority of the people living in society possess a greater science than the most monks living in monasteries, and who willingly reject the worldly science. Letting aside that some of the monks in their prayers speak about a society they imagine, while knowing nothing about the actual society. I heard a hieromonk teaching the attendance on how they should raise their children, while he hasn't ever raised

Ontology and Ethics: "It could have been worse" it is not either and ontological or ethical principle[298].

Ethics: Christian concept of humbleness must be revised[299].

Ethics: Christian concept of heresy must be revised[300].

Ethics: Fear is not an ethical principle[301].

---

any children. I heard a hieromonk preaching about forgiving our neighbor, and I wondered where did he know what forgiveness is because he had nothing to forgive to anybody, assuming that he lives among pious father and brothers.

[298] "It could have been worse" is an argument that actually shows our incapacity to change anything in better. Why must we exclude from the very beginning the possibility of "it could be better"?!... It seems that most of the Christian theology preaches and aims at a total victimization of the man, and it cannot stand any wellness or happiness in this life. They preach a human being in a deep state of suffering – while, in the same time, sadness/depression is a ticked to hell -, rejoicing of anything, constantly condemning herself/himself even when not guilty, and constantly pressing upon herself/himself to get out as soon as possible from this world. But Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christna theology said that God gave us this word and this body as gifts! I see in the rejection of this body and of this word a rejection of the gifts bestowed us by God, namely, that is a rejection of God Himself!...

[299] Humbleness as total-self-annulling and as total-self-sabotage must be revised and admitted as counterproductive and, mostly impossible to achieve. If one is truly humble as describe by the Holy Fathers, she/he won't be able to contribute in any way to the society, or even to his monastic group, or the Church as institution. If such humbleness had been attained, there would have been no priests, no bishops or patriarchs, no monks – because all of those would have reckoned themselves unworthy to go to theological faculties, or to attend exams, or to ask for being ordained etc. They wouldn't have been even able to exit their monastic cell and have any social contact or activity. The question is: Why to preach something unattainable while doing the exact opposite?!... This is similar to preaching the poverty and traveling in most expensive cars while wearing big and many golden jewelries over expensive vestments. Where did Christ prescribe us such a behavior?!... On the contrary, Christ Jesus our Lord God strongly condemned hypocrisy and vain-gloriousness. There is also impossible to discern between assertiveness and positive will and vain-gloriousness and self-appreciation. Each action of the human being is driven by the assumption that she/he can do that what she/he starts doing. If one is humble as the Holy Fathers recommended and described this concept, she/he will not even pray, because of reckoning herself/himself unworthy of praying.

[300] If God sent in hell diverse theologians of laymen for having different opinions than the official Christian doctrine, the God would send all theologians in hell, because any theologians belonging to different Christian denominations are heretics to other doctrines preached by other denominations. And God Who knows that we cannot know Him, why would Him be so unethical to punish us because Himself created us as incapable of knowing Him?!... And, actually, there is no such thing as identical faith! Each human mind shapes its own and unique knowledge on God depending on that mind's uniqueness. There can be a similar core of faith, but the rest is purely individual and dissimilar to any other faith belonging to any other human mind.

Ethics: Part of the Christian theology preaches the extreme mortification of the body and the social-suicide[302].

Ontology: Total elimination of pleasure is impossible[303].

Ethics: God doesn't play poker with us[304].

Ontology: Conservatism is counterproductive in Christendom[305].

---

[301] Can there be a faith based on fear?!... I wonder why would God – Who knows that we have no chance against Him and He knows that we are totally controlled by Him – want us to fear Him?!... I see in the cult of the fear a reminiscence of the slavery and medieval idiolect, when the master must have been feared, because the intellect of the people – and I'm about sure about this, lately – was plagued by illiteracy and to bring them in obedience or in submission the masters resorted to the most basic emotions, as fear is, as control means. I rather think that God wants us to accept Him freely and to manifest opened to Him and us to become aware of the God's will – as Christ Jesus our Lord God exposed it in His commandments – and us to created on this Planet Earth and on other planets from universe a Kingdom of Love. Fear excludes freedom. Diverse theologians composed childish sophisms explaining – in a utilitarian logic – that once totally obeying because of fear you will be free. But that's totally stupid! It is like saying that once dead of starvation you will be free of hunger!...

[302] I am saying "part" because there are plenty of other theologians who preached the social involvement and action, and a moderate bringing of the body under control. Some of the Christian theologians – as any other human minds in any other domain – tended to be extremists and fanatics in their practice, be it deep and harsh ascesis or perpetration of crusades in killing people of other faiths. And I am asking: what does Christ Jesus our Lord God have with our stupidity?!...

[303] Even the harshest ascetic feel pleasure for their spiritual achievements. While speaking about a total elimination of the pleasure, some of them still eat bread and drink water, and that means feeling pleasure. Total elimination of the pleasure would have meant the removal of the brain part dealing with pleasure and pain. Even the lack of the pain means to some extent pleasure.

[304] Neither roulette! By ignoring God's omniscience – namely God knew from eternity before I was born what I was to become, even a sinner! Affirming human being's freedom and rejecting the existence of the human being's destiny, it is identical to saying that God does not know what it is due to happen with us, namely it means denying God's omniscience. But some of the theology goes even further and describes the man's life as a poker game played against God, while the man is blindfolded and God chooses the man's cards so that the man to lose, and while the game lasts for such a spec of time! I think the problem of the destiny must be reevaluated, especially because of its implications upon human freedom.

[305] Ceaselessly reiterating thing conceived, imagined, and said about God by people whose intellectual level and whose knowledge level are totally outdated in the present – because of reckoning those sayings and conceptions as eternal truth – it steps hard on the brake and it locks our minds in the past, and it stops and forbids any progress. Actually, even the word progress has totally negative connotations in the theolect of any religion. The past have become an idol for all religions, and part of the theologians willingly persecute living human being, and they even kill human beings, as sacrifices to their idol called Past.

Ontology: We must accept our Lord God Christ Jesus a fully human[306].

Ethics: Present antiabortionists are idolater[307].

Ethics: Lie can be everywhere in words[308].

Epistemology: Exaggeration cannot constitute an epistemological principle[309].

Ontology: Enjoying your illness is not an ontological principle[310].

---

[306] There is no other way to understand our Lord God Jesus Christ but by accepting Him as fully human. Despite uttering the principle that Christ Jesus was both God and man – an I totally agree that – the Christian theology did everything possible to limit Jesus's human characteristics, and I think that that partially comes from the fact that the Christian theology is actually shamed and disappointed in the human being. The Christian theology speaks so much and so negatively about the human being than it becomes incapable of accepting Christ Jesus our Lord God as fully human. And perceiving - even partially as our human mind is able to - Christ Jesus our Lord God as God, it cannot be done without accepting Him as fully human.

[307] They persecute women in a cruel and blind and indiscriminate sacrificial religious blindness. They offer no help, they offer no alternatives, they simply judge and condemn and forcedly impose their belief on others. Where are the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ in their actions: love your neighbor as yourself, love your enemy, forgive for seventy time seven etc. In the antiabortionist movement it is rather manifested hypocrisy and vain-gloriousness. Let's adopt another stance: offer education, offer means of living, offer adoption, offer something! – and not bring human sacrifices to yourself as idol!

[308] Especially in theology, the life can be everywhere in words, because of operating with ethereal ideas which must not be demonstrated or they mustn't be in coherence with the surrounding reality, but they even can directly contradict and deny the actual reality. Therefore, for instance, talking about the total mortification of the body and about the total social suicide, insomuch than the ascetic will wait for her/his death willingly and apprehensively, it actually has nothing saint it in and it actually is an urge to an actual suicide. That's why the anagogies are so dangerous because of saying that, for instance, our Lord God Jesus Christ said something but He actually said something else! Going down on this line of illogical-logic one can justify everything, even the killing of the enemy in the name of our Lord God Jesus Christ Who commanded us to love our enemy.

[309] A big part of the Christian theology consumes its efforts for highlighting an almost inhuman humbleness as manifested by Christ Jesus our Lord God. In my opinion they confound their exaggeration to a manifestation of love and admiration. I think Christ Jesus our Lord God actually wants us to be normal, temperate, and us to do His commandments in the daily life, in society, in family, without exaggeration or cheap pietisms and psychism. Part of the theologians love to speak in detail about the quality of Jesus Christ as a lamb brought to sacrifice; but when convenient, they exaggerate the episode from the temple when Jesus whipped the moneychangers, or the episodes when Jesus criticized plainly the Mosaic religious leaders of the time; then the theologians exaggerate the passiveness of Christ Jesus when facing His crucifixion, and they even use the expression "You willingly climbed the cross with Your body", which is totally exaggerated and total untrue. This king of flattering talks much about our crooked human nature. We extoll God only with our mouths but we actually refuse doing His commandments!...

Epistemology: Preaching hatred deprives mankind of such a richness[311].

Epistemology: Direct communication is superior to indirect communication[312].

Ontology: Exaggerating faith's things hides a subtle but deadly deceit within[313].

---

[310] Christian theology cannot explain the illness and therefore preaches us to enjoy it, meaning that, again, the theologians choose as way of solving this conundrum to blame the human being. But then even the Pope – and I admire very much Pope Francis for his courage to reform the Catholic theology and Church! – goed to see his physician and even him is administrated medical treatment and medicines for alleviating his sufferings. So: where is the rejoicing and the enjoying the illness?!... I thing that takes as such, the advice to enjoy our illnesses can lead to masochism. I think that we rather reevaluate what that "enjoying your illnesses" mean. One who enjoys something she/he will do what she/he can to preserve that something. Must we preserve our illnesses?!... And, actually, accusing God that he sends us illnesses, it equals accusing Him of limiting our freedom. So, where will be the freedom then?!... In my opinion the Christian theology hasn't been able yet to solve the so difficult problem of the human being's freedom relatedly to God. The Christian theologians chose the simples way: blame the human being and threaten the human being of ending in hell if not obeying your teachings. This is like giving a stone to your child who asks you for a piece of bread.

[311] Preaching a deep fear for heresy and hatred for anything else but own doctrine, in addition to being idolatry, it deprives people from having access to so many spiritual and material beauties. This is not preaching life but it is preaching death.

[312] The words directly told us by our Lord God Jesus Christ cannot be on the same level with the words uttered along time by theologians who claim that they have been inspired by the Holy Ghost! But I see in this too our vain-gloriousness claiming that we, who are so sinners, are inspired by the Holy Ghost, and our claim that we are equals to Lord God Jesus Christ. And countless proofs in this regard are the failures which we have been constantly leading to, during the whole history, the people who have been searching for Christ Jesus. By no means the Apostles' words can be equal to Christ Jesus's word from the Gospels. Confounding the plans will lead to all sort of nonsenses. But the theologians prefer to maintain and perpetrated such a confusion for it provides them with worldly power.

[313] God doesn't benefit anything from us who exaggerate in our word about Him. Actually, one cannot exaggerate about God because we are incapable of even comprising minimum things about God. But we use bombastic language, we affirm that people were poured melted led on their back and they remained unharmed, we preach an absolute ascesis against God's creation etc. Any of these leads people in disbelief. And such exaggerations lead even the believer far from God, usually by convincing them to worship some idols: the Church, the denomination and the doctrine, the rituals, the vestments etc. in the name of whom they persecute and even kill human beings born by God on Planet Earth. It seems to me that exaggeration doesn't lead to absolute but it rather gets one far from absolute. If one reads with a normal mind the words of our Lord God Jesus Christ from the Gospels, he will find normalcy in those, social applicability and livability, in Jesus's words. Jesus didn't come to annul the world and the mankind, but to better them. Jesus was not sent by the Heavenly

Ethics: Theologians setting up too harsh ascesis for others, they are affected by vain-gloriousness[314].

Ontology: It seems that all this immense effort performed by the theology has been destined to somehow adapt Christ Jesus our Lord God's commandments to the worldly lusts[315].

Ontology: Monolithic faith is a wishful thinking[316].

Ontology/Ethics: Theology cannot explain the evil[317].

Father to annul His own Creation, but to offer a solution for lasting further on in better conditions.

[314] They often speak about themselves, though they try, transparently, to hide that. One can assume regardless how harsh ascesis for himself, but imposing that to other and menacing them that they otherwise will go in hell, it is anything but in the spirit of Hrist Jesus our Lord and God. That is like the champion of any sport will threaten the average people that, if they don't reach the records established by him, they will do in hell. In this I see both the fact that we actually do not know what we have to do and we do not where we must stop, and the fact that the requirements have gone out of control and no matter how much you had done you must have done more.

[315] On one hand they theologians have been condemning the very Creation of God, while on the other hand they have been misinterpreting – or, when convenient, they simply overlooked – the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ. For instance, some of the theologians condemned the sex - though God placed the sex in His creation and a perpetuation means – while blessing the persecutions and the killings perpetrated by themselves and by others upon human beings, for vain-gloriousness, for worldly power, for amassing insane richness…

[316] Each human being develops her/his own faith. There is no such thing as a monolithic/identical faith even at two twin brothers growing up together in the same denomination while strictly observing their catechesis and zealously attending their church. Still, people persecute on one another and even kill of one another in the name of a common faith!

[317] And therefore, they adopted an unethical vision upon God. They say the man is the sole responsible and they base such an affirmation on the obsolete myth of the Genesis. Their affirmation makes of God a character that has been surprised by Adam's and Eva's disobedience, and Who didn't know what the devil was perpetrating, and after He was disappointed in the human being He created, He cursed and practically failed His entire project. And all the countless human being whom God has been giving birth since then, they have been born already sinners and guilty for a sin they haven't committed. And, after Christ liberated the souls from hell, Adam and Eva are dancing in heavens, while people on Planet Earth are persecuted and killed and most of them destined to hell. Does this seem to you an ethical solution to the evil?!... But the theologians have gone even further, because they cannot actually explain the sufferings here on Planet Earth so that they preach us not to ask for wellness, but we must rather ask for sufferings; there is not reward or wellness here on Planet Earth, but the bigger the sufferings are here, the bigger the reward will be in the Kingdom of God. But then, by affirming these conflicting things, the theologians actually affirm that God isn't Almighty or Omniscient – because, otherwise, God will have know what was going to happen with His plan conceived by Him from eternity; and if God had known

Ontology: Life on earth mustn't be a kingdom of sufferance[318].

Ethics: All Christ Jesus our God's commandments must be fulfilled as a whole; and as uttered[319].

Ontology: Ecumenism is not yet possible[320].

---

about the events – actually, no event can ever take place without God planning and wanting and creating it – but He hadn't stop those, so that He is accomplice to what happened; but then why would God – Who is good and not cruel or simply having fun by making us suffer!... – punish innocent people, like for instance unborn babies killed together with their mothers in persecutions and wars… Such a path leads to deeply unethical assumptions and they implicitly suppose an unethical and unjust God. But don't worry, God doesn't get upset, because He knows how stupid we are…

[318] In my view, God hasn't created a Kingdom of Sufferance here on Planet Earth. What could a God Who is Love do something like that?!... Read at Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology who said that the Kingdom of God can be created here on Planet Earth as well, and in this life as well; he said also that the world and the body are gifts from God, and by no means evil by nature. I think the theologians has slid in this deceit because of not being able to explain the evil and that, in combination with their vain-gloriousness that impeded them from simply say: "We simply do not know that!", they have ended in gossiping God and accusing God of creating a Kingdom of Sufferance here in Planet Earth, while the actual perpetrators of the sufferings, of the perpetrations, and of the killings are ourselves, because we refuse to apply in practice the teaching of our Lord God Christ Jesus. But why we tend to be evil, I do not know that either!... Here I am experiencing a contradiction in my assumptions: I am criticizing the theologians because they adopted the easier solution of unethically blaming the human beings for everything evil, for the evil itself, and then I am saying that we are responsible for the evil in the world, like they say too. But the unanswered question is: have we been created evil?!... On one hand, by saying that God didn't want the evil but external actors and forces brough the evil in God's Creation it equals to say that God either didn't want or He couldn't prevent the evil entering His creation; the alternative is to affirm that God created the sufferance and the evil. Either of these are accusations brought to God. I escape this conundrum by saying that my mind simply cannot know!!!....

[319] Working isolated commandments or misinterpreting them as convenient to our lust for earthly power, it has been leading us to commit all sorts of persecutions and killings. The human mind has this evil capability of justifying even the biggest and the most abominable evil by invoking God's will. Look at the wars waged in the name of God Who asked us not to kill and to love even our enemy!

[320] The clergy only declaratively pronounce themselves in favor of ecumenism – actually, part of them, stubbornly and openly oppose the ecumenism -, but they deeds are opposite to ecumenism. Attend, for instance, any Orthodox church and you will have the surprise of hearing at sermon a discourse of superiority and of vain-gloriousness and of hatred addressed to other Christian denominations. How could that be in favor of any ecumenism?!... All Christian clergy utter prayers for "one universal Church" but their theologians make everything possible to actually prevent such a union. And we think about ourselves that we actually fulfill our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments!....

Ontology: Ossification by institutionalization and idolatry is a subtle but deadly deceit the Christendom has fallen in[321].

Epistemology: Overlooking incommode questions is not an epistemological principle[322].

Ontology: Sufferance is part of God's creation[323].

Ontology: Theology must keep its contact with the surrounding reality[324].

Ontology: Triumphalism cannot deny or change the reality[325].

Ontology: Living death during life it actually means rejecting God's creation[326].

---

[321] Our Lord God Jesus Christ warned us about the fact that the letter kills. Any institution is a crystallization of man's power, and it leads men to behave as slaves of their lust for power, despite being them: popes, patriarch, cardinal, archbishops, bishops, priests, deacon, or simple believers. We "have replaced the law of God with our human law" and that can be seen in the fact that no Church acts against wars – but only talk against war with their lips, while actually urging their believers to fight those wars against the enemies: where have we lost, therefore, the commandments given us by our Lord God Jeus Christ: "turn the other cheek" and "love your enemy"?!... Instead of loving the human being as am miracle from God we worship: the church, the vestments, the theology etc.

[322] Overlooking and menacing the people who ask such questions that they will end in hell! And then, hypocritically formulating all sorts of anagogies having no connection with the reality, and claiming that, implicitly, the incommode questions have been actually answered. Let's say: what Noah fed the lions with, on his ark?!... or: Whom Cain married to?!... Constantly claiming that every letter from the Bible is pure reality, some theologians, when being asked such questions, they hypocritically claim now than there is about symbols and not about reality. So, when it suits us, we claim something, and we will deny it immediately when stops serving our self-justification (actually)!

[323] Telling that God didn't create the sufferance but the man in collusion with the devil forced God to break and curse His creation by introducing the sufferance within it, it is both stupid and offensive to God. Not that God would care about our stupid affirmations regarding Him…

[324] Some theologians build up amazing theological constructions expressing actually their devotion and their wishful thinking. But their theoretical speculation can do nothing to help a child forced by his parents to beg for money, in the freezing cold in winter, wearing summer clothes, hungry, feeling so lonely ad helpless in this cruel word, and exposed to all sorts of brutality and despise and offenses! Maybe those theologians think they will be saved by shamelessly flattering God, but God has already told us, through His Son Jesus Christ, what we should do to be saved: for instance, concretely helping that child to live a better life, at least a human life. Do we do that?!...

[325] We the Christians reckon ourselves as already victorious and we talk about that triumphantly. But just look around and see what a reality we are creating with our evil deeds! I think that we haven't even started to do the commandments of our Lord God Christ Jesus, let aside to having been saved. Loading the language perpetrates a lie, and doesn't solve the sufferings from around caused by ourselves.

Ethics: Preaching teachings deemed as absolute, but applying them relatively (when convenient), it cannot be an ethical principle[327].

Epistemology: Paradox cannot constitute an epistemological principle[328].

Epistemology: Theological contradictions are our and not God's[329].

Ethics: Theologians are merciless to the human being[330].

Ontology: Separating the soul from the body is both wrong and impossible[331].

---

[326] The Holy Fathers were gradually led in their theology and by their deductions to preach the living dead as role model for the human being. I am asking, though: isn't that a rejection of God's creation?!... Why would God give us birth only in order us to reject His Creation?!... What meaning would have had the life if God had asked us to live in this life as we would have been actually dead?!... And again, if all people had become nuns and monks, God's Creation regarding the human being it would have come to an end in three generations.

[327] Almos all theologians preach teachings deemed by them as absolute. But then, almost all of them – except the martyrs – apply those teachings partially, when convenient, or, when their own teachings become an obstacle in the way of their will, they apply them some sophistical – and sometimes childish – twists, so that they match the absolute to relative (at least that's what they think). There is no absolute knowledge about God, whatsoever!

[328] In my view, the paradox expresses only an epistemological impossibility, and it is not a way to knowledge.

[329] The theologians vain-gloriousness determine them to reckon everything they theologize as inspired by the holy Ghost. And it cannot be something farer than that. See the theologians blessing their armies in the name of Christ Jesus our Lord God Who commanded us to love our enemy… Actually, the whole scaffolding of the theoretical theology falls when applied the attributes of God: Almighty, All-Knower, and Omnipresent.

[330] All theological scaffolding is construed on the assumption that the human being is born guilty for a sin she/he hasn't committed. All the theology starts by affirming the failure of the human being as project of God. I wonder how has been possible that no theologians has noticed that such affirmations actually speak against God: the man is the sole guilty for all things, the man is incapable of anything, and the man is required everything… it is not so difficult to see that by getting the human being – theologically and theoretically - out of God's total and permanent control, we actually affirm either the carelessness of God or His incapacity of controlling the human being, both of these being nonsensical assertions.

[331] The Holy Fathers developed an entire doctrine about the body as enemy of the soul/mind. The mind accuses the body for the body's tendency to sin, and the mind fight against the body and the mind mortifies the body etc. But who is governing the body if not the mind?!... Mind doesn't mean only reasoning, but it means governing all the processes of the human being: ALL the processes of the human being! So, I think rather that the soul/mind is to be blamed for the body's sins. And, actually, there is no separation between body and mind/soul. They function as a unit, as a person. See this majestically argued by Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology. The mind/soul governs the body towards certain dispositions and conditions and the mind/soul is the one to lead the body to commit sins. Accusing the body for mind's/soul's decisions it is deeply hypocritical. Rather, the soul/mind must fight against

Ontology: Some theologies added so much unnecessary sufferings to the human being[332].

Ontology/ Ethics: Persecuting and killing in the name of God it is an abomination.[333]

Epistemology: Style of writing/speaking from the Holy Scriptures has not epistemological value[334].

Epistemology: Speaking or writing complicatedly has not epistemological value[335].

Epistemology: generalization by decontextualization had not epistemological value[336].

---

his own imperfections/flaws so that those not to be translated into bodily behavior. The body is only a tool. How can the craftsman blame his tool for his poor results in working?!...

[332] Reading the writings of the Holy Fathers I have come to the conclusion that some of them developed a doctrine that made a true hell out of the Christian's life and out of the world. But I think that that was unnecessary and wrong. And I wonder how many people were actually determined to reject Christendom because of such unrealistic and unnecessary ascesis?!...

[333] We the people – for we still are spiritually savages despite the progress of the sciences – have put the abomination of the desolation, of persecuting and killing other people, in an honorable place. Some of us even sneaked in among the words of God from the Holy Scriptures, liar words about a God Who asked us to persecute and kill. I think that is in our nature – and I cannot justify why is that, for now – to be persecutors and killers.

[334] Neither the style of the writing of the Holy Fathers has an epistemological value. In the attempt to preserve at any costs, the characteristics of an obsolete time as being actually a present time, the theologians made so much efforts to preserve the speaking/writing style of the early Church. That somehow has become a characteristic of holiness, or even an idol. But that actually hasn't brought except difficulties of understanding and communicating the faith. Locking yourself into an obsolete past it hasn't been ever asked by God who is a God of the progress too, and Who put in His Creation the possibility of progress and condition for betterment. There is nothing holy in forcing your tongue and your mind to speak or to think by adopting a certain style. No style is an epistemological condition. Rewriting the Holy Scriptures and the writing of the Holy Fathers in order to match the current development of the language wont' diminish their holiness: actually, the Holy Scriptures and the writing of the Holy Fathers have been translated and rewritten for several times.

[335] Some theologians formulated absconded texts claiming that so they reached a superior knowledge. I think they did that only because of their vain-gloriousness. The truth is simple and modest. A complicate style can only be an epistemological impediment. All anagogues die such. Thei anagogies have no epistemological value and, in some case, they have not even an artistical value.

[336] And this happens the most in anagogies. Generalization through decontextualization leads to the possibility of using any verse for the Holy Scripture to justify anything we want. Even the lie of the patriotist, of the persecutions and of the killing on behalf of our Lord God Christ Jesus Who actually commanded us to love even our enemy, to forgive for seventy times

Ontology: Making the apologetics of refusing any progress, it is both wrong and a lie[337].

Ethics: Romanticizing the crucifixion of our Lord God Jesus Christ doesn't make us less savages[338].

Ontology: Speaking against reality or denying the reality is not faith[339].

Ontology: Being crucified wasn't done at the initiative of our Lord God Jesus Christ[340].

---

seven, and to turn the other cheek too. For instance, if Saint Apostle Paul told the Galileans that "the days are bad" he implicitly referred to the circumstances of those days of the early Christendom; but his saying cannot be used with any eschatological meaning: he didn't prophesize the end of the world by saying those words; and he didn't even express an eternal condition of the living on Planet Earth as having all the days bad.

[337] If the Christendom didn't progress at all, we would celebrate even now by feasting and drinking; we wouldn't have rituals, or vestments, or symbols, or whatever else we have. But there has been, actually, a constant struggle between holding on an obsolete past and an implicit progress. By word the Christian theologians have been claiming, declaratively, the absolute supremacy of a Tradition meaning a locking up in an obsolete past, and their living and deeds. You know, even the monks wash up their teeth, or they use cars and mobile phones and computers and Internet and washing machines and they fly on planes etc. So, they curse the science while shamelessly enjoying the science's benefits and comfort. And, believe me, they will leave Planet Earth, by flying in space ships, in search for less noisy planets.

[338] If Jesus came in the same circumstances, we would jump on Him and crucify Him again. The first to do that would be us, the Christians, because we would accuse Him of not fitting the story we have created about Him. And because we still hate His commandments which do not allow us to continue being savages: to persecute and to kill. We want Christ Jesus to be like us, and we don't want us to be like Him. We haven't progressed at all from that state which the Mosaics were in when they crucified Christ Jesus. Just look around you! The sermons and the theology are so nice, so optimistic, so positive, so declaring a happy ending that has already occurred… It seems to me that some of the theologians live in an imaginary world, they simply deny the reality, and in this is an abdication form our real duties: to love our neighbor as on ourselves, to turn the other cheek too, and to love even our enemies! Get real, men!

[339] Somehow, during time, the theologians – not only the Christians – developed the implicit and explicit condition of the faith as denying the reality. You will have faith only by denying the reality. But the reality is being constantly created and upheld by God Himself. So, it is a very simple inference that denying the reality we will deny God!... If you were sick but you denied it, how could you be healed?!... If there is a war in the world but you stubbornly say that the world has been saved by Christ, how will that world be stopped?!... Can you understand that Christ commanded us very clearly what we must do us to save the world?!... We are the ones who must stop the persecutions and the killings!!!.... Christ Jesus our Lord and God told us very clearly what we must do! On the other hand, it seems like a mockery addressed to Christ saying that He has saved the world, in total contradiction to the actual reality in the world!...

Epistemology: Superior knowledge is clear and uttered simply[341].

Epistemology: Denial of reality has not epistemological value[342].

Ontology: Fruits brought forth by too high branches will be bad[343].

Ontology: God is not a spectator[344].

---

[340] In the prayer of the Church, we meet expressions as: "You climbed the cross with Your body", and they who use such expressions think that by using such wording they won't diminish our Lord God Jesus Christ's glory, or His control on crucifixion. But I think that is like affirming that He Himself would have been searching for some kind of suicide. Let aside that one cannot crucify himself while having a human body. This is, in my opinion, another excess that doesn't bring any benefits to Christendom. Instead of such a forced pietism, let's better fulfill with our deeds the clear commandments He has give us, and let's feel the common guilt of crucifying Him, because, if Christ Jesus our God and Savior came again in the same conditions, we the Christians in front with our clergy would jump on Him and crucify Him again!!!... It is our guilt of not evolving, of still being savages!!!....

[341] There is a totally wrong tendency to attribute a superior initiatory knowledge to the unclear and abstruse theological sayings of texts. Some theologians actually affirm about God that He would play a hide-and-seek game with us. What are good for texts and sayings which cannot be understood?!... What knowledge can provide something that cannot be known?!... I think that God rather spoke to us very clearly and simply and understandable through the words of Jesus Christ our Lord and Savior God! And we must understand out of His word exactly what they tell us: literally! Any anagogy is purely human fantasizing, one showing the vain-glory of them who taught about themselves as being superior to people from around them. The anagogues imagined about themselves as being superior and initiated: wasn't that vain-gloriousness?!... Didn't that provide them with worldly glory and honors?!... What communication would be that that cannot be understood except by its initiator, and whose would be such a communications useful?!... That seems rather a subtle deceit and a falling into the passions of having worldly power.

[342] And it is a big mistake leading to all sorts of deceits. If we deny the very reality surrounding us, we will be able to deny anything. And denying the surrounding reality means denying God's creation, namely denying God Himself. The surrounding reality, as Saint Maximos the Confessor said, and as Saint Dumitru the restorer of the Christian theology said too: it must be our basis and our first step in knowing God, and we must cherish the surrounding reality as a gift from God which we must deify by fulfilling the commandments given us by our Lord God Jesus Christ, and so deified to preset the reality back to God as a deified Church. So, where can you see here a commandment of Christ for us to run away and to deny the reality?!...

[343] The higher the theological speculation ascends by consecutive reasonings, the farer will be from the truth. Theologians, as any other people, get in love with their own reasonings and they start enjoying their vain-gloriousness, even thought some of them were proclaimed as saints. The farer a reasoning gets from the actual attributes of God, the more will be a sophism or it will even become a hidden accusation brought to God. One must always apply the attributed of God to test the results of his theological reasoning, otherwise they actually affirm about God that God wouldn't be omniscience or good or omnipresent or almighty etc.

Epistemology: Anagogies have not epistemological value[345].

Ontology: Is it the Kingdom of God a place isolated within God's Creation?[346]

Ontology: Present theology has not absolute value[347].

Ontology: Doctrine often becomes an idol[348].

---

[344] Telling about God that He sees the evil but He allows it, despite the evil is not caused or controlled by God, it actually means: God is a spectator to evil; God is accomplice to evil, because having the power to prevent the evil but not do it, it is wrong even for a simple human; God accept His creation to be controlled or influenced by external evil forces. All of these sentences are wrong!!!.... The mere truth is that Christian theology – as any other theology, philosophy, or science – cannot explain the evil.

[345] Some theologians, because of being in love with their own theology, they went all the way to contradict the words of Christ from the Gospels. For instance, even Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology, in a footnote to *The Romanian Philokalia*, volume IX, he said that God allows the people to tempt on one another, but God Himself doesn't tempt anybody; but then, how about: "Do not lead us into temptation"?!... Again, here too, we see that we actually cannot explain the evil yet.

[346] The Christian theology isolated the Kingdom of God from the rest of God's Creation. That means that only a part of God's creation will have been efficient in reaching God's goals. But, in the same time, the theologians take the myth of Genesis as real and they affirm that God has been defeated by Adam and Eva and the evil one, for they determined God to curse His own Creation and to change His plan He designed from eternity, actually. But that means that God is not omniscient and He has been forced to adapt His plan, to develop a so-called contingency plan to answer the produced disaster. This is another example of our incapacity of understanding anything about God, at least for now. How about working, faithfully and with love the commandments given us by our Lord God Christ Jesus and admit, fair and square, that we do not know anything about God - at least for now?

[347] Its guilt is that of claiming its absolute value and truth, while, as any other result of human activity can be only relative. Claiming that human theology – of any kind – is absolute, it hides vain-gloriousness and pride. Theologians too easily affirm that what they utter is inspired them by the Holy Ghost – that is equal to saying that the Holy Ghost would be incoherent, contradictory etc. Which is totally wrong!!!.... The only absolute truth is that we cannot know God, yet. I do not affirm that the present theology would be totally wrong. But, as our Lord God Jesus Christ commanded us, let's look at the present theology's fruits and see if its tree is a good tree: can't you see that we have been refusing to change ourselves even after Christ Jesus was crucified and resurrected and ascended to heavens?!... We are the same savages as ever: persecuting and killing other human being, often in the name of God Who commanded us: "You shall not kill!" and "Love even your enemy!". Could we attribute this failure to the theologians as well?!... of course we can, and we even should!

[348] And they who think they zealously defend their doctrine they actually bring offerings to their idol-doctrine by persecuting and by killing them who disagree their doctrine. Take a look at any doctrine and see what it tries like to frame God into its limited content and knowledge; a sure sign for that is, for instance, the attempt to misinterpret even the words of our Lord God Jesus Christ, as for instance did Saint Barsanuphius when speaking about temptations, by affirming that "Do not lead us into temptation" it actually refers to only certain temptations –

Epistemology: My view on our possibility of knowing God is an optimistic view[349].

Ontology / Ethics: Being antisocial is not a Christian value[350].

Ontology: Creation of God is the whole universe[351].

Ontology: Treating the man's thoughts like exterior to /coming him from exterior / instilled him from outside him, it is wrong[352].

Ontology: People are the secondary source of the evil[353].

---

which corresponded to Barsanuphius's doctrine (*The Romanian Philokalia*, volume XI, question/answer no. 392). In my opinion Saint Barsanuphius should have better said: "We cannot explain that, yet".

[349] That's why I constantly add "yet" when affirming that we cannot know God. After a billion years of Christendom's progress and of general evolution of the human knowledge, we will have reached unimaginable epistemological peaks! Yet we are only in the very beginning, though we claim to have already reached the end of Christian epistemology.

[350] And how could it be?!... Christ Jesus our Lord and God lived among people, helped people, and He loved the people. So, where has it come from that only being antisocial one can be saved?!... And how can love his neighbor her/him who has no neighbors"?!... And how can her/him to help her/his neighbor while running away form any encounter with the people?!... Isn't that a gross egotism?!... – I man: let them all go in hell, only I to be saved… I think even thinking that God undertook such an effort of creating the while universe so that a few people to be saved, it is like saying that God's work isn't so efficient…

[351] And not only the Planet Earth. And the fact that the Christian theologians stubbornly lock themselves in a past and obsolete theology/knowledge, it poses the greatest challenge now in the space era. The present Christian theology – as all the other theologies – it still is Earth-centric. It explains everything related to the Planet Earth. What if, for instance, the existence of humanoids on other planets will be confirmed?!... What if the existence of the multiverse will be confirmed?!... I think that the lack of initiative or rather the hatred manifested against any progress – coming out of vain-gloriousness but also from the laziness of the thinking – will be a major factor causing many people to renounce to Christendom as it is now, and it will be a great accountability and guilt upon those who have been indifferent or even enemies to progress.

[352] The Christian theologians placed / treated the thoughts as instilled to the man from outside his mind. But that's wrong. Our thoughts are the product of our mind and we are the only accountable for them. I see in this another sophism attempting – but not succeeding – to justify the existence of the evil. But, psychologically speaking, such an approach can lead one to schizophrenia. Of course, that the external or internal stimuli – our cognitive and affective processes can elicit our thoughts too – are the source of the thoughts, but saying that an external entity throws our thoughts into our brains from outside us, that's stupid! and it is also a running away from our responsibility!!!.... Such an approach can also be due to the way the people spoke at the apparition of the Christendom, when sayings like: "my hand hit him", "my heart suffers", "my sword killed him", "my arrow pieced him" was a characteristic of the primitive language. But now saying: "my thought tells me", it is both anachronical and wrong.

Ontology: God is not a frown God[354].

Ontology: God is not a Gambler[355].

Ontology / Epistemology: God spoke to us face to face[356].

Ontology: Good never wins. Evil always wins[357].

---

[353] People are persecuting and killing other people, and the people are destroying the Planet Eart. This explains the secondary source of the evil. Indeed, the people could choose not to persecute and kill others, even thought that usually means being persecuted and killed themselves. But begin able to choose implies knowing the real facts, which usually is forbidden to the ordinary people, so, how can one choose is she/he doesn't even now the reality?!... On the other hand, we cannot explain yet the primary source of the evil; we currently explain it as a defeat of God committed by Adam, Ava, and the devil (isn't it curious that they were three too?!...), because otherwise the only choice left would be God Who designed His creation to contain the evil, and we do not want to accept that. Anyway, it appears that we affirm that the evil is something existing contrary to God's will. But the question why God allows the evil to exits, we cannot explain it yet, at least on the ground of the present theology.

[354] Neither an avenger, or an angry, or a persecutor, or a killer God. God is beyond any human imagination. All the ways which we can imagine God in are totally limited. In a way I think that we can tell that even the inspirations we receive from God are diminished and suffer and are relativized by our human mind and nature. The theologians who owned the worldly power too, they imagined a God as a man corresponding to their imagination and to their earthly purposes. And, what is even more contradictory, they did that while affirming that we actually cannot know God in His being – a sophism was added here, as an escape from this dead end, and starting with Saint Gregory Palamas some of the theologians adopted the doctrine of the uncreated divine energies as a source of knowing God. Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology formulated a more realistic theologoumena saying that: "we can know God out of the concrete circumstances of our life". I actually adhere to the CMT (Conceptual Me6taphoir Theory) that tells us that everything we think, even the most elevate of reasonings, it actually used metaphors conceptualized starting from the surrounding matter.

[355] Some theologians describe od as betting on man while playing a game with the devil. And this is a deep nonsense. And this annuls the prescience of God Who has conceived a plant including any subatomic particle, not to mention any human being! So, as unexplainable it is the actual fact that any unit created by God has a destiny established by God from eternity, it cannot be otherwise, or it will transform God in a limited and pitiful demiurge.

[356] But we have been refusing to listen to what Jesus Christ our God told us; and we still are refusing to obey His commandments. One of the false arguments against God is the fact that we perceive Him as isolate in heavens and, even worse than that, some of us perceived God as inexistent. There is not God because if there was a God He would talk to us. We imagine and need – because we are human and limited – a relationship as with an information bureau clerk, who to be constantly answering our questions and receiving our petitions. But God told us once what we must do in order here on Planet Earth to be restored the Paradise. Only if we listened!...

[357] Just look around! Since the history stared being recorded there have always been a war somewhere on Planet Earth. Of course, we can deny the reality, we can build up marvelous

Otology: Happiness doesn't exist[358].

Ontology / epistemology: Denying the surrounding reality isn't faith but self-suggestion and imagination[359].

Ethics: Loving someone spiritually, it doesn't mean adhering to his spiritual mistakes[360].

Epistemology: Total communication is impossible[361].

---

theological, philosophical, or poetical constructions, but the reality remains the same. I cannot affirm that our design if Fawlty either. We haven't even thoroughly defined that the good and the evil are, but we only assume that we have done that. The good and the evil have always been defined conjecturally, and in their essence. All sorts or deviations have been recorded in the joggling with the good and with the evil, one of those being to reckon the sufferance as good and the pleasure as bad, and lo: we can claim now that we have solved the matter of the evil in creation. But it if had been so, God would have created us for feeling pleasure for pain. But that's called by psychologists and by psychiatrists as masochism and it is a psychic illness. The most I can tell, here again, it is that we actually know almost nothing about God.

[358] Somebody can experience short periods of joy, or of satisfaction, naturally, by using diverse psychosomatic techniques, or by using drugs. But the so-called happiness doesn't actually exist. It seems to me that the whole Creation is destined to suffer, but nobody has found yet an answer why God has created us so. The myth of the Genesis is a myth and nothing more.

[359] Why would God create a reality and then ask us to deny it?!... Denysing the reality created by God means denying God Who created the reality. Affirming things like "the human being has been restored to the state before the ancestral sin" and being that affirmation in sharp contradiction to the naked reality, it means lying about God. What would God benefit like of our plain lie?!...

[360] Loving someone spiritually, for instance loving the holy Fathers and respecting them deeply, it doesn't mean affirming those days that the reality is constituted of air, earth, fire, and water. Also, loving and respecting the Holy Fathers deeply, it doesn't mean that all the people should go and live in monasteries and there no human being to remain living "in the world". Loving the Holy Fathers, spiritually, and deeply respecting them it doesn't mean denying to wash your body or to maintain a common-sense hygiene etc. In a word: love has nothing to do with progress and with the locking up in an obsolete past. God means, among other things, progress too. God has placed the possibility and the urge to progress within His Creation. Anything impeding the progress will come in contradiction to God's will.

[361] Each person has her/his unique way of thinking, a unique experience, a unique culture and education, so that speaking about the same things two different persons will understand slightly - or sometimes totally - different things. The entropy starts with the conceptualization of the thought in words, and the continues with the utterance of those words, and then continues with being perceived by the target public, and it will be followed by the internal decoding and understanding processes. Not to mention here also the external circumstances, the sociolect, the general beliefs, the prejudgments etc.

Ontology / Ethics: Temptations can come even through the father confessor[362].

Ethics: We can define as a general religion the forcing of other to believe like we believe[363].

Epistemology: Habituation cannot constitute a criterion for truth[364].

Epistemology: Multitude is not a criterion for truth[365].

Epistemology: Be prepared for loneliness[366].

Ontology: God created an infinite multiverse[367].

---

[362] Due to miscommunication. Due to the fact that he is a human being too, having good or bad days. Due to the externa conditions. Due to his idiolect. Etc. And sometimes, even worse, because of being the father confessor fully a sinner. In such cases, the question is: how does the grace he has been bestowed, and the ordination he has been granted as confessor, help him?!... Avoiding to ask and to at least admit that we have no answers to difficult and inconvenient questions, it doesn't equal us being into truth, but it means perpetuating a lie.

[363] There is an extraordinary energy spent by people in order to force others to believe that what themselves believe, manifested in fanaticism, persecutions, and killings. Even the most tolerant teachings give us by the most tolerant religion founders have been transformed by us in justifications for persecutions and killings. Can you imagine that Christ commanded us to love our enemy and we bless our armies in Christ's name? It seems to me that our main religion, a religion general to all mankind, it is the worshipping of the idols of power, of greed, and of all sorts of unbalanced lusts. Diverse religions worship diverse gods, but all people worship, in fact, the evil.

[364] "Civilizations" lasting for millennia have been having cruel and insane habits gradually becoming "traditional" and constituting a worshiped "tradition". But even if something is practiced for billions of years, that something won't be validated by its endurance in time. Any tradition that leads to persecutions and killings is a wrong and evil tradition.

[365] All Incas had the same religion and accepted the same religious tradition and customs, meaning cutting the chest of innocent people and plucking their hearts out while still beating. Incas were millions in number, and all of the accepted that tradition, but that doesn't mean that they were right only because all of them accepted the same tradition. This is valid for all religions on Planet Earth, including the Christendom.

[366] Everybody will betray you in the end. Be it friends or wife. Sometimes even your spiritual father, who is a saint, proves to still be a man. So, if you want to do the good and to love God and your neighbor, do that without expecting anything in return. Your good actions won't necessarily bring good things to you. Your good deeds won't force God do give you good things in return. If you feel that you want to do the good, do it.

[367] The whole paragidm built up on the primitive view on the Creation of God as composed by this Planet Earth and by the atmosphere surrounding this planet, it is outdated, and dangerous too. And anything that we can say about God's creation it limits God. That's why, in my view, the only ontological affirmation that preserves the attributes of God – almighty, omniscient, omnipresent, all-knower – it is that God created a multiverse containing an infinity of universes.

Ethics: Anyone who opposes us, he opposes God[368].
Epistemology: Hew down the thicket in order you to be able to see the light[369].

Ontology: Being allowed by God doesn't necessarily provide truth to human production[370].

Ontology: Attributes of God are absolute and infinite[371].

Ontology / Epistemology: Bias cannot be a criterion for truth[372].

---

[368] This is the synthesis of the use of God for gaining worldly power. It also expresses the becoming of the theology as an idol conceived by human minds whom the theologians bring sacrifices of human persecutions and killings. And then, if there was only one religious doctrine, we wouldn't have any argument against it. But there are countless doctrines, and braces, and sects, and denominations, each of the pretending to hold the ultimate truth. And, actually, any of them are relative and partially wrong. No one has the ultimate and absolute truth. But everyone behaves in a totalitarian manner.

[369] All the theologies advance in some sort of self-locking jungle where the believer is pressed from all quarters, ever deeper, so that the believer no longer will see the light. The more they advance into human theologizing, the more they lose the contact with God and the more they contradict God's attributes. And the more they will start carving idols out of their own traditions, assumptions, superstitions, lust for power etc. Hew down the thicket of theologizing from around you, cut down human prejudgments and superstitions and fanatic beliefs, and make as wide as possible a rood around yourself. There will enter the light and you will be able to see God beyond the human adequations about Him.

[370] God allows wars too. But the wars are not good in the way we the human perceive the good. But saying that if a human product worshipped within the Church is from God because God allows it to exist, it is wrong, and it doesn't guarantee that that thing is good. And the religions have been having horrible practices up to these days.

[371] There are theological elaborations speaking about some sort of limited prescience, or almightiness, or omnipresence or God. Such theologies argue some sort of "compromising" God, doing that what He could. Such theologies describe a God having limited attributes, or, when convenable, they argue some infinite and absolute attributes of God, and when inconvenient they speak about a God having limited – almost human – attributed. I think this comes out of our human capacity of conceiving or imagining God, and also because some part of the Holy Scriptures, as for instance the Genesis, should be left aside, as improper.

[372] Just because we love somebody and because of that we reckon everything he says or does as good, because we desired strongly that to be so, and because we are ready to justify and explain positively even the plain negative, that doesn't mean her/his sayings or deeds must be / necessarily are true. Even the saints are not saints all the time, they commit mistakes as well. This false love called bias doesn't bring any benefit to the believers, but on the contrary, it discredits their religion and it leads them to commit all sorts of persecutions and killings.
There is another aspect of the bias. Some of the theologians, especially the Holy Fathers, spoke in their writing about all sorts of interventions, putting a good word, begging, intervening, influencing etc. as describing the relations among people and between people and God. But that actually is a sort of corruption as present in the sociolect of the time which they

Ontology / Epistemology: Locking God up in the past is wrong[373].

Ontology: Christian theology institutes a need in God[374].

Epistemology: Immediate and harsh condemnation of anything that differs it is not an epistemological principle[375].

Epistemology / Ethics: Avoiding asking incommode questions isn't an epistemological principle[376].

---

lived at, and at the courts of the kings and emperors, where intrigues and favors were usual. I think that such a conception about life must no longer be preached.

[373] That fear which the theologians face the progress in the world around them has been determining them to lock God up in a past reckoned as pure and true. They refuse God the right to be perceived by each generation of people at their maximum potential. I think this fear of progress is intertwined with laziness too, and with lust for comfort and idleness. If it works, let it be. But are we incapable of seeing that such an approach determined people to become indifferent of even to reject God?!... On the other hand, it is a deep hypocrisy, for we speak about traveling on donkeys while we travel in the most luxurious automobiles… Read at Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology that there are unchangeable parts of the theology, and there are parts which must be changed. Refusing the progress to God – sort of speaking – means working against God.

[374] Christian theology speaks about the plan conceived by God form eternity for mankind's salvation. And that God had to put in work that plan by sending our Lord and God Jesus Christ to die for us in order to save us. By I ask: why should / must / ought to / needed God to do anything?!... on the broader plan I intuit in the Christian theology the tendency to frame God within the borders of the theology and keeping God there and dispensing God only whomever the theologian would want to.

[375] Instead of producing argument and instead of trying to persuade the people about their truth, most of the theologians, after one expressing an opinion even slightly differing from theirs, the official theologians start immediately frightening with the hell, with the devil, with the eternal death, with the eternal life in hell, with so know what else. And that, in my opinion, it is wrong. It would be right if there was only one Christian Church, only one denomination, and only one Christian doctrine. Denying any discussion or differing opinion from start, and menacing its issuer with the hell, it is not an epistemological attitude. But an interesting aspect is that both the official doctrine and the stray doctrine resorted to fight each other and even to persecute and kill their opponents. So, were they in search for the truth?!... I think they rather weren't; but they were in search for worldly power. Refusing to grant the right to ask questions and threatening them who ask question with the hell, it cannot be an epistemological principle.

[376] I think God doesn't mind if we ask questions which are not so comfortable to the official doctrine. Other official doctrines have been already questioning on one another all the time. Some of the Holy Fathers – if not most of them – menace with the ending in hell them who dare to ask incommode questions. So, the incommode questions have been avoided and kept under bushel for all this time, and they who dared to ask them or to answer them to the best of their capacities, they have been accused and discredited in all ways. Some sort of mystical reality has been created, a fearful reality which people were persecute and killed for, namely an idol has been created. But this idol keep Christendom locked into an outdated past and

Epistemology: Epektasis doesn't exclude boredom[377].

Epistemology: One cannot claim monopoly on the Holy Ghost[378].

Ethics: Us the sinners to require to the saints they to be saints for all the time, it is unethical[379].

Ethics / Epistemology: Claiming that God has hidden in the Holy Scriptures knowledge accessible only to initiated people is wrong[380].

---

instead of being a force of progress as the early Christendom was, as the officialization and institutionalization evolved, the Christendom has gradually become – at least parts of it – a brake. On the other hand, if I do not want to know something, it is unethical I to forbid other to know that, and to menace them with unimaginable punishments because of them daring to ask questions which I feel uncomfortable with. This hides also vain-gloriousness because it supposes that I am the only one knowing the truth and therefore I am in the position of forcing others to accept and impropriate my truth. I think that everything we as human can now, it must be affirmed as relative, except for two things: 1. God exists; 2. Our Lord and God Jesus Christ came on Planet Earth and He taught us everything that we must do.

[377] Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian faith affirmed that epektasis – the living of the soul into the light of God and constantly ascending towards God – because of being infinitely diverse and not monotonous, it excludes boredom. I think that his vision was focused on the external conditions, on the conditions offered by epektasis for one not to get bored, but I think that the boredom must be seen as taking place inside the soul, so that a soul can get bored purely because he wants that, regardless the conditions that soul is in. Otherwise, if the soul cannot get bored because of being overwhelmed by that godlike light and because of the overwhelming pleasure felt by that soul, that means that soul has not freedom, even though that soul is deprived on freedom in his own interest and benefice.

[378] In the writings of the Holy Fathers it is affirmed, implicitly or explicitly, that that what convenes to our doctrine it has been inspired by the Holy Ghost, while that what bothers us it is from the evil one. It is like saying that only us have the Holy Ghost, and we determine whether something has been inspired by the Holy Ghost or not. But I think most of these cases are a simple manifestation of bias. Themselves, the Holy Fathers, contradicted on one another quite often. Also, some of their teachings are quite outdated to the present time. There is also an implicit fear and dread about straightening and updating the teachings of the Holy Fathers as that would be at least a capital sin or a proof of lack of love. I thing that the Holy Fathers themselves, if they lived today, they would correct and update their teachings. Read at Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology and see for how numerous times he corrected, criticized, and updated the writings of the Holy Fathers, and the Christian theology by and large.

[379] But the saints too must not claim that they are saints for all the time, because such a claim raises such request from others too. No one should ask somebody that what himself cannot do.

[380] And unethical, because such a claim actually aims to provide some people with worldly power, as having a monopoly over the knowledge form the Holy Scripture. Why would God hide things from some people and unveil those things only to some initiated privileged people?!... Wouldn't that mean that God was unethical?!... And such a wrong claim introduces also a contradiction in God's intentions: does God want us to know Him or not?!... Because, otherwise, the nonsensical of our affirmation would be: God wants us to know Him

Epistemology / Ethics: Simply denying something or affirming that despite all arguments it actually was something else, it is wrong[381].

Epistemology: There can be only one true exegesis of the Hoy Scripture, that one that is contextualizes it for avoiding anachronism[382].

Epistemology: For now, the unity of the faith is wishful thinking[383].

Ontology: Regarding the way which the Holy Fathers recommended the body and the soul to be treated in, some of them – if not most of them – are Origenistic[384].

Epistemology: Arguing against visible reality with invisible assumptions it is not epistemologically correct[385].

Ontology: The silence of God isn't an ontological argument for God's inexistence[386].

---

but in the same time He hides Himself from us… In my opinion all anagogies are simple human elucubrations, some of them hypocritically born out of the human desires of claiming that we know things which we do not actually know, and born out of our vain-gloriousness.

[381] All anagogues practice such negation. For instance, when Saint Maximos the Confessor makes the anagogy of the verse no. 9 from Psalm 136 in ROB (137 in KJB), he ties to argue that "dasheth thy little ones against the stones" it actually is the "killing of one's sinful thoughts", because, how would Prophet David have urged somebody to actually his children against rock. But the primitive people did that in reality because to their "civilization" stabbing or killing children in another manner seemed as "uncivilized". Yes, David urged people to kill Babylon's children.

[382] But the exegeses which we have come across with were just directed to decontextualize and biasedly misinterpret the Holy Scripture to serv the purposes for worldly power of the exegetes.

[383] Just look at the bid diversity of Christian doctrine and this thing would be obvious to you. But my question is – because I staunchly believe that there is no simple haphazard at God! – what does God aim at by this diversity?!...

[384] Origen preached the opposition between body and soul and the fact that the embodiment of the soul, or the soul's body, it is a punishment. And almost all the Holt Fathers preached the need for mortifying the body, as opposed to the soul, and as an enemy of the soul dragging the soul to sins. So, where the Holy Fathers Origenistic, or not?! – despite claiming that they rejected and hated Origen's teachings.

[385] The main mistake of the Christian theology is that of trying to deny the surrounding reality instead of admitting it. Why would God create a reality that must be denied by us?!... And what is the extent which we can deny the reality to?!... Because we are mortal and we need to eat and to drink and to rest, to say the least!... Does God want us to deny the reality created by Himsaelf?!... And if so, why?!...

[386] And what we expect from God?!... To be 24/7 at our disposal and us to chat with Him and to ask Him continuously about the same things which we actually do not fulfill?!... God told

Ontology: Human being's immortality here on earth would be a certain proof about God's inexistence[387].

Epistemology: Arguments have no absolute value[388].

Ethics: People have deemed as sins even some of God's things[389].

Ethics: People have no moral authority to put anathema on somebody[390].

Ethics: Some theologians seem harsher that God Himself[391].

---

us once, through His Son Christ Jesus, by mouth, that what we must do! Have we even started doing His commandments? – by no means!!! Have we corrected ourselves? – by no means!!! Let's at least start doing with our deed Christ's commandments, and we'll see the communication between Him and us in the real life!!!.... And we feel forsaken for two millennia, but that period of time is nothing at God.

[387] But there's no such thing. And I think it will never be such thing. God created the entire infinite multiverse having programmed within itself the death and an end to certain existence, and as a beginning of a different existence. The stars explode and the become a different kind of stars; people die and their souls pass into a different existence – this is true despite we cannot explain it right now. And immortal human being here on Planet Earth wouldn't have needed God or, even God existed, an immortal human being here on Planet Earth would have been indifferent to God. Attributing the death as consequence to Adam and Eva's sin is childish and totally wrong. It is like affirming that Adam and Eva and de devil changed God's plan conceived by God from eternity, and it also denies the omniscience of God.

[388] In all knowledge domains – including here the religion – the argumentation depends on the value granted to the arguments. But there is no absolute value added to any argument. The arguments' value is only circumstantial, relative, and evolutive. And this raises to us the question: can we really know God?!... I think that we can't. This matter becomes even more difficult relatedly to religion, where obsolete arguments are stubbornly maintained in use and mixed with up-to-date arguments. A total epistemological confusion is born out of that. When obsolete argument are maintained in used despite that meaning to persecute and even to kill people, those argument will have become idols.

[389] Sex, for instance. God place the sex in all living creature as we have on Planet Earth. Where did the Holy Fathers come from with the idea that having sex would be a capital sin?!... And then eating, drinking, feeling, thinking… almost everything has been deemed as a sin because of a wrongly understood religious zeal. Instead, we bless the wars wages for defending the right-faith… and we even have military clergy to accompany the armies on the battle field and pray God for helping them to kill their enemies… But hasn't God commanded us to love even our enemies?!... So, have we developed a right-faith opposite to God's commandments?!...

[390] How could somebody institute a separation between God and His creation / creature?!...

[391] Somehow, some theologians thought that it was their duty to scare the people and to inflexibly menace the people with the going into hell and to see and deem sins everywhere in in anything, because they believe that they are the defenders of God. But God doesn't need us to defend Him… And treating the multitude as they would be nescience children who must be constantly scared in order to behave, by us who hold monopoly on God, it seems to be at least haughtiness. Have God destined the human being to live in a schizophrenic and in an extreme

Epistemology: Christian theology hasn't yet the necessary maturity for dealing with difficult questions about itself[392].

Epistemology / Ethics: Knowing nothing in an absolute and sure manner, it leaves us without absolute authority[393].

Epistemology: Figures of speak have no epistemological value[394].

Ethics: It is pitiful and embarrassing seeing how some theologians try to reconcile contradictions[395].

Epistemology / Ethics: Christian religion hasn't been always as it is today[396].

Ontology: Our lord God Jesus Christ brought us a way to salvation not a religion[397].

---

fear environment?!... I think the mentioned approach is rather human than godlike. We, the people, we are incapable of absolute knowledge in in all our knowledge is present and active and impeding: our egotistic self.

[392] Any question is meet with rejection, with accusation, with hatred, with fear etc. The Christian theologians instituted a culture which has the fear as start and as center and as purpose. The fear is preached at almost every sermon. The fear is deemed as ultimate value. Preserving obsolete theological assumptions untouched, it is seen like an ultimate virtue. In these conditions, how could the Christian theology sincerely examine itself and give unhypocritical answers?!...

[393] Not being us able to know God in an absolute and total manner, and because of being our all knowledge limited and relative, how could we issue definitive and absolute condemnations or judgments upon others – or even upon ourselves?!...

[394] Using paradoxes, hyperboles, rhetorical questions etc. do not provide us with any path to truth. Their only use is for adornment.

[395] By softening, fading, mellowing, and diluting some saying of the Holy Scripture or of the Holy Fathers. Or, even worse, by bluntly and shamelessly and hypocritically affirming that something meant quite the opposite.

[396] And that raises a lot of ethical matters, because of our anachronism in dealing with our faith. For instance, we accuse the pagans who back in the days didn't accepted Christ as Savior and God, but we overlook – I think intentionally rather than because we do not know that – the fact that back in the day people didn't have access to information. They didn't know Who Christ was; they didn't know who those strange and foreign people called Apostles were; they didn't' see Christ either. So, imagine that today somebody came to you and proposes you to convert to a different religion, what would you do? The anachronism is one of the deceits manifested within Christian theology. And that raises also the ethical problem of our vain-gloriousness: because we implicitly or explicitly reckon ourselves as superior to those people, intentionally forgetting about the fact that we know about Christ that what two millennia have been crystallizing – and, still, we do not fulfill Christ's commandments even today (AD 2024).

[397] But we didn't know what to do with that and we built on it a religion as all the people did in the world with all the other religions. Under the thick layer of human imagination, laws,

Ontology: Christian theology has been written by monks[398].

Ontology: Theology is circumstantial too[399].

Epistemology / Ethics: Claiming of having the answers to everything is coming out of vain-glory[400].

Ontology: Human being is a constantly changing sum of parameters[401].

---

rituals, rules etc. one barely can find Jesus. We perverted Jesus's teaching and use it on worldly purposes for accumulating worldly power. In Christendom we the people are more present than Christ Jesus is.

[398] The first writing Christian theology were monks and the main Christian theological texts have been written by monks. From here comes a huge problem when attempting to apply the Christian theology to the life in society. Most of the Holy Fathers wrote theological texts destined to other monks as target public. Of course, there are some basic ethical principles which can be applied to the life by and large, in society or not. By the way, the monastic communities were still parts of the society or micro-societies, despite they staunchly denying that. Staying locked in a monastic cell and fantasizing of whatever your want and feeling superior to the sinners living in the world and who surely will end in hell – who, by the way, fed and continue feeding the monks everywhere – it cannot constitute an ontological or ethical basis for life. Also, because the monastic life in an extreme way of living, it cannot constitute the benchmark for salvation. Otherwise, all these tens or hundreds of billions of people generated by mankind until the second coming of Jesus Christ will crowd the hell, while there will be only a bunch of souls into Paradise. And such a situation would mean that God's project hasn't been too successful. But this is a conclusion where our limited human mind leads us. The only true answer if that we are unable yet to understand almost anything about God. Our reasoning contradictions are not God's contradictions!

[399] Despite claiming of being eternally and unchangeable, almost like God. But that's totally the opposite. For instance, a layman asked a spiritual Father if washing himself up was not a sin (*The Romanian Philokalia*, volume XI, *Question no. 770*, p. 777). The human mind cannot function independently of sociolect.

[400] I have found admission of unanswerable / unanswered yet questions about God only at Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology. Most of theologians claim to explain everything, contriving childish answers and obviously forced bent reasoning, but all they succeed is to reach into dead ends. That doesn't help the Christian theology at all, but it also discredits it.

[401] There is no such thing as stability in human being. The human being is a frail sum of parameters in constant change. And I think this too is one more argument justifying the absence of the freedom. Any human being is heavily influenced by the circumstance which she/he lives in. If you were Muslim, you wouldn't love Christians. If you lived in North Korea you would know almost nothing about the surrounding world. So, if those were the cases, how could one still justify the existence of the freedom?!... I think the argument of the freedom is used as another tool in order to blame the man for the evil existing within world. But, as I think I said it before, blaming the man for the evil existing in God's creation is equal to affirming that God is not almighty, and Ada, Eva, and the devil broke God's creation. Vilifying the man was an easy way of escaping the conundrum, but the reasoning was intentionally left incomplete. And the claim that we can explain the presence of the evil in

Ethics: Not everything that we feel inconvenient is a sin too[402].

Ethics: Presenting one's only the successes it constitutes a lie[403].

Epistemology: Loading the language up caused epistemological difficulties[404].

Epistemology: People contest other views mainly because of their own fear[405].

Epistemology: Learning everything again is a limitation of the human knowledge[406].

God's creation is coming out of our vain-gloriousness. We simply do not know the answer yet!!!....

[402] For millennia theologians accused everyone who asked incommode questions as being surely destine to hell. Has God forbidden us to ask questions, or some sort of questions?!... I think that rather the theologians who condemned them who asked questions or who have different opinion than theirs, they fought, out of their vain-glory, to preserve their worldly power, because they feared their self-justification to be denied. Issuing a different opinion, even a nonsensical one, it won't upset God for God knows that we are stupid.

[403] Read, for instance, the Paterikon and the Philokalia. The efforts of hiding the failures are obvious, but the failures are still mentioned. But they mainly present the successes and the top fathers who, by any means and undeniably, constituted a minority. Because this is a creation's law: only a few can reach on the top, regardless the domain. But the reader is misled to believe that all the monks living in Scetis, or all the Fathers who lives during the Philokalian times, they were like those mentioned in those writings. But this is not mentioned anywhere: beware, only a few reached to be so highly spiritualized, while the majority of them failed. Dishonesty, even an implicit lie, won't be pleasant to God!... See also the answer *c* to the question no. 778, from *The Romanian Philokalia,* volume XI, p. 784: "Not all their asks (of the Holy Fathers (o. n.)) were granted, but they wrote only about the fulfilled ones".

[404] Although the development of a specific technolect is unavoidable in any knowledge domain, in theology too the words tend to become idols. And any idolatry process puts a distance between God and us. The right way would be that of updating the theological tehnolect at least periodically, because there is not such thing as immovability in the present live. We mut take example from Christ Jesus our Lord Who used simple words which preserved they meanings and possibility to be understood for two millennia. On the opposite, the too elaborated writings of the theologians, the more elaborate they are, the more anchored in the past they will be.

[405] Irrationally. And because of their laziness and because of their conditioning and because of their vain-gloriousness. People do not sincerely and openly make efforts to analyze and understand opinions which differ from theirs. We jump to conclusion and reject everything we don't like – and this word *like* is paramount in here.

[406] Because each human person must learn since birth and continue learning for the whole life, but her/his life is limited to a very tiny period of time, and because one human being cannot accumulate knowledge for, let's say, a million of years, the human knowledge – including here the theology – it is rather very superficial. There are too many domains unknown even to the brightest minds. It seems to me that the artificial intelligence could overcome this limitation, though it remains questionable if the artificial intelligence can achieve self-

Ethics: Fear falsifies the faith[407].

Ethics: Holy Fathers judge others all the time[408].

Ethics: Some of the Holy Fathers had slaves[409].

---

awareness (conscience) or not. The life as created by God remains of a superior order to any material matter.

[407] Somehow, the theology glorified the fear of God. But he who fears, how can he say that he actually believes?!... How can a frightened human being be sincere when saying: "I believe". And how can one be free when fearing God?!... "You are free to do exactly what I am commanding you or you will go in hell!" – can this be an expression of freedom?!... Doesn't God rather want me to accept Him as God Whom I trust and I rationally understand as existing and as the One Who tailors my destiny?!... Of course, we haven't even started to understand God! but, should we start by fearing God, as almost the whole theology urged and menaces us to do?!... Why would God cerate us in order us to fear Him?!... Does God like us to fear Him?!... What would be the rationale for God to want us fearing Him?!... Did the theologians of the first centuries AD confounded the fear to respect?!... Won't be better us to respect God than to fear Him?!... Does fear leave place for rationality?!...

[408] Despite they uttering, yet and again, that judging anyone is totally forbidden and it is a mortal sin. On the other hand, through the whole their theology – as far as I am aware of – they never mention the second part of the commandment given us by our Lord God Jesus Christ: "Because with the measure you judge, you will be judged". Fulfilling only the first part of the command, besides being impossible, it would annul the human personality and the human society. Even the father confessor when listening to your sins, he must judge your sins. The sophistic saying that he judges your sins and not you, it is totally and utterly false! Does he establish penance to your sin or to you? Doesn't he judge you whether you are worthy of being imparted with the Holy Impartation? And, throughout all their theology the Holy Fathers judge continuously: the world, the woman, the sinners, the heretics, the other Christian denomination etc. etc. I could say that the whole their theology is a judgment upon others. I think that the clergy invokes so insistently the fact that one must not judge, rather for assuring themselves with an uncontestable authority, some of them even claiming that judging the priests would lead you directly ant automatically in hell. But the, just look around, at how many atrocities are committed by clergy: should they be above social justice?!... I think this general assumption and biased approach on the commandment given us by our Lord God Jesus Christ, it is one of the general deceits perpetrated by the theologians upon Christendom. And how can one claim having a superior love and still not forgiving other's mistakes and punishing and reproaching and rebuking them?!... Because, an absolute love supposes an absolute forgiveness, otherwise we are just claiming things which we don not actually have.

[409] Even in the monasteries. Some monasteries, for instance in Romanian, had Gipsy as slaves who were working the monastery's fields. I have always been wandering hos on earth could one reconcile Christ's commandments with having slaves?!... No Christian denomination have ever officially apologized for having slaves… Such realities – as also the persecution s and crimes, and more recently the tens of thousands of rapes perpetrated upon children, they deeply relativize the moral authority of the clergy. Despite their claims about the superiority conferred them by the grace of the ordination, the reality proves that they still remain simple human beings, some of them deeply sinful.

Epistemology: Can we know our Lord God Jesus Christ as He really was[410]?

Epistemology: Good intention is not an epistemological validator[411].

Ontology: Embracing suffering as good removes the need for explaining it[412].

---

[410] Between the historical Person of our Lord God Jesus Christ and the successive generations of Christians, it has been imposed a succession of imperative lens: the Apostles, the Ecumenical Synods, and the theological writings of the Holy Fathers. That means that, by reading and by listening the sermons about Christ Jesus, we actually are submitted to a mediation process that has been taking place in the Church during the whole this time. We assume, in an anachronical manner, that the Christendom has been live today right from its beginnings, which is a total lie. And argument invoked by the theologians would be that everything they have been elaborating has been inspired them by the Holy Ghost. And they just look at the real fact: persecutions, killings, division of the Church, all kind of ugly and grave sins committed by the clergy… all sorts of doctrines contradicting one another… Has been only the Romanian Orthodox theology inspired by the Holy Ghost?!... but us too committed all sorts of abuses and we quarrel with other denominations and hate one another and we often have in our sermon a discourse of hatred… Are these "the gifts of the Holy Ghost"?!... So, I think that we rather know about Our Lord Jesus Christ that what some theologians perceived, imagined, expressed, translated about Him, with all the limitations inherent to the processes of: perceiving, imagining, expressing, and translating etc. But there is a possibility for one to really know Christ Jesus our God: you must strive to apply His commandments, starting with the simple ones: give a glass of water, give something to the beggar, forgive the people who have wronged you, be kind, don't be violent! And Christ Jesus our Lord God will gradually unveil to you, and you will gradually develop and understanding of Him. And you will ascertain that, thought they seem impossible, you actually are able to fulfill His commandments. Go to the Church, because we do not know anything better. But pay attention to the message/teaching and take only that what is good out of it. Do not shout your mind down as some of the clergy ask you to do. God hasn't created us as trepanned robots/slaves. God wants us to collaborate with Him to making this world a Paradise.

[411] Those called by us as heretics they were thinking they did the right thing and they strived to define and to find out the right-faith, and some people around them despite clearly ascertaining those ones' mistakes believed that they manifested love by overlooking those mistakes. But then, we do the same when overlooking the clear mistakes of the theologians who we reckon as being rightly-believers. Love is not an epistemological validator! We are especially and almost totally biased when we are in love with somebody. God intention is not an epistemological validator because the heretics too had/have good intentions. Good intention and love must not exclude rationality! If something is wrong, that something must be exposed; respectfully, with love, decently, but nevertheless exposed as wrong! The Christian theology must not be a preserver of mistakes only because we "love" them who wrote down and founded those mistakes!... And then, what human production is guaranteed, for eternity, as not being a mistake?!...

[412] No man on earth have been able to really explain the existence of the suffering. The Mosaic and the Christian theologians found as an escape the blaming of the human being for her/his suffering: the human being is the cause of her/his suffering. By developing this trend, they reached an absolute sophism: if you embrace your suffering as good, you won't feel the need to get rid of it, so the matter of the suffering will no longer present ontological and epistemological and ethical contradictions and unanswered questions. The Christian

Ethics: Some theologians prefer a faithful sinner to a virtuous disbeliever[413].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Exaggerating is wrong[414].

Ontology: Kingdom of God doesn't mean somewhere[415].

Ontology: Total forgetfulness doesn't exist[416].

Epistemology: Reasonings done by some theologians stop there where convenable[417].

---

theologians went so far than they actually recommended us to ask God for sending us suffering, and this is pure masochism.

[413] And that's fundamentally wrong. But it seems to me that here we are the presence of the need for control: they who affirmed that they preferred a sinner believer to a virtuous disbeliever, they still exercised control; upon the sinner for he obeyed them. Still, the good is, on one hand, circumstantial, and on the other hand the good must preserve its substance even when committed by an atheist. Having faith in God is the greatest of all good deeds, but it doesn't annul all the other good deeds. Otherwise, such an affirmation that a faithful sinner is better than a virtuous disbeliever, it will place God in an unethical position. Luckily, God doesn't obey our "theological" elucubrations!!!....

[414] And in everything! Somehow, in order to prove their love, some of the theologians resorted to effluvia of exaggerations which rather are childish and embarrassing. They rather show those as imbalanced persons. Those exaggerations were committed in: prescribing ascesis, describing same of the saints, inventing impossible happenings, and perpetrating totally biased and untrue anagogies at so on. Exaggeration has rather been doing disservice to Christendom.

[415] The Kingdom of God is everywhere where God is, and God is everywhere. As God is not determined by space or time, His Kingdom isn't determined by space and time. Though diverse theologians risked in their spiritual effusions to describe the indescribable, I think that we cannot understand or conceptualize – at least yet, AD 2025) what the Kingdom of God means. But I do strongly believe and agree the theologoumena of Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology, saying that our duty is to make Planet Earth a part of the Kingdom of God by spiritualizing our life in accordance to our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments. If we transposed in practice our Lord Jesus's commandments as they were issues – and not as misinterpreted by some theologians who invoke Christ's name to help them in killing their enemies!... -, then the Planet Earth could become a part of God's Kingdom.

[416] Some of the theologians stressed so strongly the important of *not keeping the evil in mind*, or of *forgetting your sins*, but such a total forgetfulness is impossible. We can achieve, to some extent, a detachment from our memories, we can deem the related emotions and moods to lower in their intensity, but we cannot control our brain so that we to control our memory. There are two levels of unsuccess in forgetfulness: the conscient level offers us a partial and limited success; and the subconscious and unconscious levels, which are total independent and unsubmitted to our control.

[417] Formulating the accusation of committing sin by spying and tempting God and frightening those who criticize such incomplete reasonings, of who ask supplementary questions, it won't solve the incompleteness of such biased reasonings. First of all, God doesn't need us to be

Ontology: Theologians cannot force God to stay there where they want Him to be[418].

Ontology: Theology doesn't mean literary speech[419].

Epistemology: Everything must be assessed with one's own mind[420].

Ethics: Truth doesn't get upset[421].

---

biased to Him! The Truth in unbiased!!!... I think, on the opposite, that we must ask all the questions we can and drive our critical thinking to the limits of each theme – which limits, by the way, are confined to this stage of mankind's development (AD 2025). God won't mind if we ask stupid questions about theology, because theology isn't God!... Theology means only some assumptions about God – the best we are capable of at a given moment in time. But if God is infinite and absolute we won't ever be able to know God. Philosophically speaking, we belong to an ontological order that is infinitely inferior to God's ontological order. So, how can we even dare to say that we can know God?!...

[418] God in uncontainable for He is infinite. God is everywhere! So, affirmations that, for instance, the temple of the Jews was only an image of God's house, while the real house of God is only the Christian Church if false and evil. Our Lord Jesus Christ taught us clearly where the Kingdom of God is: in our hearts. And there where God's Kingdom is, there God will be. And then, if the Christian theologians assert that they can limit God to live only in Christian churches, what architecture will God prefer?!...: Orthodox (Romanian, Russian, Ukrainian, Greek, Georgian, Belarusian, Moldovan, Bulgarian, Serbian, Cyprian, Noth-Macedonian, Egyptian, Albanian, Ethiopian, Armenian?!...), Catholic, Protestant, Neo-Protestant (see them all)?!... are we so blind that we can incapable of seeing what enormities we are uttering?!...

[419] Some sermons seem rather political discourses uttered self-polishing rhetors. Uttering Christian teaching words – as the Christian priesthood as well!!! – must be treated as life-or-death situations. One must not utter a single word if he doesn't thoroughly apply that word in his daily life. Theology neither is a mental exercise for elaborating theological elucubrations generated out of somebody's imagination and denying the reality. Theology means applying our Lord God Christ Jesus's words in our daily life, literally. Theology means real work in the real world and in in the real life, in order to fulfill the commandment or our Lord God Christ Jesus!!!....

[420] God won't mind if we use the mind He has given to us. Reiterating the same theological affirmation as mere postulates, without assessing them with our own mind, it is a memory exercise and it is not theology. This practice allowed affirmations form antiquity, as regarding the world composed by air, earth, water, and fire, to still be maintained those days (AD 2025) in the theology books. Only Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology openly corrected those affirmations. But we haven't found anyone else to adopt a similar stance. For such acts of courage – among other many aspects - I reckon Saint Dumitru the Restorer as the greatest Christian theologian ever!

[421] But the people who are bothered by the truth they get upset all the time. And they avoid, overlook, or misinterpret the truth in order to fit the truth, forcedly, within their obtuse way on thinking. Tailoring the truth for matching diverse religious doctrines is a common practice among theologians. And that's because they reach to believe that God wants them to lie.

Epistemology: A real Christian epistemology must question the old imagology[422].

Ontology: There cannot be any dialogue between people convinced about their truth[423].

Ontology: Some Christian theologians deem the Creation as a purgatory[424].

---

[422] Conceiving God as a slaves Master can be wrong. Conceivign God as an Emperor and the human beings as His slaves can be outdated and totally tributary to the ages (slavery and Middle Ages) when the Christian theology was developed in the first place. At that time the theologians desperately searched for conceptual images and notions for expressing the new reality – as Apostle Paul did too by taking Greek words and formulating with those words sentences describing his experience (not yet called Christian); see for instance *anima* for soul, *hamartia* for sin etc. Undisputably, maintaining the same imagology has gradually become inadequate and obsolete. But there hasn't been any effort to elaborate a new imagology or to adapt the outdated language to the new realities manifested in the human society. It might be also because in some corners of the world there have been maintained (AD 2025) the same cruel and inhuman realities: slavery, monarchy etc. Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology asserted that there is an unchangeable core in the Christian theology, while all the other changeable aspects must be updated so that the new generations to be able to perceive the Christendom's message. We have encountered this idea at some other theologians too, but not one – including here Saint Dumitru the Restorer – has done anything yet, as far as we are aware of it. Also, the outdated imagology also restricts and limits the way we perceive God in. Has God created us in order us to fear Him like an inflexible and harsh Emperor?!... Did God need some slaves?!... I think it's rather not this the case, and I think we haven't even started yet to perceive the reality, while we have pushed to extreme some product of the human imagination. As we have imagined God, God is more like us than we are like Him.

[423] Someone who is convinced about the things he things he knows, he won't be able to have a real dialogue with anybody. From here is born the fanaticism in regardless what domain or doctrine. A dialogue supposes one to have at least the least doubt about that what he thinks he knows, so that he to be willing to listen to the opposite arguments and to analyze them unbiasedly (if possible). The things which we believe in become our nature and we reject them primarily by trying to preserve ourselves, by self-preservation instinct. On this basis we can add religion, science, social doctrines etc. But we persecute and kill others who believed differently than we do, not because of us having a strong faith, but because of us having a big fear and a big desire for self-preservation. But so did the Pharisees and the Sadducees too! And our Lord God Jesus Christ warned us about the fact that the letter kills. So, at least for now (AD 2025) I think no ecumenism is really possible, because no dialogue it really possible yet. All the Christian denominations undertake all possible efforts for individualizing and for separating their believers form other denominations and they menace their believers with the hell if they convert to other doctrine.

[424] The people are born already bearing a sin, they must suffer, they must reject all pleasures, they must not laugh, they must not make friendships, they must not see any relatives, they must constantly live in self-inflicted pain, they must have no joy at all, and they must cry continuously, in total isolation. But that's actually the hell. Why would God have created a hell on Planet Earth?!... And then just look around at the surrounding reality how beautiful this universe created by God is! The only ones transforming this gorgeous Planet Earth in a hell are us, the people, by destroying it. In a way, I think that I can say that the human being is

Epistemology: Mystical delirium has not epistemological value[425].

Ontology / Ethics: Be prepared for loneliness[426].

Epistemology: Human being's immortality in this body would invalidate God's existence[427].

Ontology: Christendom evolves[428].

Ontology: Christendom hasn't solved yet the problem of the evil[429].

Ontology: AI is only a temporary challenge[430].

---

the source of all evil. Further on: there is no need for angels or for demons, because the people are both of those.

[425] Some theologians fall into a mystical delirium leading to no theological knowledge. It is rather a shamanic trance. I do not condemn that, but given the too-personal character of that psychism, it cannot provide any general knowledge by generalization. Exaltation makes faith uncertain. It could be only a psychism, or a love for oneself, or a pleasure in oneself. Certitude is brought by calmness, by rationality, and by equilibrium.

[426] Do the good if you want, but don't expect anything good in return, or even prepare yourself for evil in exchange for the good you have done. Everybody can betray you. Forget about the bookish phantasies. Come back to earth and look at the reality around you: people are traitors; people do not live according to principles. There is no friendship but only temporary common interests. There is no love, but only temporary common interests. Egotism is the general state of the human being. And if you have known otherwise, good for you! The only two options one has are: be egotistic and do the evil; be sacrificial and do the good.

[427] Or even if not, God wouldn't have any importance to a man immortal in the present body. A human being immortal in the present body would annul the Kingdom of Heavens by not needing it. Consequently, the presence of death validates the existence of God. But this also contradicts the myth of the ancestral sin that brought the death within God's creation. And, as I think I said if before, arguing the validity of the myth of the ancestral sin it actually argues the limited powers of God Who has lost his plan conceived form eternity to Ada and Eva and the devil, because God was forced to change His plan in order to punish the perpetrators; this myth also argues that God isn't omniscient and nor omnipresent.

[428] Despite clergy's staunch opposition to any change in the theory and practice of Christendom! It is so good that we the sinners are no longer systematically and on a large scale – but only occasionally - killed by pious Christians!

[429] They piously accused the human being. But that has granted to the man too much importance as defeating – at least in the early state of affairs – God, by breaking God's plan conceived from eternity. The theologians actually speak about a loss recorded by God. To me, the only escape from this unanswerable - yet (AD 2025) – question, it is to affirm that we cannot explain, for now, the presence of the evil within God's creation. I mean, there cannot be anything outside God's control. Explaining the evil by blaming the man it actually doesn't explain anything; it only raises even more questions. For instance, if the man was capable of disobeying God, was it because the man is a faulty product?!...

Epistemology: Anagogies have not epistemological value[431].

Ontology: Exaggeration / fanaticism isn't savior[432].

Ontology / Epistemology: Denying the reality doesn't change the reality[433].

Ontology: Life is based on death[434].

Ontology: Christian theology failed to change the world[435].

---

[430] AI will bounce back. People will choose humanity and they will create oasis of humanity. AI isn't divine. Life is divine because it belongs to a superior existence order.

[431] Anagogies are mere imagination exercises. They explain nothing; some of them attempt to cover up some uncomfortable fact as recorded in the Bible; some of them have some literary merits; but none of them have any epistemological value. Some of the sources leading to anagogies are: the anachronism; the desire for covering up some bad content of some biblical texts; the desire for making palatable everything contained in the texts used by Christians, regardless how evil if real!

[432] For instance, that humbleness described and commanded by some of the Holy Fathers it isn't possible nor ethical in the real life. Such a humbleness as they described it is possible only through death. That's why they preached about mortification of the soul and one dying to the world / society. It is unethical because requiring the total self-annulling of the human being and so it renders that human being useless to the mankind. An opposite argument would be that that person prays for the world. I wonder how one can both profoundly hate the world and pray for it?!...

[433] Almost all the theological writings and speeches attempt to deny the surrounding reality and to replace it for their imagined reality. They deem the world created by God as evil; they condemn the body created by God as evil; but they still eat and drink, though trying to explain that they do that with disgust, by removing any pleasure, which is both impossible and wrong because God placed the pleasure within all living creatures to help them survive. By the same reason God placed in all living creatures the displeasure for pain. The theologians preach a toppling over of this order and they command us to feel pleasure for pain and to feel pain and disgust for pleasure – which is a clean case of preaching masochism. And it is also a totally impossible feat to achieve.

[434] In the whole universe created by God the living creatures live because other living creatures die. And that is not due to some ancestral sin forcing God to introduce death as punishment, but it is the order place by God at the creation of the universe. The energetic transfer from living creatures which die to other living creatures which continue living it is a fundamental law placed by God in His Creation.

[435] At least for now (AD 2025). The Christian theology led to persecutions, to killings, to wars. I see only one answer to this: our Lord God Jesus Christ askes us to change ourselves and to apply in practice his commandments, but we actually preserved all the characteristics of any other religions and we have gradually excluded Christ as He presented Himself to us and we have replaced Him with our image crafted about Him. We do not apply His commandments in practice, we still are hypocrite and evil, but in the same time we built up Churches as structures of worldly power displaying all the passions characteristic to the human nature regardless the religions it belongs to. So, we have failed – at least up to now (AD 2025) – to become followers of Christ.

Epistemology: Admitting a certain relativity of the theological assumptions it is a must[436].

Ontology: Invoking both generality and circumstantiality in the same time, it is wrong[437].

Ontology: Churchly rituals offer structure to our relationship with God[438].

Ontology: Witchcraft is a lie, or otherwise our faith is wrong[439].

Ethics: Some theologians speak about an unequal fight the man is condemned by God to[440].

---

[436] See that what Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology said: "God cannot be expressed" (*The Romanian Philokalia*, volume XII, p. 123, footnote no. 238). The fact that almost all the theologians issue postulates, it is a shortcoming of the Christian theology. Also, not changing those postulates – because of wrongly understanding that criticizing the Holy Fathers' writings would be a sin – it is the cause of conveying up to these days – AD 2025 – theories affirming that the world is composed of water, fire, air, and earth. Saint Dumitru the Restorer plainly formulated the need for progress of the Christian theology. But progress means a succession of relative states.

[437] The Holy Fathers uttered their sayings as postulate but, in the same time, when convenient in order to explain the contradictions, they invoked the circumstantiality. A postulate means absolute and general validity. Circumstance means validity limited to certain conditions. By simply putting those together – in the biased attempt of justifying even the unjustifiable – it is a fundamental and basic mistake. If an advice id uttered as a postulate, one cannot invoke – when contradicting that postulate – the new circumstances which that advice is uttered in. A solution would have been admitting the relativeness of that advice, but that hadn't been admitted.

[438] We do not know anything better. So, attending the Church's religious services is a paramount thing and it helps us to establish, to widen, to maintain our relationship with God. The imperfections ascertained by us when attending the Church's religious services, the imperfections of the clergy, must not determine us to stop attending the Church. The Church, the clergy, they do the best they can to provide us with a strengthening of our relationship with God. Thir activity is constantly and uninterruptedly focused on worshipping God, as we are not. The many loose their focus less that the individual does. God is everywhere so that we can communicate with God everywhere we would be, but when attending the Church there are specialized people helping us to improve our communication with God. I conclude that attending the Church is better than not to. And what Church?!: that one which you have been baptized into. If God chose for you to be a Yehowah's witness, He knew why. Just do good deeds and apply in practice our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments: that's your duty!

[439] Why could one forcedly change the will of God regarding somebody, by witchcraft?! ... I cam admit that the prayers can change somebody's life because of God listening to the prayer of the saints uttered for somebody – so that God changes, to some extent, His will regarding that somebody. But reckoning God as allowing witchcraft to change somebody's life it is nothing but an abomination, or, otherwise, our faith is wrong.

Ontology: Holy Fathers spoke about the impossibility of a normal life on earth[441].

Ontology: Confounding the theology and the Church to God it brings disservices to God[442].

Ontology: Holiness is the same wherever[443].

---

[440] In the attempt to justify the existence of the evil some of the theologians talk about the constant war waged by the devil upon the man, and, on some occasions, even about the fact that God Himself forsakes the man and allows the devil to tempt the man. If so, the man is in the following situation: fighting an unseen multiple enemy who is an angel having immeasurable powers in comparison to the man; the man is sown thoughts in his head and the surrounding reality is manipulated by the devil so that to elicit within man the tendency to sin; and the devil doesn't get tired; the man is born already a sinner by inheriting a fallen and corrupt nature without being that his personal guilt; and on occasions the man has no help from Gode because of being forsaken by God; the man is in an inferior position by having a body that needs food and drink and shelter and it was designed for procreation. If the conditions were thus, how could one expect the man to win?!... I rather tend to think that this matter too is not solved yet (AD 2025). But if that had been true, God would have been anything but not ethical… Forgive us, God, because of talking about You all sorts of nonsenses!

[441] But just look around and see that hundreds of millions of theologians live a quite normal life here on Planet Earth and in this life. So, isn't that hypocrisy: preaching something and doing the opposite?!... Or, of if the Holy Fathers were wrong in their assumptions, we should at least not continue preaching those mistaken assumptions. Or, if they were right, why wouldn't we admit, plainly and publicly, that we won't be saved?!... Our Lord God Jesus Christ warned us repeatedly about the danger of the hypocrisy! He also taught us that one cannot serve two masters!... I think the wrongness comes out of the fact that we assume that the human being is capable of uttering eternal postulates. And because we have the tendency to decontextualize: all the Holy Fathers – as far as I read their writings – wrote to other monks but, somehow, the theology attempts to apply that to the entire mankind and to the society as well. One cannot both hate the world and then build beautiful cathedrals within the same world that he hates!!!... If all the people had become nuns and monks, the mankind would have got extinct in three generations. And where did our Lord God Jesus Christ commanded us all to become nuns and monks?!... Didn't He rather commanded us to live a temperate and normal and kind and considerate life here on Planet Earth?!...

[442] The Church and the theology benefit of this confusion because of gaining power. On this basis the Church had once absolute power. But this intentional confusion brings disservice to God because the failures and the persecutions and the killings, and all sorts of mistakes are consequently attributed to Him. Also, if everything regarding an institution is attributed to God, how ill that institution be able to correct its mistakes and abuses?!...

[443] If one is peaceful only while isolated in his monastic cell, he is not a saint, but he benefits of isolation. If one is quiet only while isolated within his monastic cell, he doesn't have the virtue of the peace by he is conditioned by a certain style of living. So, as long as one cannot live in the middle of the society as he does it withdrawn into his monastic cell, he is not a saint. The absence of the stimulus doesn't mean the ability of controlling the outcome.

Ontology: Renouncing to own will is impossible[444].

Ontology / Ethics: Christian theology makes of man a sinful nullity and then it asks him to be perfect[445].

Ontology / Ethics: Deeming the natural things as contrary-to-nature is wrong[446].

Epistemology: Some of the Christian theology is a failed philosophical speculation[447].

Ontology: Reproduction is a fundamental purpose placed by God within all living universe[448].

---

[444] Wanting to fulfill God's will still implied one's will to do that. The Holy Fathers operated a sharp dichotomy: our own wills are mandatorily and essentially evil and sinful, and God's will it is the only good will. But when we want to fulfill God's good will we imply our own will to do that, because otherwise we would be unconscious. In here I see two mistakes: the man is reckoned as essentially and totally evil; and one actually doesn't renounce to his will but his volitional processes focus on something else.

[445] The Holy Fathers spent lengthy efforts to totally discredit the human being. And then how could one fulfill the commandment of our Lord God Jesus Christ: "Be perfect!"?!...

[446] This paradigm of deeming the natural things as contrary-to-nature crosses through all the theology of the Holy Fathers and is a fundamental mistake accompanying the entire Christian theology. It essentially affirms that the universe created by God is fundamentally flowed and one must reject it. On coherence to that, the body is evil and it must be mortified; the need for sex is a total evil; the hunger and the thirst must be harshly controlled and they are barely acceptable and only in order one not to commit suicide by starvation and by thirst. Further on, the whole natural world – including here the mankind – are evil and must be avoided at all costs. And it implies also that Adam and Eva and the devil succeeded in breaking God's plan for eternity, so that God avenged on people and of His Creation and He changed it int an evil Creation – which is totally stupid to affirm!!!.... Then, this approach contours the need for fighting against the nature, against the natural order; that supposes also one fighting against feeling any pleasure and him searching for pain as desirable, which are" the first one impossible, while the last one means masochism.

[447] Despite hating the philosophy – and all other kinds of human knowledge as worldly -, some of the Christian theology actually is a failed philosophical speculation, one that doesn't complete its reasonings to the most far consequences it could reach, but it stops when convenient; one that is fundamentally biased; one that fears to assume conclusions which would be untraditional; one that is contradictory but refuses to reconcile the opposite stances etc. and so it reaches actually to accuse God of all sort of evilness – which, of course, it is totally nonsensical!...

[448] Claiming the contrary would lead us to affirm that the universe lives contrary to God's will. All living organisms, from the simplest to the most intricate and up to the human being, there need sex in order to reproduce and so the life to be continued in God's Creation. If everyone became nuns and monks, the mankind would be extincted in three generations. The mistake in here comes not because the monasticism would be a wrong way of living, but because of affirming it as the only savior way of living. And, by the way, not all types of

Epistemology: God spoke us clearly and plainly[449].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God has nothing to prove[450].

Ontology / Ethics; Christ Jesus our Lord God didn't ever abolish the critical thinking[451].

Epistemology: There is not pure Christendom[452].

---

monasticism suppose total abstention from sex. Affirming that somehow the man forced God to change the Creation and so the sex has entered the Creation as an unnatural/contrary-to-nature element, it is totally absurd because, just look around: almost all species created by God present male and female individuals… Why would God create males and females if sex / reproduction was contrary-to-nature / contrary-to His eternal plan?!... I should add here that the word *living* doesn't include only that what we reckon as life according to our definition of the life.

[449] Through our Lord God Jesus Christ. All anagogies and all the so-called hidden or secret meanings from diverse placed from the Scripture are useless and untrue. I think is childish thinking that God plays hide and seek with us. Or that God would have somehow encrypted hidden meanings in the Scripture which to be accessible only to a few initiated ones. Christ Jesus our Lord God told us very clearly and plainly what we must do!!! But we do not want to do what Christ told us, but we invented all sorts of human-originated rules and rituals and imagology and doctrines, while we actually avoid and refuse to fulfill His commandments. Christ told us to love our enemy but we invoke Christ to help us kill our enemies: "abomination of the desolation put in an honorable place"!!!

[450] Some Christian text talk about things God needed to prove about Himself, or about things did by God in order to ascertain/demonstrate how we are. First of all: God doesn't have anyone whom He must prove something – about Him or about anything - whatsoever! For instance, some texts speak about some action undertook by God in order to prove satan is wrong (see, for instance, Job) … can you see the enormity?!... Also, God doesn't need to prove us how we are, because God already and constantly know how we are! But some of the texts from the Holy Scripture talk to us about a god who is a gambler, or who ordered the Jews to kill other peoples and take their land by force, or who avenged himself, or who was mad on somebody etc. Such texts do not talk about God, but about how those primitive people who wrote those texts perceived God. Such texts have not value whatsoever! And even worse, they are misleading and speaking evil of God!

[451] Some of the Christian theologians – if not even all of them – chose to misinterpret our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandment: "Judge not, that ye be not judged", because it suited their lust for absolute power. To them it meant: you the simple believe think not, because we think for you. But they hypocritically overlooked the second part of that commandment: "For with what judgment ye judge, ye shall be judged: and with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again." (Mt. 7: 1-2). So, if one judged right, he will be judged rightly. And even the Holy Mystery of the Confession, for instance, when the father confessor must judge the confessed sins, would be impossible if we observed only the first part of the commandment; let aside all the other aspects which the human beings must judge/assess/evaluate/ascertain the people whom they interact with.

[452] We have taken the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ and, depending on so many factors characteristic to our human nature: race, geographical opposition, nations,

Epistemology / Ethics: Forbidding the people to read religious texts - including the Bible – was practically right[453].

Ethics: Reading some of the theological writings can teach many ways of lying[454].

Ontology / Ethics: God is not incoherent[455].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Attributing one's reasonings to God won't make them undeniable[456].

---

evolution of the knowledge during history etc. etc. we have elaborated an edifice of the faith that says more about us than about our Lord God Jesus Christ. And, in some aspects, it speaks even against Him!... To some extent our Christendom is a synchronism – at least containing a big chunk of Mosaicism in it! By the way: *The Old Testament* remains Mosaic… we pray uttering the Psalms of David who was not a Christian by any means, regardless how much that pains those Christian theologians who are inflexible and anachronical.

[453] But still unethical and hypocritical. As long as the people didn't read the Holy Scripture or other theological texts they took as granted that what they were told, without of having nothing to compare with. But after reading, one ascertains the incoherences, the mistakes, the unrealistic asks etc. For instance, one hearing about how holy the monasteries and the monks are, she/he will be encountering a cognitive dissonance when reading that monasteries sue one another for land… of, by reading the *Paterikon* or the *Philokalia* one will ascertain that homosexuality was a big problem even among the Desert Fathers, and not only theoretically!... And some of them beat their disciples, and some of them quarreled with one another, and some of them stole from one another etc. etc. … so, wasn't better not to read and not to know?! (… of course, this is a sarcastic rhetorical question of ours!!!)

[454] A staunch example in this regard are all the anagogies which invent symbolic explanations to deeds of life, if those deeds – even if mentioned in the Holy Scripture – seem to be sinful: having three women, killing your enemy's children by smashing them against a rock etc. etc. But some of the texts are openly hypocritical, like for instance the formulation form Paterikon: "a brother was sinning with a demonized layman" – meaning that they had homosexual sex. But, as you can see, only the layman was possessed by devil, not the monk too… Out of all of these I think that the conclusion can be drawn: the theologian remains people as well… Another gruesome example that one can find in *Evergetinos*: a monk was suspected to secretly having sex with a woman; after he died, the other monks threw him on the garbage pile of the monastery where he was rotting for twenty-four days, and they decided to bury him because the stench was too unbearable, and not for they felt remorse because of what they did…

[455] Why would God create a world and then command us to hate the world created by Him?!... Why would God cerate us as having a body and then He to command us to hate our body?!... Summing up, why would God command us to hate His Creation?!... And:" did God cerate an evil world?!... and it yes, which would be the chances of such a weak creature as the man is, to be saved?!... Some of the theological texts seem to us not bringing their reasonings to an end either because they were unable to, or because of their hypocrisy so that they attempted to lie by omission.

[456] The theologians had to fear only not to place themselves in disaccord with the official doctrine. But they generally assume that everything they say is inspired by the Holy Ghost.

Ontology / Ethics: Some theologians speak about a handing over of the world to the evil one[457].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God doesn't need us to justify Him[458].

Ontology: Theology of the imminent coming of our Lord God Jesus Christ it must be rephrased[459].

Ethics: Fear excludes sincerity, freedom, and love[460].

And such an assumption determined them not to take care of being always coherent; they have this advantage of rarely daring somebody to contradict them; also, somehow the overlooking of even flagrant mistakes was reckoned as a proof of love and of humbleness. So, to some extent, the theologians – for instance when uttering the sermons – they are not worrying about what they way and they know that nobody will dare showing them their mistakes.

[457] Even Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology fell in this mistake (see *The Romanian Philokalia*¸ volume XII, p. 162, footnote no. 328). But if the world belonged to the evil one, what salvation chances would the human being have?!... But then, the whole Christian Orthodox theology speaks about the presence and the actions of God within the world… the question is: Has God give up to His creation?!... Also, Saint Dumitru staunchly contradicted his own theology of the world as a gift from God given us to be deified and then given back to God as a gift from the human beings (an idea taken by Saint Dumitru from Saint Maximos the Confessor). If God surrendered the world to the evil one, would it be ethical to ask the man to save himself?!... I think the most difficult endeavor in theology - actually in all the knowledge domains – is that of remaining in coherence with the attributes of God and with your own sayings!... Actually, in the same mentioned footnote, Saint Dumitru mellows/softens the condemnation of the world as formulated by himself in the first part of the footnote, and this bothers me even more!

[458] The fact that the theologians constantly try to justify God and His actions, even by misinterpretation and by lying through overlooking, it is a strong argument in favor of the affirmation that the mankind cannot understand God yet (AD 2025) but, in the same time, because of our vain-glory we claim of having understood God and of having understood His actions. As a matter of fact, we actually lie in order to hide our own incapacity of understanding God!!!.... so that a part of the theology is founded on vain-gloriousness…

[459] The Apostles and their disciples waited for the second coming of our Lord God Jesus Christ to take place during their lives. Successive cults adopted the same vision of a strained waiting for an imminent coming but that hasn't happened. But then, there is an imminent second coming of our Lord God Jesus Christ at the individual death of each of us, when, according to the same theology, as personal judgment takes place; we can call that an imminent coming for we can die in the very next second. Otherwise, the longer the time passes the stronger the mistrust will be. The matter here is the fact that the obedience through fear so loved by theologians as an instrument of their worldly power, it will lose its force.

[460] The theology based on fear and on menace should be replaced for a theology based on rationality and on persuasion. If I fear God, I won't be sincere with Him, but I will hypocritically declare my faith in Him and my love for Him. And how can be possible a love between the feared one and the one who fears him except by Stockholm syndrome?!... And how can I be free relatedly to somebody who menaces me with the most awful torments and

Epistemology: Christian theology was written by monks for monks[461].

Epistemology: Anagogies have no epistemological value[462].

Ethics: Menacing one with God's punishment doesn't mean ethical base for a dialogue[463].

Ontology / Ethics: Our Lord God Jesus Christ was man but also God[464].

---

with the eternal death (though, the soul cannot be in the same time eternal and dead for eternity!... how can the soul suffer eternally in hell while being, in the same time, dead for eternity?!...).

[461] But most of the Christian Church is within world. This elicits all sorts of incoherences. Most of the priests are married and they live within the world: how they could preach the things written by the Holy Fathers who were monks?!... how could they preach the hate for woman?!... In the same time, the confusion is strengthened by the fact that even though courageous theologians as Saint Dumitru the Restores of the Christin faith wrote a livable theology, there was not official actin of the Church expressing a different position than that of the Holy Fathers, but the clergy preferred hypocritically, to keep that light under the bushel. Our Lord Jesus Christ didn't command all the people to become nuns and monks. I mean, becoming a nun or a monk is a good thing, it is not condemnable by any means, but affirming that only the nuns and the monks will be saved it is preposterous. So, there is maintained this schizophrenic rupture and division between what we preach and what we actually do.

[462] Some theologians hid God in mysteries so well than they are no longer able to find God again. Some theologians developed their symbolic analyzes / interpretations / anagogies that they not longer know Who they are talking about. One must speak about God plainly and unequivocally, as our Lord God Jesus Christ did it! There are no intended secrets at God, there are not paradoxes at God, there is not "one to say and something else to understand" at God: but all of these are due to our limited human mind!...

[463] Each time theologians reach a dead end and they cannot explain or they cannot answer the questions addressed to them, they menace the issuer of the question with the punishment from God and with the hell. But this abolishes any equality and equity in dialogue. It seems they are not interested in finding the true but in behaving like they have monopoly on the truth. And this behavior is not only when asked by atheists or by people of different religions, but even when asked by Christian belonging to other denominations. I think that this attitude rather hides a fear of their own weakness regarding their faith.

[464] I often heard in sermons the argument that we must not invoke the argument that we cannot have the same successes as Christ Jesus had because we are humans and He was God. Now, letting aside the fact that this is invoked only when convenient, this argument contains an obvious flaw by asking somebody who is not God to do godlike things. We are not gods! (at least the most of us). We have here a confusion of plans. If some things are godlike and characteristic to God, that means that human cannot do them. Of course, some people do some exceptional things by the help of God, but the exception cannot constitute a rule. The ethical conclusion is that we the believers are blamed by some theological because of us not being gods, or because of us being humans. So, some theologians in their search for being always right, they even use heretic arguments, when convenable, for instance by affirming – thought in a confuse manner and implicitly – that when fighting His passions Christ Jesus was only a man… And, even more than that, such affirmations that we too the humans can

Ontology: God is real[465].

Ethics: Pushing the things to extreme is rather a proof of vain-gloriousness[466].

Ontology: We are unable yet (AD 2025) to conceive the final judgment[467].

Ethics: God is righteous[468].

---

defeated the passions as Christ did without having us any excuse that "Chris was God too", are deeply unethical and hypocritical because of the same theologians affirming that "Christ didn't take our sin upon Himself"; so, aren't we placed form the very beginning in a weaker position, because, the same theologians affirm that we are born bearing the ancestral sin?!...

[465] One must not confound her/his own psychism or feelings to the certitude of God's presence. We rather tend to confound us to an argument in favor of God's existence. This is the case too for the Stockholm Syndrome prescribed and recommended by some theologians. Accepting and getting convinced, rationally, by the presence of God, it is not a sin!...

[466] Some theologians formulated extreme requirement to be fulfilled by believers, which are impossible to the average men. The question is: has God into Trinity created His universe in order to save only a few exceptional people?!... Would that be efficient?!... Won't that be rather be a lack of efficiency and a proof of God's incapacity for saving all the people?!... I think that such theological assertions are rather a proof for the fact that some of the theologians didn't escape the pride and the vain-gloriousness due to their achievements. They felt superior to the sinners, whether they were aware of that or not. Formulating such high requirement was their way of bragging with their achievements, some sort of saying: "all of you who are not like me, you won't be saved". It is like a marathonist would condemn to eternal death all the people who cannot finish the marathon in the same amount of time as he does.

[467] Some of the unanswered questions are: If God knew from eternity what is going to happen with every soul, why would that soul be guilty of his sins? If God knew form eternity what a soul will be like, why would there be a judgment even necessary? If our deeds were the indicators determining our fate at the final judgment, or as some Holy Fathers put it "we will be judged by our deeds" why would be necessary us to be judged by God Himself? If there was an individual judgment immediately after our death, why would be needed a second judgment if God knew everything and He condemned everything at the individual judgment? Etc.

[468] Namely, there must be a differentiation between people in hell too. If him who ate meat on a Friday and if him who has tortured and killed one hundred million people or more, they both suffered "the eternal crying", that would affirm that God does injustice. But Saint Dumitru the Restore of the Christian theology showed in his theology about the hell, that there will be in hell diverse degrees of estrangement and of sufferance. Generally, the theologians preferred to scare all believers with the same punishment, for even the tiniest deed considered as a sin. I think they did that because of two reasons: because of hypocritically reckon all people as inferior and fool so that scaring them was on a good intention (the purpose excused the means – but this implies the vain-glory of reckoning others as inferior to yourself!; and it also implies a restriction of their freedom by not allowing them to know the whole truth, so how could we say that they decided in favor of Christ with the whole their heart while being afraid not to?!...); and because the theologians who did that didn't bring – because of various reasons – their reasoning to its end.

Ethics: One proves herself/himself as good when doing the good to others despite harming herself/himself[469].

Ontology / Ethics: Most of the theology seems to be resulted out of avoiding to answer uncomfortable questions at all costs[470].

Ontology: Theology speaks about a never-ending tension towards achieving unreachable goals[471].

Ontology / Ethics: How could one ascertain where her/his duty ends and where the help from God start?[472]

---

[469] "Giving your life for your friend, behold the supreme proof of love". When you starve but you give even the little food you have to others; when your wife or your husband cheats you openly but you still do not undertake anything to avenge yourself (of course, continuing to have sex with your partner while being away of her/him cheating, that is uncleanness and debauchery); when a parent renounces to her/his accomplishment in life for providing her/his children with the whole she/he is able to etc.

[470] When we ascertain the presence of the evil within Creation we blame the man; when we see that God doesn't remove the evil from Creation we attribute that to preserving the man's freedom; when we see that the man still continued sinning after Baptism we blame the man; when we affirm the man's need for humbleness we invoke the man's weakness; when we ascertain the man's sins we blame the man by invoking the man's freedom etc. It seems that the idea crossing through the whole theology is that the man is guilty and weak and sinner etc. But then, are those faults due to the way which the man has been created in?!... but we answer this by affirming that guilty if the man's original sin. But leaving a mistake uncorrected, doesn't make you accomplice to that mistake?!... I think that we cannot explain yet (AD 2025) the presence of the evil within Creation.

[471] Nothing is enough, nothing is actually reachable, one can never rest, even the holiest saint remains a sinner etc. etc. Nobody can ever be sure of anything, so that everyone must strive endlessly and above his limits and power to tend towards that what she/he hasn't been able to reach yet (if ever). It is preached a constant discontent with that what one has reached. So, it is preached a life of continuous and total discontent: a hell on earth. One is recommended to live in a continuous tension because of not ever being able to do enough or even because of not being ever able to know if he has ever done something good. But I do not think that such a life is possible to the human being. So, the Christian theology speaks about a life strained to the very limits of the suicide in a willing and unbearable pain, while offering no guarantees whatsoever that that is pleasant to God or not, or enough for salvation…

[472] The Holy Father, when convenient, they blame the man for his sins. In the same time, the same Holy Fathers affirm that the man can do nothing without the help of God: ". And, actually, I have nothing by myself." (Priest Professor PhD Academician Dumitru, The Romanian Philokalia, volume XII, Bucharest: Harisma, 1991, p. 178, footnote no. 361, r. 1-2). Also: "given that the man's nature is evil and changing" (Abba Isaiah / Priest Professor PhD Academician Dumitru, The Romanian Philokalia, volume XII, Bucharest: Harisma, 1991, pp. 178, par. no. 1, r. no. 18 – p. 179, par. no. 1. R. no. 1). "There is impossible to separate our work from His work. "Lord, I am taking You for giving me the power for thanking you!" (Priest Professor PhD Academician Dumitru, The Romanian Philokalia, volume XII, Bucharest: Harisma, 1991, p. 179, footnote no. 368, r. 4-5). So, why would I be guilty for

Epistemology / Ethics: Biased answers are not a proof of love[473].

Ontology: Rejecting the uncomfortable questions doesn't mean having a real faith[474].

Epistemology: The more some theological sayings can be easily contested the more elaborated the argumentation for them is[475].

Ontology / Epistemology: God is a reality of a reality of an infinitely superior order[476].

---

anything if I couldn't do anything by myself. Doesn't such affirmation actually secretly and implicitly blame God for my sins because of Him not helping me not to sin?!... I think that not absolutist affirmation like these ones contain the truth. Any extreme is a lie! And, ethically, speaking, if I cannot determine clearly to what extent I can contribute, how can I be blamed because of not contributing enough?!... Where could I know from that I wasn't just lazy when I thought that I couldn't do something?!... Or, how could I know that my weakness isn't only a subjective impression of mine, while I am actually lazy?!... Would God condemn me for I was not able to know something?!... But then, if everything I know I do not know by myself and by the help of God, won't that mean that God didn't kelp me to know so that I am not guilty?!... In this last question I ascertain once more that our claim that we know everything about God it is totally unsubstantiated and wrong!

[473] Intentionally blaming the man while being biased to God it won't be a proof of your love for God. God doesn't need you to defend Him by being biased to Him. Being hypocritical in your answers which - in your limited mind - you intent to be biased to God, it is wrong. God doesn't need you to protect Him at any cost! God isn't hypocritical so to need your hypocrisy! The love for God is the love for Truth, for God is the Truth!!!....

[474] It rather means defending, stubbornly and fearfully, that what you think as being your faith. Having never doubting the sayings of others just because they are reckoned as saints, it is comfortable, but it won't lead you any further. Of course, blessed is him who has no questions bothering him!

[475] Actually, the way to truth is inversed. Some of the theologians start from the statement and then try by any means to justify it, instead the statement being the result of their reasoning. Wanting to all costs to justify something cannot be a correct epistemological approach. Admitting that you cannot know (at least for now, AD 2025) the answers to some questions it will be better.

[476] Our knowledge about God in an adequation (See at Lucian Blaga). We cannot actually know God, and this matter hasn't been solved either by the Orthodox theology by conceiving the uncreated divine energies as a both direct and intermediary subject of our knowledge about God. Simply, the human mind belongs to an order that is infinitely inferior to the reality of God. Regardless how elaborated the products of the human mind remain tied to the material matter. One cannot conceive and research and know realities of superior order than she/he is by using a knowledge apparatus constituted on an inferior order reality. About God we can only know that what our Lord God Jesus Christ told us, his commandments which can help us to shape the surrounding world and society even in a heaven here on Planet Earth; but we haven't started yet (AD 2025) to apply His commandments in practice. Instead, we have been elaborating a flurry of adequations which all of them end in contradiction and

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Faith doesn't mean stubbornness[477].

Ontology / Ethics: Freedom must precede the decision[478].

Epistemology: Wishful thinking has not epistemological value[479].

Ethics: Theologians jumped directly to putting the roof to their theology[480].

---

incoherence. I intuit that after we will have applied Christ Jesus's commandments in practice we might have been elevated / opened to a superior order reality.

[477] If one doubts the official religious doctrine but he still stubbornly keeps affirming that he believes it, that won't mean that he has faith. And the question is: how do you define your faith? If you stubbornly affirm that your denomination has the right-faith, but you see the concrete deeds done by your co-believers and by your clergy and so on, you will be just biased and hypocrite and not faithful. Having faith in God means fulfilling with your deeds the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ, and not stubbornly declaring the superiority of your denomination's doctrine. And the right-faith is neither Orthodox nor Catholic neither Protestant of Neo-Protestant, but it is fulfilling our Lord Jesus Christ's commandment concretely in your life and with your deeds!!!... all the rest is human imagining and adequation and theoretical elucubrations… Faith is what remains after persuasion has ceased. Faith is what one doesn't need persuasion for believing it. That's why, real faith is what remains after fear: as long as I fear not to believe, that's fear and not faith! And the fear excludes freedom. And loving him whom you fear of it means Stockholm Syndrome.

[478] One is not fee is his bad decision will have negative consequences upon him. Saing that, after one has decided that he would to the good, he is free to do it, it seems to me a mockery. Or, saying that if he doesn't do the good, he will end into hell, that not freedom by any means. Freedom means also having at least three alternatives. Freedom means one to chose the good in total freedom and without fearing the punishment if he would choose the evil. That's why freedom excludes fear.

[479] It rather drives one into all sorts of troubles. Take, for instance, the theology developed around and based on the Holy Baptism. The theologians affirmed that through Baptism the human being gets restored to the initial state from Paradise from before Adam and Eva sinning. But then they ascertained as a fact that the human being remains a sinner after Baptism too. So, they somehow contrived the division between the image of and the likeness to God, and that led to an entire speculative theology. Also, by affirming that Christ Jesus has restored the entire Creation in its initial state it rather seems to discredit Jesus Christ our Lord and God because anyone can look around and see that nothing has been actually changed in the world, the earth still offshoots brambles and thorns, the human being hasn't stop persecuting and killing on one another, and the human nature seems to have remained a sinner nature, and the conclusion is that Christ Jesus our Lord and God didn't restore the Creation. And that makes people doubting the authenticity of the Christendomn itself. So, what if the theologians hadn't speculated upon that theme so that they wouldn't have discredited Christ Jesus regarding that?!... wouldn't have been better if they had minded their own businesses?!...

[480] Without firstly doing that what our Lord God Jesus Christ commanded us to do: give somebody a glass of water, cloth up a poor, be kind etc. And, just look around: all their theological elucubrations haven't done it for the world and for the people whatsoever! We still are persecuting and killing each other in the most despicable ways! So, what is all the

Ontology / Ethics: Our Lord God Jesus Christ never commanded us to get out of our minds[481].

Echtis: Christians are guiltier to Christ than the pagans are[482].

Ethics: Christendom must openly admit their shortcomings and mistakes[483].

Ontology: Virtues are not characteristic to the man's nature[484].

---

theology good for? Has is bettered the people? I think the answer is obvious: by no means! Instead, in the name of Our Lord God Jesus Christ we persecuted and killed and we still are persecuting and killing God's creatures!...

[481] I think our Lord God Jesus Christ rather commanded us to become as sane as possible, and as balanced as possible. But the theologians added burden upon burden so that they reached to a role-model of a deeply depressed and antisocial human being experiencing a sort of insanity, suicidally depriving himself of food, water, and sleep, until reaching to get very sick and to hallucinate. If all people had locked themselves in monastic cells when Christ Jesus had come, He wouldn't have had anybody to tell His commandments, so that Christendom wouldn't have been existing today. I think our Lord God Jesus Christ's teaching is about embracing the life ad bestowed us by our Heavenly Father, and not about rejecting the life.

[482] The pagans do not know Christ and they do the wills of their idols thinking that they do the good things as prescribed by their paganism. But we the Christians are worse than pagan because of claiming to do the commandments of our Lord God Christ Jesus while we actually do right the opposite and – abomination of the desolation – we do that on Lord God Jesus Christ's behalf, claiming that He asked us to do such evils!!!.... (And do not get angry about this affirmation, because, according to the requirements formulate by the Holy Fathers a Christian must reckon herself/himself lower that any human being on Planet Earth: and this includes the pagans and the atheists too.)

[483] Christendom led to all sorts of persecutions and killings perpetrated on behalf of God – a fact which is an abomination of the desolation. A way of cleaning ourselves up of our guilt is by admitting it. But if we keep our silence regarding our mistakes or if we lie about them, how will us be able to become good Christians?!... If we hypocritically chose only anagogies matching our stance, while letting or overlooking that what contradicts us, how will be able to maintain our creed over millions of years?!... If we stubbornly – and fearfully – reject the science, how will be able to even tell our faith in a million years' time form now?!...

[484] Otherwise, the virtues will be everywhere and effortlessly achieved as something which the human being will tend to by nature. But because one needs strained efforts for achieving and maintaining the virtues, that means that the virtues are uncharacteristic to the human being's nature. On the opposite, one must not fight for achieving his passions and his lusts but he only has to indulge herself/himself or only to be careless and lazy, which it is manifestation of nature's preservation instinct. So, affirming that the virtues are characteristic to the human nature means denying the very reality. Do not take me wrong: the virtues are an ideal to be reached and without them the mankind are savages and the world is a hell – just look around!!!... And saying that there was a clean nature in Paradise which has become unclean by sin and that's the reason why we are sinners and passionate, it is – like I said before – equal to affirming that God lost that battle in favor of the evil and, in His anger, he punished the human beings… I exit this stupid affirmation by admitting that we do not know yet (AD 2025) how the evil entered the Creation.

Ontology: Christian theology developed a style and a content of sheer exceptionalism[485].

Ethics: He who simply memorizes a teaching, he will waste his time[486].

Ethics: Judging our neighbor is often part of the sermons[487].

Ethics: Some Holy Fathers' texts hint to a secret sexuality[488].

---

[485] While they forget about the common-senses commandments given us by our Lord God Jesus Christ Who commanded us, essentially, to do the good deeds staying in our power. Instead, the theologians speak about destroying one's body, about deification during the present life, about seeing God in the present life etc. But, only some of us actually fulfill the simple commandments: give the thirsty a glass of water, give the hungry a piece of bread, do not be hypocrite, do not harm your neighbor etc. etc. We speak about martyrs sunk into boiled water who remained "unharmed" and therefore the tormentors cut off their heads (they died in the end despite remaining unharmed! ...), but we throw out of the church a child who begs because of disturbing the Holy Liturgy: but giving him something would be a real liturgy stating in our powers!!!... And, totally anachronic ally we condemn and feel ourselves superior to Judah and the pagans for not accepting our Lord God Jesus Christ in the conditions they knew nothing about Him, while we have had almost two millennia (AD 2025) to understand Him and we still persecute and kill on one another in the most abominable – even worse than those of the wild beasts – ways!??.... Do not mistake: if our Lord God Jesus Christ came yesterday in the same conditions He did on the first time, we the Christians would be the first jumping on Him and crucifying Him, because He matched not our expectations; because we actually worship ourselves and our image about Him, and we do not in fact worship Him.

[486] It is the same in all domains. A theologian who learns by heart all the theology but he fears to bring his persona interpretation to it, he will waste his and other's time. Daring not to think with your mind and simply uttering the things said by others means blocking the progress. On the other hand, as one can easily ascertain, the Christian theology evolves and progresses continuously with or without or despite us.

[487] Each time when we criticize the villains as identified by us in the Bible – and on each time we do that feeling superior to those and in an anachronical manner – we actually judge our neighbor. So, can you see the contradiction: we preach not to judge anyone but in the same time we constantly judge our neighbor. Actually, a part of the theology is judging the sinners as it object of analysis: but isn't the sinner our neighbor?!...

[488] There is a sexuality even without actually materializing in with / in the body. The theology abounds of expressions like: "becoming a body with", "bride and bridegroom", "virgins brought to Emperor" etc. etc. All of these invoke to the reader the presence of a sexuality, maybe in the attempt to secretly entice the disciples by hinting to a promise of a sexual life of spiritual nature. And actually, despite declaratively rejecting any sort or kind of pleasure, the whole endeavor is for achieving the ultimate pleasure of the ecstasy (religious or not). And behold a hint to homosexuality: "them who have been found worthy to become His brides and who remained in union with Him" (*The Romanian Philokalia*, Volume XII (Abba Isaiah), translated from Greek and put together by Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian Theology, p. 220, par. no. 2, r. no. 7-8).

Ethics: Part of the theology – if not all of it – seems to be dealing with traffic of influence[489].

Ethics: Monasticism that doesn't work for world's salvation is egotism[490].

Ontology / Epistemology: Inverting the reality has neither ontological nor epistemological value[491].

Ethics: Where is the limit between wanting your own death and committing suicide?!...[492]

Ethics: Nowhere is so much bias as in theology[493].

---

[489] We, for instance pray the Holy Mother of our Lord God Jesus Christ to intervene at Him on our behalf; the relationships between God and all the actors involved in the Holy Scripture and in the texts of the Holy Fathers are conceived as taking place at an imperial court where the advisers and the counselors attempt to constantly intervene at the Emperor for obtaining some privileges for themselves, or to change/influence Emperor's decisions regarding rewards and punishments He is going to decree. Also, the some of the prayers are conceived as asking for diverse interventions.

[490] The only way to salvation crosses through the world's and to the mankind's salvation. One who isolated himself from the people and who does everything for his own salvation while she/he hates any contact with the society and has this thought of saving himself while all the rest of the world goes to hell, it is a simply and egotist. The only way we can save ourselves is by sacrificing ourself for all the people, as our Lord God Jesus Christ did!!!.... The monk who thinks he must not feel responsible and sorrow for the sins committed within the world he lives in egotism. He who closes his ears from hearing and his eyes form seeing the persecutions and the killing perpetrated even by Christians upon pagans, he will live in egotism. Nowadays (AD 2025) the largest Orthodox country from Planet Earth savagely attacks and persecuted and mass-kills the second largest Orthodox country from Planet Earth, while Islamist countries strive to bring the Christian Orthodox to peace. Meanwhile, all of the Orthodox churches Orthodox priests preach about the superiority of the Orthodox Christianity as the unique path to God. How can we not be ashamed of ourselves?!... Forgive us, Lord God Jesus Christ, for we have totally betrayed You!!!....

[491] Turning the reality/the values up-side-down is wrong. God placed the pleasure and the pain as tools for self-preservation and for the perpetuation of all the species / not only of the mankind. Affirming that the pain if good and desirable and that the pleasure is evil and undesirable, it is totally wrong!!!.... Searching for pain instead of pleasure – and, as some Holy Fathers affirmed: reaching to feel pleasure in pain – it is a pure urge to masochism. Also, abolishing the pleasure is totally impossible except by getting some brain damage (God forbid that!). I see in all of these a straying from the right-faith and an accusation brought to God and to His Creation. I totally agree that one must keep himself in balance and not to fall into addiction to anything. This is also proven by the medical science!!!....

[492] Some of the Holy Fathers preached the need for body's mortification so that one to desire to die and so that the death to be a relief to her/him. But punishing your own body to the extent of getting ill and suffering so hard that to see your death as a relief isn't that, actually, a suicide?!... Or, living with the desire for dying, it won't push you, eventually, to commit suicide?!... See *The Romanian Philokalia*, volume no. 12, p. 241, par. no. 2, r. no. 6: "the desire for leaving the body".

Ontology / Epistemology: I foresee the need for a total science[494].

Ontology / Ethics: I feel guilty for Christ's crucifixion[495].

Ethics: What a monk has to forgive his neighbor for?[496]

Ethics: Can some souls receive different punishments for the same mistakes?[497]

Epistemology: Theology provides you with all the answers if you ask no question[498].

---

[493] By definition the religion requires one to totally adhere and love the subject of that religion. But there are also other reasons why such a biased approach can take place: the biased affirmations do not trigger an immediate response in the surrounding reality, so that one can say whatever he wants without there being an obvious cause-effect relationship between that what he has said and what it will happen to him in his future; and, because being about ethereal ideas, there is not way to verify the validity of the affirmations, as there is in science.

[494] Which to include religion and all the sciences in a holistic manner. Religion is a source of knowledge about God, but all the other sciences do nothing but confirming the existence and the manifestations of God!!!.... In a distance future, the sciences will enrich the knowledge about God more than the religion will be able to. And which is the difference between a religion and a science except, to a limited extent, in their tools?!...

[495] Adam and Eva's sin is not actually any sin which I would be guilty for. Actually, I think the Genesis is a myth which the Semitic people contrived according to older myths take from Sumerians etc. But I feel terrible guilty for we the mankind crucified the greatest Teacher we have ever had and Whom we will ever have; our Lord God Jesus Christ. And Christ Jesus was crucified and killed because of the priests / clergy who felt menaced by the freedom brought by Christ Jesus to the people who were about to escape their tight grip!!!.... And they/we did the crucifixion after allegedly having eaten from the tree of the knowing of the good and of the evil, so that we had the possibility of knowing that that we were doing was totally evil, but we still chose to crucify Christ. Adam and Eva were nescient… And, gradually, the same priests and clergy transformed the freedom brought by our Lord God Jesus Christ to the human being into a golden cage which keys are controlled by the clergy of all denominations (including here the Neo-Protestants).

[496] Withdrawn from society, being fed and watered and clothed and sheltered by monastery: what has a monk to fight for?!... At most with his own evilness. But a human being living in society must fight her/his evilness combined with the evilness coming from others.

[497] God is infinitely ethical!!! And this question raises out of our lack of understanding. Because, if some souls were sent in hell thousands of years before the final judgment and they suffered their punishment there, while other people will be alive at the final judgment and then they will be sent in hell, if some of them had the same mistakes, would ones be punished for a longer period of time?! And, if we adopted Saint Dumitru the Restorer's doctrine – that all souls' states will be reevaluate and rejudged at the final judgment according to the general state of the mankind at the time of the final judgment – could some souls suffered unnecessarily because they will be sent from hell to Paradise according to the new standards of the sinfulness?!...

Ontology / Ethics: Applying the same highest standard to diversely endowed persons is unethical[499].

Ontology / Ethics: Our Lord God Jesus Christ never and nowhere asked us to commit suicide[500].

Ontology / Ethics: Religious misogynism is an abomination[501].

Ontology / Ethics: Individual salvation wouldn't be ethical[502].

---

[498] And if you question no answer which you are provided with by theology. Theology even menaces them who dare to ask questions or to question the theology's answers, with their sending in hell. In the same time, and this is a source of big hypocrisy, there is a constant adaptation and progress and evolution of the theology, because – I think – God placed in His Creation the tendency to progress, to evolution, as fundamental feature.

[499] People are created diversely: some of them are closer to be saints while some of them tend rather to be sinners. So, by asking to the last ones to be like the first ones it will be unethical. It is like in sports: some people match more the athletics, while others match the swimming etc. Ad body builder won't make a good jockey! Therefore, menacing, indiscriminately, all the people who cannot achieve sainthood here on Planet Earth with their sending in hell, it is unethical. I think in this case there must be established the lowest benchmark as limit, and not the highest one. Otherwise, the Paradise will be sparsely populated while the hell will be overcrowded.

[500] But some of the Holy Fathers losing their balance in ascesis reached to recommend anyone to actually mortify their bodies and to become a living-dead. Only the soul/spirit will be kept alive, while the body "will be given only as much as not to die of forced death". But then, how many could have died because getting ill of starvation and sleeplessness and all sorts of deprivation, but their death had been attributed to their illnesses, letting aside or overlooking the fact that themselves were the cause inflicting those illnesses upon themselves. This symbolistic of the death was present everywhere: the monastic cell is the tomb, the monk must die to the world, the monk must die to his relatives and friends etc. etc. and the ascesis must be so tormenting that the monk to receive his death and a joyful relief. Basically, the monk must build up a hell around himself to that, by making his living unbearable, him to desire for his death. Can such an annulling of the human person be ethical?!... Why would God born us and then ask us to annul ourselves?!... Isn't fighting against ourselves a fight against God's creation?!... And why would God ask us to fight against His creation?!...

[501] Including here the Christendom too. And the misogynism is profoundly unethical and primitive and savage. God isn't misogynistic!!! – God has never asked a part of His creation – the man – to subordinate another part of His creation – the woman!!!.... And "there is no man and woman at God"!!!.... The clergy behave like priesthood was theirs, so that they and not God would be the ones having monopoly on priesthood.

[502] Because it would include the egotism in it. Namely, if I care only about myself and about my salvation and, therefore, I run away from the world and I do not care about the world and I do not bring any personal contribution to the world, I am egotistic, and could an egotistic enter the Kingdom of God?!...

Ethics: Who can provide the total obedience with the certitude of obeying the right person[503]?

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Proving alien's existence on other planets will be a blow on human faith[504].

Ontology / Ethics: The way the Holy Fathers imagine the hell is profoundly unethical[505].

Ontology / Ethics: Condemning the laughter means actually condemning God's design for the human being[506].

---

[503] The most cherished and praise and song quality, by the Holy Fathers, was the total obedience. But how can one be sure that he obeyed the right guy? The Holy Father solved that by saying that the disciple won't be guilty of anything for the whole responsibility of him and for his deeds falls to his spiritual adviser whom he obeys to. But then there were monks who adopted a heretic faith and they had disciples whom they advised into that heretic faith… Other Holy Fathers warn about paying attention to whom the disciple chooses as his spiritual adviser… But then how can one know from advance if a certain spiritual adviser is a heretic and, after starting totally obeying him, how could that disciple leave him?!... I think total obedience is ethically very risky!!!....

[504] But not on God!!!.... We were the ones assuming that the Planet Earth is the only inhabited world, or the only world. We were the ones maintaining the early-imaginings on universe and we killed people who told us otherwise. We are keeping the totally obsolete imaginings of the Holy Fathers about the constitution and about the composition of the world despise the science – allowed by God and upheld by the Holy Ghost – proves us the total opposite. We must not confound to God the things we believe about God!!!.... Why would God created only one inhabited planet in the whole universe and why would us be the only hominid species in universe able to conceive and perceive God?!... The Christendom will take a huge leap forward in knowing God after having reevaluated the teachings of the Holy Fathers.

[505] They imagine the devil as some sort of God's collaborator. They affirmed that people deceived by the devil will be tormented in hell by the devil whom they were victims to. They people are deemed as guilty as the devil is despite the devil being an angel – and therefore superior in all his powers to the human being who is weak and plagued by bodily needs and nescience and limited in everything!... The general picture is that od accusing God of condemning the victims together with their executioner.

[506] The laughter belongs to the human being as created by God; the laughter has been placed by God into the human being. Some of the Holy Fathers – see, for instance, Abba Isaiah, Priest Professor PhD Academician Dumitru, The Romanian Philokalia, volume XII, Bucharest: Harisma, 1991, p. 270-273. In this case too, as also in condemning the body for all its needs – including the need for having sex! -, there is present the paradigm of reckon the natural created by God as unnatural, and denying it, and then reckoning the unnatural as natural, going all the way up to totally annulling the material matter – which actually isn't possible except by death. All of this implicitly accuses God of creating a Creation that we must hate!!!.... This is what I call the treacherous "pious" deceit!!!... one that can survive in small stretches of reasoning but that becomes obviously wrong when extending the limited reasonings!!!... But one must notice also the footnotes wrote by Saint Dumitru at the mentioned pages about laughter and which are appreciative and arguing in favor of condemning the laughter as source of all evils. I actually have ascertained that in this last

Ontology: Getting out of the present existence is possible only by death[507].

Epistemology: Everything we think about God we actually think that in human terms[508].

Ontology: We lower God to the state of an idol by persecuting and killing in His name[509].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We are unable to formulate absolute conclusions upon the human being[510].

---

translation he undertook he became more intransigent in his theology, and less courageous – might that had that been because he was getting closer to his death?!... Actually, in his theology as well, there are manifested the inconsistencies characteristic to any human person, due to evolution and progress and to changing beliefs. He didn't write a monolithic theology, but he rather has his tentative or renewal in his youth and maturity but, as one can see in the mentioned volume XII of *The Romanian Philokalia*, he later contradicted himself. He also allowed himself, on occasions, to be influenced by context and so he lost from his sight the general picture of his affirmations.

[507] Spiritualization means improving the present existence, and not escaping it. The whole Christian spirituality has been taking wrongly the spiritualization as being an escape from existence, while it actually means improving this existence. Nobody can actually escape this existence except by dying. So, spiritualization means becoming as kind and as virtuous you can be; and that takes place here in the present world. So, there is a direct connection between the present world and spiritualization, and this connection is contradictory to the attempt of isolating yourself and "escaping" this existence in order you to get spiritualized. Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology wrote has changed the paradigms of the world and of the body from being they enemies to salvation to being they gifts from God for our salvation. So, instead of preaching the hatred for God's Creation let's better apply in practice and in deed the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ and make a Paradise of this world too!!!.... In the withdrawal from the world, I see a cowardice and an abdication form the moral principles requiring me to help the mankind. My moral duty is to progress myself to the very top of my possibilities and to contribute as much as I can to prevent the mankind from making a hell of this world bestowed us by God.

[508] Even the most-spiritualized concepts originate in the material world. Our brain is made of matter which supposedly constitutes the support of our thinking. See the *Conceptual Metaphor Theory*. So, I think I can say that aiming to a totally spiritualized thinking is doomed from start. And the question is, how much can one "spiritualize" her/his thinking, if at all?!... We fight one another and persecute and kill on one another here on earth within the material matter created by God because of ourselves having different perception of God… The persecutions and the killings are as real and material as possible and they prove us not being spiritual at all.

[509] How could God - Who commanded us to love even our enemy – ask us to persecute and kill in His name?!... But all this deceit is the product of our human limited thinking that makes an idol of everything, in order to satisfy our lust for power. We feel no shame making an idol of God too.

[510] We have no other humanoid species to compare us to. In the future – at it seems not such a far future – after other humanoid species will be contacted or they will contact us, we will

Epistemology: Anachronism makes everything an anagogy[511].

Ontology: Ethics: Total annulling of own will is both impossible and wrong[512].

Ontology / Ethics: Is it better a bad monk than a good layman[513]?

Ontology / Epistemology: Tradition cannot serve as proof for the truth[514].

Ontology / Epistemology: No longer progressing means having been going in a wrong direction[515].

---

have a comparison term and we will be able to assess ourselves better. For now, we only have the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ as benchmarks for assessing ourselves regarding our kindness or evilness. Everything we added to these commandments is very relative because of being a product of the limited human reasoning.

[511] We are no longer able to understand, for instance, the psalms, as even David did. Because of the context, because of the constantly adding anagogies, because of the translations etc. etc. we actually are reading relatively the same words as initially, but we are no longer able to understand their initial meanings. The anachronism perverts everything.

[512] The holy Fathers talk indiscriminately about total abolition of one's own will and total submission to the will of another. But that is structurally impossible, because even the act of totally surrender your will to somebody else is still an act of your own will. And such an extreme undertaking could – to some extent – be possible to some of the monks living in extreme ascesis, but it would annul the human society as such. Even the Great Patriarchs fulfill their own will when, for instance, they will to build great cathedrals!!!... I think that we rather must define, in the first place, what the good and the bad will are like, and then to try and differentiate between them in our existence.

[513] The Holy Fathers assume everywhere that the laymen go all of them to hell, where the first and only possibility to ascend to Kingdom of Heavens is the way of monasticism. But then, themselves showed and listed all the sins and shortcomings possibly affecting the monks, sins which some of the laymen do not commit. I think that this view of the Holy Fathers is biased and therefore cannot constitute an ethical stance. Also, our Lord God Jesus Christ didn't command us that all of us must become nuns and monks. As I already said before, if all of us became nuns and monks, the mankind would disagree in three generations, and so we wouldn't deny God's intentions when He places within us all the necessary means for procreation.

[514] Even lasting for millennia, a tradition cannot guarantee itself as bearer of truth. The name itself tells us about tradition that it is a adequation, a sum of customs, nothing deserving to be called as, for instance, "source of the Revelation". Some groups of people, even nations, are traditionally alcoholic from millennia but that doesn't make alcohol recommendable. Also, other religions have diverse traditions. So, we the Christians have as truth only the words of our Lord God Jesus Christ from Gospels and that what we are able to live and to feel and to experience about God. Of course, I am not saying that the tradition doesn't contain any truth! I am only saying that if there was only one true tradition, all the Christian denominations, for instance, would have had one tradition, and not many! – and therefore I cannot affirm that only the Orthodox tradition contains the truth!!!... It could be, but I cannot prove it… The simple existence of the Orthodox tradition cannot be a proof of its truth!...

Ontology: Preaching the war cannot bring peace[516].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Any thought form within our mind it is ours[517].

Ontology: Church's history means accepting the whole truth[518].

Epistemology: God cannot be known because He is of an infinitely superior order[519].

---

[515] It seems that the theology – in all religions – has reached a state of not being able to add anything new, of no longer being able to unveil new directions for development. On the contrary, the theology in all religions adopts the hate of progress as main pillar of their doctrine because, as I think, they fear to admit their failure by being their speculations denied and proved wrong. I think we have taken God totally wrong and, instead of us ascending to heavens, we have earthen God's image. There is also an undeniable process that can be called at least adaptative if not even progress: the theology, willy-nilly evolved together with the society despite fighting with the whole its power to oppose this evolution.

[516] The whole theology – regardless the religions which it belongs to – preaches a war between good and evil. Could this have influenced so much the collective mental so that we cannot avoid wars?!...

[517] Once a thought is within our mind it is ours. The Holy Fathers operated a separation of the thoughts from our mind in order to attempt explaining the existence and the provenance of the evil thoughts. They spoke about thoughts as coming within people's minds from outside, as some impersonal attacks cause by devils. But once one has a thought, once he thinks at something, once he noticed and becomes aware of a thought, that thought is totally and only his. Any thought is a product of our cognitive processes. I do not contest the fact that some circumstances from outside us can elicit certain thoughts to us, but still, those thoughts too belong to us and to nobody else. The thoughts have not existence by themselves but within a mind and as result of a mind's functioning.

[518] Until the Church still refuses admitting all the crimes the clergy did, the Church's "history" will remain a simple fairytale. One cannot erect monuments dedicated to the Truth on the ground of the lie. And there are not partial or convenient truths. The Truth required the whole truth. Preaching about the paramount importance of knowing one's sins and of confessing those sins, while schizophrenically avoiding the confession of the Church's sins and crimes, it won't strengthen or protect the Church, but it will weaken the Church. Could a Church that haven't confessed their sins to ascend to heavens?!... Could the Church ascend to heavens bearing their unconfessed sins?!... Could Lord God Jesus Christ be present within a Church that haven't confessed their sins?!...

[519] And we the people belong to the material order regardless how much we strive to escape it. We only escape it in our imagination, or in our self-suggestion. On the other hand, the theologies of all sorts claim that they – an only they – know the truth about God, while, the same theologies affirm that God cannot be known. They elaborated all sorts of sophisms to get out of this dead end, but those ones remain exactly what they are: sophisms. In addition to this, the constant attempt to escape the material order by becoming gods, by ascesis, by rituals, by all sorts of means which still remain within the material order, it could be actually an improvement of the same matter which we are composed of, and which is so recommendable! – but nothing guarantees that such a process would open the gate between

Epistemology: Theology of all sorts built developed inferences by using incompletely clarified premises and terms[520].

Epistemology: Some of Bible's affirmations will fall if asked the question: who heard God saying that[521]?

Ethics: Main purpose of the theologians of all sorts is that of depriving the human being of any possibility to defend herself/himself[522].

Ontology / Ethics / Logics: Theology speaks about God inflicting pain upon human being as a good deed[523].

Ontology / Epistemology: Regardless the extent which we deny the reality to, the reality still remains here and the same[524].

---

our matter and the world of the spirit. Again, there is everywhere the danger of confounding the self-suggestion and the strong faith into an inexistent reality, to an actual reality. Our psychism remains a manifestation of the matter and within the material order, whether or not we like to reckon it as ascension into the spiritual order. Regardless how sophisticate, no human notion can comprise or describe God.

[520] And that's valid to many other knowledge fields. We haven't defined the good, the evil, the beauty, the ugliness, freedom… except circumstantially. We do not know anything about God, except that what our Lord God Christ Jesus told us – and His sayings have been also distorted by translators. Still, we added soap bubble upon soap bubble and we expect such a building to stand to any earthquake triggered by science and by human reasoning – which they too are incomplete and working with soap bubbles.

[521] Who heard what God told at Genesis?!...

[522] Every theology denied the human being any rights. The human being must be lowers and must lower herself/himself even under the cause of any evil. Any attempt to self-justification is deemed as a mortal sin. Any invoked motif is reckoned as disobedience. And thus, who defends the human being?!... It seems that rather than explaining God to the people the theology spends all its efforts in blaming the human being of all evil and in depriving the human being of all right of defense… Everything starts with blaming the human being… The question is: why would God need somebody to blame?!... And why would the human being be guilty for realities which she/he cannot control?!... as, for instance, the ancestral sin?!... Applying such a "special" ethics is deeply unethical!...

[523] While human being inflicting pain upon other people is reckoned as perpetrating evil and sins, when it comes about the pain inflicted by God upon people the theologians say that is actually good, and they blame the human being as cause of the evil. It seems that such a reasoning starts with the desired conclusion and then searches for any possible sophism in order to justify the already established conclusion. But this introduces an ambiguity in rationality: the good can be evil and the evil can be good!!!.... Such a path has been leading the people to commit all sorts of persecutions and killings during history, for instance: killing the pagans, killing the members of other Christian denominations, killing people in wars etc. etc. The evil must be evil in all circumstances, while the good must be good in all circumstances. Otherwise, the only possible conclusion to this is the fact that the human being doesn't have the capacity of understanding the good and the evil!... at least for now…

Ontology: Natural is that what is average[525].

Ontology / Ethics: Christian theology requires you to do that what you cannot do[526].

Epistemology / Ethics: Attacking the person has not epistemological value[527].

Epistemology: The human being cannot formulate postulates[528].

---

[524] In the first place, because the reality has been created by God Himself! Almost all the theologies start with and aim at denying the reality. The universe doesn't care about us denying the universe. This schizophrenic stance perpetrated by theology hasn't led and it won't lead not even to any real answer regarding the current life, let aside regarding God! Denying the creation we actually deny the Creator!!!....

[525] Natural are the common laymen preoccupied with their daily living. Unnatural are the monks and the ascetic reaching high levels of mysticism. The Holy Fathers operated a overthrowing of paradigm, by deeming the natural as unnatural and the unnatural as the actual natural. But guess what?... the surrounding reality is still there and it is still as bad as ever and worsening. Our theological speculations do not save us from our own evil deeds and behaviors. Why would God create a certain reality and then ask us to escape that reality created by Him?!... I do not oppose monasticism; on the contrary, let them who want to adopt such a life adopt it, and let's support them materially, for they still remain human beings needing the surrounding matter in order to live. But I do not state the monasticism as the only way to salvation, in an absolute manner.

[526] You are required to do the impossible. Regardless how much you have done, it won't suffice. But this is a contradiction, because if one cannot do something he won't be able to do that. This somewhat has also an ethical implication: the man is implicitly condemned of pretending that he has done everything he has been able to do and not actually reaching that limit. The Holy Fathers attempted to exit this contradiction by saying that when you have done everything you can the Holy Ghost and the grace of our Lord God Jesus Christ will help you. But where can I know whether I have done everything I could? Here too is manifest the failure in establishing where end the self-suggestion.

[527] The Christian theologians answer any opinion differing from theirs by attacking issuing personal attacks on her/him who differ. They do not actually answer the questions raised by a different opinion, so they don't adopt ah honest epistemological stance, but they unethically accuse them who differ as being: haughty, proud, pagans, unbelievers, and sinners who will surely go in hell. I wonder whether there still is any possibility of real and sincere dialogue after adopting some doctrine as supreme truth?!... On the other hand, manifesting intransigence and even persecuting and killing those who differ, it is an expression of both cowardice and laziness, because they who do that fear of being themselves wrong and they are too lazy to consider different opinions in a real dialogue.

[528] Everything the human being thinks is relative and is deeply contextual and circumstantial! For instance, what if some of the Holy Fathers were already in a deep depression when they wrote: "Everything is vanity"?!... To what extent the environment and the conditioning of the Holy Fathers and their age influenced their writings?!... Almost all the theologians take the wr4itings of the Holy Fathers as absolute truth; Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the restorer of the Christian theology didn't do so; just read his works!

Ontology / Epistemology: It is intrinsic and natural to our mind not being able to focus our mind onto something[529].

Epistemology: Until confirmed by real and concrete deeds and realities the theological elaborations remain simple imagination games[530].

Ontology / Ethics: People can do moral deeds even without being Christians[531].

Ethics: Everything good is done by God while everything evil is done by man[532].

---

[529] God created us like that. The constant disengagement of ten mind from the things it thinks at it is a natural process of self-preservation and survival. Actually, constantly thinking at God is unnatural and that's why almost impossible to reach. The Holy Fathers, here too, they inversed the natural for unnatural. Here too, the equilibrium, the balance, and the temperance are the right answer. Not being able to focus on something is a psychological or psychiatric illness, likewise it is being constantly obsessed with something.

[530] The all sorts of anagogies have no epistemological value of truth. Over time some theologians developed a wonderland totally contradicting and intentionally and explicitly denying the surrounding reality. That's why they speak about love when speaking about persecutions and killing. And their guilt is that instead of acting in order to fulfill our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments, they sunk Christendom in a schizophrenic division between the exiting reality which they deny and the imagined wonderland which they are unable to instate here on earth. There is a concomitant abdication from and a hatred for the actual reality. Where is their courage if they run away from the reality created by God for them? How do they perform their duties and responsibilities?!... The undeniable proof against this path is the fact that no saint or preacher or Christian denomination have even stopped persecutions and wars. On the contrary, some of them urged mankind to persecute and to kill on one another in wars!... Our Lord and God Jesus Christ warned us about this! (Mt. 12: 33; Lk. 6: 44)

[531] Christians affirm that one cannot do good deeds without the help from Christ and from the Holy Ghost. Still, there are people who are not Christian but they still commit good deeds and there are Christians committing unthinkable atrocities, persecution, and killing. Right now, the largest Christian Orthodox Country is continuing their four years long war against the second largest Christian Orthodox Country. The aggressors' Orthodox Church urged their believers to go to war… So, what helped them to the fact of being Orthodox Christians?!... In the same time the only Mosaic state on Planet Earth is continuing committing crimes against humanity and a genocide upon the population from Gaza, perpetrating unimaginable atrocities… Meanwhile, the Muslim countries mediate and negotiate for peace in these two wars… So, what being Christians or Mosaic has helped them to?!... I think that an externally worshipped God doesn't help one committing good deeds! One must impropriate God so that from within him good deeds to come out!...

[532] This is all the theologians have been able to come up with, until now. Some of them attempted to draw some nuances too. But, if the man wasn't able to do anything good by himself, by nature – by design, why would the man be held accountable for his evil deeds?!... Still, there are popes and priests committing all sorts of atrocities and there are pagans committing merciful and moral deeds. How do you explain that?!...

Ontology: There is no separation between human being and universe[533].

Ethics: Diverse religions use the Baptism – or other Mysteries - rather as means for instauration of their mastery upon believers[534].

Ontology / Ethics: The smaller in number a sect is, the more fanatic its adherents are[535].

Ethics: Salvation burden in too heavy for human being[536].

---

[533] Until now the human being has been imagined and described as isolated from nature. The Creation – in our imagination – has been imagined and described as composed of isolated entities among which there was a physical interval or distance. Actually, God has created this universe as a sole material-energetic reality, as a continuum which places with low energetic intensity and concretization engulf places with high energy and concretization. The human beings are immersed in this soup of matter and microorganisms and of plants and of animals which the human being cannot separate herself/himself from! All sorts of theologies divide God's creation and they isolate realities from one another, but they do that because of their incapacity of understanding anything about God.

[534] The Holy Mysteries are used as some means of force which the believers are dominated through. In this regard the theologians bend their logics and their ethics and so they reach to affirm that good things will actually be evil if not done in accordance to the official doctrine, and some evil deeds - for instance persecutions and killings and wars – are actually good if done with the blessing of the Church. Still, the reality – which is God's manifestation and presence! – contradicts these sophisms: atheist people can be moral and kind, while religions people commit all sorts of atrocities!

[535] And the tighter is the control and the bigger is the pressure exercised upon believers. Some believers run away from large denominations and fall into the hands of ruthless leaders of small sects who wash up their brains. It is almost impossible for one to escape the tight grip of a sect, regardless the religion which that sect belongs to. The small sects are also more prone to commit atrocities than the officialized religions are. Actually, the mechanism which the sects detach themselves from the official religions is their increased fanaticism, and that fanaticism tightens its grip on believers to an inescapable height, answering any disobedience with harsh persecutions and with killing the disobedient.

[536] If the theology affirms that the human being cannot save herself/himself by herself/himself, then what the human being could like bear the responsibility for her/his salvation. How can one be held responsible for something she/he is told, in the same time, as being incapable of?!... The sophism used by theologians for attempting to get out of this dead end is that if the human being only wants to be saved then God will help her/him and save her/him. But, in the same time, the same theologians say that nobody is able to want that without having within her/him the Holy Ghost… on the same idea, the Holy Fathers deemed even the harshest and the more suicidal ascesis as insufficient for one to be saved… All these contradictoriness simply disgusts me!... But in the same time, the same theologians claim all of these being true in the same time despite being in sharp contradiction to one another!... But, no worry, God is not contradictory by any means! Only our weak human minds are those producing all sorts of contradictions… The matter is that, if reckoned as contradictions they would be pardonable… but they are affirmed as absolute truths and postulates and as inspired them by the Holy Ghost, which is, implicitly, an accusation brought to the Holy Ghost and God as being contradictory…

Ontology: Between body and soul there must be equilibrium and temperance[537].

Ethics: Love hinders ethics[538].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Exaggeration aims to enslave[539].

Ontology: We have been the same throughout all history[540].

---

[537] This includes also the ascesis! Mortifying one's body is equally wrong to debauchery. There is a deep pleasure in sufferings and it is called masochism. There is also pride in deep ascesis. The true way is that of balancing the body and the soul or, even more than that, not conceiving the body separately from the soul and vice versa. Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology affirmed that there is operated a too big separation between soul and body. What would justify one's shorting of his own life by mortifying her/his own body?!... isn't that a suicide too?!... Punishing one's body doesn't mean punishing God's creation?!...

[538] But there is also an interested love that means love for power and for worldly dominance manifested in persecuting and killing those who do not totally obey one's own doctrine. So, there is not godlike love in hating the heretics… but that is love for worldly power and dominance and for the self.

[539] In order to achieve a maximum possible perlocutionary effect of their discourse, some of the Holy Fathers – if not all of them – employed at its peak the loading of the language, so that the natural communication was replaced for a continuously exaggerating discourse. The things are either perfect or totally evil; there was not middle field. And it couldn't be different because at the basis of all the whole Christian itinerary they placed the fear. The bigger the fear the most effective was reckoned by them. Let's scare the hell out of pagans so they would obey us unconditionally. This can be everything but ethical! I think that by doing so, many souls were brought to God as having an incomplete faith, for they "believed" only because they feared or because they were too scared not to believe. And how could the rest of their spiritual trip become better is it had an incomplete basis?!... That's why I think that one must be told about our Lord God Jesus Christ and then let her/him make a free and unpressured by anything decision. Otherwise, what would be the difference between an animal beaten and scared and conditioned in order to be tamed, and a believer coming to our Lord God Christ Jesus because of fearing Him?!...

[540] Some theologians marched on exploring and describing and justifying all sorts of changes the faith they belonged to would have brought to the human nature and to the world. But we have remained the same savages regardless what teaching we have been presented with! Just look around and see the continuously ongoing wars persecuting and killing indiscriminately children and women and elderly, wars which we commit all sorts of abominations in, and which even some Christian denomination praise them and put these abominations in an honorable place. Actually, I think that we hypocritically affirm that our Lord God Jesus Christ changes the human nature and the world only because we – willingly or not – aim to accuse Him of failing, because we refuse to admit that we ourselves are responsible to put in practice His teaching and to literally do that what He commanded us to do! Denying the reality won't change the reality!... It is our duty to change ourselves and to change the world as our Lord God Jesus Christ commanded us to do! Our Lord God Jesus Christ showed us and set up the potentiality and the possibility for us to apply these changes upon ourselves and upon the world. Affirming the opposite will annul the man's freedom…

Epistemology: Paradox actually means admitting the nescience[541].

Epistemology: Monk copyists rewrote the Holy Scripture as for monks[542].

Ontology / Ethics: Possibility of committing evil means an invitation to commit evil[543].

Ethics / Logics: Theology only mimics the reasoning[544].

---

[541] A usual escape used by some theologians is that of affirming the paradox when they hit a dead end in their theological speculation. But something cannot be both true and untrue… A fair stance would be that of affirming that – at least for the moment – we cannot know that… Why do we do the opposite: because of our vain-gloriousness and because of our lust for worldly power. We think that we do a service to God by claiming that we can explain everything about Him so that the believers not to forsake our faith because of us affirming that we cannot know God… We are such twisted minds!!!.... Some theologians present the paradox as a peak of apophatic theology; they present the confusion as godlike knowledge!... Admitting the paradox is valid only a an admission of our nescience; otherwise admitting the paradox would mean affirming the confusion as inherent attribute of God…

[542] In Codes Sinaiticus, the oldest in existence manuscript of the four Gospels, contains 27.000 corrections to the text. Each copyist added something according to his idiolect and according to the sociolect he lived in. So, how can one ask us to reckon each word of the New Testament as sacred while those words were added by successive human copyists in order the resulted version to match their own conception?!... The question is what is to which extent can we trust the corrected version?!... Hos could one establish which corrections are wrong?!... This doesn't cast any doubt about God and about our Lord God Jesus Christ, but is relativizes the way we think about Him and the ways which we have chosen to attribute to Him… We have made of our affirmations about Him a way of living and a doctrine, but do these ways of living and doctrine actually convey what He wanted us to do?!... Certainly, there is not identity between what we think and say about God and what God is… The only reason why some theologians affirm an identity between God and their doctrine is their lust for worldly power!...

[543] Statistically speaking, each time one has the occasion to commit something evil she/he will have 50% chances to choose the evil. But the human being lives in a compelling environment where huge forces exercise a constant pressure resulting in a compound sociolect. Nobody can escape the influence of the environment she/he lives in! So, in addition to not having but a partial freedom, the human person is required also to resist her/his tendencies towards evil… I think the theologians developed too far their doctrine on the human being's guilt and responsibility, thinking that God would love them for doing so… In a way, in this self-condemnation there can be identified also some vain-gloriousness: "God, look at me, how perfect I am!" …

[544] There is a fake reasoning in theology. Though some theologians develop inferences and attempt to argue their assertions, most of them actually start from result to choosing their arguments. They have already accepted as absolute postulates the conclusions which they want to reach, and then they start searching for the suitable arguments which to be favorable to their affirmations; any inconvenient places from the Bible, from instance, will be overlook, if they contradict the already established result. They do not undertake a due process starting from the ascertained phenomena, and then synthesizing their observations and testing them in

Ontology/ Ethics: Christians willingly become a Mosaic sect[545].

Ontology / Ethics: No Christian denomination is complete[546].

Ontology / Ethics: Theologians have given too much power to satan[547].

---

a variety of cases. That's why almost all theologians affirm something in a case and something else in another case though principally the content of those case is similar or even identical. And then, the farer a theological speculation is extended the prone is to contradict the attributes of God; see for instance the attempt to justify the lack of a destiny by invoking the freedom: but if God knows from eternity what is going to happen even with the smallest atomic particle – namely God knows everything from eternity! – how can that be reconciled with the freedom?!... If something is already established and it will inexorably be going to happen, where will the freedom have room for existence?!... The opposite would be the affirmation that God is not omniscient, and such a God won't be any God!

[545] Our Lord God Jesus Christ came to liberate the Mosaic believers from under the slavery and from under the dictatorship of the law. Namely He came to bring us freedom. But, instead of developing that freedom in our betterment and in order to transform this world in a Paradise, we have developed the same administrative furry and harshness and relentlessness and mercilessness and we earthed the heavens instead of elevating the earth to havens. The world imposed its laws and rules and content upon the teaching of our Lord God Jesus Christ. Gradually, our human nature has become predominant again and chocked up Christ's teaching. The most striking example is the fact that we have started invoking Christ Jesus's help in waging wars!!!... and for all this time we have been persecuting and killing human beings in His name!!!.... "Abomination of the desolation put in an honorable place"! So, the best we have known was to become a Mosaic sect!... We have managed to transform a teaching about freedom in a prison. Despite affirming the opposite, the human nature has remained the same even after the coming of our Lord God Jesus Christ and never has been changed! Just look around! We are in AD 2025 and a rabid and criminal war it has been wages for three years now by the largest Christian Orthodox country on Planet Earth against the second largest Christian Orthodox Country on the Planet Earth, killing children indiscriminately, urged to do that even by they patriarch!... What have been restored, then, in the human nature?!... We are the same criminals and, if God Jesus came yesterday, today we would crucify Him again!!!.... I think what our Lord God Jesus Christ did was to tell us what we should do, but we have preferred to keep ourselves unchanged and equally savages while elucubrating all sorts of human imaginings and attributing those our imaginings to the Holy Ghost. In the end, the fact that we affirm something that staunchly contradicts the very reality it constitutes a hidden accusation formulated against God…

[546] That's why neither are they superior to one another. And all of them overlook the commandment of loving our enemies, for all of them teach us to deeply hate people who do not obey the clergy of our own denomination. All Christian denominations have developed and they practice hate speeches.

[547] Reading Holy Fathers' writings one can ascertain that no human being has been ever had any change of salvation because of the powers satan is told as having upon the human being: bending the surrounding reality in order to tempt us, throwing thoughts within our mind, controlling our body, his angelic powers, his incomparable to us knowledge etc. etc. But if the enemy has such a wide range of superior to us powers, how can one ask us to oppose him?!... If somebody forcedly makes your mind to think at something, that one would totally control you, so how would you be able to escape that control?!... But in order the theologians to get

Ontology / Ethics: Embracing the sufferance as attempt to justify it[548].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Almost the whole Christian theology seems an attempt to excuse God by blaming the man[549].

Ontology / Ethics: How can we love God if we hate the man[550]?!...

Ontology: Natural means easy to achieve[551].

---

out of this riddle, they – of course – blamed the human being; but the same theologians spoke about a us as being born bearing a weakened human being and that not because of ourselves but because of a birth we cannot control and because of somebody else's sin, which is profoundly unethical! I think there is an evil tendency and energy present withing universe and we cannot yet – AD 2025 – explain it. The rest are human imaginings perpetrated with a limited mind.

[548] The Christian theologians attempted to explain and justify the reality of the sufferance by blaming the man for it and by recommending to the man to be grateful to God for it and not to try to get rid of it. And, if for instance a toddler was sick with cancer, they would blame his parents of even farther ancestors of that toddler. Would God be satisfied with such an approach?!... Would any sane human being accept such a solution?!... I cannot answer that right now… Embracing – and even, according to some of the Holy Fathers, desiring and searching for sufferance – it is a medical condition called as masochism. But let's put it this way: has such an approach solved the all-present problem of the sufferance within the world?!... I think if has totally and majestically failed from doing that. And anyone can ascertain that God has placed the sufferance within living creatures in order they to avoid the pain and so they to survive.

[549] And this is because of not being us able to know and explain God while, in the same time, we haughtily claim that we are able to. God doesn't need us to defend Him! The easiest way would be to admit that we cannot understand God, but that meant losing the clergy's worldly power. What would they be good for if they couldn't actually tall us anything about God?!... The actual theology developed the doctrine and the rituals and the symbols and the buildings and the vestments, roles, ascesis etc. etc. just for attempting to fill up the void of not being able to actually know and explain God. All those human inventions attempt to keep the place of God, but they have simply become idols… In this fake attempt to get closer to God, we have actually estranged ourselves from God and we have perpetrated persecutions and killings and claimed to do those on behalf of God!...

[550] Some of the theologians seem to disregard the human being to such an extent than one could call it as hatred, despite not openly mentioning that. Constantly blaming the man for everything evil, constantly showing the man as a weak and unworthy creature, doesn't that constitute an implicit blaming on God?!... for the man hasn't created himself… can be the man blamed for the way he has been created?!... can be all the human beings blamed for an ancestral sin while the perpetrators of that sin are not in heavens?!... or that hypothetical sin is only a means for keeping the masses into obedience to clergy?!...

[551] The Holy Fathers defined as natural something which they declared as impossible to achieve even by themselves: the deification. Consequently, they toppled over the natural-unnatural paradigm so that the whole nature became to them as unnatural. The natural state of the human being became an unnatural state, while the supra-natural state was deemed as natural. But, despite our theological elucubrations, the universe remains as God created it. If

Ontology / Ethics: Death remains insufficiently explained[552].

Epistemology: Theologians search for symbols in their attempt of justifying their theology[553].

Ethics: Some Holy Fathers believed they showed their love for God by doing injustice to human being[554].

---

there was an ascertainable transformation after our Lord God Jesus Christ resurrection, that new state would be the natural state conforming the Holy Fathers assertions. But just look around! There has taken place no betterment in the human nature because we still persecute and kill others in abominable wars… Our Lord Jesus Christ told us what we have to do but we preferred to play with our imagination instead of transposing His commandments in practice!!!.... Are we better that the Jews were at the time Jesus worked on Planet Earth?!... I think that, to some extent, we could we even worse, all the more because of being us told, directly by God Christ, what we have to do but we still lie to Him and refuse to do that what He commanded us to do!

[552] (AD 2025). Attempting to replace the explanation for fear or attempting to compensate the lousiness of the existent explanations by using the argument of fear are hypocritical at best. All religions attempt to cast a bridge between present live and the after-death by employing the faith, but they are not able to thoroughly argue their faith is only an illusion or an adequation. Some attempts were undertaken to collect testimonies form people who were in comma or in clinical death, but no real progress have been recorded yet. I see the main difficulty in this the fact that we try to explore a reality of another order with the reality of our order. And even if somebody had resurrected after being really death, how could have been that person able to express the another-order (even superior order) reality she/he experienced, if she/he still had used the words learnt while living in this present reality?!... I conclude that, at least for now (AD 2025) the death cannot be really explained.

[553] And they formulate all sorts of anagogies in their attempt to adapt the realities to their theology and not vice versa. The symbols and the anagogies offer the speculative mind endless directions to produce imaginings, but those imaginings have nothing to do with God! The less the theologians find justification or evidence for their theology in the surrounding reality, the more they will employ the symbols and the anagogies. If not thought as absolute the symbols and the anagogies won't lead to persecutions and killings, but if the symbols and the anagogies are reckoned as sacred they will become idols and they will lead to persecutions and killings. The less evidence the theologians find in the surrounding reality the greater will they feel the pressure for producing symbols and anagogies. A perverse consequence is that some symbols and anagogies attempt to somehow change the existing reality by denying it or by presenting the opposite to the reality as being the actual reality.

[554] Beside the fact that the theologians haven't been able yet to explain the presence of the evil within universe except by totally blaming the human being in all regards, to some Holy Fathers one can feel that manifestation of hypocrite servility as in case of a bailiff beating the servants in order to please the landlord. I think that if God had wanted us to be some brainless and totally submissive jellyfish God would have created us as such. On the other hand, and in total contrast, some theologians wrote about adoption, becoming sons of God, freedom, and dialogue partners with God…

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Theologians having been able yet to define normalcy[555].

Ethics: Any domination of a human being upon another human being is not from God[556].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Deeds confirm the truth of faith[557].

---

[555] Theologians define the natural as unnatural and the supra-natural as natural and that led them to exceptionalism, one coming in contradiction to normalcy. One must undertake a huge work of redefining and restoring the normalcy – if that would ever be possible.

[556] This includes the clergy too. The theologians developed all sorts of sophisms in order to go around the reality that the clergy has put in place a domination of the clergy upon laymen. All actions aiming to impose clergy's points of view – even those opinions are correct – it is an act of acquiring worldly power. The only way to avoid that is buy preaching with the concrete deed. "For the least among you he is the greatest." (Lk. 9: 48).

[557] Without deeds the faith can be the result of a simple self-suggestion. If one forces herself/himself to believe something she/he will read believing that something, but that doesn't make that something truer that any other myth of legend. We must fulfill our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments with the deed so that we will see they are possible to accomplish, we will see that the present world can become a Paradise here on Planet Earth, and that makes His Word true and that makes Him God. The Holy Fathers developed a path that refused the reality and that launched the human being towards a supposed reality one only believed to be so. But their path could have been only a self-suggestion and a wishful thinking. Rejecting the surrounding reality means rejecting God's creation and it means rejecting God's gift bestowed to us. Let's start building on what we have at hand, let's deify the surrounding reality by our deeds so that the Paradise from here to confirm God and the existence of the Paradise from beyond! The deeds certify the truth of our faith. The deeds show others that they are not required to believe something only because somebody tells them to believe it. Of course, because the human being tends to be lazy one more easily adopts a theoretical theology, while she/he will do the opposite namely doing no good deeds but perpetrating evil deeds. And the ultimate source of the good deeds can be found in the natural laws of the Creation as placed there by God Himself. The natural laws must not be rejected because of that opening the gate for all sorts of distortion of the reality and, actually, to the evil. We have no other means to prove the truth of our faith except by doing good deeds and by deifying ourselves. The theoretical theology can always be misinterpreted; the human mind can justify any abomination of the desolation. But even though the deeds too can be denied and lied about and even though the history can be distorted all the way to annulling it, the good deeds still remain imprinted in universe by influencing the people in our immediate surrounding and the people hearing about those good deeds. The consequences of the good deeds remain forever imprinted in the reality of the universe by their continued consequences. This is true for the evil deeds as well: even you confess your evil deeds and they will be forgiven by your spiritual confessor, the consequences of your evil deeds will remain imprinted in the lives of those whom you harmed, because you have irreversibly changed their lives. The superior spiritual experiences of the Holy Fathers cannot be a source of confirming the faith because of several factors: those experiences cannot be mass-replicated so that they cannot be confirmed; there is the danger of confounding a self-suggestion to reality; those experiences are not public so that most of people to be able to ascertain them; they seem rather possible to only a few special chosen ones so that they cannot constitute a path for the average believer etc. If one sees the uncreated unapproachable light while around him all sorts

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We need to review our Christian way[558].

Epistemology: “It could have been worse” it cannot an epistemological principal[559].

Epistemology: Faith provides no epistemological certitude[560].

---

of wars kill children and women and destroy lives forever, that seeing of that light won’t bring anything to the mankind; it is a wonderful personal experience showing also some egotism: I don’t care about the world, let them go in hell, as long as I can have this exquisite and so pleasurable experience! But, is this a sign of superior spirituality?!... Of course not! Our Lord God Jesus Christ didn’t command us to see the divine uncreated energies or to ascend to heavens while still being here on Planet Earth! Christ Jesus our God commanded us to help people in sufferings and to give a glass of water to the thirsty and a piece of bread to the hungry and to turn the other cheek and to love even our enemy! Performing spiritual fakir-like stunts won’t make this world better and won’t help people in distress. How many hermits prayed God and God stopped even a single war?!... And the possibility of committing good dees cannot be denied in good faith!!!.... People of all religions have the possibility of committing good deeds depending on the conditions which the live in. Sometime one can only feel sorrow for one in suffering, and even that in hiding because of persecutions and repressions, but that feeling of sorrow is still a good deed! Rejecting any responsibility for the mankind and for the world is anything but ethical!

[558] The way we have been theologizing and living the Christendom hasn’t yet brought peace to the mankind. People still persecute and kill one another and the Christians too do those happily. We the Christian have failed din changing ourselves in accordance to our Lord God Jesus Christ’s commandments! And who have been leading us all these millennia of Christendom?!... the clergy, of course! They have failed because they have been trying not to change themselves but they have been trying to adapt Christ’s commandments to be used by them in their lust for worldly power. We have remained as savages as we have ever been! The structural changes which the theologians so happily speak about are nowhere to be seen!... But this is because we haven’t fulfilled our share of duty!...

[559] Why not “it could have bene better”?!... One saying that he prayed so that the things haven’t been as bad as they could have it cannot provide any epistemological content. Why the things would still be bad if your prayers were efficient?!... What I am saying is that your prayers could have been efficient or they couldn’t have been, but there is not way to tell what affirmation is true. Again, did somebody pray and stopped a war?!... And let’s address this question to all religions!... Praying is good; praying can help individuals; but until those days no one can tell that somebody prayed and stopped a war. This may be because of us not praying as we ought to!

[560] If there had been only one faith and the faithlessness opposed to it the things would have been clear and simple. But there are so many faiths! On the very moment the second religions had existed the faith lost its epistemological surety or certitude. Let aside the fact that even within the same religion there are several faiths: which is true, which is real?!... Just having faith in something doesn’t make that faith true!... So, the deeds are the only escape from this incertitude! Committing good deeds build up a basis showing that the faith of her/his who are the committer of those good deeds it could be a true faith. Otherwise, all theological speculation and elucubrations will remain unproven imaginings. I see as imperiously necessary a turning back to the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ and starting to

Ontology / Epistemology: Perfection is not accessible to the human being[561].

Ontology: No degree of spiritualization or of deification will annul the material nature of the human body[562].

Epistemology: Theology needs a constant revising process in order to remain in coherence[563].

Ontology / Ethics: Our Lord God Jesus Christ's crucifixion is a guilt of the mankind[564].

Ethics: Most of theology sems to have loosen and indulged itself to man's self-villainization[565].

Epistemology: Part of the theology issues as postulates some judgments which are actually valid to limited parts of the reality[566].

---

apply those exactly as told us in our daily life! Between our Lord God Jesus Christ and us there have been interposed a thick wall of inept theology!

[561] Although some theologians preached the opposite to this. And what perfection is?!... It seems to us that the whole theology in an imperfect attempt to explain God, but this imperfect attempt claims about itself as being perfect… Isn't this claim an expression of our vain-gloriousness?!... Isn't our hatred on them who contradict us a manifestation of our pride?!... And whose daughters and sons are we when persecuting and killing our enemies on behalf of Lord God Jesus Christ Who commanded us to love our enemies?!...

[562] Regardless how far has advanced somebody in restraining his body, she/he still needs eating, drinking, sleeping, peeing, and shitting. The only way to annul these is the death. Forcing oneself to believe a contrived reality won't change the actual concrete surrounding reality which we live in. I think that rather balance and temperance are more recommendable than a suicidal ascesis.

[563] For instance, if "God doesn't keep the evil in His mind", then what will be needed for a Judgment?!... What would be the content of that Judgment constituted of?!... Will God judge that what He won't remember?!... And: does God forgive anything?!...

[564] But some theologians – if not all of them – have theologized a doctrine having as foundation the sufferings as instituted by our Lord God Jesus Christ as the sole path to salvation. Once instituted the cult of the idol of the suffering any persecution could be justified and any killing could be exonerated. Our Lord God Christ Jesus's crucifixion should make us see ourselves, like in a mirror, how evil we are, and so us to try and correct ourselves. But the theologians theologized that as an example for all of us to follow, so that the world and the body as gifts from God they actually have been deemed as evil and as sources of willing suffering. It seems to me that here is a subtler deceit, one attempting to deplete the world and the life of any joy, in order God to be condemned because of such a gloomy Creation.

[565] One can perceive even an intense pleasure in this false humbleness. This imbalanced self-villainization has fallen into some sort of masochism that exudes this pleasure of self-denigration. It is like some sort of shamelessly attempting to flatter God…

Ontology: There is no present of future in God's choosing[567].

Ethics: No religion allows you having a direct relationship with God[568].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theology must be rewritten by accepting the reality[569].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: If the suffering is the only way in life, there won't be needed God[570].

---

[566] And that's why there occurs that incoherence by saying something in a given case and by saying something else in a similar case. And that's why, by not accepting the relativity of the human mind that theologize and by attributing every theological product to the Holy Ghost, one reaches all sorts of dead ends when further developing some theological sayings. In the last instance, almost all the theological speculations end to contradict God's attributes.

[567] But there is only an initial past. The omniscience of God allowed God to choose only once at the beginning of eternity. Because God already knows everything from eternity speaking about a choice at God is meaningless, because God has already chosen everything from eternity. But this raises the question about God's Providence and we cannot answer how God would take care of us if God chose the way all the things would happen. Not even the supervision of what the things are going to happen like would be necessary, for everything takes place as God decided, and who could oppose God's plan?!... What sense would make supervising something that cannot happen but as decided?!... Let aside the fact that God already knows how the things are going to be for eternity!... Why would God supervise and take care of something which God already knows about what results it will have and which cannot have any result but the result already decided by God Himself?!...

[568] All religions state that regardless your good deeds you will still go in hell if you do not obey their doctrine. All religions state that one can have a relationship with God only within their ranks and only through their clergy. But this is about worldly power and it is not about God. How could God condemn a soul to eternal suffering only because that soul didn't enroll to serve a certain doctrine developed by man while still that soul behaved well?!... Is God unethical?!...

[569] God is real. God isn't a myth that one must imagine and have faith into. The creation, the Universe is real. The bodily needs are real and the reality is that one cannot totally remove those but by committing suicide. The whole theology up to these days starts from denying, rejecting, minimizing, condemning the creation as done by God, and the theology does that because of thinking that in this way it could cross beyond the actual reality into the spiritual reality. But the only way of achieving that is by dying. The death is the gate opening the crossing between the reality of material order to a reality of a spiritual order which we cannot know anything about, but we can only imagine it. One cannot think and know a reality of another order by still using the epistemological means belonging to this material order. This is very obvious in the apophatic theology using words and notions derived from the material reality, so that it feels and it states the words as insufficient for describing God... but then, why it still uses them?!... And, by constantly aiming to escape this reality which we live in, we are prone and bond to neglect our ethical duties as they have been ordered to us by our Lord God Jesus Christ Himself!

[570] The human being can as well suffer because of the natural laws. So, God, at least in my view, He isn't a God of the suffering as most of the theologians perceived Him. If God had

Ontology / Epistemology: Denying the present world made the faith theoretical[571].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Death was included in creation from the beginning[572].

Ontology: Trancending the given ontological state is not necessary[573].

Epistemology: Faith remains on human level[574].

---

been a God of the suffering, then the crucifixion of our Lord God Jesus Christ would have been only a reinforcement of that. By affirming that one must suffers in order to be saved we actually say that the suffering is recommendable and it is the only thing one must desire and that's an invitation to become masochists all of us. The theologians recommending and praising the suffering thought they got rid of the need of explaining it, but they didn't expand their doctrine all the way up to its conclusions. They only formulated a sophism in their vain-gloriousness of claiming that they can explain everything concerning God. A consequence is that God has created this world for the people and all the other creatures to suffer within it. But how can this be in coherence with a kind God?!... My only exit from this is that we have no idea what we are actually talking about!... As I said, if the human being's life purpose is only that of suffering, then the existence of God is not necessary because the universe's laws provide the human being with all the necessary sufferance without any divine intervention needed for that!... But God is kind!... Otherwise, there would be no God…

[571] By staunchly denying the present world the theologians cut off any connection with the Kingdom of God. They isolated the Kingdom of God and God in an unreachable reality, despite many of them affirming the opposite. They speak about things which the average human being cannot even understand. Does God want to save only a theological elite?!... Even in the Holy Mystery of the Impartation is shown that we are tied to the material matter for our Lord God Jesus Christ commanded us to use bread and wine and not some imagines symbols or something… And Lord God Jesus Christ commanded us also to feed and cloth the poor and not to develop and perform elaborated rituals etc.

[572] Affirming that the man's sin brought the death within God/s creation it is like accusing God that He was taken by surprise and He didn't know and He hadn't everything under His control. But even a childish reasoning would show the necessity of the death if the people and the animals and the plants were given the anatomical characteristics for procreate: where on Planet Earth would have lived all those immortal people, animals, and plants?!... So, I strongly believe that the death is a given from God and that doesn't make Him evil!... We simply cannot explain why!... We cannot explain even the life, let aside the death!...

[573] Even the deification makes somebody consummate while still remaining a human person. If God wanted us to be angels, He would create us as angels. Otherwise, why would God cerate us as human beings and then He would ask to transcend the ontological order given us by Himself?!... I do not think that transcending the ontological order is even possible. As corollary, I think that being dissatisfied with the ontological order which we are in and aiming to transcend it means opposing God and; and: if transcending the rules and laws place by God in His creation was possible, wouldn't that introduce disorder in creation?!...

[574] What we think that we know about God is what the Holy Fathers though they knew about God. In any human knowledge about God – and about everything in the universe – there is relativity and there is actually an adequation (see at Blaga).

Ontology / Ethics: Human being cannot be trusted[575].

Ontology / Epistemology: If God know everything since the beginning what the purpose of the Creation is[576]?!...

Epistemology / Ethics: Disagreeing isn't an argument in itself; it is only an emotional reaction[577].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Escaping the universe as created by God cannot be done[578].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Natural is the natural as created by God[579].

Epistemology: Theology needs as much openness as possible[580].

---

[575] Kind or evil in human being are circumstantial. If one is born in a religion he will be taught to and he will hate other religions. If one is taught to commit evil deeds he won't do kind deeds. How could one even think at trusting the human being?!... We betrayed God and we killed in horrible manner even His Son!... and, after committing that horrible crime, we have been pompously celebrating it for almost two millennia (AD 2025). All knowledge about God is circumstantial and affected by being translated through the human nature. The only direct communication between man and God took place into our Lord God Jesus Christ! So, no human theologizing about God is absolute! We couldn't have absolute knowledge about God even though there took place a direct communication with God…

[576] Omniscience of God annuls any surprise He could have. I cannot answer this question…

[577] Most theological disputes are based in refusing to agree another's opinion. Some sermons reject opposite argument by forbidding to be that argument brought up. That's both unethical and non-epistemological.

[578] The theologians speak about escaping the nature's laws as a way to salvation. But the nature's laws have been placed into nature by God Himself! Escaping the nature's laws would mean escaping God's laws as placed by Himself in nature. And the theologians recommend us to use the mortification of the body in order us to achieve the ascension beyond the nature's laws by spiritualization. But even they admit that there is not such thing as ascending to heavens except by death. The main flaw in this is the attempt to exceed / surpass / overcome / go beyond the reality which we live in by using the means belonging to the same order of reality. The saints still continue eating and drinking and defecating and urinating. So, the total abolition of the material order cannot be achieved by a creature created and place by God Himself amid the material reality as we know it.

[579] Operating the confusion by deeming the natural as contrary-to-nature while the supra-natural would be the actual natural comes against the natural as created by God, it led the theologians to ask for the rejection of the present universe. But this universe still remains created by God Himself!...

[580] If theologized in the isolation of the monastic cell and in total rejection of the worldly reality and knowledge, could such a theology serve the mankind?!... I think that a too narrow specialization of regardless what knowledge domain leads to inability to be relevant for other domains. Theology too must cast epistemological bridges between itself and all the other

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: in the present life the body and the soul are one[581].

Ethics: Being incapable of anything makes the man innocent[582].

Ethics: Requiring others to mandatorily do what ourselves can do is unethical[583].

Ontology / Ethics: Does the evil always win[584]?

Ontology: Praying means one doesn't let everything in the care of God[585].

---

knowledge domains. Theology is only a part of knowing God and it must adapt itself and incorporate all the other types of knowledge, because all knowledge is actually about God!

[581] The body and the soul can be separated only by death. While living in the present universe the body and the soul cannot be separated. The theology uses this separation between body and soul because of two reasons: this conception is held by all religions due to their tendency towards a spiritual reality; at the time of crystalizing the Christendom that was the general conception upon the human being. But some theologians showed that a too far separation between body and soul is counterproductive and impossible too. The development of psychology and of psychiatry must be employed and incorporated by theology. But who will do that?!: the theologians hate the sciences and the scientists despise the theology… (AD 2025).

[582] The Holy Fathers describe in detail the fact that the human being is incapable of anything and only by the help of God the human being is capable of some limited things. And then The Holy Fathers blamed the human being for all sins… isn't this a plain ethical contradiction?!... How can be one guilty of something he cannot do or decide?!... The human being is incapable even of asking for the help of God without God's help – the same Holy Fathers said…

[583] Some of us are marathon champions but that doesn't give us the right to ask all people to be marathon champions. To some ascetics one can discern some sort of vain-gloriousness and pride in declaring that nobody will be saved except those who can do what those ascetics could do. I said this before: if all the people had become nuns and monks the mankind will become extinct in three generations. Setting the benchmark so high was a consequence of own achievements which couldn't be available to all people. It is nothing wrong in the fact that one lives as deep an ascesis she/he wants; but it is wrong requiring all people to do the same and claiming in an absolute manner that no one else will be save except by achieving that deep ascesis.

[584] The Creation of God has fallen; we have crucified and killed the Son of God; the wars have been continuously raging for 6000 years of recorded history; we all will die in the end… where is the victory of the good?!... On Planet Earth it seems that the majority of the people suffer…

[585] Taking care of praying still means taking care of yourself and worrying for the things which you are praying for. Or, by and large, praying to God means worrying about yourself and asking God to help you. So, in our view, the *leaving yourself in the care of God* can be used and seen only as a partial attempt which still preserves an own will – the will to pray God for something and the will for you to be bestowed that something by God. A total *leaving oneself in the care of God* would mean being indifferent and careless.

Ontology / Epistemology: Convincing yourself about something won't make that something to be real too[586].

Ontology / Ethics: Hating the pleasure is unachievable and wrong[587].

Ontology / Ethics: Evil affects others too[588].

Ontology / Ethics: Knowing the moment of your death won't made you indifferent[589].

Ontology / Ethics: God is a Person treating us as persons[590].

---

[586] I am struggling those days (AD 2025) with the idea that having faith in something can make that something to appear as real to us while still remaining actually unreal. That leads us to the conclusion that the faith is not a proof in itself. People have faith in crazy things too!...

[587] The pleasure remains as main function of the brain and no one can achieve the total removal of the pleasure. Even more than that, the Holy Fathers themselves aimed to achieve the supreme pleasure of the ecstasy. The advice to "hate the pleasure and the love the un-pleasure" raises the question: doesn't this quest aiming against the nature as created by God?!... or: If God had wanted us to love what we feel today as pleasure and us to love what we feel today as unpleasant, wouldn't have God created us accordingly?!... or: Does God have as objective to torment us?!... I think that what actually is wrong is the approach adopted by theologians, and by no means God! I think that our Lord God Christ Jesus enjoyed the meat, the bread, the vegetables, and the fruits He ate, and He enjoyed the water and the wine He drank! or the resting by sleeping etc. Temperance doesn't mean absence of the pleasure!...

[588] Theology's tentative explanations given to evil could be valid if the evil didn't affect others, namely those people whom the evil is perpetrated upon. Initial Job's family members died, but why?!... And didn't Job love those too?!... And wasn't Job faithful to his previous family members, so that he was content by receiving another family?!... And one goes to the hell because of mass-killing people in wars triggered by him, but the people killed and maimed in those wars what were they guilty for?!... And here it is about the unborn children as well, or about toddlers!!!.... So, the conclusion I am drawing from here it that we haven't the slightest idea or explanation about the evil!!!....

[589] Theologians affirm that God doesn't unveil us the moment of our death for He wants us not to loosen our ascesis or to us to postpone our efforts for later. But I think not knowing the moment of our death makes us rather negligent and allows us to forget about our death. On the opposite, in my view knowing the exact moment of our death would strengthen our certitude about our death and it would make us even desperately working for our salvation.

[590] This is valid regardless the circumstances. But some of the theologians use this concept only when convenient for blaming the human being and for holding the human being accountable for her/his sins, while in other instances they find more convenient using a rather statistical approach: people are dying in wars because of their sins… I ask them this: what sin had an unborn child or a toddler who dies in a war?!... I think we – despite claiming to having answered that – we actually have no answer to that!... And I don't think that God loves our hypocrisy as an attempt to "defend" Him… I rather think that God would want us to courageously ask more questions about Him and so us to know more about Him; but this is impeded by ourselves because of being us cowards and by adopting this kind of interdiction of sincerely asking questions about God.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Denying any solution isn't a solution[591].

Ethics: Does humbleness exclude objectivity[592]?!...

Ontology / Ethics: Few people can escape the temptation of taking advantage of the humble[593].

Ontology / Ethics: Pause means regress[594].

Ontology / Ethics: Let's at least start being Christians[595].

---

[591] Some theologians reject both sides of a possibility, namely both the positive and the negative approach. Their conclusion is that the man can do anything while being guilty of everything too. But this is deeply unethical: if I could do nothing why would I be guilty because of not doing something?! Such an approach casts also an ontological shadow upon the design of the man: if his design is faulty why would the man be accountable for that?!...

[592] Some theologians speak about humbleness as being a total annulling of the self. How can be that objective?!... If one says that he is inferior even to the stones, doesn't that introduce a relativization of the objective thinking?!... Must humbleness be irrational?!... I think a temperate and rather balanced modesty will suffice and it will avoid one getting mentally ill. Such a humbleness totally annulling oneself is the direct and short way to depression.

[593] Include here all sorts of clergy, even patriarchs. You must be aware of the fact that by choosing to be yourself humble you will expose yourself to exploitation and to sufferings. People will think that you are simply stupid. All the religions encourage their believers to be humble and no religion can abstain from exploiting their humble believers. Then, what really the humbleness is?!...

[594] There is not such thing as a neutral state. Neither there is such thing as continuous progress. That what stops progressing will immediately start regressing. God has place in His creation the law of the need for constant striving to remain alive. The human being must dedicate herself/himself to this constant striving for advancing even when the reality shows the beginning of regressing. The human being must strive for progressing even when in full regression. In the end the battle will be lost by dying. This is valid not only for the living creatures but also for that what we call (AD 2025) as material objects, or as matter. Everything in God's creation, while existing it is submitted to the contrary tension towards destruction and inexistence: stars, planets etc. The only thing which doesn't disappear are the atomic and subatomic particles, but they too still cross from a state to another, form an organization to another. So, I like human being have the duty to strive myself to progress towards God to the very and o mine, by constantly fighting this tension to renounce, and by constantly striving to survive the mechanisms of the destruction placed by God within me and around me. Saying otherwise, that God hasn't placed the mechanisms of destruction within me it would mean affirming about God that He has lost the battle with the evil and with the man. The snake could be a symbol for the human nature that accompanied Adam and Eva.

[595] The official theology speaks as we have already reached near to the end of the world and as we have accomplished what our Lord God Jesus Christ commanded us to do. But we aren't anywhere near to that! We haven't even started to be Christians! Just look around, just look at ourselves! We still are stupid, greedy, savages, persecutors, killers, and often or mainly we do that in the name of Christ!... We stuff ourselves with food while all over the world children starve to death… We still fight religious wars while our Lord God Jesus Christ commanded us

Ontology: Would God help non-Christians[596]?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: No person can really understand another person.

Ontology / Ethics: In Humbleness there must be temperance too[597].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We do not understand the suffering yet[598].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We have to judge[599].

---

to love even our enemy!... We prefer fanaticism and cruelty because it is easier than love!... We brag about the fact that our Lord God Jesus Christ has restored us in the state of Adam and Eva before their falling into disobedience, but we actually manifest more sinfulness than they did!!!... So, I think that we haven't even started to be Christians!... Maybe we need more millions of years (no we are in AD 2025) just to start fulfilling Christ's commandments!

[596] If there is only One Gode Who gives birth to all people and if those non-Christians are zealous in committing good deeds and in fulfilling Christ's commandments though the do not reckon our Lord Jesus Christ as their God too, will God save them too?!... Will God welcome them into His Kingdom of Heavens even they do not call that Kingdom as we the Christian call it?!... I think yes!

[597] If the mankind had applied the total humbleness as recommended by the holy Father, the mankind – including here the Church – wouldn't have progress at all, but the mankind would have even have disappeared. Imagine all the human beings on Planet Earth sitting in dark corners, crying for their real or imagined sins, refusing to do anything in their totalitarian attempt to avoid any vain-glory; namely, imagine a general self-sabotaging: no priests, no bishops, no patriarchs, no artists, no engineers, no anything! By the way, imagine all Christians disappearing and all the other religions surviving! Would that be something pleasant to God?!... Actually, just look around and see if even the Patriarchs manifest a total humbleness!... In the way some theologians theologize one can perceive a deceit attempting to destroy the Christendom!...

[598] (AD 2025). The easy to get out way from this conundrum represented by the suffering was to blame the human being for it. But that's not a real answer. In their attempt to fight the existence in the real life the Holy Fathers went beyond any limit and declared that one must thank God for sending him sufferings and one must even ask God for sending him sufferings. But then, if the sufferings were good, why would us still pray God for alleviate our sufferings? Why would we even pray God, after all? And why would we even need God?!... Wouldn't there be enough a stoic philosophy or the fact that we are masochists?!... Lo how even in the highest human theological thinking and practice there still can be identified deviations and deceit. This constant attempt to escape the present world isn't possible (yet, AD 2025). No one succeeded to leave the present world except by death. And no one turned back to tell us what really is there like. And even some people had experienced what they called the supra-world, they had not vocabulary to express their perceptions.

[599] Our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandment imposing us not to judge is not valid or applicable without its second part: with the measure you judge you will be judged. Namely, if you judge with a good intention and to the best of your fair understanding, you will be judged with the same measure. Judge kindly and you will be kindly judged. This is because I still need to understand the world around me in order I to survive. It seems to the that the

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Only the Saint escapes the current world[600].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We do not know (yet, AD 2025) the meaning of the life[601].

---

theologians preferred to use and emphasize only the first part of the commandment because it offered them an absolute tool for claiming absolute obedience from the believers. By hypocritically using only the first part of the commandment they claimed not to be themselves judges despite the abominable crimes committed by some of them. A maximally hypocritical saying still in circulation is: "Do what the priest tells you to do and not that what you see the priest doing!". By hypocritically applying only the first part of the commandment one opens the way to total control upon the believers, worldly speaking…

[600] Escaping the current world, as I said, it is not possible except through death. But the Saints, from time to time, very few of them, they succeed in escaping the power chains of the present world; they do not escape this world materially speaking, because they still eat, drink, and shit. But they succeed in escaping the general tendency from within this world towards aggregating everything in worldly power chains. All religious structures tend strongly to aggregate in institutions holding worldly power: canon, hierarchy, doctrine, interdictions, monopoly etc. etc. But all of them hypocritically speak (at least until now, AD 2025) about freedom. Even the sects claiming to break free from official denominations still institute other chains of power: replacing a church for another, replacing a clergy for another style of clergy, replacing a reinforcement of a doctrine for another reinforcement of another doctrine etc. etc.

[601] We do not know yet (AD 2025) a meaning of the life in the present life. Christendom preached the rejection of the present world in order to reach the Kingdom of Heavens. But why would God create the present universe and us within it in order us to reject His creation and to aspire towards something else?!... The influence of the ancient philosophy is so strong in Christianity! The Holy Fathers attempted to explain a new reality by using the idiolect in use at the time their lived. And that contained ideas already formulated by the ancient philosophers or even by previous civilizations as: Sumerian, Egyptian etc. etc. There are a core set of main ideas accompanying the mankind through history, one being that of a Planet Earth constituted as some sort of testing range for the people living in the present existence, because they are evil and punished to sufferings caused by matter, and after passing this exam they will go in an immaterial Paradise where there will be no sufferings, or if they fail they will be send to suffer: some more generous religions deem that state as non-permanent – other chances being available for salvation -, while other more strict religions offering the believers only one chance and that's it! I think that such a short life lived in circumstances uncontrollable by us, it cannot be to God a ground to eternally condemn a human being created by Himself and whose destiny is controlled by Himself. Affirming the contrary is like affirming that god sabotages Himself… Logically speaking, the conclusion of the mentioned premises cannot be but that of a hell that is not eternal, but limited in time, for a further betterment of the souls from there; the total impossibility for further betterment as preached by some Christian theologians raises questions regarding the salvations mechanism's efficiency… And if one was born Muslim and taught to hate the Christians, why would him be sent to hell because of circumstance he didn't control?!... I have started to intuit that the Christian theology makes sense only when assuming that the whole world would be Christian!... And then, we still do not apply in practice the teachings of our Lord God Christ Jesus as Himself told us to do! It seems that a great deceit chocked up the new Teaching by pilling up upon it the same mechanisms and realities built up by mankind throughout all their

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Exaggeration is a main characteristic of the human nature[602].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Stop attempting to make martyrs of people[603]!

Ontology / Ethics: Death is always victorious over individual[604].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Personalism have limits too[605].

Ontology / Ethics: Intellect's sharing in humans depends on God[606].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Your deeds cause unchangeable effects[607].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Idolatry is present everywhere[608].

---

history: doctrine, power chains, institutions, clerks, leadership, punishment for heresy etc. etc. All of these by confounding the human theology to God.

[602] Exaggeration it affects all domains of the human manifestation and its manifestation reaches the highest level in religions, because the religion operates with absolute arguments, so that the exaggeration can reach here an absolute level.

[603] People just want to live a normal life. All mankind's manifestations tend to exaggerate: patriotism leads one to persecute and kill people belonging to other nationalities; morals lead one to persecute and kill, for instance, human beings who adopted different sexual orientations.

[604] The individual always dies. There is nobody or nothing escaping the own death. Even the stars die! But generally, the death loses and the life wins, as long as there still is life in the present universe! So, my question is: is the personalism in religions a correct approach?!... We are taught that each and every individual is equal to God and there we see innocent unborn and born children dying killed in insane wars… What is God care for the live by and large, and the individuals are taken statistically?!...

[605] Any human knowledge limits. During time the mankind have been imagining God as persona and attempted to communicate with God as we do with a person, because we don't know any better. From here we developed our doctrines, cults, rituals, imagining that we can flatter God and use Him on our purposes. Still, despite God being conscious, we cannot define Him with our human concepts. One cannot define a reality that exceeds the reality he lives in.

[606] God created the world so that He shares the intellectual capacities among people, regardless rank, richness, nationality, religion etc.

[607] Even though your sins can be forgiven their consequences upon other people's life remain for eternity. No one can go backwards in time. So, make your decisions keeping permanently in mind their unchangeability! You can change your mind but you cannot change the effects caused by your decisions.

[608] Some theologians – if not all of them!... – confound the worldly power of their religion to God's power. They carve an idol out of their faith.

Ontology: Suffering accompanies the death because of the life struggling to survive[609].

Ontology / Ethics: Christ's commandments make a victim of you[610].

Ontology / Ethics: Our Lord God Jesus Christ brought us freedom[611].

Epistemology / Ethics: Overlooking theological mistakes makes you accomplice to those mistakes[612].

Ontology / Ethics: Let's not hypocritically hide behind a proclaimed love[613]!

---

[609] Suffering has yet no theological justification (at least for now, AD 2025) despite all theologians attempting to give a justification to death: punishment, sin etc. etc. But God placed the suffering in the living world (we do not know if all the material reality suffers, namely even those realities which are for now (AD 2025) reckoned by us as lifeless) in order the life to be preserved at the most, or as an incentive for the living creatures to stay alive, to preserve their own life. So, in my view, the death has been placed by God in His creation in order the life to be preserved: the struggle for staying alive, expressed by suffering, is a law place by God in His creation. Besides, even the saints die!...

[610] And there's no other way. The words are useless and anything can be denied and contradicted by other words. Even the theologians quarrel with one another using the same Gospels. But you must fulfill the commandments without expecting anything by sufferings in return. Your sacrifice must be voluntary. And our Lord God Jesus Christ will take care of you in ways which seems impossible in the real and concrete world which we are living in. Of this consists "hitting the spike with your foot". This is the essence conveyed to us by Christ's crucifixion. And employing Christian faith and doctrine to fight wars is totally the opposite to our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments! If anyone attacks you anyhow, you must "turn the other cheek" and you must "love your enemy too". And this makes a victim of you, at least at a first glance. But if all people had done that, there wouldn't have been any victims left on Planet Earth!!!...

[611] And the theologians transformed that freedom into a straight-jacket. Despite our Lord God Jesus Christ warning us that "the letter kills"! We have elaborated severe doctrines, we persecuted and killed people in the name of those doctrines, we have imagined rituals, we have built cathedrals, we have imagined vestments… the only thing we haven't done is letting people be free!!!.... We have chocked the teaching of our Lord God Jesus Christ and replaced it "for our law".

[612] Because you allow people to be fooled in continuation. Somehow, we confound the love and the respect for the Holy Fathers to accepting any of their theological mistakes, regardless how obvious those mistakes would be. One great theologian who didn't accept such a hypocritical stance was Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian Theology who showed, each time, directly or contextually, the mistakes committed by the Holy Fathers and he corrected those mistakes explicitly or indirectly. But he did it, nevertheless. There is no place for lie or hypocrisy in the true Christianity.

[613] Yeah, hear, we love our neighbor, we love the poor… What concretely have we done to certify that our love is a true love?... We utter love-word to God in our prayers and rituals and religious services… but what have we concretely done to show God that we truly love Him?... "This people love Me with their lips"!... Our Lord God Jesus Christ commanded us some very concreted things to do! A theoretical faith is a non-faith!...

Ethics: Affirming about God that he wants us to fear Him it defamatory to God[614].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Corrections brought to the initial texts of the Gospels are a deceit[615].

Epistemology: Only thing we are able to think and utter about God is a series of well-intended offenses[616].

Ontology: Creating the world and the human being God created Himself too[617].

---

[614] Fear has been used / still is being used by clergy as leverage for forcing people to enroll and to surrender to the religion that clergy preached. And I mean here all religions. But this "fear of God" has also as source the fact that the human mind conceived the spiritual realities by using the imagology taken from the surrounding reality. God is imagined by people as a moody and fearsome king. The reality is that we cannot process the though of God correspondingly. Regardless how hard we try to live the present reality we still remain in it. The only way of escaping this reality is the death. And as the adepts of the negative and of the apophatic theologies affirm, anything that we say about God it actually diminishes and deprives God. Even the fact of saying that God wants something form us it is totally wrong: wanting means needing and God doesn't need anything. The sophism with the *love* doesn't actually solve this conundrum.

[615] This is another attempt to earthing-down the spiritual content of our Lord God Jesus Christ's teaching. The successive corrections and replacements of words, operated by successive scribes of readers, they have transformed – to a certain extent – the godlike content into a human-generated content. The 27.000 of corrections on the oldest Greek manuscript of the four Gospels – Codex Sinaiticus from London Museum – makes me think if there have anything important remained non-mystified?!... I have haphazardly learnt that there are some gospel manuscripts in Aramaic and there is a scholar who have been analyzing all the modification brought by Greeks to the original text simply because of not being aware of the phrasal verbs and of the slang / idioms of the Aramaic text. To what extent has been altered the original message?!... How many words does the Gospels contain and what is the percentage of the modifications?!... And the continuation is that the theologians have created idols of their own words, persecuting and killing people on behalf of those words…

[616] Theologians stop – consciously or not – in their theologies at the first consequences of their assertions. They refuse – intentionally or because of being incapable of – to lead their reasonings to further sequels. For instance, if the plan conceived by God from eternity was that of sending His Only Begotten Son to be crucified for the sins of the mankind, why would be the mankind guilty for their sins, because God assumed they will commit sins, so that those sins are destined to happen… If God forsook a human being in order that human being to be tempted by satan, wouldn't that mean that God collaborates with satan to determine the human being to commit sin?!... and then what the human being will be guilty of?!...

[617] God added by creation a reality to His reality which He has placed Himself in relation with. The world and the human being are the realities perceiving and containing God and where God extends Himself within. So, the world and the human being add to the God existence at least the condition of God being perceived and present. God cannot be thought as separated from His Creation, namely as separated from the world and from the human being.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Why the hesychasts – and other human being experiencing Christ Jesus our Lord God – see a light and not Christ Embodied?!... but they know that that Light is Christ[618]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Everything is theology[619]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: What the heresy is[620]?

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: There're no needed miracles for one to be a saint[621].

Epistemology / Ethics: Avoiding to ask questions about God is actually a sin[622]!

And then, in the absence of the human being, who would know about God?!... So, by creating the universe and the human being God exits His loneliness and enters a dialogical relation.

[618] I don't know the answer to this question! If we questioned the implications and the importance of the body of Christ, the whole embodiment doctrine and its ramifications would be questioned!... and this is because we conceive the body of Christ after His resurrection in a too human manner.

[619] Including the science! All we do is experiencing God, intentionally or not, in order to know Him and to understand as much as we can about Him. There is nothing that can be outside God, once God is everywhere. There is no "place" outside God. I personally am bothered when the theology becomes philosophical speculation, while still claiming the monopoly over the everlasting truths. I strongly believe in a "practical" theology, done with the deed, while the "theoretical" theology leads us to all sorts of dead ends.

[620] Just look into history of the Christianity and see that it is a succession of "heresies" or a continually evolving heresy. First of all because new doctrine contradicted former doctrines which, some of them performed a coming back: but all these fratricides supposed persecutions and killings – which are expressly forbidden by God!!! There is no such thing as a "right faith"! – mainly because it is impossible to have only ONE general faith! Even the Holy Fathers differed in their doctrines while, of course, claiming that only they had the right faith! So, the question is: what are we believing in? How can we reach that faith that places us in accordance with God? I don't know any answer to this except the commandments give us by Christ and which we can fulfill by committing simple deeds: give a glass of water to the thirsty, give a piece of bread to the hungry, give a coat to the naked, say a comforting word to the suffering. I don't dare saying that we manifest our "right-faith" by manifesting our love, because I am a sinner and I don't know what the love really is!... Only the martyrs – even more than the saints – knew what the love is!

[621] Church's procedures require some recorded miracles for one to be declared a saint, but what greater miracle we need above one maintaining and expressing his faith by deeds. Each good deed is a miracle. Asking for a miracle that means changing or bending the natural laws is both unnecessary and impossible to ascertain and prove. It only adds to discrediting the faith. Do the simple commandments given us by our Lord God Jesus Christ and you will do countless miracles!!!...

[622] The Holy Fathers instated and practiced and reinforced the principle that one mustn't ask any questions about God, and asking questions about God would directly mean heresy and it

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Everything is done for reward[623]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Prayer is a reconnection to God[624].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Theological texts accusing God of collaborationism with satan must be immediately erased[625]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Theological texts affirming that God tests the human being in order to ascertain the state of the human being are wrong[626]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: A God Who doesn't have all the divine attributes doesn't deserve to be followed[627]!

---

would constitute a mortal sin. But they were the first theologizing about God. They also contradicted one another in their affirmations, as the theology has been submitted to a constant evolution and progress. And such a principle has been sabotaging the knowledge about God and it has been constituting an epistemological brake. And it also instituted a monopoly upon truth – and that, in my opinion, is vain-gloriousness. Choosing to willingly remain illiterate and uneducated and stupid is not humbleness by any means. Obeying some visibly wrong principles is self-sabotage and not humbleness at all. Participating to the general idolatry (rites, ceremonies, gestures, vestments, songs etc. etc. when reckoned as worthy of being the human beings persecuted and killed on their behalf) is the actual and real heresy!...

[623] There is no such thing as a disinterested deed! The Holy Fathers formulated the request one not to do any good deed for reward, but that's both an impossibility and a nonsense. Christians do good deeds for being granted by God their salvation; isn't that a reward? Or for avoiding to be sent in hell – avoiding a punishment is a search for rewards in itself; not being punished is a recompense in its essence! Being granted the peace of your conscience is a reward! Being granted the hesychia is a reward! We all fear or love God – or both fear and love God – in a very interested manner, and we do it for reward!

[624] Each time we pray we reconnect with God. Each time we pray we recondition our cognitive and affective web in order to be able to maintain the behavior we have chosen to display. The prayer is a reinforcement of our decision to follow the moral principles we have chosen and to transpose those principles in concrete deeds in the present world and life. God doesn't need our prayers. God doesn't need anything. If we succeeded in maintaining ourselves in the grace and not gradually forgetting about our moral goals, if our connections in our brain didn't change their cognitive and affective web, we wouldn't need to pray.

[625] Attempting to explain the presence of the evil within Creation and because of not succeeding, several theologians resorted to childish explanations actually accusing God of collaborating and plotting with satan against the man in order to prove something to satan. These texts are pure madness and examples of the human mind very narrow limits.

[626] Why would God test and ascertain something He knows from eternity, for God is omniscient?!...

[627] Several theologians parrot-like reiterate assertions about a God Who rather is only a demiurge and not almighty, all-knower, all-present. In their failed attempt to stick God in their very narrow drawers or their mind, they intentionally – or at least foolishly – overlook the fact

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: There is a curse upon man of being locked up in vernacular languages[628].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: A great deceit perpetrated by the Christian theology is the attempt to building up a new religion on the foundation of the Mosaic religion[629].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Christians must avoid being social parasites or profiteers[630].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God loves normalcy in man[631].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: I am almost in my sixties so I have only two choices: be religious and depressive, or be only depressive[632].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Hypocritical optimism and manipulation do not good to Christendom[633].

---

that God knows everything from eternity, God can do everything, and God is present everywhere. There is strongly and keenly needed a bringing of the Christian theology back in coherence with the divine attributes, because of having gone far astray!

[628] This is even more obvious relatedly to the religions and to the religious texts and communication. When combined with patriotism, bigotry, racism, sexism, and hate, this curse gives birth to idolatry, and people start persecuting and killing other people in the name of their vernacularity: be it of language or of religion.

[629] Our Lord and God Jesus Christ warned us about the fact that no one should out a new wine in old sheepskin. But our theologians have been doing exactly the opposite!

[630] Let us be saved, while the whole world goes to hell… Live in withdrawal, live in a worriless manner, forgive about the past, don't be concerned with the future, live into present… your own mission is to gain your salvation… but who are feeding you? Who are treating you in hospital? Who built those hospitals of the schools where the physicians treating you have been learning their science? Who built your cathedrals and churches and who sewed your vestments?... Between monasticism and egotism there is a very thing line, easily transgressed!!!....

[631] And in all His Creation. Meanwhile, all religions – including Christianity – prescribe diverse degrees of insanity, some of them even glorifying the insanity as holy and pleasant to God. Religion must not be confounded to God, because otherwise the religion becomes an idol to us and we will start persecuting and killing people as offerings to our religion-idol.

[632] I have chosen the first variant. I couldn't be atheist, because atheism is a religion too, and because I am too claustrophobic to admit that my only choice if to remain locked up in this material universe. And depressive I am because of not finding a satisfactory answer in the theology the Christian theoreticians have been able to formulate during all these almost two millennia of Christianity (AD 2025).

[633] Admitting the truth would do, but the Christian theologians are unable to admit the truth for now. Almost all their sermons urge the listener to a denial of the reality: the Orthodoxy if the greatest! – yet, the biggest Orthodox country in the world is gruesomely killing its

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: There is a certain level of kindness innate to some people[634].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Monks claiming to withdraw from the world and still accepting to belong to a monastic accomplishing and hierarchy, they are liars[635].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We fear to truly love anybody[636]!

---

innocent neighbors, whoa re Orthodox too! (AD 2025) … the death no longer exists! – yet people are continuing dying… etc. etc. God hasn't asked us to be liars!!!!.... We cannot "defend" God and religion by lying!!!....

[634] As also there is a certain level of innate evil in some people. This raises again the question of the liberty. One cannot be free, actually, by being predestined to have a certain genetic determination, or an inclination towards good or towards evil. Freedom is a myth contrived by the weak human mind, because of not finding other explanation to evil, and because of being more comfortable and easier to blame the human being for the existence of the evil.

[635] Withdrawing from the world means renouncing tot eh world's material and power fluxes, even while living in the middle of the world/society. A hobo or a vagabond or a homeless is "more withdrawn from the world" in this sense, than a monk who accepts monastic ranks, participation to conferences, writing and publishing books, teaching students in religious seminaries and faculties, or even living in a monastery that provides him with his "worldly" needs. Even accepting the respect paid you for others because of your achievements in the monastic life – being those achievements reckoned as purely spiritual, despite there is no such thing – it means also lying about your "withdrawal from the world". Only the hermits avoiding any contact with other people could reach a beginning of "withdrawal from the world", though they too are helped by trusted monks with food, clothes, and Holy Impartation. So, actually, I don't think that there is possible any withdrawal from the world. And it there was a real withdrawal from within world, we wouldn't know about that because of having no contact with such a person in order to ascertain that. On the other hand, while living within world there is no possibility to totally cut off your ties with the world: you must eat and drink and you must urinate and shit in this present world. So, "withdrawing from the world" would rather mean a diminishing of your connections with other people and renouncing to your egotism to the maximum extent which you are capable of. For instance, a thorough application of the "withdrawing from the world" would made impossible the Church's cult and the officiation of the Holy Mysteries, both because no one would accept to be a priest if they were really humble, and because no priest would accept to see other people. Saying that you are actually humble but you accepted the call addressed to you by God and so that you humbly accepted to be a priest despite you are reckoning yourself as unworthy of being a priest, it is only an elaborated self-lying and a sophism. But then, we do not know other way of worshipping God, so let's follow the existing one as long as it will be the only one which we know – but without reckoning it as absolute, because of its logical argumentation flaws.

[636] That one meaning even God! We are afraid of getting hurt and of losing self-control! But the danger is very real because of evil people taking advantage of anyone whom they see capable of love.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Early Church's theology suffered of lack of knowledge[637].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Does a certain unfolding of events supposing a determination to God[638]?

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Rejecting/criticizing a certain theology doesn't mean rejecting God[639]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Running from being questioned equals a lie[640].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Love cannot be separated from truth[641].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Human being cannot bear the huge responsibility as prescribed by some of the theologians[642].

---

[637] The Holy Fathers formulated their beliefs and their experiences on the level of the general primitive knowledge of the yore society/sociolect, and on the level of their personal education which was that both of highly regarded philosophers or of illiterates. The effort to stubbornly preserve that state of affaires despite some teachings being contradicted by the current general knowledge (AD 2025) has nothing holy in it, but it is caused by laziness, by fear, and by wrongly understanding what the love for the Holy Fathers would mean. I think an updating of the theology both as language and as content is becoming increasingly necessary and unavoidable. Take, for instance, the paradigm of the spatial "organization" provided by the Christian theology: God in heavens, man on earth, hell underground – while we have already started the spatial era… where are the heavens located, "physically"?!...

[638] The way the events are described by the Bible are seen today in a succession and the theologians/anagogues identified/imagined various and numerous cause-effect relations. But if we affirm that, for instance, the Adamic sin determined a certain succession of events, it is equally to saying that God was determined by Adam's sin do take certain actions, including the embodiment and the crucifixion of our Lord God Jesus Christ. But that's an impossibility, because of God not being determined by anything! The only conclusion I can draw here is that the theologians/anagogues affirming such things are totally and utterly wrong! But then, the succession of events would remain without a cause-effect determination…

[639] Otherwise, that theology is an idol to us!

[640] A theology running away and going any length to forbid being questioned, it is not a theology about God but it is theology of a lie. The truth doesn't fear being questioned. If anything can be denied that won't be any truth. The truth cannot be denied. The truth doesn't need to be reinforced by menacing the people questioning it with the eternal punishment in hell.

[641] Some theologians – if not many of them – justify the lie on the ground of the love. Also, in their theological speculations, they are hypocritically biased to what they claim to love, and in order to justify their affirmations they hypocritically overlook the truth. For instance, except Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the Restorer of the Christian Theology, I haven't encountered any other theologian criticizing the mistakes of the Holy Fathers, even where those mistakes are obvious and undeniable. I think that a hypocritical love that overlooks the truth isn't actually love but a deceit from the evil.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Intentionally crafting a limited theology / doctrine will limit the listeners too[643].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Christianity is the Mosaic religion whose coming of Messiah has been fulfilled[644].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Holy Impartation's matter: the bread and the wine, they will become flesh and blood of the imparted believer[645].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Theologians who reject the logics and science are ill with vain-gloriousness[646].

---

[642] It seems to me that some of the theologians burdened the human being with an unbearable responsibility. I don't think that God knowing how weak and limited He created us, would trat us a His equals and punish us accordingly. Look at all the heresies, look at all the right-faith urging peoples to kill on one another in wars – religious or not – and have the courage of ascertaining the reality: we are unable to apply our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments!

[643] The Church prefers a lukewarm theology / doctrine in order not to cause unrest among masses. So, they prefer to keep in use some outdated theologian affirmations, and to avoid any contentious theological questions, because they are content with that type of believer who doesn't ask any question and who blindly obeys anything the theologians say. But, preaching this sort of limited and lukewarm doctrine will limit and make lukewarm the believers too. The effect becomes the cause for further developments. For instance, the Church still preaches about sex as a mortal sin, about woman an unclean and inferior to man etc. while blessing the marriage and living in a society that claims to give woman rights equal to the man (AD 2025). It is preferred thus the incoherence and the confusion to the variant of telling openly the truth: sex is not a sin, and woman is not inferior to man by any means (let aside the offending attribute of unclean, impure, source of all sins etc. as the Holy Fathers described the woman…).

[644] Despite the enormous efforts undertaken by the Christian theologians and power-structures of the Churches, aiming to contour the Christianity as a totally new religion, we still use the Old Testament, we read or chant the Psalms of David – this being the main occupation adopted by the Christian monks -, we worship the same God-the Father called YHWH, and our Lord Jesus Christ was Mosaic at His turn and a Jew. Except that we accept Jesus of Nazareth as Messiah and from there a development of the Mosaic religion has been undertaken. But denying Christians' Mosaic roots is a brazing lie!...

[645] So, a transformation in real body and blood still can be seen.

[646] The simple exit would be saying that: "we simply don't know that, yet". But in their vain-gloriousness they stubbornly contradict even the very reality in order to justify their answers; this is an idolater process and their doctrine has become an idol to them: they worship their doctrine and they persecute and sacrifice people in the name of it, bringing them offerings to their idol. This idolater process doesn't have anything in common with God. The idolaters have a strong faith too, only they believe in the wrong God. That's why the religious doctrines are riddled with contradictions and paradoxes; the theologians rather accepted to be incoherent than admitting they cannot answer certain questions about God. And while theologians formulated their "complete explanation" almost two millennia ago, using the mankind's knowledge in its very early infancy, they became frustrated with their own childish theology and instead of admitting they were wrong, they did the most human thing ever: the

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: No one should criticize any religion before knowing it intimately, like he would be a big believer of that religion[647].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Actually, there is only collective guilt[648].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Ignorance is intrinsic to any human knowledge[649].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Thinking, sincerely searching for answers, it is not a sin[650]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Any confession of faith contains a part of self-conditioning[651].

---

tried to destroy the opposition, to denigrate it, and finally to stubbornly refuse any evidence against their sayings. Some theologians continue adopting this stance even today (AD 2025), while traveling on planes, on boats, by cars, using modern medicines, using surgical procedures, using computers and mobile phones, using, in the end, even soap to wash themselves… or toothbrush and toothpaste to wash their teeth… and this seems to me an unpardonable hypocrisy. For such theologians I only hope that there is no hell!

[647] Theologians of all religions have the tendency to sharply separate the religions in: the right-faith, namely theirs, and the pagan's faith which must be hated by any means. But they who do that rarely have any idea about what other religions contain. So that they usually hate other religions blindly and as a principle. It happens even between the Christian denomination. Just as any member of the Christian denominations what their faith differed by from the faith of other denominations – I would be surprise if she/he knew! There in an ethereal idea: we are right and everybody else are wrong! And that's it… Letting aside that hating isn't a Christian feeling at all!...

[648] Because there is no freedom. Because the individual is conditioned by genetic, place of birth, family, religion, science, society, politics. Only the martyrs – not even the saints who die peacefully – escape the collective guilt because they are capable of assuming individual responsibility by sacrificing themselves. Freedom means self-sacrifice, suffering, and death.

[649] Including the theology. Theologians who claim they have all answers and only their theology is valid, they are the most ignorant among all. Even our Lord God Jesus Christ answered when asked about the time of the end of the world that He didn't know about that. Remember He didn't answer Pilate when that one asked Him: "What is the truth?", and I think that was because the human being cannot receive the truth – at least for now (AD 2025).

[650] Though almost all the Holy Fathers forbid anyone to question their doctrine under the punishment of ending in hell. But then, themselves the Holy Fathers differ in their doctrines, and occasionally criticize and questions their fellows' doctrines, partially or totally. But their main intention was that to frighten the believers into total submission to their doctrine. Despite all these set-backs, the theology has been evolving for the whole this time. Despite keenly declaring the unchangeability of the right-faith, the theology has been evolving and it will continue to evolve. There is an unparalleled division especially when it comes about science: the theologians vary from reckoning the science as coming from the devil to all the way up to reckoning the science as a gift from God.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Truth means objective arguments[652].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Spiritualization doesn't bring anybody beyond this word[653].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Self-criticism is absent all-over Christian theology[654].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: A part of Christian theology describes as a mission of ascertainment the coming on Planet Earth of our Lord God Jesus Christ[655]. ???

---

[651] There is not absolute faith, because the human condition doesn't allow that. There always is some doubt, even at the saints. Even Apostle Peter and Paul doubted their faith. So, he who confesses his faith always tries to convince himself that he believes, that he doubts as little as possible. There is a lie called by some theologians as love for the Holy Fathers and for the martyrs, a lie that edulcorates their lives and that attributes them things and facts wanted by theologians but unreal; they do this because they think their lie will strengthen the faith of the illiterate crowd, by deceiving them to come to faith. They actually do disservices to the faith because any lie is a cancer destroying anything it penetrates.

[652] Is there such a moral power in theologians to accept objective arguments against their faith?!... Of course, one must start from defining what objective would mean. And, one cannot bring objective arguments against God but only against the way we the people are able to perceive God and to speak about God. One can bring objective arguments against theological doctrines of all sorts because they too are human. Biased and hypocritical arguments are nothing but lies.

[653] Nobody and nothing cross beyond this world except by death. Spiritualization means developing the human being here in this world, by unlocking the already-given capabilities of the human being, which, at least in my opinion, are intentionally locked up – I am saying this here having in mind the extraordinary brain's capabilities accidentally unlocked in people. So, it is impossible to cross from this universe's order to another order as long as we live.

[654] Despite speaking about humbleness and crying for own sins, somehow, at the general level of the Christian theology there is not self-criticism. And then the reality contradicts this haughtiness and pride: just look around and see clergy's sins and wonder and be afraid. Is the humbleness and the total obedience destined only to the average believers whoa re so disregarded by the clergy?!... The same theologians speak about one receiving any criticism with joy… in order to prove it wrong just say any word of criticism addressed to the Church and you will see the public-relations-exercise furiously denying everything, trying to cover everything, and attempting – if possible – to destroy you. This is not about Joshua Messiah! This is about human pride and haughtiness!...

[655] Christ Jesus came and suffered, meaning He ascertained the human condition. But, despite the official theology speaking about the removal of the evil and about the restoration of the human being, just look around and see the human being as evil as ever and as sinner as always and the world around as cursed as ever. Why would denying the very reality by us be beneficial to God?!... Does God want us lying?!... It might be the cause of not changing anything be right this denial of the reality and this victoriously and triumphantly speaking about wishful-thinking victory. Our Lord God Jesus Christ told us exactly what we should to, but that part is the very part we overlook; instead, we hypocritically do the same things He

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Consummation is possible only in a consummate world[656].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Emotional persuasion isn't actually truth[657].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Most people are afraid of progress and that's why their initial reaction is that of considering it as evil[658].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God is not as we imagine Him being[659].

---

forbad us from doing, while affirming – lyingly – exactly the opposite: for instance, all the Churches gathered humongous wealth while preaching the poverty, all the Churches accumulated worldly political power while preaching the humbleness and the withdrawal from the world… this earthing of the spirituality is quite the opposite to what our Lord God Jesus Christ commanded us to do!...

[656] Otherwise, attempting to get consummate leads one to martyrdom. The consummation of any individual human being is the responsibility of all the other human beings for creating the conditions in order that consummation to be possible. Otherwise, we all are culpable for one's martyrdom.

[657] Many theologians – if not even most of them – attempt to replace the lack of evidence with emotional persuasion. Is like reciting poetries in the attempt to save one from dying, instead of providing the patient with medical help. But this is a hypocrisy and a lie and a manipulation. If you cannot uphold a saying of yours, just admit that: you believe it, you want it to be true, but you cannot prove it. Otherwise, in the back stage, there will always be present the lie.

[658] Many theologians – but not all of them – instantly attribute any progress to satan. Any progress step has been – and they still are – submitted to resisting and condemning actions. The progress-makers has been burnt at stake, imprisoned, excommunicated, defamed etc. etc. Then, hypocritically, the theologians have been among the first people who enjoyed the progress. I would condemn the theologians who are antivaxxer, who blame the social media, who deny astrophysics' discoveries (and we are in AD 2025!!!....) to walk or to ride a donkey instead of being allowed to use cars, mobile phones, medicines, or even processed food or fruits who are not in season there where they live.

[659] Everything we imagine and utter about God is limited to our human measures which place us within this limited reality which we live in. But our imagination rather describes us: did the people of the Old Testament imagine a cruel, warrior, avenger God? – they did it because there were cruel, warriors, and avengers. Do we imagine an avenger God, an accountant of sins, a spy… - we imagine God like that because we are like that. Do we imagine a God who asks us to commit suicide by terrorizing our body and mortifying our body and mind? – actually that speaks about us and not about God!... Actually, no word or image or thought from this order of reality can really express something about God. The only access to know something about God is provided by our Lord God Jesus Christ in His commandments teaching us concretely how to behave; but the Gospels too have been altered by zealous copyists and anagogues!... And about the path of the hesychasts I can only tell that it could be only the result of some psychical processes triggered within the human brain by the hesychastic practices and ascesis. If you must believe a reality in order that reality to exist, there will always be the danger of being only a result of a self-suggestion process.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Persuasion excludes freedom[660].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: No dialogue is possible among different faiths[661].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Religious fanatics substitute themselves to God[662].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Bad education instilled by some religions lasts for millennia[663].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Until the human live will have the same value all over the world, the theology hasn't reached even its very first and most basic goal[664].

---

[660] Large parts of the theology are dedicated to persuade the man to believe theologians' assumptions on God. Sometimes theologians pursue this goal at all costs and assuming that "the result excuses the means" (lying, frightening, bullying, excommunicating, torturing, burning at stake etc.). But that's a fragrant transgression of human freedom. But then what's the way: give him who asks you for it; don't answers unasked questions; present calmy your arguments and allow the hearer to chose if he wants to believe those arguments or not; to not press anyone into faith!!!....

[661] Any faith claims having the absolute truth of God! So, admitting anything can be improved or changed in the absolute truth is totally impossible! Any ecumenism is unrealistic, at least for now (AD 2025) – but a very dramatic change will be brought by the unveiling of the existence and presence of other humanoids in the universe and here on Planet Earth. Even so, any change in faiths and any ecumenism cannot become a fact sooner than over a million earthly years… people will defend their faiths and beliefs with their lives like against a devilish attack… they will deny the very reality… they will persecute, destroy, and kill… some people won't be able to admit the new reality during their earthly lives!... So, any mediation is excluded, and any discussion is actually a sum of monologues between deaf and blind interlocutors.

[662] Their religion is an idol to them. Their doctrine is an idol to them. They bring offerings to their idols the persecutions and the killings perpetrated by them in the name of their God who actually commanded them NOT to persecute and NOT to kill, but TO LOVE. They reckon any different view as an offense brought to their God but they are the ones feeling offended, actually.

[663] Instilling fanaticism and hatred in the name of God will result in persecutions and killing during centuries and millennia. There is not human force to immediately irradicate such a poison. Only education taking at least an equal period of time might heal such wounds, because they are defended by a wrongly-understood self-sacrifice.

[664] All the life in universe is the product of God. All humanoid beings in the universe are the product of God. Until now, the theology – regardless the religion which it belongs to – has been betraying God by urging the believer to hate other religions or denominations, to persecute and to kill other human beings. But I think the first to change must be the theologians themselves, to that their discourse about God – called by them theology and deemed by them as absolute – to change.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Logics is above circumstances[665].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: People tend to express their opinions as absolute truth[666].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theology's content is only a proposal[667].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: There is a story-teller present but never openly mentioned in the Holy Scripture[668].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: In theology one cannot convince anyone else of being wrong[669].

---

[665] Some theologians reckon the logics as devilish because it exposes their incapacity to formulate a coherent and uncontradictory vision of God. They see in that an attack on God because they have reached to reckon their own theology as God, buy idolatrizing the products of their thinking and feeling. Sometimes some theologians attempt to bend the logics in order to biasedly uphold their readymade conclusions. But a biased logics is an abomination and it is everything but logics.

[666] I have come across to only one theologian expressing doubts in his theological system and clearly formulating unanswerable questions: Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the Restorer of the Christian Theology. People usually confound their conviction to the absolute truth. Theologians make not exception to this general trait of the human condition. They could have been right if, for instance, there had been only one Christian denomination and doctrine. But there are countless, and that shows us that everything a human being can say is doomed to relativity. I think that confounding a strong faith to the truth is wrong, because people can believe strongly in regardless what they are told or they choose to.

[667] No things reasoned, expressed, imagines by people can be absolute. But admitting its relativity can be done by theologians because of our vain-gloriousness. We think that only our God is true while others are false. But we too cannot express the Only One God - Who has created the multiverse somewhen hundreds of billions of years ago – by using our mind that acquires a totally insufficient knowledge in merely eighty years and then dies. And this is regardless religion. Then we attempt to express spiritual realities by using our knowledge accumulated in contact with material realities. And what if: there is not separation?!... What if: the spiritual realities are very high and developed but ACTUALL MATERIAL RELAITIEA? What if: the matter-spiritual paradigm is actually a continuity?!...

[668] And the theologians have never explored this research direction: who heard God uttering His words at Creation? Who heard Jesus speaking when His disciples were deeply asleep? Who heard Jesus's conversation with Satan?... Who heard prophets' conversations with God? Who was in hell to see and tell us what our Lord Jesus Christ did there?!... (Let aside here that the Gospel of Bartholomew(?) is rejected by Church…) Should and could the fact of simply being something affirmed constitute a guarantee of its trueness?!... – regardless the book containing that affirmations… Add here the entropy provided by 27.000 corrections and words'-changes contained by the Codex Sinaiticus… What we actually can really know about our Lord God Jesus Christ?!... I think the only thing we can surely know and apply is His commandments on simple things: give a glass of water, give a piece of bread, say a good word, forgive, be kind, be pure… and that's about it!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Even ascetics and hermits must find satisfaction in the way of their living[670].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: His humbleness can make one sick and it can even kill him[671].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Mosaism and Christianity worship the same Yahweh[672].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: I cannot find any purpose of this life except that of becoming as aware as possible of the truth[673].

---

[669] Bringing opposite arguments to the official doctrine is a blasphemy, a heresy, a condemnation to hell, a pride and a vain-gloriousness, it is devilish! – and this determines the theologians keep in use the composition of the universe of air, water, earth, and fire… and condemning the sciences as devilish, while they hypocritically benefit of all the comfort they are provided with by the sciences and by the progress. I think that, right on the opposite, the theology must be the first one to try to correct, to better itself, to progress in describing God. Theology is not God!!!... He who confus4es what we can say about God to God Himself, that one is the true blasphemer and heretic and he is also an idolater by worshiping his theology as an idol!!!...

[670] Otherwise, why would they do live in deep ascesis?!... The pleasure is present even in the pursuit of the spiritualization, and the egotism and the self-appreciation are not far from there. The human being cannot be converted into a senseless robot. And the fear too cannot replace everything, even if there is about the fear of God. And I deny that the first care of God was that of creating the human being in order there to be somebody to only fear Him.

[671] Kindness too can do that. Humbleness and kindness stir up the predatory instincts in some people from around. If you want to be kind and humble prepare yourself to be a victim and a martyr. There is no other way around. And, in psychological and psychiatric plans one can get sick and even crazy because of the self-imposed pressure brought by self-destitution. Losing the balance ibn kindness and in humbleness throws one into depression. Actually, between the humbleness as described by the Holy Fathers and the deeps depression I can't see too substantial differences. And what is another incoherence, they Holy Fathers spoke about the middle-path of the right-reckoning – meaning temperance in everything – and then they prescribed unreachable feats to be done and a struggle to death.

[672] That's so obvious! Then, why they claim of having their own God?!... The question is: how can theologians integrate – or not… - our Lord God Jesus Christ in Yahweh?!... There was not attempt to solve the relationship between our Lord God Jesus Christ and Yahweh… besides, we read David's Psalms as main set of prayers… we have half of the Bible composed by Jewish Tora (?)… etc. etc.

[673] And what the truth is?!... I have no answer to this except the simple commandments give us by our Lord God Jesus Christ: give a glass of water, give a piece of bread… The theology of all sorts has failed in finding out the truth; their discourse fell into earthing and into repeating the same human shortcomings. One must open his mind the best he can and liberate himself form the thick layer of human relative thinking presented him as an absolute doctrine and as truth. But this affirmation issues us the task of formulating a vision which to answer our observations… will we be able to do that?!... who knows…

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Preaching about God that He intentionally hides Himself, it is wrong and childish[674].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Knowing God supposes starting with humbling ourselves[675].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Truth is something that cannot be denied[676].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Personalities can reach notoriety and admiration without holding truth[677].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Some theologians achieve notoriety by preaching the impossible[678].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Is there a chronological evolution in our Lord God Jesus Christ's teaching[679]?

---

[674] It presents God as engaged in a childish and stupid game of hide-and-seek, a game He wone from eternity. I think this wrong doctrine of a hiding God has emerged out of vain-gloriousness: instead of simply and fairly admitting that we cannot actually know God (at least for now, AD 2025), we blamed God accusing Him that He intentionally hides Himself from us. Of course, all the offshoots and branches grown out of such a wrong doctrine are null as well.

[675] Meaning stopping to claim that we know God, or that we are even able to know God. Meaning to stop worshipping our human doctrines as idols. Humbleness doesn't mean obeying the stupidity of others, but it means admitting that every human being – including here the saints- can know God only relatively.

[676] And not something imposed by force of by fear of by menaces of by threatening… As also, speaking the truth in a dialogue means diminishing yourself and your desire to be victorious to the lowest you are able to, and to let the truth speak by itself. Say your opinion plainly, without rhetorics, without raising your voice, without, actually, wanting to win that argument. Let your interlocutor decide if you tell the truth or not! It is not so either in theology or the sermons! – they force one to mandatorily reckon their sayings as the absolute truth, or else… While speaking of freedom the theologians actually confiscate the human being's freedom.

[677] This is valid for theologians too. Each domain has its famous people, and those people are reckoned as holding truth for that specific domain, and they are helped by the paradigms of that specific domain. But truth is a content that cannot be denied by any domain. The truth is independent to people.

[678] Because of their vain-gloriousness. And you can see how satisfied are by asking the believers to do the impossible, while they do nothing of that. Some of them ask the believers to achieve the things themselves have achieved because of being endowed by God with some individual gifts, and they feel – manifestly or not – the satisfaction for their "holiness". Superiority, pride, haughtiness… you name it!...

[679] Can one ascertain an evolution of any kind in the teaching of our Lord God Jesus Christ as His deeds and sayings claim to be chronologically presented in the Gospels?!... But evolution

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Paradox means entanglement and absence of knowledge[680].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Most of theology uses derived / second grade arguments[681].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Bible is contradictory because of having been composed of contradictory texts[682].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Is the prayer against God's will[683]?!...

---

would mean some later of them contradicting earlier of His sayings… Another variant would be that He was equal to Himself and always absolute. There are some ascertained contradictions in His sayings, but I rather blame the translators and the correctors for those. One should develop this research direction. By the way, some theologians attempted to solve those contradictions by employing all sorts of sophisms, unsuccessfully though.

[680] And by no means knowledge! Paradox is claimed as knowledge only because of our vain-gloriousness impeding us to say, plainly and fairly: we simply cannot know, we simply cannot understand. Affirming about God that he is paradoxical is an abomination and the blasphemy, and it is an accusation brought to God and it is a transfer of our nescience from upon us upon God.

[681] Namely, in justifying their affirmations they use the affirmations of other people which they reckon as postulates, or absolute. But they don't even think to doubt or question those sayings, which are still the product of the human thinking. Let aside that some sayings reflect the sociolect and idiolect of a far back historical period and they are totally obsolete and risible nowadays. The only postulates the theology can use are the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ from Gospels; but those too have been affected by countless translations and revisions and corrections and "improvements", as each scribe though that he knew better that the original text (see Codex Sinaiticus, bearing around 27.000 corrections!!!...). The arguments usually consist of formulation like: "Saint X said…".

[682] There can be two reasons for that: either Saint Athanasius the Great didn't have the needed capacity for selecting only coherent texts, or the capacity of noticing the incoherences, or he didn't have other texts; or both these variants in the same time. On the other hand, he decided to be left aside texts which still are used in the cult – like the Gospel (of Bartholomew?) describing the descent of Christ Jesus to hell etc. – but the theologians hypocritically overlook mentioning those texts. People reckoning the Bible as holy and absolute in its entirety they forget about the Bible being put together from individual texts, by people, who didn't know better that that. And there were several texts left aside because of a human decision. Of course, one can argue that the Holy Ghost led those people to compose the Bible, but would the Holy Ghost create anything contradictory?!...

[683] When we pray for something to be changed, won't we pray against God's will Who has already decided that to be in a certain way?!... For instance, we are ill and we pray God to make us healthy: isn't that illness of us the will of God?!... or, we pray for the wars to stop: are those wars outside the will of God, or happening against the will of God, or are those wars the will of God and we pray God to change His will?!... and again, all these mechanism raises the question: why do we even pray because God knows everything from eternity; can we change God's plan – God is omniscient – He conceived from eternity? Are the wars, for

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: One cannot separate the theology form the people making that theology[684].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Pert of the theology deems Christianity as a religion of the suffering[685].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Scaring people won't bring them sincerely to God[686].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Though usually generally valid the words of our Lord God Jesus Christ still are affected by context[687].

---

instance, randomly occur and without being under the control of God?!... or God wants those wars and we, consequently, by prying Him to stop those wars we actually pray against His will…

[684] In my readings I have ascertained the existence of diverse theologies – by NO means there is "one faith" – and this is a valid argument of the undeniable presence of the human factor. Some theologians are kinder, softer, gentler, while other are quite sadistic and cruel. The question is: what does God have to do with our human imaginings?!... Though the main thesis of the theology – regardless the religion which it belongs to – is that the productions of the saints are actually generated by God, and therefore those productions would be absolute, postulates, and undeniable. But it is hypocritically overlooked the fact that some of those productions contradict one another: is there any God contradicting Himself?!... also, a minimum psychological knowledge will lead one to ascertain that each person generates her/his own faith, according to her/his cognition, affects, and behavior. There is not such a thing as absolute faith outside/beyond individual brain's functioning. Add to this the sociolect and the technolect and the idiolect and you will start noticing the great lie posed by an expression like "one faith"! Each human individual encounters God on his own, because she/he is the one thinking at God, feeling God, experiencing her/his relationship with God. Of course, the guides have their beneficial role, but they cannot substitute – despite they feel this craving for putting a monopole on God! – the individual relation of the believer with God!

[685] But then, where is the "good news"?!... I haven't found yet any satisfactory explanation why God created this Planet Earth and He gives birth to the people in this universe only for the people to suffer, and that would be – as the theologians say – the proof of God's love for people. How can be reconciled – with credible arguments – making one to suffer with loving him. In human terms that would be sadism.

[686] All theologies preach the fear of God in order to force the people to obey them and God. But this is a way of acquiring false believers. They don't want to believe, they do not actually believe, but they are too scared to express their opposition. I think that this theology of fear is the result of the worthlessness of those who preach it: if they were so holy as they claimed to be, they would convince the people to believe by their personal example, even without using any words: holy deeds and holy behavior, behold the solution. Better do without saying than saying without doing.

[687] So that in diverse context His words can be interpreted differently. The tendency of the theologians is that of hypocritically choosing that interpretation that suits their arguments and claiming that that is the only possible interpretation, all the other interpretations would lead one directly in hell. But then, the theologians overlook, for instance, the commandments:

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Christians brag about their sufferings[688].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theology mistakes by confounding the particular to the general[689].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Fanatics confound their personal comfort to the truth[690].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Some theologians feel like a sexual pleasure in striving to inflict the Stockholm Syndrome to themselves[691].

"You shall not kill!" and "Love your enemy!" when they bless the armies to go and kill the enemies…

[688] And made of God a God who makes them suffer. And in order to explain this made of God a sadistic God and made of themselves some masochists whose supreme achievement is that of fully and intentionally acquiring the Stockholm syndrome. But, let me tell them something: people of other religions and even the atheists suffer too, so the Christians are not privileged in suffering.

[689] Individual experiences are stated like postulates. Everything is stated as postulate which one who doubts about will be sent in hell. The theologians – except, for instance, Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the Restorer of the Christian theology – never take their reasonings beyond the convenable conclusion they are searching for; they hypocritically bring only those arguments which are convenable to them while overlooking and keeping their silence about contrary arguments. For instance, the Holy Fathers uttered that only the monks will be saved; but they never asked how the monks are born, how the monks are fed by worldly people, and that their withdrawal from the world meant actually remaining in the world, despite putting a distance between them and society – which, at its turn, it wasn't possible either, because bot the monks and the people form the world visited one another. This confounding of the particular or of the individual to the general caused also the quarrel, the persecutions, and the killing not only between Christians and people belonging to other religions, but even in the core of the Christianity among diverse denomination: they confounded the particular of their way of worshipping God to the generally valid and only form of worshipping God. This is also a sure path to idolatry, sacrificing people to that particular reckoned as general!...

[690] There cannot be any dialogue with the fanatics. They are disposed to persecute and kill people who have different opinions, because other opinions make them uncomfortable. They are required to think. They are required to admit that they are not perfect. They are required to admit that their truth which they reckoned as absolute is actually a very relative truth. They are forced to admit, on occasions, their inferiority. So, the fanatics don't fight for God, but they actually fight for themselves whom they have made an idol out of.

[691] As relationship with God. People should not be allowed to become theologians without a thorough psychological and psychiatric exam. Because other people reading their texts or listening to their sermons go crazy themselves, and the danger is that such people inflict their lust for sadism-masochism on other people too. Any stupidity has a rippling effect on the human society. Such theologians describe God as a sadistic torturer and the people must be in a masochist relationship with God. Just how stupid that can be?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Many theologians – if not all of them – let themselves to be led by the enchantment of their words[692].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Bias has nothing Christian in it[693].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Enthusiasm can be manipulative[694].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Visons have no value[695].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Part of the theology teaches people to fear of things simply imagined by theologians[696].

---

[692] They say something that sounds well, they come across a rhetoric means, they write something seemingly genius, they find an unusual and striking collocation etc. etc. And they cannot admit that their wonderful saying is actually a nonsense if analyzed. Theologians are just people at their turn, dominated by the same desire for fame, for validation, for adulation. It could be that some of them succeed in avoiding the vain-glory, but actually no believer can totally uproot his need for validation from his mind: otherwise, even praying to God will be nonsensical! Don't we search for our validation from God?!... Isn't the whole deal with any religion a searching for validation from God?!... That's why I think the definition of the humbleness as self-destruction and self-annulation is totally wrong. Such an approach it annuls even the will of God with us. Take Abba Isaiah, for instance, who affirmed that anyone who tries to become and accept to be a priest would be affected by vain-gloriousness (*The Romanian Philokalia*, volume XII) … consequently, there must be no priests! – would that be right?!...

[693] Still, the whole Christian theology is biased. Take for instance the fact that in texts and in sermons Saint Apostle Paul is admired for the fact that he accepted to be Christ's apostle, despite he did that because of being forced because he got blind! And he is praise for his mind changing from persecuting Christians - did that mean killing them too?!...; possibly – to spreading Christianity. The parable with the apostle Thomas is told and interpreted so admiratively, overlooking the fact that he saw Christ, he lived a number of years in the closeness of Christ, but he still didn't believe… Meanwhile, we who do not see Christ, who didn't live around Him, who didn't persecute or kill Christians, are sent by theologians to hell because of eating meat on Friday, or because of not attending the churchly services as the monks do etc. Bias did nothing good to the Church and it is springing out of the theologian's hypocrisy.

[694] Some theologians attempt to replace they lack of argument or of proofs for their enthusiasm. But that is sheer manipulation. That is resorting to the people's irrational feelings in order to bypass their rational thinking. And, in forcedly persuading the people is fully present your vain-gloriousness. Bring out your arguments and let them persuade; remove your self from dialogue. Or, if you can, do your good deeds and let them persuade people about your truth! Enthusiasm and zeal are basis for persecutions and killing in the name of God Who commanded us not to kill and to love our enemy…

[695] They cannot be proven. They can be simple psychism or hallucinations. They cannot be studies. They cannot be replicated. And they cannot be translated in words. So, what would the vision be good at?!...

[696] There is no hell as that imagined by the theologians and artists from the era of slavery or of the middle age! God isn't an accountant constantly watching the man committing sins; God is not plotting together with stan how to destroy the man; satan is not a creature wearing hoofs

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: No other human being can fight for your salvation but yourself[697].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Faith doesn't mean holding your position at all costs, even without being convinced that what you argue is the truth[698].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Only proof for the truth of a faith is one to do in practice what he preaches[699].

---

and tail and horns who sits next to the man constantly urging the man to commit sins. Actually, after seeing the human nature in action I can tell that there is not need either for angels or for devils. The human being can successfully replace both of these categories!

[697] Other human beings can help you, but you are in this fight on your own! And I mean salvation as getting access to the truth to the extent of your possibilities which are innate to you. Opening your mind and becoming able to see God beyond and above the idols: theology, rite, religious organization, persecutions and killing. Meaning that you will become able to feel and live God as a permanent reality, a reality conditioned by nothing and which cannot be actually communicated by humans, regardless symbols, signs, or words. You are alone in front of God! You are in front of God on your own and by yourself! Salvation meaning also your willingness to do the good and to not add sufferings to the already too suffering world. Salvation meaning renouncing to your egotism and tempering your self-preservation instinct. Salvation meaning your willingness to suffer without avenging for the evil caused to you. Salvation meaning applying in practice, with your deeds, the commandments given us by our Lord God Jesus Christ: give a glass of water, give a piece of bread, love your enemy!!!.... Salvation meaning you to bring your contribution to the mankind and accepting courageously, in order to do that, the sufferings in return for the good you have done. NO man can describe God to you! NO man can show God to you! You are on your own searching for God with a limited mind, having your eyes blinded, and walking into darkness. Christ came and we saw Him and we listened to His words, and in the aftermath, we started to adapt all those to our earthly ways, we earthed His revelation!

[698] Fanaticism isn't faith. Too many people confound the stubbornness to the faith. You don't know why, but you keep your position saying that you believe that position of yours, despite you have not valid arguments in favor of that. You have to have proofs. But which are those proofs?!... I don't have an answer at this point. But believing something only because some people tell you to believe that something, I think it is a trap. People can tell you all sorts of things, why not to believe all those things, or only the things you chose to believe?!... What is the justification for you to believe ONLY THAT what some people tell you to believe in?!... For instance, if the words of Christ were implemented in the real life and the human society was no longer persecuting and killing people, that would be an indisputable argument in favor of the faith. But the theologians and the people by and large have been choosing and they still are choosing the shape instead of the content. Building humongous cathedrals doesn't stop us bombing those cathedrals with people inside attending the mass. Wearing certain ritual vestments and participating to certain rituals won't stop us from being the same jerks as ever. So, for now (AD 2025) I don't believe we have even started following our Lord God Jesus Christ! We are not Christian by any means!!!

[699] In the absence of a direct contact with God we could see God manifested in the behavior and in the deeds of the ones who preach Him. Namely, the preachers do themselves what they preach. This is the one possible proof in the real world about the existence and about the truth

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Truth is only what cannot be denied at a certain point in time[700].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Most of theology is a description of a doctrine[701].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Paradox is invoked by theologians both because of their vain-gloriousness and because of their laziness[702].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Bullying is contrary to God[703].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God created Planet Eart on man's measure[704].

---

of God. Another proof is that of being you helped in situations which you have no power to control. Another proof is the incomprehensible complexity and dimensions of the Universe that couldn't be due except to God (I cannot accept that the whole this wonder is simply a happening). Another proof is the undeniability and general validity of our Lod God Jesus Christ's words from the Gospels. Another proof is that our prayers and others' prayers for us sometimes work.

[700] Because of being expressed with relative means and in a relative context any formulation holding true is relative too. Even if it cannot be denied now, that won't mean it couldn't be denied in the next second. Undeniably, there is God; but the means which we express God's existence now will be insufficient and obsolete tomorrow. The so much invoked tradition as element or even as guarantor of the faith's truth is actually a long series of constant adjustment, adaptation, and evolution, despite hypocritically – or stupidly… - invoked as unchangeability element.

[701] Theologians present their vision, their opinion, they theology accumulated by reading the works of other theologians, but they rarely try to bring arguments for their saying other than citing other theologians' works. The only one I have come across with is Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the Restorer of the Christian theology who tried to find fundamental arguments beyond theology, in the very reality of God and of His Creation. I think theology must reach those absolute grounds beyond its domain.

[702] Vain-gloriousness because they claim having an explanation for everything while they actually know almost nothing; laziness because instead of assuming their nescience and attempting to further reasoning, and instead of admitting that they simply at that moment cannot know the answer – and that implies effort and lack of comfort – they adopt the simpler stance of resorting to paradox. Paradox is a lie and it is an accusation brought to God of being paradoxical.

[703] But some theologians extensively use bullying in order to force people into the faith, because those theologians think they know better and the people around them are all stupid. Bullying is used in writings – gloomy images, strong words, all of them destined to forcedly press the people into that particular faith! – or in sermons. And then, hypocritically, there is not theologian who not to claim that there is a total freedom!...

[704] That's why the mankind can survive on Planet Earth. But we also can say that the human being was created on Planet Earth's measure, in order the human being to fit the life on this

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Prayer means self-suggestion too[705].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Holy Fathers' knowledge mustn't be idolatrized by any means[706].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Rejecting your body and the world means rejecting God[707].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Unrepeatable experiences cannot be invoked as proof for something[708].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Could religion exist without fear mongering[709]?

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: God's omniscience excludes freedom[710].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some theologians bring as argument for truth their stubbornness in believing their doctrine[711].

---

planet. Evolution isn't excluded from Creation. Just we cannot understand for now (AD 2025) their interweaving.

[705] This part shouldn't be neglected either.

[706] Nowadays a graduate from the theological seminary know way much than any of the Holy Fathers back then. And I don't mean only theology.

[707] Both body and world are God's creation. Hating your body means hating God. Hating the world and the body means hating God Who created them. In this hating and mortification of the body and in this caring for being dead as soon as possible I see a logics failure at their theoreticians. Wanting for something else than you have means, necessarily, rejecting what you have, and therefore their Creator. Christianity must be the doctrine of cohabitation with and of improving of the body and of the world.

[708] Including here: visions, personal experiences etc. This is not a condition of their fakeness, but it is admission of the possibility of being fake.

[709] I believe: yes! Until now – and this cannot be a postulate without a thorough quantitative and qualitative research on numbers and phenomena – the fear hasn't been able to stop the evil and, on some occasions, it has been even leading to persecutions and atrocious killings. But some of the clergy perceived the fear as means of controlling the believers.

[710] And it confirms the destiny. If God knew that one will commit a sin at some moment in his life, how could that human being not to commit that sin?!... Otherwise, God wouldn't know what is going to happen… Knowing something in advance that really is going to happen, it means an already established to be reality, it means forcedly being submitted to a destiny. Otherwise: would God be wrong on what He knows about our future?!...

[711] But that's not faith, despite they calling it like so. The fact that I strongly and stubbornly believe something, it won't make my faith truer than other faiths. It only makes me callous and easily to be convinced to persecute and kill people having different views than mine. And there cannot take place any dialogue. That's why I believe that the ecumenism isn't possible

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Searching for happiness in suffering is wrong[712].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Even Jesus Christ told us that some part of the creation will be a failure[713].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: What if God created the universe and set up His laws in it, and these laws actually govern His creation without His direct intervention[714].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: He who dies for his religious doctrine and not for Christ, he is an idolater[715].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Personal affects cannot guarantee the truth[716].

---

as a reconcilement among different religions but only as a totally new approach on God as shown us by our Lord God Jesus Christ. A New Christianity is needed!

[712] Some theologians saw to others, heard from others, or even experienced themselves some psychism of happiness or of joy while or after suffering. They draw the conclusion that there is a direct connection between happiness and suffering and so the one pursuing the happiness must inflict suffering to himself. But this is a clear case of correlation which is not causation. Why would God cerate good and pleasant things only in order us to reject His offer and to choose the opposite: the unpleasant and the ugly and the suffering?!... Would have God created the pleasure as mechanism deeply embedded in our psychism only as a mechanism to torment us?!...

[713] Only some people would be saved, not all of them. Let aside the people born in religions other than Christianity. But if there was a failure percentage admitted by God Himself, wouldn't that be a destiny? – because some people will necessarily be destined to hell. And if one is destined to hell, what her/his guilt would be?!... I heard some priests commanding us in their sermons not to ask such questions… or we'll go to hell… but not admitting that your have a problem won't be a solution to your problem.

[714] This would explain why a good God allows the wars and the disasters and the illness happen. I think the theologians from Planet Earth assumed too haughtily that God created only this type of humanoids in the whole universe and that God would occupy Himself, all day long, only with our petty creatures. Why would the humanoids on Planet Earth more important to God than the entire universe?!... I can see here a big pride to some people preaching so intensively the humbleness!... I think there cannot be real humbleness without admitting that the Planet Earth and the humanoids on it are but an insignificant dust spec in the universe. We are important only to ourselves, and that's all.

[715] Religious doctrines sparked persecutions and killing and wars which people are brought as sacrifices to as to some idols. The love for your religious denomination is idolatry, and you feeling superior to other denomination is pride and haughtiness and it is a capital sin.

[716] Most of the people – and not only theologians - confound their feelings and sentiments to some sort of guarantee of the truth. Let's reckon our emotions, our mods, as only personal and passing psychism, regardless how intense those would be. Let's become aware of our psychic

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: I believe in God, but I doubt religion[717].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: We haven't understood yet what our Lord God Jesus Christ did for us[718].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theologians hypocritically accuse the laymen because of theirs disbelieve[719].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some Christian theologians made an idol of suffering[720].

---

instability and limitedness! Let's try to reckon everything that we can think as relative and not as absolute!

[717] Still, I am a fervent attender of the Christian Orthodox religious services and of the celebration of the Mysteries, because I don't know better. But I have found to many gapes and incoherences and idolater tendencies and practices in the official cites and cult that I've started to doubt their validity. They increasingly appear to be me as human contrivances bond to our human condition and nature.

[718] Theologian say that the human being has been restored and God has reconciled with the humans. But, just look around: unstoppable and continuous wars, people are still evil, the agricultural land still grows thorns and brambles, and we all still die in awful pains. So?!... I am asking this because I strongly believe that God is real and not imaginary, God is the reality and not something we imagine! God is the reality and not some wishful thinking of ours! And our Lord God Jesus Christ told us what we must do in order us to be restored and our Planet Earth to become a Paradise again. And we do anything – rites, feasts, vestments, rituals etc. etc. – but not what He commanded us to do!... I see in this a hypocritical way of blaming God for our own evilness! God doesn't ask us to live in an imaginary world but to deal with the very reality and to make it better. God doesn't ask us to be blind to reality, but to do our very best to improve the reality, and not to refuge ourselves in a nonsensical and hypocritical imagination.

[719] Nobody did so much harm to the Church of God as the theologians did. The theologians have been constantly complaining that the faith is decreasing, that the number of the believers is decreasing, and "today's faith is weaker". And this is also a false assumption, held up by nothing but a very personal impression. Who on earth could tell hos much faith and how stronger that faith is on a given moment in history?!... Who can count such things?!... But this lamentation belongs to the theologians' arsenal of shaming and blaming of laymen weapons. And this accusation is like accusing the children for the incapacity of their parents to educate them. The believer and their faith are the result of theologians' work: if this result is poor, the theologians are to be blamed. Otherwise, it is like blaming the clay for the incapacity of the potter to make vessels!...

[720] No one has succeeded yet to explain the suffering, or the evil in the world. And I think this is because we are constantly sparing ourselves of admitting our guilt in creating the evil and causing the sufferings in the world. WE are the only one to be blamed. And, because of not being able to explain the suffering some theologians theologized that the suffering is good – despite the suffering being against life and against the natural laws place by God in His Creation (and I mean the whole Universe). So, other theologians continued developing this doctrine of the suffering until it has become a major idol of the theology. Shortly, this

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Theologian too avoid tackling with difficult matters[721].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Conservative theology destroys religions[722].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Russian war in Ukraine proved that there is no such thing as right-faith[723].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: True problems of the Christian theology are those which the theologian do not speak about[724].

---

nonsense can be expressed like this: "we cannot understand the suffering, so that it must be good".

[721] They don't approach difficult matters as for instance why the wars exist.

[722] Including here the Christianity. Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the Restorer of the Christian theology understood that and said that there are unchangeable parts in Christianity and there are parts that must be changed so that the Revelation to be preached to the new generations on their level of understanding. But the conservatives stubbornly – and idolatry – keep fighting any progress. I compare the Christianity to a ship who is anchored in the past and, forced by the tide of the progress it drags its anchor through sand still going with the humanity but increasingly disintegrating itself. One day that anchor will get stuck in a solid rock and there will be two possibilities: the anchor will break loose and the ship of the Church will be free of its stubborn conservativism, or the anchor will hold and the ship will wreck and sink. A consequence for now (AD 2025) is the fact that the "One Church" is a lie preached any time when one utters the *Creed*. There is not "one church" even in the Orthodoxy or Catholicism themselves. And I think the claim of the Church to be part of the political leadership contributed in a big way to its falling apart in so many slices of diverse Christianity. And tying the Church to the nationalism and to the nations was an even bigger plunder did by theologians thirsty of worldly power.

[723] A motif of haughtiness and of pride and of superiority both for believers and for clergy, the claiming of having the right-faith proved to be a soap bubble bursting in the thin air at the slightest breeze. The bigger Orthodox country in the world has been waging and cruel and merciless war to the second largest Orthodox country in the world for 12 years by now (AD 2025). There are no signs for stopping the aggression. Bragging that we are Orthodox! The only righteous ones! Meanwhile the biggest Orthodox church in the world, led by its patriarch, urges its believer to go and kill their neighbors… Where on earth can one speak about "love your enemy" in this madness?!... or, at least, about "You shall not kill"?!... I see in this Orthodox war wages on other Orthodox people an ultimate and definitive failure of the Orthodox superiority and pride!!!... By the way, bragging, and being proud are capital sins, aren't they?!... As long as there are armies persecuting and killing people, we won't have started yet to be any Christian at all. The beginning of the Christianity means the abolition of the armies and of the nations.

[724] Even when they do it, they do not explore all the possibilities but they always speak in postulates and dogmas, meanwhile threatening any too curious mind with the damnation in hell. This only what we allow you to thin, do only what we allow you to do, worship us!... Don't judge has become in the theologians' conception "don't think" or "don't reason". Take all this readymade stuff which we are selling it to you. Absolute obedience to God has

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: In all religions the tendency is the shape to prevail upon content[725].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Any theology is partially heretic[726].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: An absolute humbleness leads to an absolute depression[727].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Enthusiasm and stubbornness do cannot take the place of the truth[728].

---

become absolute obedience to the clergy. The Christian theologians performed a very cunning maneuver and insisted and preached God as part of the Church. But I think that such an approach only compromised God's image and led to no solution, for instance, when it comes about why God allows the wars happening if He is active in the world?!... Let aside the fact that the clergy's abominations cast their bloody shadows upon God within church. Was God within the earthly church while Catholic clergy raped hundreds of thousands of children coming to the church in order to discover Christ?!... Was God within church when clergy burnt at stake Giordano Bruno for things which today proved to be elementary astronomy?!... Didn't God know the composition of His universe created by Himself?!... All of these are as many arguments in favor of the saying that we don't know what we are doing and that we only imagine that we know something about God. Then, what is the solution?!... I think that the only solution is to apply in our deeds the practical commandments given us by our Lord God Jesus Christ: give a glass of water, give a slice of bread, turn the other cheek, love your enemy. But we hurried up and transform these commandments into a new cult which the shape prevails upon the content as in all the other religions. Could this be a design-flaw of the human being as such?!...

[725] And in all religions when the content becomes inconvenient for our worldly purposes, we seek refuge in shape and hypocritically try to justify the absence of the content. For instance, we send priests in front of our armies to bless them and to ask God for help to kill our enemies!... while God actually commanded us: "You shall not kill!" and "Love your enemy!".

[726] Because of including attempted explanations on God Who cannot actually be explained. The evil thing in this is the fact that no theology expresses its reserve or it doubt about what it preaches about God, but all theologies speak in postulates and dogmas. But they know they are relative in what they are saying, and this adds hypocrisy to their work.

[727] Call it acedia if you want! The human psychic needs at least a little gratification from the life it lives, or else will fall into a deep depression! If you are nothing but a worm, beneath all other people and creatures, why would you keep continue even breathing?!... But the preachers and the apologists of the absolute humbleness didn't apply it even themselves into their life. They were worshipped as saints and they accepted that, and, some of them spoke like they deserved it. Absolute humbleness ads also a limitless suffering and an impossible living in the present world. Absolute humbleness means also absolute unbalance – then, where is the middle-path of the right-faith?!...

[728] Some theologians wrongly identify their zeal and enthusiasm and stubbornness to truth's conditions. But one can be zealous and enthusiastic and stubborn in believing anything.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Having the Apostles as base of our faith is insufficient[729].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: People tend to express own opinions as postulates[730].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Faith changes the reality[731].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Did Christianity lose the revolutionary spirit brough initially by Jesus[732]?

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Doubt is be intrinsic to any human activity[733].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Temptations are forcing us to know ourselves[734].

---

[729] Some of Apostles did not even believe in Christ despite being in His closeness and living in the same places with Him. Some of them experienced a direct and powerful intervention by Christ Himself in order Him to determine them to believe in Him. Peter denies Christ for three times. Thomas believed in Christ only after putting his finger in His wounds. Paul believed in Christ only after Christ made him blind and spoke directly to him. So, how can one ask me to believe what he is saying only because he is telling me that is so and that I must simply believe what he is telling me. It is a way out of this: the holiness into deeds!!!... so rarely found yore and today too. I can believe in our Lord God Jesus Christ when seeing one doing with his deeds the things commanded us by Christ Jesus!!!... this is the main proof one can usually have. There are also as proofs the deadly moments which one escaped without having him any power of escaping. There are also the one's achievements of good deeds which she/he had no power at all to achieve those on their own.

[730] Here are included some theologian too. The more illiterate people are the more confident in their knowledge they are. Theologians and philosophers have the tendency to reckon themselves as superior and having absolute and ultimate knowledge. But extending the knowledge to other domains too, it makes one ascertaining her/his limited knowledge and the relativeness of that knowledge. Individual's knowledge is a holon and nobody has a holistic knowledge or holarchy.

[731] The things we believe about God make us act in a certain manner, so that we change the reality around us. So, our faith, by making us acting in a certain way, it changes the reality through us.

[732] Human nature blunted out and settled down the initial revolutionary stance and spirit brough and manifested by our Lord God Jesus Christ. We are not lukewarm, fully integrated in society and in the worldly power. Christianity is a way of living, is a business, is a political regime, everything but not revolutionary anymore. So, the question is: this is the way our Lord God Jesus Christ wanted us to be, or we perceive wrongly His commandments and His spirit?!...

[733] Including Christianity. All theologians have been doubting other theologians and so the Christian doctrine has been constantly evolving. The rites and the religious services have been constantly evolving too, despite claiming absoluteness and unchangeability. I not, we would still be in the early church's stage.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Change can come only from inside man[735].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Christianity cannot be against Lord God Jesus Christ[736].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Human condition is adversary to God[737].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Christianity should have by now (AD 2025) the possibility for showing some evidence of its efficiency in changing the human nature[738].

---

[734] Temptations are not tests used by God to really know us, because God is omniscience and such tests wouldn't be necessary. But during temptations we are forced to ascertain and admit our limitations. So, God doesn't need to temp us in order to know us! But we need to be tempted in order to know ourselves…

[735] Included here the Christianity as well. Any external approach, any human doctrine imposed from outside cannot last. And it cannot bring any real and lasting change to the human condition. All human approaches tend to be from outside-in. They imagine and propose a social order, a type of man, a religion, and then start persecuting and killing those who don't obey their vision. But the real change will come if the approach is from inside-out, namely changing the human being's soul in good it will make good the world around. Christianity has lasted up to now (AD 2025) because, to some extent, it has brought an internal change on the individual level. But Christian institutions have been committing the same sins as any other human institution: persecution and killings. Christians still remain human beings affected by the same human condition as any other human beings: greed, cruelty, evilness, thirst for blood, thirst for wealth, thirst for power etc.

[736] Persecuting and killing people regardless what the reason would be, in Unchristian and against Lord God Jesus Christ. If your theology brings you against Lord God Jesus Christ, stop theologizing or admit that you are not His follower. One can talk about formal Christendom which doesn't actually follow Lord God Jesus Christ.

[737] But who created, then, the human condition?!... Is there a fight between God and His creation?!... I am asking this because all theologians speak about a tension – transforming, leading to good – which the creation, or at least the man, are submitted to by God. Blaming the man for the whole disaster I find it unsatisfactory, because it is like God was defeated by man's blunder and God's plan was destroyed by man's stupidity, and God's plan which God planned from eternity – being God also omniscient and therefore knowing what the thing were to be going like – was impeded by an unexpected and ugly surprise. Or, otherwise, God was surprised because He didn't know everything from advance; or, God knew and that implied that God planned the whole falling and then He blamed and cursed the man for a failure planned by Himself which the man couldn't escape from, as from a fate. None of these variants or other similar variants are satisfactory!... see Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology on creation.

[738] I think that a serious study on the changes brought by Christianity to human nature must be done as thoroughly and as quickly as possible.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: There are no absolute generalizations[739].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: God doesn't speak directly to us either because He doesn't want to or because of being unable to[740].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: People owe to contribute to society[741].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Human mind discovers truth, and it doesn't create truth[742].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Truth means admitting the inconvenient facts too[743].

---

[739] Including here the philosophy and the theology. Any generalization is processed by a mind of by a limited number of minds. There always can be a different opinion on the analyzed cases, or a different case not taken in account when processing the generalization. Even the dogmas – despite negotiated by a few hundred of the most important theologians at that time – are limited and relative generalization. The theologians present the dogmas as postulate, as absolute generalization, but there cannot be such a thing. We chose to believe those dogmas, as one chooses to adopt some conventional generalizations in any domain. The dogmas are protected against being denied or changed by refusing to accept any discussion on them and by immediately qualifying anyone who challenge them as heretic! (still, we are already, or only, in the AD 2025)., and not because their content is intrinsically unchangeable. I think that there will come a time when all dogmas will be reanalyzed and if needed changed too.

[740] I believe in an almighty God so that the second hypothesis I think is excluded from start. It remains the only possibility of God not wanting to talk to us. No theology or theologians succeeded yet (AD 2025) in explaining why God doesn't want to talk to us. If God wanted to talk to us, He surely would be able to do it.

[741] A withdrawal without contributing to society is wrong. Any human being must contribute to society to the extent they can. If the withdraw prays for the world and for the people, that's a contribution too. All the more is the sin of living in society, benefitting of society's good things, and giving nothing back or even casing sufferings to the people in that society.

[742] Otherwise, we would speak about imagination. The human mind researches, identifies, explains, uses the laws place by God in the universe. The human mind cannot create anything but under the already-existing laws of the matter. The truth is already out there, waiting for us to discover it. We cannot create truth. Creating truth would mean creating a new universe by ourselves. But I think the human being hasn't been destined for such a task. (at least for now, AD 2025).

[743] Theologian rarely speak about the contradictions in the Holy Scripture and even when the do, they try to somehow edulcorate, misinterpret, or menace people not to "spy on God", because that would mean pride and haughtiness, and because of that ending up into hell. But God never taught us to be liars and hypocrites! Theologians fear – because that much is their human kind capable of – not to affect the church of God because of honestly approaching some unanswered questions, despite being prove, again and again, that the truth always prevails - even though the evil seems always prevailing over good… God has never asked us to be hypocrites in defending Him!!!.... Lying and being hypocrites remain sins even when we imagine that we defend God by committing those sins.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: If a monk has something to forgive to others, he is not a monk yet[744].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some Christian theologians affirm that under the Old Law the souls couldn't be saved and all of the souls went to hell[745].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Some people reject Christ because of the way the theologians describe Christ and illustrate Christ by their behaviors[746].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Consummate humbleness excludes the joy[747].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Graduating PhDs and post-doctoral studies and having theology universities should mean denying Holy Fathers' theology which rejected any sort of learning[748].

---

[744] If you sworn to be poor, obedient, clean etc. what would you lose in relationships with other people?!... Why would you even have relationships with other people?!... Sadly, such high objectives and requirements are almost impossible to reach. So, how many real monks would there be?!...

[745] But who issued the Old Lw: the people or God?!... Would God issue a law for the people to observes it, and that law was useless and led people to hell?!... I think that, in their too biased and emotional worry to justify their faith some theologians offense God! And the vision that God experimented until finding a better way to salvation, it is also a big nonsense: it annuls God's omniscience! God won't need to experiment, to try something, if Hs is omniscience and if He knows from eternity what will happen into eternity! Otherwise, a God struggling in regardless what way, it would be only a superhuman, a deity, but by no means God! I don't' want to obey and worship a God who doesn't know what he is doing!... That wouldn't be God but a farse.

[746] In time, the teaching left to us by Christ has endured a transformation prison all the way from freedom to a golden prison. The good news has become shackles. The life of the spirit has become a dead letter, an idol. Instead of exemplifying by their own behaviors and that to be the way of attracting people to Christ, the theologians have started to utter long speeches in the market-places, in the universities, on the television.

[747] The fact itself of one rejoicing for something means selfishness, because it includes the self who rejoices. Regardless how highly spiritual are the thing which somebody rejoiced for are, the joy itself as process it supposes a self who rejoices. Consummate humbleness requires the exclusion / annulment of the self, but because of not being possible to annul the self, it turns out that the consummate humbleness is impossible.

[748] Some of the Holy Fathers preached that any sort of learning is form the devil and the only real mode of learning is praying and waiting for the enlightenment form the Holy Ghost. But we are here in a hypocritical situation: overlooking such teachings and don't rejecting them, while having theological higher education.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: There is the danger of confounding the laziness or the stupidity to a strong faith[749].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Only a narrow view gives theology certitude[750].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: There's no explanation for suffering, yet (A.D. 2025)[751].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theologian spend a lot of time theologizing in their own favor[752].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some sermons rather seem to be mystical deliriums[753].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: There is a tendency in theologians to think they know everything[754].

---

[749] If one chooses not to pay attention to their doubts and questions and not to try and solve those doubts and question, then she/he may be just lazy and wanting not to bother herself/himself and make efforts to clarify and have a conscious faith, or she/he is simply a hypocrite claiming of having a strong faith but in being reality full of doubts and questions.

[750] Limited to a certain place, or people, or traditions etc. any mind - including those minds dealing with the so difficult matters of the knowing of God – tend to be sure of themselves and they have no unanswered questions. But, on the very moment one looks around her/him on a larger perspective, the questions will rise and the matters become unanswerable. For instance, if one theologizes while living in his Christian community and dealing only with Christians, he thinks he has all the needed answers. But if one comes in contact with people of other religions, I will ask him: isn't the same God giving birth to Hindus, Muslims, Buddhists etc.? And if the answer is yes, my question will be: why is that? Or what are they guilty for b by being born into different religions? Will they go in hell for not knowing the name of the Christian God?!...

[751] The only thing the theologians and the philosophers have been able to come up with, yet (A.D. 2025) is that we must embrace the Stockholm syndrome and become masochists – loving the suffering as a way of dealing with it… It doesn't sound like we are quite right in our heads!...

[752] And this is valid in all religions. The theologians theologize: why they are superior to average people, why they have special rights, why they have monopoly on truth, why they have the monopoly of God, why they are pure even after committing dreadful sins etc.

[753] But still claiming and assuming to teach the listeners. The theologians who do this confound their psychism to the truth, and their wishful thinking to reality.

[754] And therefore, they dare to preach about everything, to give advices in medical field, in psychological field etc. despite they having no qualification to do that. I witnessed monks rebuking the attendants to religious services on the fact that they don't raise their children as due, and I asked myself where that monk know how one should raise his children because he didn't have any children at all?!... The half-learned is all the more dangerous as his known world is more limited; and he is all the more violent – leading to persecutions and killing – in holding his views!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Any religion is missionary[755].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some theologians take their own suppositions as ground and arguments for their theological assertions[756].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: One of the deceits affecting every religion is that, while preaching the humbleness, they actually act proudly and superiorly[757].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Any religious doctrine is truly known according to its fruits[758].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: There won't be a rule if there is even one exception from it[759].

---

[755] Any human doctrine is missionary. Claiming to have the absolute truth, defending that truth, acting like having monopoly on the absolute truth, raising buildings, wearing symbols, adopting certain behaviors, adopting certain countenance and clothes, all of these have – consciously or not – missionary effects.

[756] The theology is the most permissive and in the same time the most forbidding ground for speculations. As long as one's speculations strengthen and are in the live with the official doctrine, they will be accepted regardless hos preposterous; but if those speculations contradict the official doctrine they will be immediately deemed as heresy and as blasphemy. I even encountered the following trick: when a preacher doesn't have any argument in favor of his saying but he still wants to present it as objective, he uses the expression: "as the Tradition confirms it", regardless there is not such a thing anywhere. A strong faith doesn't mean believing whatever one says.

[757] And while preaching the love they actually act for hating, persecuting, and killing. And this is an abomination of the desolation brought by them as sacrifice to God… Preaching the good with their mouth while doing the evil with their deeds.

[758] Sadly, there is not – at least as far as I am aware of – religious doctrine, regardless how peaceful, not to have been causing persecutions and killing. Even the Buddhist which avoids killing the earth worms for instance, it justified wars, persecutions, and killings, when it came about humans.

[759] One way of lying used by theologians is that of presenting as postulates some statistical probabilities. Or even presenting the exception as a rule. Of course, another way of theological lying is that of willingly misinterpret the object of the analysis, and threatening anyone who dares to affirm another interpretation with the punishment of going in hell. If something is relative, it is a lie to present it as an inextricable rule, or postulate. Another widely spread mistake – and lie – is that of presenting personal experience and feeling as postulates. The incoherence in theologizing is another lie: affirming that something is here correct, in the given circumstances, and in some other circumstances that will be wrong; invoking only the desirable arguments, while overlooking the arguments which don't fit our theology – namely being hypocrite; as also – and this is the ultimate and most dangerous lie – urging people to persecute and kill in the name of God Who commanded us: "You shall not kill!"!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Getting excited and enchanted by your own word won't make them any truer[760].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: It is wrong affirming any conditioning and limitation and restriction related to God[761].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: I wonder how much original message of our Lord God Jesus Christ has remained in theology[762].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theologians must be very careful because anything they affirm if affects peoples' image on God[763].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: And where is the joy[764]?

---

[760] Philosopher and theologians are the most exposed to this temptation. (Mainly because their sayings cannot be practically checked and verified and submitted to material and scientifical experiments). And that doesn't provide their philosophy or theology with any value of truth. And admitting that you were wrong uttering or writing those words is an even bigger test: almost all of us fail to pass because our vain-glory and pride are directly connected to our self-preservation instinct. Theologians bring as argument in favor of their assertions: the fact of being inspired by the Holy ghost, the zeal of their faith, their good intentions… still, a stupidity remains a stupidity regardless where has originated from or regardless what it is justified like…

[761] Even when theologians say that God doesn't want something because of something, they actually affirm that God isn't almighty and omniscient and pervasive. Actually, we imagine or conceive God with a human mind and as He would be a human being. Actually, the human mind cannot exit the material matter which we are living in. See the Conceptual Metaphor Theory (CMT).

[762] In time the theologians resorted to the wildest and most contradictory anagogies of the original teaching of our Lord God Jesus Christ. Theologians even reached to develop a theology of the way the Christianity and the Christian church and the Christian priests and the Christian believers must wage and fight wars – and they even did so! -, and persecute and kill people who had different religious opinions, and all of that in order to defend the right faith and the Church and God. I wonder if such theologians think that God gives birth only to Christians and whether they think that "You shall not kill!" concerns only other Christians. The more elaborate a theology is, the farer will be from our Lord God Jesus Christ's message! And the general tendency is to lose ourselves in theological elaboration that enchants our ego, while, on the other hand, we disregard and reckon as inferior our duty to practice the commandments in our deeds: give a piece of bread, give a glass of water, tell a good word to somebody.

[763] For instance, by affirming that the Christianity is paradoxical and contradictory, that reverberates upon our image on God. But here I notice a nuance too: Christianity is what the people can say about God, the way they can perceive God. But Christianity is not God Himself, it is only our image on God. The big mistake rises when the theologians identify the Christianity to God and the Church to our Lord God Jesus Christ, so that any of our shortcoming are directly reverberating upon Christ and God. Of course, our stupidity doesn't affect God by any means, but I am talking here about our responsibility not to lead other people into darkness.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: God only deals with individuals[765].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Faith is more easily believed than science[766].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: People actually defend themselves, and not God[767].

---

[764] The Christian theologians proclaim the joy of their doctrine and then formulate the ideal of the Christian man: starving himself, depriving himself of sleep, exhausting his body, living in deep isolation and refusing any human encounter, mortifying his body, crying constantly because of keeping in mind his sins etc. As the Christian theology progresses in time, they theologians added burden upon burden – according to each ones' zeal and imagination – so that the joy is no longer possible except by becoming a masochist. The old law was replaced by a new doctrine equally strict and depriving of freedom. Almost every human need is reckoned as a deadly sin. We have reached to contradict the intention of our Lord God Jesus Christ of bringing in the world the joy and the happiness, and we have replaced His teaching for the teachings invented by people of all religions: ascesis, cruel rules, persecutions and killings perpetrated upon people who don't share our gloomy vision on life. There is a hypocrisy – or unconsciousness – in preaching both the joy and the mortification: we say whatever we want if convenient, our preaching is circumstantial.

[765] It is the only solution I have been able to find to the question: Why does God allow wars, persecutions, and killings on Planet Earth? I've seen miraculous escapes from falling from thousands of meters off an exploded plane, bullets stopped by a little prayer book kept in the pocket covering the heart, individuals escaping incurable diseases etc. etc. But I haven't seen all the planes safe and not crashing, I haven't seen the wars stopped forever, and people keep dying of incurable diseases. So, the only thing I can think at is that God allows the statistic go ahead while helping and intervening only into the individual's life. But then the question is: why does God allow the persecutions, the killings, the disasters, and the wars going on?!...

[766] Because the theologians have been doing anything they could to detach the faith from the surrounding reality and even for denying the very reality. While science must demonstrate its assumptions on reality, the faith as defined by theologians of all religions has nothing to prove, it is a postulate, and it has not connections with the reality – such connections are affirmed only when convenable, while willingly overlooking the cases which contravene to the official theology. But then the human being can believe anything…

[767] No human imagination or religion is God. Any human religions and imagination are relative and it is about God but without being God Himself. That's why people when thinking they would defend God they actually defend their imagination, they assumptions on God, and in case of clergy they actually defend their way of living, the way they get their daily bread. Now, how can one ask a cleric to question his religion – though the clergy questions other clergy's religion -, because it would be like that cleric giving up his profession, his bread. Also, that cleric may think he is defending God – as God would need to be defended!... – but he actually defends the world he is living in, his way of living, his daily bread. And when it comes about persecuting and killing other people in the name of God Who commanded us not to persecute and kill, but even to love our enemies, that religio is no longer worshipping God but is have become an idolatry perpetrated by idolaters. It might be that people reading about persecutions and killings think that such abominations took place in a far-gone history. But no, such crimes have never stopped; they have been existing since the human being has been

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Gospels evolved in time[768].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theologians doesn't allow our Lord God Jesus Christ to be a man too[769].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: I have discovered that God exist even beyond certain religions and rituals[770].

---

existing; in the Old Testament such deeds are described in details and attributed to Jehovah's will and command. And all the way up to these days (AD 2025) the wars never stopped somewhere on Planet Eart. Even when I am writing these thoughts The Christian Orthodox Russia – supported by the Russian Orthodox Church led by its Orthodox Patriarch Cyril – continues its persecutions and killing in an unprovoked war that has been waged for more than three years now on the Christian Orthodox Ukraine for more that eleven years, and in some forms, it has never stopped since Ukraine has become independent from the Russian Soviet Empire. It also seems that the so-extolled restoration of the human being, declared by the Christian theologians as being brought by our Lord God Jesus Christ it actually had never taken place. By the way, Christ Jesus has never told that He restored the human being; this was an adding by theologians.

[768] Despite almost all theologians try to convince us that the words of the Gospels are the initial words uttered by our Lord God Jesus Christ. The Gospels were written separately, by different authors, and they evolved separately until being brought together by Saint Athanasius the Great, around the year 400 A.D. This is the reason why they are sometimes contradictory to one another, and that's why the Gospel of John doesn't have the same style or aspect. And I must mention here the successive translations, the evolution of the idiolect and theological technolect those successive translations too place etc. etc. The oldest manuscript containing the four Gospels is *Codex Sinaiticus* preserved as British Library in London, and it contains approx. 26.000 - 27.000 modifications / corrections of words, operated by successive persons in time.

[769] Despite even declaring it in dogmas! Every time our Lord gets angry, or hungry, or does something human, the theologians accus e Him of being actually a pretender. He only pretends to be upset, He only plays a part when offending and rebuking people, He actually is accused of wanting to say something else despite His word being plain and clear – all of these because the theologians want to shove Him in the narrow drawer of their human thinking! Could Jesus Christ be really angry or do something wrong because of His human condition?!... the theologians seem to deny Him the right of being also human, because that would offend their expectations from Him… could one intuit in this a deeper trace of theologians' vain-gloriousness?!... would they allow Lor God Jesus Christ to commit something wrong, or would they forget Him for that?!... and all of these after almost two millennia of Christianity (AD 2025) … I think we all us would be Pharisaic and crucify Christ Jesus not only back then when the Jews did it, but even today if Christ came for the second time but in the same conditions… I think that may be the reason why Christ God has chosen to come, for the second time, surrounded by glory!... Just look around: constant persecutions and killing: nothing's changed in the human condition.

[770] Diverse religions and rituals and theologies are what we, as humans, are able to conceive about God. In the whole human history, there was only our Lord God Jesus Christ Who affirmed that He was God speaking directly to us in human words. But we, because of our human condition, have interpreted His words in all possible ways and as result we the

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: All theologies tend to be exaggerations[771].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: We have earthed our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments[772].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: There always are more than one interpretation[773].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Nothing is immobile or unchangeable in the present Universe[774].

Christians are divided and quarrelling with one another and hating each other. If there had been – as we falsely affirm when uttering the *Creed* / "One Church", all this argument would have been superfluous and futile. But there isn't such thing! We carved our idols of vestments, rituals, painting, architecture, music, theology, and we have been bringing sacrifices to them persecutions and killings upon people who differed from our way of thinking at Christ God. One can identify the idolatry according to the sacrifices brought to the idols: persecutions, killings, wars.

[771] Because of being about God, so that the theologians tend to present their exaggerations as proofs for their love for God. They tend to adopt the same attitude as the courtiers at some imperial court flattering their Emperor. But this actually accuses God of needing and demanding to be flattered, even unsatisfyingly, by some poor creatures as the human beings are.

[772] Instead of changing our behavior and valued according to our Lord God Jesus Chjrist's commandments, we have adapted and misinterpreted and covered them under a thick layer of earthly theology, so that we have made of Him a tool for our worldly domination, justifying our persecutions and killings perpetrated on others as requested by Himself. We haven't changes as mankind; only a few saints reached that level of conscience prescribed by our Lord God Jesus Christ. we still are subject of our poor human condition. God become an Emperor in our imagination, an Emperor Who needs to be constantly flattered and praise by us. But, instead, we overlook our duty to fulfill our Lord God Jesus Chrits' commandments as they were uttered, literally.

[773] This should be valid for theology too. But all theologies affirm they have monopoly upon the only one possible interpretation regarding anything. Then, the Christian theologies claiming of being the unique repository of the truth deny and reject and quarrel with one another. Could that be only the Orthodox interpretation valid regarding all the problems of the Christianity?!... But then there are many forms of Orthodoxy too… could only one Christian Orthodoxy owe the actual truth?!... But, as the lord told us: you will know the tree according to its fruits, the biggest Christian Orthodox Country on Planet Earth has bene waging war, persecuting, killing, and destroying maybe the largest second Christian Orthodox Country in the world: isn't this a clean and limpid proof that the Christian Orthodoxy has failed?! (AD 2025) ...

[774] I think that the theologies claiming that there are an evolution and betterment in hell too, they are right. Why would God renounce in favor of satan to any soul created by Himself?!... The existence of a permanent and unchangeable hell would be a loss of God and a victory of satan… Go and read the *theology about hell* of Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the Restorer of the Christian theology: God doesn't renounce to the souls He has created, even in hell. Also, why

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Religious doctrines monopoly on the human being aims to be total[775].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Christ's Crucifixion was planned by God from eternity[776].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Disinterested deed is a nonsensical notion[777].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Any religious doctrine claim to have answers to anything, except the very reality[778].

---

would our Lord God Jesus Christ save Adam and Eva from hell – we say at religious services that "Adam and Eva dance into the Heavens" – and empty the hell only once?!...

[775] Regardless which religious doctrine we are speaking about. Each religious doctrine sets up rules controlling the basic needs of the human being: what, how, when: to eat, to drink, to sleep, to have sex. Whom, when, how to marry to. What and how to think the surrounding reality; they even ask one to deny the surrounding reality and to have faith in that reality preached by that particular religious doctrine. And the permission to satisfy your basic needs and the approval of the way you satisfy your basic needs is the exclusive monopoly of the representatives of the religious doctrine. Religion is dictatorship: there is a set or rules and you will obey those rules or you will be persecuted, tortured, killed, burnt to the stake etc. and sent in the hell. You cannot choose, you cannot criticize, you cannot stay outside the mandatory social environment maintained by the dominant religion, without suffering the harshest consequences one can imagine. And, by the way, this is the situation in AD 2025 when people started colonizing the Solar System!... That's why all religions imagine their gods as emperors, kings etc. and the believers are slaved of those emperors or kings. This lord-slave relationship includes as reinforcers the clergy who widely benefit of this. The total obedience to the clergy must be accompanied by the clergy's perfection as human beings. But the human condition makes the clergy as sinners as any atheist: power, greed, raping the children summoned for worshipping in churches' facilities, love for money, love for power etc. So, the total obedience to clergy offers them an unfair and incontrollable advantage upon the lay believers. The church hasn't been able yet (AD 2025) to institute a real control and mitigation on such phenomenon, because of… guess what:" the controllers and the supervisors are human too and submitted to the human condition themselves!...

[776] And in the tiniest possible detail. Otherwise, it would be like God was taken by surprise by Adam and Eva's stupidity - and therefore God was forced to adapt His plan to something He didn't know in advance so that Him to be able to prevent that. But such a variant would mean: God isn't omniscient – which I totally reject, because I don't' want a God Who is only some powerful force of the universe or some human person though having huge powers. My God is: Almighty, All-Knower, Omnipresent!!!... Anything less than that won't deserve my total obedience!!!...

[777] One does his deeds for God, for his salvation, for appeasing his conscience, for the satisfaction his deeds provide them with, for he cannot stand his suffering caused by his mercy for the people whom he does his good deeds to. But disinterested deed would require one not being aware of what he is doing. As long as one is conscious, they won't be able to do disinterested deeds, because where is a deed there will be an interest determining it.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Most theologians who think of science as devilish, they have not idea of what the science is[779].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: The farer one theologizes the farer he will be from God[780].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Salvation must be rather the result of a sum than the result of an isolated case[781].

---

[778] They claim to owe the truth regarding the creation of the universe, the good and the evil ghosts, the composition of the Paradise and of the Heavenly Kingdom, the Person or the Persons of God, the rule for salvation… etc. but they fail in explaining why the wars have been raging constantly and uninterruptedly ever since the recorded history tell us, why unborn children are killed, why we persecute and kill other peoples in the name of God Who asks us not to kill and to love even our enemies, why toddlers die of cancer, why hundred of thousands of people die in natural disasters etc. etc. And that makes me think that the religious doctrines are simple human contrivances having nothing to do with the actual God! For now (AD 2025), I think we cannot either conceive or explain God. A first sign of progress would be the fact that all persecutions and killings on Planet Earth will have stopped!!!...

[779] God allows science existing too. Both theologians and scientists, in order to be qualified to issue correct opinions on the other domain, they must, in the first place, study and get qualified in that domain. But, because of this characteristic of the human condition determining us to issue half-taught opinions and to play-by-ear and to reiterate some sort of rumor-knowledge, we tend to think that we know everything and that only we are right. Another characteristic of the human condition is that of violently rejecting what we don't' know, because we are afraid of what we don't know. Another characteristic of the human condition is that of being us determined, because of our preservation instinct, to be unable to admit that we are wrong, because, somehow, we feel our survival menaced by our admission of being us wrong. Th solution would be: study had and extensively in the first place, so that you can make qualified mistakes (sorry about this joke!).

[780] Because of not being actually possible to the man to know God. So, the more elaborate his theology is, the more he added human content to it. The only true content about God is comprised in the words of our Lord God Jesus Christ from the Gospels. Anything added to that is only correlation and adequation on the level possible to be reached by the human mind. But God belongs to an infinitely superior order so that a limited mind as that of the human being is totally incapable of understanding God (at least for now, AD 2025). The only solution to this is that of observing our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments and doing them concretely in our deeds. All the rest is superfluous – and we can see that by noticing the surrounding reality: our theology hasn't stopped the persecutions and the killing and the wars; our theology hasn't changed the world and better it; our theology hasn't changed the human condition which is rather bad.

[781] I disagree the words: "In the things I will find you, in those I will take you" (I haven't been able to identify this phrase in the Orthodox Bible); because these words express two possibilities: God isn't omniscience and so He won't know the state He will take you until the very moment you will die, as He would ascertain on that moment something He didn't know from eternity, like a surprise, maybe; the other possibility is that you have a destiny and regardless your deeds you will go either in hell or in heavens, but your life-long good deeds or crimes won't count, but just a conjunctural case. The theologians like to invoke this phrase in

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some theologians describe Christ as a robot[782].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: In faith and in life one must have his own take[783].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If I were God, I would want people to live for me and not to die for me[784].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: One more theological deceit is that of presenting God as not foreknowing the result of His actions[785].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Persevering in the same mistakes regardless for how long, it won't transform those mistakes in something good[786].

---

order to strengthen the grip of believers by constantly menacing them and keeping them on their toes, but they don't realize that they actually affirm that God is an unjust God and a moody God.

[782] Despite being forced by the *Creed* and by the dogmas to affirm that our Lord God Jesus Christ was a man too, some theologians are so afraid of Christ' human nature that they almost describe Him as a robot, having almost not feeling, not laughing, having no lusts – not even when eating or drinking -, feeling no pleasure. And this development is due, again, to our human haughtiness of establishing that any pleasure is a sin and it must be rejected (as that would be possible as well…). But, if one read by himself the *Gospels* and not the anagogies to the *Gospels*, she/he will be able to see our Lord: hungry, upset, crying, grieving, declaring Himself as eater and drinker, loving the people's company, feeling lonely, feeling the need to be lonely etc. etc. One should undertake a study on the emotional profile of our Lord God Jesus Christ as described by the *Gospels*!

[783] Other people's faith and examples are only starting points. One cannot totally impropriate another's life or faith. One must experience God on his own. The mediation of another believer is only a starting point and if becomes callous or ossified it will hinder one's approaching to God. In this regard, though starting from common elements and preserving – allegedly – an unchangeable core, each believer develops her'/his own faith which will be like no other faith. The "one faith" is a lie, as the "One Church" is a lie too.

[784] I wonder if God is the One Who loves people top die for Him, or the theologians mislead us, because of their exceeding zeal and fanaticism. Because people dying for God provide the very theologians, here on the very Planet Earth, with an unlimited worldly power upon the laymen.

[785] Some theologians – if not all of them – present God as fighting satan, fighting for the people, trying to convince and gain people on His side, being impeded in His actions by people who don't believe in Him and who don't obey and extoll Him… namely: a God Who is: non-almighty, non-omniscient, non-omnipresent… a God who is rather a gambler on human souls… a God Who voluntarily blinds on Himself and limits Himself… even the Apocalypse is a text presenting a non-decided from beginning battle as God could have lost that fight… I think this is rather because of our human very limited mind confounding God to our imaginings, or vice versa.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Human condition makes us to forbid people accepting other offers, despite we are unable to offer then what they are searching for[787].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Christ Jesus our Lords God is within the Church only when that Church observes His commandments[788]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Mosaism has totally failed too[789].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Religions don't seem to alleviate the dehumanization of the people but to contribute to that[790].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Is the Christian theology as theology extolling the death[791]?!...

---

[786] Take, for instance, the Holy Tradition invoked as proof for the Truth. Let aside that we are in awe talking about almost two millennia of Christianity (AD 2025), while such period of time is insignificant to the Universe and to God. So, even if we had committed the same mistakes for a billion of years, those would have remained only mistakes and nothing else. I think a constant effort is required to clean up the Tradition of its wrong elements, but nothing is being done in this regard. Ad this is because to us the Holy Tradition has become an idol, and we are bond to bring sacrifices to this idol the persecutions and even the killings of human beings.

[787] Even the Saint are jealous on other Christian denominations. Take, for instance, the persecutions and killing perpetrated by the Catholic Church upon Protestants of all sorts, and the hatred preserved over all these millennia. I think our human condition has remained the same as it had ever been: we are evil, murderous, envious, and – as these days (AD 2025) when the USA is leading the total attack on rationality and common sense – hypocrite.

[788] Christ Jesus cannot be, for instance, in the Russian Orthodox Church whose Patriarch urges the Russian believer to persecute and torture and rape and kill in gruesome modes their Ukrainian neighbors (AD 2014-2025 and continues). The question remains; where is God, then?!...

[789] The chosen people of Israel have been perpetrating – and they continue doing it! – a genocide upon the Palestinian people (AD 2024-2025); indiscriminate persecutions, killings, and intentionally inflicted starvation. Now the history of the chosen people of Israel has its own HOLOCAUST inflicted by Jews upon the Palestinian people. What they differ by from the nazis?!...

[790] And that's not God's will!!!... but the religions are the way people perceive God through their human condition. The religions rather express the human condition instead of expressing God. And dehumanizing the enemy is hugely facilitates by the involvement of the religious people. Persecuting and killing in the name of God makes it so much easier – but, beware, God commanded us: "You shall not kill!" !!!...

[791] Because it has placed at its basis the cruel death on the cross of our Lord God Jesus Christ; it extolls the martyrs; it urges the Christian to give up to the present life and world for a future life and world; it condemns as sins the very natural needs of the body; it preaches the total elimination of any pleasure and the willingly embracing of the suffering; etc. I wonder if God wanted the Christian theology to be so… meanwhile, hypocritically, not only that the

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Having faith doesn't mean living into an imaginary world[792].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: People can lie to themselves so strongly that they reach to live in a dream[793].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: One big lie of the theologians is that the things in Christianity have always been like they are today[794].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Why was it needed the unclarity in Christendom[795]?!...

---

theologians call the path to suffering as a path to the true happiness but they are the first examples of not applying in practice the things they preach. Such an approach institutes the accusation brought to God that He would have created the life only for suffering and death. I totally disagree such a nonsense!

[792] One can be influenced in the way she/he perceived and thinks about the reality, but the reality remains the same. For instance, some fanatics can search for and praise the suffering and the pain, and to some extent their fanaticism helps them to endure the suffering and the pain, and the sadistic people even think they enjoy the pain, but the pain remains a signal place by God into our bodies in order to signal us that we must avoid or stop that what causes us pain, in order us to preserve our life. Searching for pain is against God's will and against God's Creation and it is a hidden form of suicide.

[793] But that doesn't annul the very reality! The reality is there, the children dying because of starvation, persecuted, enslaved, raped, killed in wars, all those children are still there, but the self-liar refuses to think at them and ignores them thinking that by that the real problem will disappear. But such an approach is deeply hypocritical, because it allows the ignorant to live a goof life for himself, and it allow the hypocrite to lie to his conscience. Woe to all those day-dreamers! Thei have failed their quality as human beings!

[794] Anachronism. Christianity is the result of constant evolution, accumulation, and infightings. I think even calling our Lord God Jesus Christ as Christian is forced and anachronical. The theologians have been transforming Christ Jesus's teaching into Christianity and doctrine. It would be interesting to research what Jesus's teaching differs in from the Mosaic teaching. He didn't criticize the Old Lw but the way the Jews applied it, because it became an idol to them. Was our Lord God Jesus Christ's teaching still Mosaic?!... As also Saint Apostle Paul tried to convert the Gentiles to Mosaism, because to him the notion of Christianity didn't' exist!!!.... The first time the term Christian was mentions around AD 200, so starting with that time it has been penetrating the Christina theology and it has constituting its own paragidm. But before that there was no such thing as Christianity. Followers of our Lord God Jesus Christ yes, but no Christianity.

[795] Why are there so many unconformities and contradictions even in the Holy Gospels?!... Why God doesn't speak to us plainly, clearly, and face-to-face? Why cannot we see God today? And why the consequences of this unclarity are needed: the paradox as affirmed by theologians, the anagogies – some of them very wild and far reached, and an unclear and incoherent and infighting Christian theology?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theological discourse's perlocutionary effect is usually provided by the exaggeration[796].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Expressing the same things in a different language than the theological language is unacceptable to the theologians[797].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Taken in its entirety the Christian theology is full of criticism[798].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theologians deny and preach the denial of the reality because of not being they able to explain it[799].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: You are alone when facing your death[800].

---

[796] Almost all theologians believe they show their love for God by exaggerating everything they say, by always having an exalted and emotional speech. I think we must come down form skies and do in deed the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ! In concrete good deeds there cannot be any exaggeration, because the matter is always insufficient in comparison to the need for matter. Don't you think that the Jew priest passing by the wounded man could himself extoll God in most beautiful and exalted and extolled words? But he did nothing for his neighbor, he even felt himself disgusted of his neighbor. And the good Samaritan, supposedly not knowing the true God, he did everything he could with his material deeds. And our Lord God Jesus Christ chose the Samaritan as our role-model.

[797] And this is an undeniable sign that the theological language has become an idol to them. And, this has led to what we call "a wooden language" talking into banners and formulas which have become highly formal and voided of content. It means worshipping the shape instead of content.

[798] But this criticism is usually addressed to other Christian denomination or to other religions. It is another proof of bias the fact that when it comes about other denominations the Christian theologians reckon those as blasphemers, heretics, and pagans; but when facing pagans the Christian denominations somewhat come together and hold a common frontline. And even within the same Christian denominations, there is a constant strife among diverse visions and nuances. Still, I expect this affirmation of mine: Christian theology must be submitted to a constant criticism in order to improve – I except is to raise a lot of opposition and hatred.

[799] So that the theologians instituted and preach a contradiction between the surrounding reality and God. And therefore, one should reject the very reality in order to find God. But God is the Creator of the very reality; God is the reality and infinitely more; Gid isn't separated from reality; God is within reality and infinitely beyond the reality as perceived by the human being. Saying that there is an opposition between the reality and God is equal to saying that the Creation opposes its Creator.

[800] Nobody can die your own death; you are the one dying your own death. Of course, you can be encouraged, you can be supported, you can benefit of the advanced help of the medical science, you can benefit of an assisted death. Your faith can support your cognitive processes and alleviate, for instance, the fear, or the loneliness. But you will be the one dying your death!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: In the fierceness which some theologians defend their theology with, I detect desperation[801].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Though claiming of providing the believers with freedom, Christian theology seems only to institute and justify a different/new slavery[802].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Omniscience excludes adaptation[803].

---

[801] And that desperation can have as its sources their fear of not believing, actually, what they preach, or of not seeing shattered away their thin conviction; they are desperately afraid of not falling in disbelieve; so, they refuse any dialogue and any argument, they run away from any debate, because of fearing not to realize – but in their mind they already are in disbelieve, consciously or not – that they actually do not believe, or that their whole faith is the result of a strong and forced conditioning and self-conditioning and or self-suggesting, one lacking any real base. They persecute and even kill people who contradict them because of being desperate not to be proven wrong! And because of their desperation to demonstrate to themselves that they are right! And such a behavior has nothing to do with God! Their own theology has become an idol to them and they bring on themselves and on others as offerings to that idol.

[802] They require and force you to regiment yourself in a certain denomination, or else you will go in hell; it is not only about believing in our Lord God Jesus Christ, but you are forced to wear certain uniforms, to attend certain religious services and feasts, to adopt a certain diet, to utter certain prayers at certain ours, to fast during certain periods of time and of the year… all of these being non-optional but mandatory! I wonder, why is then the freedom?!... Christ Jesus our Lord and God brought the freedom to us, and we, because of our human condition, have managed to somehow transform it into a new type of slavery. There is a contradiction too: we cannot be the servant of somebody - be it even God – and in the same time be free. A solution for coherence will be that of plainly affirming that there is not freedom – and actually it isn't such thing as freedom – and admitting our condition of slaves. Freedom means choosing between more than one positive and likable possibilities; freedom cannot be: chose our God or else go in hell. It might be that several of the structural problems of the Christian theology could come from the fact that the first theological texts – except the Gospels – were written by quite little-literate people, depending on the sociolect of the time those texts were written at. And those texts have been reckoned as a basis instilled by the Holy Ghost and therefore theologians have been afraid of revising or of updating them. So, new elements have been pilling on this construction lacking periodical review – as they did, for instance, at the ecumenical Synods. The Christian theology lacks an important work of general revision and putting in coherence. But, actually, such a work isn't possible for now – it may be possible after another million of years of Christianity – because of the division and of infighting in the "One" – but actually so divided – Church.

[803] Some Christian theologians – if not all of them – describe a process of adaptation in God's decisions, as if God would be taken by surprise by the man's stupid acts, and so that God adapts His plan He though and established from eternity to the daily blunders of the human being. Also, despite some of them admitting that the plan of God regarding the coming and the crucifixion of our Lord God Jesus Christ were decided from eternity, they condemn, for instance, Judah for his role in the plot, as he could have been done something else, despite him having a central role in the whole story, so that somebody else could have taken that part

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some theologians criticize and deny science while being illiterate in science[804].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Applying logics and critical thinking on theology isn't an attack on God[805]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If Judah had known his important role in God's plan he wouldn't have committed suicide[806].

---

of the plot instead of him: the conclusion is: Judah is guilty! But then, if Judah hadn't played his part, God should have been chosen somebody else to do it, that one would have been also guilty for being chosen by God? let aside that in such a case we would have been speaking about a process of adaptation of God!... which excludes the omniscience! In this case too, as in all other similar cases, applying God's attributes to the content of the human theology makes it fall. And this is another shortcoming of the Christian theology: not admitting that we cannot actually understand God!

[804] I think that the terrain for denying the science – antivaxxers, flat-earthers, fake Moon landers… you name it (AD 2025) – have been prepared by all religions which urge people to deny reality as an illusion and to believe in what they cannot see or experience at all. So, the people have been being conditioned to detach their thinking from the actual reality and the human mind has been shown that it can believe in everything it wants. But denying the science it actually means denying God; denying the reality means denying God's creation. Hos can one believe in God and in the same time affirm that this very reality – and which is God's creation – is only a trap and an illusion?!... Our Lord God Jesus Christ commanded us to give a real slice of bread to a real needy and to cloth up with a real coat a real naked man, and to help real children and widows with a real material help etc. etc. I wonder how can we be so blind and stupid?!... Denying and rejecting the very reality is one of the main shortcomings of the Christian theology.

[805] It is, though, an attack on the haughtiness of those who claim of knowing God and on that assumption, they issue the wildest and most childish "postulates" on God! God is logical! God is the peak of the logics! God is the logics itself! There is nothing illogical at God! only that we don't have for now the capacity of understanding God (AD 2025). One of the main deceits of the Christian theology is that of supposing that God have already been understood by the Holy Fathers who reckoned the world composed of water, fire, earth, and air, and there cannot be done any updating to their theology. (Despite that, the theology has been constantly evolving, whether we like that or not!). And, because of assuming that we already know the whole truth on God, we tend to reject any opposite opinion and any uncomfortable question on our theology. And, consequently – and totally Unchristian! – we have, persecute, and even kill people contradicting us. We bring them as offerings to our idol called theology, to our haughtiness of reckoning that we know something. That's why there are theologians condemning logics and critical thinking and sciences as devilish! Let aside that they fully benefit of the fruits of the science, of the critical thinking, and of the logics by living in the comfort of the contemporary society shaped with the means of the science! Hypocrites! For instance, writing condemnatory theological articles on science, on critical thinking, and on logics, by using a computer and the internet and maybe a printer, and then going by car to attend a meeting where to present your article by using a projector, or even attending a meeting on internet, that's what I call as a peak of hypocrisy!!!... (or sheer stupidity) …

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Perfection means immortality and total freedom[807].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Having no opposition is counterproductive[808].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Thinking that God needs you to defend His Church is an awful vaingloriousness[809].

---

[806] Theologians judge anachronistically. They assume that, for instance, Judah had known the content of the today's theology and so Judah is guilty of not behaving like a priest university professor in theology would have acted today! Let aside that our Lord God Jesus Christ has become our Lord God after at least a couple of hundreds of years since His crucifixion and the term Christian was invented also around the year 200 AD. The anachronistic judging is one of the deep shortcomings of the Chistian theology. And there is also an aspect of the human condition: some theologians try to flatter by zealously hating and condemning Judah or similar biblical characters. But they don't condemn, for instance, the people of Israel, persecuting and killing groups of populations in order to take away their land, as the Old Testament tells us they were doing, while justifying that God asked them to kill other people for land, a God Who also commanded them: "You shall not kill!". Was that commandment meaning: "You shall not kill other Jews, but you can kill as will, anyone else"?!...

[807] And it is impossible to the human being. Maybe some scribe, during centuries of modifying and adapting the texts of the *Gospels*, decided that the previous word actually uttered by our Lord God Jesus Christ, was too mild and it didn't reflect the scribe's zeal and fanaticism, so that he replaced the initial word for the word *perfect*. No being can be perfect as long as it eats and it defecates, as long as it suffers of cold and of heat and of thirst and of lack of sleep and it get tired etc. etc. And how would God as us to become perfect as long as He created us imperfect? Actually, how could one define the perfection of the human being here on Planet Earth?!... But this word opened to theologians a whole strong direction to control the masses, by preaching that no matter how much one has achieved in following God, it will never be enough, so she/he will need the clergy and the Church forever. They actually say that regardless how much good one did, it will never be enough – and this is like saying that actually she/he won't ever be perfect!!!.... And then, they ask them to be perfect!!!.... Can you notice the nonsensical loop?!....

[808] Uttering sermons or homilies and facing no criticism – at least not directly – deprives you of the possibility of correcting yourself, of evaluating yourself. And it is a fertile ground for vain-gloriousness: you will start thinking that you are great! Also, any different opinion or every criticism is being met by theologians as the followings: silence, condemnation as heresy and going in hell, and even persecutions and killings. So, this relentless strengthening of a maybe obsolete vision on God is a possible condition for no longer being the Christian message perceived by current society. Still, lo, in this year (2025) the Catholic Church declared as saint a teenager who spread the word of Jesus as influencer on the internet! There are signs that God won't allow His Church to disintegrate because of the stubbornness of us the people!...

[809] Try to demonstrate the truth of God's words by applying them in practice: give a cup of water, give a slice of bread to the needy, or at least a good word. But don't persecute or kill people thinking that you are defending God or God's Church! God is more than capable of

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Could humbleness be suicidal[810]?

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Could the Christianity be a religion of the guiltiness[811]?

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Human mind's intervention in conveying our Lord God Jesus Christ's words has been unavoidable[812].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: The more one studies the Christian theology the more she/he will notice its incoherences and mistakes[813].

---

defending Himself and His Church! But you, by claiming for yourself the role as God's defender, you will end committing satan's things: persecutions and killings.

[810] And not in the sense that one fall into a deep depression and commits suicide! But in the sense that she/he poisons her/his life and lives constantly in a hell here on earth and that is a slow but sure self-destruction. It actually is a suicide committed over a long period of time, but nonetheless self-destructive. And did our Lord God Jesus Christ command us to destroy ourselves in ascesis and deep depression?!... Of course Not! This development has been added to His teaching by too zealous and by fanatics and it has its inspiration in all the other previous forms of asceticism regardless the religions which they belonged to. Our Lord God Jesus Christ brought to us the good news but we preferred to stick with our human ways and be sad and sorrow and gloomy and self-destructive.

[811] According to the Christian theologians any human being is born guilty of Adam and Eva's sin, and there is not human being able not to commit sins even after being baptized. So, the theologians preach a constant guilt which one cannot escape of until her/his death, and there is no human being who could be certain of her/his salvation, regardless how much she/he has been striving for committing good deeds. Could this be the good news?!... If we had no control whatsoever upon our salvation, how could we be free?!...

[812] Including the *Gospels*. People told by mouth, again and again, everything they knew and understood about our Lord God Jesus Christ. Each individual added his idiolect; the society added its sociolect. Despite we would love to think in the *Gospels* are the pure and unaltered words of our Lord God Jesus Christ, there is no such a thing. The general ideas have been preserved, but not even the *Gospels* are identical to one another; also: there were several *Gospels* not included by Saint Athanasius the Great in his selection, but some ideas form those *Gospels* are being used in today's cult (AD 2025): take for instance the elements describing Christ's descent to hell and His deeds done there, which are presented, if I remember it correctly, by *The Gospel according to Bartholomew*. Also, the oldest manuscript containing all the four *Gospels* – Codex Sinaiticus preserved at British Museum – contains around 27.000 corrections and substitution of words! How can we then be so sure what the actual words of our Lord God Jesus Christ were?!... The solution is that of committing the good deeds prescribed by His commandments and adopting a general behavior, but not so much being a fanatic of the letter or of the humanly originating diverse rituals.

[813] I was a very zealous Christian Orthodox believer and I sill am a believer in our Lord God Jesus Christ. But after decades of studying the Christian Orthodox theology and the Christian theology by and large, I have been evolving from totally and uncritically accepting everything the theologians would tell, and from impropriating by ear and as a given fact the ethereal

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Vain-gloriousness is intrinsic to the human nature[814].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If even only one soul goes in hell, it won't be that a loss for God too[815]?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: My personal ascesis isn't a rule to be observed by everybody[816].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: True wonders of our time are: the selflessness, the generosity, the mercy, and the gratitude[817].

---

ideas issued by diverse theologians, I have reached to see the numerous cracks and incoherences and baseless claims etc. existing in the Christina theology. See in this sense even the greatest religious Orthodox philosopher Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the Restorer of the Christian theology. The main directions of straying form our Lord God Jesus Cheist's commandments are: earthing the heavenly/spiritual content of the message; accumulating and enjoying earthly and social power; claiming the monopoly upon the true faith; the discrepancy between speeches and deeds; the total protection developed around even the most sinner priests; the persecution and killings of people having a different denomination or religion; involvement in supporting the wars (AD 2025: the Russian Orthodox Church have been strongly supporting the aggression, persecution, killing, and occupation, and destruction of Ukrainian people and country for three year now) etc. etc.

[814] And it is a constant of the human condition. Only that it is manifested in different degrees to different human beings. The vain-gloriousness is the need for being appreciated and for reassurance as manifestation of the preservation instinct. If one applied the advices of the Holy Fathers regarding the vain-gloriousness, she/he would lie stand without doing nothing until dying. Each human act involves, to same degree, the vain-gloriousness: even the priest celebrating nicely the *Holy Liturgy* is affected by vain-gloriousness. I see in here the solution of tempering the excesses in defining the vain-gloriousness, or even redefining the vain-gloriousness to a sane dimension.

[815] I see all the time that the theologians built up their theology by taking in account only one perspective, and by manifesting a total bias to God. But God doesn't need our bias, neither our injustice doesn't benefit Him. Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology developed his theology about the hell by affirming that God won't forsake the souls even in hell, but he didn't have the courage to go further in his reasoning and affirm that not soul will be forever in hell.

[816] I can inflict upon myself regardless what deep ascesis or sufferings. But if I claim those as a rule, when I ask others to mandatorily apply the same ascesis and sufferings upon themselves as being the only path to heavens, I will have fallen into a deep pride and haughtiness. Since when am I the path to Paradise?!... Have I replaced the law of God or even God for my human imagination?!...

[817] And of all the times and regardless religions.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: According to almost all theologians God talk rather to the devil and not to the man[818].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some of the theological assumptions have created unsolvable problems[819].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theology is mostly interpretation[820].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: To each theologians each theological notion means something slightly different than to other theologians[821].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Absolute humbleness of the laymen offers the clergy absolute power upon laymen[822].

---

[818] But I think a vast majority of the theological texts are simple human-level imagination. I an so bothered by this imaginary account of the collaboration between God and satan in the disadvantage of the human beings!!!

[819] And unnecessarily! For instance, despite our Lord God Jesus Christ describing on Himself as eater and wine drinker, the theologians still followed the path defined by previous monastic assumptions and introduced the fasting of all sorts in the Christian doctrine. The image they have crafted about Jesus Christ is one of a robot, one not laughing, not enjoying what He ate or drank etc. But one can clearly see that such an image cannot be applied in the real world but in a self-destructive manner. Still, the theologians keep arguing that the deepest and harshest ascesis is the only way to be admitted into the Kingdom of Heavens - let aside that neither themselves do what they tell other to do.

[820] The only truth we have is represented by the words of our Lord God Jesus Chreist, as many as survived to continuous modification, correction, replacement, and translation (the oldest manuscript containing the four *Gospels* – Codex Sinaiticus – contains 27.000 modifications and corrections). All the other content represents what the theologians have been able to conceive with their human minds about God. Whis is totally relative. And that's why there are so many struggles, infights, incoherences within theology.

[821] And in all human knowledge domains it is present the same phenomenon. There is no identity between what a person understands through a notion. And sometimes two persons understand totally different things by using the same notion. Each word we use is interconnected with the whole our idiolect, which is influenced by the sociolect at the time we live. The language itself is a living thing, constantly evolving and adapting. We have the impression that the words preserve their meaning in time, but that is only an impression.

[822] That's why the absolute humbleness is preached as pivotal point in all religious doctrines. It is wanted the total abolishing of any critical thinking so that to totally accept ready-made thinking. The things must go so far than you to feel guilty of noticing any incoherence and to attribute any logical conclusion to the devil.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Genesis present us God as a Parent knowing that His children will do something wrong, seeing them doing that evil, and not stopping them[823].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If God had been as we the people imagine Him, He wouldn't have been God at all[824].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Does God send in hell people who cannot understand Him[825]?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: More zealous are the accusers of the Jews crucifying Christ, the more likely they would have done the same to Him[826].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Why are we required more than the Apostles were able to do[827]?!...

---

[823] But then after punishing them for eternity and cursing His own creation… I think the text of Genesis has nothing to do with God! Not to mention that God, by knowing everything from eternity, he consequently is the scenario writer and the director of a mandatory play, written by Him from eternity, but which He now pretends of having no responsibility for. Actually, the core of the human theology speaks to us about a whimsical, arbitrary, abusive, unjust, biased God – but God isn't at all like that!!!....

[824] All human perception, imagination, thinking, do but limit God and contradict His attributes as: Almighty, Omniscient, Omnipresent.

[825] But then who would be in the Kingdom of God?!... Because there is not human being who can claim he understands God!...

[826] Let aside they don't understand our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandment: "Forgive for seventy times seven"! Somehow, we tend to understand that we can fulfill Christ's commandments only when and how that will be convenient to us. Lo, for instance, the Jews thinking that "You shall not kill!" would be applied only to their conational… The more zealous one is the more likely she/he tends to hate more and to be crueler, negative traits which lead one to persecute and kill in the name of God!...

[827] The Apostles rejected our Lord God Jesus Christ, they did not have faith in His resurrection, they were fearful and hiding, they persecuted and killed Christians, they fought for worldly supremacy, they quarreled with one another, they criticized Him, they were unable to see Him as the Son of God and God, they sold Him etc. etc. Still, hypocritically, all the theologians have been able to do it has been to issue biased interpretation of to overlook the Apostles' evil deeds! And they did all they committed all their sins against Him WHILE BEING IN HIS PRESENCE!!!... Maybe I would have done the same evil things, so that qualified me to be on the same level of evilness as the Apostles were… The most zealous among Christians are the today's Pharisees… By the way, all of us –the greatest theologians included – see in the reality that what we want to see! We think that flattering God by distorting the reality it would be pleasant to God…

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Biggest lie of the theology is presenting the evil and being good, and vice-versa[828].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Sad is the fact that our Lord God Jesus Christ isn't here for openly and directly correcting our mistakes of interpreting His commandments[829]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some theologians ask us to be superior to our Lord God Jesus Christ[830]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Christ commanded us to leave our dead to burry the dead, but in the same time He took care of the fate of His Mother[831]…

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Deceit of the theology can bee seen in its mercilessness on the human being[832].

---

[828] And it also toppling over the order placed by God Himself in His Creation! The pain is there for triggering actions for avoiding it in order to survive. The pain isn't something "good"! If the pain had been good, God would have made placed in our brains the tendency for searching for the pain. But that's masochism! And how the clergy of all religions preach the poverty and a good thing while ruling putridly-rich religious administrative institutions?!... I mean: do not be obsessed with gathering wealth, but neither voluntarily deprive yourself – sometimes that hides a big laziness – of the means for a decent living. Balance, and not exaggeration! The glorification of the death is another big lie: if God had wanted us to value the death, why would have Him placed the preservation instinct within our mental pattern?!... Why would Him even bring us to life?!...

[829] People wrote the *Gospels* decades after Christ went to heavens; people translated repeatedly, corrected and replaced the inconvenient words; theologians developed insane anagogies; etc. etc. How can one be sure, any longer, that we do the right thing?!...

[830] While affirming that our Lord God Jesus Christ felt despaired on the cross, they also define the despair in humans as a mortal sin; while Christ ate and drank, they ask us to mortify our body by fasting and thirsting; meanwhile, we are simple human, weak, without being helped by a doglike nature as Christ was…

[831] Can both these aspects be but in contradiction?!... Is this too an incoherence generated by human writing and translations and corrections?!... Are our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments circumstantial or absolute?!...

[832] While, hypocritically, formally preaching the love. The whole theology – regardless the religions which it belongs to – find the human being as guilty for the evil in entire universe. The man is guilty even for his own imperfection and weakness, regardless that God designed the human being… The theology oppresses the human being more than God does. Somehow, the theologians aim to flatter God by proving themselves merciless on the human being, in their theologies. And who would be there to defend the human being?!... – if even the human being has turned against herself/himself?!... Thew man is beaten by God, by satan, and by

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some theologians describe our Lord God Jesus Christ as being surprised by the events He lived[833].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theology chose the path of the hypocrisy[834].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If I want to have faith in God only because that is more comfortable to me, I will be a hypocrite[835]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Lacking arguments some theologians - if not all of them – target the psychological affects[836].

---

theologians, and we wonder that the man fails and fall into sins… and who defends the man?!...

[833] For instance, when describing His sufferings on the cross they show Him as a man who wouldn't have know from eternity - as God – that God-the Father would forsake Him on the cross. Otherwise, if He was God and all-knower, He would have known from eternity that God-the Father would forsake Him and the question *why You forsaken* Me would have been superfluous. I see in here also the fear of the theologians not to upset God and so they to end in hell… and that's why they try to explain everything in accordance to what they understand as flattering of God. But does the fear compensate for the sin of lying?!...

[834] By claiming – when convenient – that it can explain God, and – when inconvenient – invoking the apophatism and threatening the inquisitive people with ending in hell. Actually, I think that the theology has failed in explaining God, just because its hypocritical fear. Usually, the theologians confound the convenience of their opinion to the truth. In their attempt to explain God and because of not being able to do so, they inadvertently preach about a whimsical and arbitrary God Whom the man must be but a trepanned slave. But then, in such conditions, how can be God – in the same time - a kind God?! I find as only possible answer that we cannot understand God (at least for now: AD 2025)! And why the theologian fear so much the inquisitive minds?! I think because such minds contradict them and hinder their worldly domination; God, as I see it, won't mind if we try to understand Him. But the theologians confound understanding God to memorizing and repeating their theology. And I could agree that if there would be only one official theology: but there are many… But, then, what could we do?!... be kind, apply in practice the simple commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ, refuse persecuting and killing on behalf of God!

[835] Laziness too can lead to total submission to the official doctrine, or even to God. I am too lazy to search for an answer to my questions, so I give up and adopt the official theology by overlooking my questions. I know that the official theology is incoherent, but I reckon myself as humble overlooking that incoherence. I am too scared of ending up in hell that I am trying to banish away from me my questions and my doubts. But, as I think, this is hypocrisy and I don't think that there is anything else more disgusting to God than the hypocrisy is.

[836] Namely: emotions and mood: fear, love etc. They know they don't have real arguments for addressing the cognition and therefore they resort to make people emotional, to scare them, and all of these in order to convince the listeners about the truth of their theology. But this is a deceit and something wrong in approaching the theology. The theology must search for

Ontology / Epistemology: Circular and repetitive content of our Christian cult shows the limit of our human condition[837].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Theologizing in words and writing is easier than in deeds[838].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Misogynism caused and it is still causing big losses to all religions[839].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Life is a paramount proof of God's existence[840].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Religious nationalists pursue the keeping in place of the division of the tongues[841].

---

arguments targeting the thinking! God is a rational God, not a moody, whimsical, and sentimental God!

[837] We don't know what else to do! So, for thousands of years we have been and we will be repeating the same things and words and rituals and gestures and symbols. Imagining that God needs them… I think we better focus our efforts on practicing our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments, not only uttering them theoretically!

[838] That's why so many theologians went to excesses in their theology, because one can say and write anything. But when it comes about applying the words in real deeds, the things become real and non-liar. That's why in theologizing in deeds there are lesser excesses, and the biggest excess one can do in his deeds is to yield hi life for other people. The theologizing in deeds remains immanent and real.

[839] All the religions which I know about are misogynistic. That causes the loss of the knowledge about God brought forth by women. And that also proves that we still are uneducated savages!

[840] Atoms coagulated together in material object and beings are still not enough for existence and for life. Also, after death, the living creatures disintegrate in atoms and energy, no longer remaining coagulated in their former mode. Even the material inanimate objects disintegrate after their period of existence comes to an end and their atoms will no longer remain coagulated, neither will they ever for the same material object. Notice here the endless, limitless, and infinite diversity in God's creation: each inanimate object and each animate creature are unique!

[841] And of the souls. God is not vernacular, but universal. God is not limited to this tiny Planet Earth, but God is the Creator of the whole universe. Nationalism is an egotist remnant of the tribal and savage period in the mankind's evolution. And how can one preach love in the same time with preaching division?!... And how can one preach unity in the same time with preaching division?!... Religions as basis for nationalism, and nationalism as basis for religion are "abomination of the desolation put in an honorable place".

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Why God needed to send His Son in order to perfect the Old Law given by Himself[842]?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theology speaks about a defeated God Who[843].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theology is so incoherent right because of instituting the condemnation for asking questions[844].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Because of not being either able to remove or explain the suffering, the theologians urge us to accept and cherish it[845].

Ontology / Epistemology: All religions originated in Orient[846].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theologians ask us to be superior to the Apostles[847].

---

[842] I cannot answer this! Anything I could say is unfavorable to God, as we can perceive Him. Did God give an imperfect Law?!... Didn't God know from eternity that He would give an imperfect Law?!... Theology speaks about evolution and adaptation as God wouldn't have known from eternity which the destiny of every electron had been!...

[843] Who was defeated by Adam and Eva and then he cursed the whole His Creation and Whose only solution was to give Himself to be crucified in order to restore the justice to Himself… I think this is totally wrong and it is a fundamental proof of out impossibility to understand God.

[844] Theologians fear asking questions on their own religion or denomination because that is in their mind equal to blasphemy. That's why even the most outdate things ever said by the Holy Fathers have remained mutually unchallenged. In the same time, the theologians prove to be prolific in questioning and combating other theologies and doctrines belonging to different denominations. I think that theologians must ask the hardest questions on their own doctrine and they must try to answer those questions to their very best. Otherwise, they are simple hypocrites and manipulators, and not conveying the truth about God.

[845] In the same time, they theologize about the love of God for us. They included the suffering in the love of God for us. But how making one to suffer could mean loving him?!... In the same time, God allowed the science to alleviate the sufferings! I think this is the correct path: alleviating others' sufferings, and not convincing them that it is good for them to suffer! The only thing I am capable of is that of not intentionally causing suffering upon others and to myself. Trying to escape sufferings is a characteristic placed by God in the whole His Creation in order His creation to willingly survive. Trying to get rid of the sufferings – both physical and physic – is a characteristic place by God into the human condition.

[846] And none in Occident! This perspective deserves to be studies thoroughly, because of not being haphazardly or without influence upon religions. The Occident adapted the Christianity to its sociolect and it proves to be unable to sustain a true existence of the other religions.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Faith makes a quarrel of theology[848].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: We humanize God too much so that we cannot understand God[849].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Real discussing supposes the capacity of accepting valid argument despite being opposite to our beliefs[850].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Christ commandments consist of concrete actions to be done in this material world[851].

---

[847] The Apostles were faithless, they quarreled for worldly power, they rejected Christ as their Lord, they didn't believe He resurrected, they asked for material evidence about His resurrection, they left the Lord and ran away from Him, they were cowardly hiding behind the locked-up doors because of fearing the Jews, they persecuted and killed Christians (Paul) etc. etc. And they asked to put their fingers into His wounds and to touch His rib because they didn't believe in Him!... And I am asked not to doubt the entire volume of the theology – let aside the theologians doubt about each other's theology because of belonging to different denominations!!! – or else I will go in hell!!!.... If the apostles, so faithless as they were, are in Paradise, many simple and partially faithful people will be there too!!!....

[848] There cannot be any discussion based on argument if one has a fanatic faith. But the question is: does she/he believe the right things?!... The unshaken faith led to the division in the Church! Stubbornness is not faith! Fanaticism is not faith! Actually, a faith excluding any possibility of being wrong is rather pride and haughtiness!

[849] We earthen the reality of God and limit our image about Him to our human means and dimensions. We actually try to understand a reality which is infinitely superior to our order of existence, by using concepts and notions and imaginings belonging to our order of conscience and knowledge. We imagine a too human God. In doing so, we replace God with ourselves. Our mind, our theology becomes God. But this is idolatry!

[850] Complaining that there is no dialogue between believer and unbeliever, it usually means an accusation of the believer brought to the unbeliever because of not having the same beliefs. This is like in the case of the representatives of diverse Christian denominations who imagine the union of the Church as others renouncing to their faith and adopting an imposed faith reckoned as the right-faith! The fanaticism – not doubting at all about any of the elements of your beliefs – is confounded to a strong faith. I think a strong faith is manifested by applying in practice the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ, regardless which Christian denomination you belong to!... give a glass of water, give a slice of bread, give a coat, give a good word, turn the other cheek, love your enemy!!! Quarreling for the superiority of your beliefs and hating the representatives of our beliefs is anything but Christian!

[851] Our Lord God Jesus Christ gave us commandments which to be applied in this material word using the matter: our body, our material goods, towards the people from around us. There is nothing theoretical or transcendent in loving your enemy!... We must practice His commandments here in this material world – the only world which we can do that in!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some part of the theology claim of being of a divine logic, while lacking even the elementary human logics[852].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theologians reach to deviate so much from Christ's words because of not knowing and because of not understanding His words[853].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If death was good, why would God keep creating life[854]?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Absolute anagogies are possible only on absolute texts[855].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Material death is a proof of God's kindness for us[856].

Disregarding this world or the matter for an illusory transcending into spirituality it means disregarding the plan of God with this world and with us.

[852] Because of contradicting their theological speculations, some theologians – if not most of them – chose to hate the logics, to reckon it devilish, to deny any contribution of the logics (which they reflected in their incoherent and illogical speculations on God), to glorify the paradox as a trait of God. They actually accused God of being paradoxical, and that because of their impossibility of thinking logically or, when non-understanding, of simply admitting that they were unable to know those aspects, at least for the time being. I see in this also their vain-gloriousness claiming that they can know and explain everything! God is logical, despite we are incapable of knowing Him, at least for now (AD 2025)! The logic doesn't contradict God, but it contradicts our poor assumptions about God!

[853] So, we reach to bear icons with our Lord God Jesus Christ in front of our armies when going to kill people belonging to other armies or simply civilians! The theologians justifying that, they replaced the words of God for their own human whims.

[854] Rather the theologians, in their incapacity of explaining the death as placed by God in His Creation, and in their incapacity to explain how can God be kind and in the same time allowing death to exist, they went on two directions: blaming the human being for death, and cherishing the death. Both wrong. Blaming the man for death means actually affirming that God was defeated by man! Cherishing death means actually disregarding God's gift of life! We actually aren't able at this moment (AD 2025) to explain either death or life, but our vain-gloriousness determines us to brag about our knowledge, while speaking nonsenses!

[855] But what can we say about this, because the oldest text we have – Codex Sinaiticus – has 27.000 corrections and replacements of words?!... I think the only certitude remaining to us is that of working with our deeds the commandments given us by our Lord Jesus Christ: giving a glass of water, giving a slice of bread, turning the other cheek, forgiving for seventy times seven, loving our enemy. All the theoretical theology is relative. And there is no such thing as an absolute text!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: There is a big vaingloriousness in the self-imposed and acted humbleness[857].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Acceptation by Church doesn't provide value of truth to anything[858].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Drawing postulates out of individual cases is a mistake[859]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theology isn't yet (AD 2025) able to explain why the people exist[860].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: God exist without theology[861].

---

[856] Imagine the people, the animals, and even the plants and the microscopic life living forever… Especially in the case of the human being: imagine people had been immortal, so that masters would have had no limits in persecuting and tormenting people, and nothing could have happened to the persecutor! Why the persecutors exist, it is another subject matter and I don't have the answer to that question… But death is omnipresent in Universe, in the whole Creation of God, so that affirming that the man brought the death into matter is nonsensical…

[857] You only force yourself to act like you are humble but you, hypocritically, aren't: that includes vain-gloriousness. You try to be humble when speaking to God but you do that because you are afraid not to and not because you feel that as natural: you are trying to lie to God!

[858] The principle that basically says "if accepted by the Church, that means it would be inspired by the Holy Ghost" cannot hold truth. The Church organized and accepted the abominable crusades, the colonization and destructions of cultures, the religious wars, the persecutions, the rapes of the children, the slavery and so on.

[859] But this is a current reasoning mistake employed gladly and widely by theologians. They fabulate a lot starting from disparate or individual or isolated case and then issuing bunches of postulates based on that. This is a classic example of sophism.

[860] The saying that God gives us birth in this life and on this planet because of His love for us, it is a pious saying but it doesn't provide us with any answer. The reasoning for affirming that God gives us birth out of His love for us, for He wants to share the joy that is within the Holy trinity with us the people, is so nice, but just look around and ascertain that life means a constant suffering, one more intense or lighter, but nevertheless a suffering! So, the question raising out of theologians' assumptions: how could love be defined as destining somebody to suffering?!... I see the only solution to this question that of affirming that we cannot actually say yet (AD 2025) why God gives us birth. And even the fact that we are born without being asked before is we had wanted that, it annuls any claiming of any freedom!

[861] Theology too can exist without God. Imagining, claiming that theology is somehow one with God means idolatrizing the theology. The advantages of such an approach it only favors the theologians who share that status of demigods and those advantages they take are simply worldly. Theology is only our opinion on God.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Neither tradition nor progress own the absolute truth[862].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: No theology has changed the world yet (AD 2025)[863].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Current Christian theology (AD 2025) has been shaped by the ideas of people living almost two millennia ago[864].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: After all, we suffer in this world[865].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Only the martyrs are true Christians[866].

---

[862] Both are characteristic to the human nature. Both extremes are wrong. See Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the Restorer of the Christian Theology: "change what is changeable at the given time, and keep what is unchangeable at that very time". I think that, on a large horizon of time, everything in theology can change; only God is unchangeable.

[863] Our Lord and God, Jesus Christ has given us His commandments but we refuse to apply them or we misinterpret them in order to justify our lawlessness. There is no other more consequential teaching in the mankind's history than the Teaching of our Lord God Jesus Christ. Still, the world is the same as ever and the human nature is the same as ever. We haven't been able yet to impropriate the Teaching. It might be impossible to write or to act so that the entire mankind to be influenced!...

[864] And the theologians spent humongous efforts to preserve those ideas. One cannot but wonder whether and to what extent that approach harmed the Christians and the Christianity. Despite all that, the Christian theology actually has been evolving to a great extent, so that within it, it has been manifesting some sort of schizophrenic rupture between progressist and traditionalists, each progressist becoming in time traditionalist and condemning the new progressists. I think like this: the only unchangeable content of the Christian theology is our Lord God Jesus Chreist. Everything us is changeable and it must be adapted or the new generations won't be able to understand it content after a long time.

[865] And we are required to act in this world. Regardless how much we dream of ourselves as achieving the transcendence, we still die in this world. So, I think that we should rather renounce to that too optimistic use of the term *deification* and to rather use the expression *becoming kinder*.

[866] Anyone who doesn't sacrifice herself/himself for our Lord God Jesus Christ isn't a real Christian. But this sacrifice means keeping His commandments in an Unchristian society that avenges on them that takes advantage of them, and that persecute and even kills them. But - and I think this is another proof od God's existence and care for us - there do not automatically happen only persecutions and killings…

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: If the Church practiced the humbleness it preached, there would be neither clergy nor hierarchy[867].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If one starts believing in our Lord God Jesus Christ only when in trouble, she/he is but a hypocrite[868]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: God is free of His attributes too[869].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Most of theology seems to be an act of extolling[870].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: No violence is Christian[871].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Bible is a textbook presenting the human condition[872].

---

[867] "I am a worm, and not a man, on beneath of all creation". Then, how can one reckon himself worthy of being a priest? Or worthy of being a bishop and commanding and being superior to other people?!... Either there is an exaggeration in preaching and that means hypocrisy because of continuing preaching it for beating the laymen into submission, or they don't know what they actually are saying and they are incapable of having a coherence preaching and practice.

[868] She/he tries to "appease" God. The faith must be adopted, declared, and practiced while you are young, healthy, rich, lucky etc. If you wait until you get old, and because of being afraid of death you start "believing" and being "faithful", you are just a hypocrite. Do you think you can fool God?!... Still, such a "last moment" faith is way better than no faith! But you have missed the opportunities of a life-time to commit good deeds!... Any evil deed you have done caused consequences and changed lives… how could you expect such consequences to be forgiven?!... I see the early faith as a protection against evil and as an environment for doing good deeds!

[869] For instance, God is omniscient so that He knows everything from eternity and forever and ever. If that was the case, God wouldn't be needed in the multiverse, because His omniscience would be like a program compelling everything to obey. God would be the prisoner of His omniscience, because once one knows the reality for eternity, that reality becomes unchangeable. Still, the prayers seem to be working and changing the reality known to God from eternity. Conclusion: we don't understand yet (AD 2025) the attributes of God.

[870] Rather than being an act of knowing, of research. The theologians fearfully avoid asking the big and uncomfortable questions about God, out of three reasons: they are cowards and they fear not to end in hell; they are vain-glorious and assume that they know everything, so that nothing can contradict their theology; they are hypocrites, because themselves say, on occasions, that nobody can know God, and because they intentionally overlook and avoid uncomfortable questions.

[871] Love your enemy!

[872] And giving us the words our Lord God Jesus Christ prescribing us how to overcome our shortcomings.

Ontology / Epistemology: Spirit changes quantum states[873].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Human time perception is an impediment to understand God[874].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: General impression is that God wants to deprive the human being of all rights and justice[875].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Despite affirming the two natures in our Lord God Jesus Christ, the theologians interpret all His actions as lead by His godlike nature[876].

---

[873] I think this is the explanation for the prayers really working and healing. But this doesn't answer the question: can we change the omniscient will of God by praying?!...

[874] A life time – be it even 150 years – is nothing on the scale of the multiverse. So, how could we understand God Who is timeless and infinite?!... That's why the theologians and the laymen have this constant apprehension and tension regarding the soon second coming of our Lord God Jesus Christ and of the soon coming of the end of the world, after such a "long" period of time as two millennia (AD 2025). But two earthly millennia are nothing at the scale of the multiverse which is measured – maybe – in hundred of billions of earthly years! I don't think our Lord God Jesus Christ will come before another million / or billion, of years!

[875] God want the human beings to totally and willingly enslave themselves to God, without having anything guaranteed in exchange for that enslavement. At least this turns out from the Bible. But I still ask: the Bible was written by people, so how much of the human mind is present in the Bible's texts? Isn't the Bible also reflection of the human mind and of the human nature, and of the way the human mind is able to perceive God and to think at God? But the danger is that the enslavement to God, in His actual absence, it means an enslavement to some people claiming that they are intermediaries to God and that they have the monopoly upon salvation. And so, some people claim having the life-or-death right upon the remaining majority of the people. And this isn't specific only to Christianity, but it is specific to all religions; it is a general characteristic of the human condition that some people act in order to dominate and enslave other people.

[876] Even when our Lord God Jesus Christ was angry, or hungry, or thirsty, or upset, of sad, of despaired, of afraid, the theologians seem to have as main goal to find Him excuses. A childish attempt to accomplish that is to say that "He actually didn't feel or thought that, but He said it or He did it only for us to understand" … actually, the theologians accuse Christ, for instance, or acting a part on the cross and not really suffering… "He wasn't despaired, actually, on the cross, complaining about being forsaken by the Father, but He only said that in order us to…" The theologians in their desperation of proving the godlike nature of Christ at all costs, and in all circumstances, they totally annul Christ's human nature, despite, in the same time, affirming it.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: I see in the 27000 corrections and modification brought to our Lord God Jesus Christ's words – in Codex Sinaiticus – a work of satan[877].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Ascertainment doesn't mean understanding[878].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theologians use the paradox as a paramount and ultimate argument[879].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: He who fears God cannot be honest to God[880].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Believing no matter what, it isn't a guarantee of believing the truth[881].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Faith without deeds is useless[882].

---

[877] Working through the most zealous Christian scribes and monks, who perpetrated those corrections and modifications. I think that the incoherences from *The New Testament* can be attributed mostly to that destructive and poisonous action.

[878] Most theologians confound the ascertaining of a fact to understanding that fact. In the same time, they avoid – hypocritically – plainly admitting what they have ascertained. Sometimes it seems to me that the theology means playing with the words in order to justify a ready-made conclusion. But one can justify everything with the words, even by using convenient paragraphs form the *Holy Scripture*. My conclusion is that no anagogy is absolute, no interpretation can be trusted as absolute: everything is relative. A human being who accedes to absolute truth will actually be god on earth.

[879] Actually, when invoking the parados as argument, they say: We are right despite any opposite evidence, rationality, or logics. Based on the argument of the paradox, one can accept or justify everything, by rejecting the very basic logics. Invoking the paradox as argument actually means: you must believe that what we are telling you just because we are telling it to you, and you must shut up and ask no questions, or you will go to hell.

[880] Fearing God means forcedly keeping His commandments. But you actually want not to, and you do it because of fearing God. So, I think keeping the commandments only because you fear God and not because you are convinced about their truth and benefits and merits, you are trying to lie to God and you are dishonest to God. And fear totally excludes any love.

[881] People believe strongly and stubbornly in all sorts of nonsenses. So, a strong faith isn't a guarantee that you believe the right thing.

[882] What have you ever done for the glory of God, besides uttering with your mouth: Glory to God! Our Lord God Jesus Christ commanded us some utterly practical things to do!: give a glass of water, give a slice of bread, dress up the naked, tell a good word to somebody in pain! Otherwise, God will be disgusted by our praises and sacrifices and rituals and prayers! Christendom means applying the kindness in the very practical life we have!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: There's no freedom[883].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Church goes wherever it wats because it behaves like a mass of people[884].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We haven't started yet to be Christians[885].

Ontology / Epistemology: Quantum physics annuls the conceptual difference between born and created[886].

Ontology / Epistemology: Multiverse's creation must be the work of God[887].

---

[883] Still, The Christian theologians developed a whole theology of the freedom, one serenely affirming the totality and the pervasiveness of the freedom, while, in the same time, serenely affirming that one must obey the rules of go to hell… I don't think that they understand the most basic logics, that having only two options, one positive and one negative, it means any freedom… and the obedience excludes the freedom… speaking about the freedom of obeying is a nonsense!...

[884] And not because it necessarily has found the ultimate truth. And I am referring here to the rituals, the theological doctrines, the ceremonials etc. The Church isn't spared of the sociological and psychological laws characterizing the human condition. Some theologians affirm that if something is mutually and tacitly adopted by the Church that is a sing of that thing being inspired by the Holy Ghost. But there were – still are – countless practices mutually and tacitly adopted by the Churches which are clearly evil, and therefore by no means inspired by the Holy Ghost. But what I have wanted to say is that no personality can change the course of the Church – not even the greatest saints – because the Church behaves like a sociological institution which processes cannot be controlled by a human being alone. No personality could stop the Church for being criminal and destroying cultures and killing natives. No personality could stop the clergy from raping tens of thousands of children. No personality was able to stop the corruption among the clergy – from here one can se that the priestly grace doesn't help all of priests.

[885] Simply: we don't love our enemies.

[886] "Light out of light". The birth is only a crossing of some atoms through some other atoms. The difference would be the "life", but we cannot define the life yet (AD 2025). A special matter is raised by the growing up of living creatures out of artificial cells…

[887] Creation hasn't come to and end; Creation is a constant process, including living beings and starts and blackholes; death is a constant process too. I attribute the Creation to God for we do not know (at least for now, AD 2025) how the identical subatomic particles are grouped in specific atoms, and how specific atoms are grouped in specific substances and stay together in there; we also do not understand how inorganic chemistry becomes organic chemistry: I mean how atoms composing the inanimate world composes the living matter too! What are the actual processes which determine a living creature to die and so to lose its force that makes its atoms staying together and functioning in a certain manner?!... I call this force or tendency as God's will, or grace.

Ontology / Epistemology: All the great intuitions had been had and formulated since antiquity[888].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Any theology is temporary and circumstantial[889].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some theologians joggle with the two natures of our Lord God Jesus Christ[890].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Why, despite our Lord God Jesus Christ lived on Planet Earth there is still so much incertitude and confusion regarding Him[891]?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Our Lord God Jesus Christ told us literally what we must do[892].

---

[888] Everything the later philosophers, theologians, and scientists have been doing it has been to add details to those basic intuitions. See God, atoms, virtues etc.

[889] Also, any scientific explanation fitting the assumption on God it is also temporary and evolving. For instance, nowadays the quantum physics seems to explain some of theology's assumptions.

[890] As convenable. When they want to blame the people for something, they use Jesus Christ as example of a perfect man, without mentioning though that He was also God. When they want also to blame the people for something else, they invoke the godhead of Christ and they overlook the human nature of His. I think that we cannot actually explain and understand the double nature of our Lord God Jesus Christ! Also, such examples demonstrate that most of theology is a sophism.

[891] I think there is only one possible explanation to this: our human condition. But this is partial and totally unsatisfactory an explanation. I think that we actually do not really know. Most of theologians stubbornly deny this reality and claim that they know everything – which is, of course, not only a wishful thinking, but it is also hypocritical: they know that they don't know, but they are afraid of admitting it!

[892] But some theologians claim that one must not take our Lord God Jesus Christ's words literally, but one must find out what our Lord God Jesus Christ actually wanted to say, by studying the anagogies… but this stupidity is borderless! It is like saying that our Lord God Jesus Christ wasn't able to tell us what He wanted, so that some human hot heads – who only they are the depositary of the truth – must interpret, and sometimes even correct, what He wanted to tell us. Then, why not to let aside the Holy Gospels and read directly the anagogies, of the Holy Fathers?!... Why to waste our time by reading the Gospels, when we can read, directly, the anagogies?!... Let's replace the Holy Gospels with some anagogies imagine by some monks in their spare time!... Why not to replace the Truth for some human elucubrations?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: I work the commandments of my Lord God Jesus Christ because I am convinced that there isn't another truth[893].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Simple fact of being born in this life means to many a condemnation to hell[894].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Simple Fact of being born means condemnation to suffering and death[895].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: High theology isn't necessary for salvation[896].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Withdrawal is unethical when accompanied by indifference in front of evil experienced by others[897].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Embodiment still is a creation[898].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: No one on Planet Eart can protect the individual against her/his fate[899].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: No contradictory discussion has ever led / will ever lead to conversion[900].

---

[893] His commandments are the only possible truth. I don't work them because of fear. I cannot say, as well, that I work His commandments because I love Him, because I don't know what that love means, I cannot conceive yet what living Him does it mean. I also think that people by and large, and theologians especially, they use the word "love" with too much ease and as a slogan that, by so much repetition has ended an empty shape, a parrot-like slogan. It is an ugly show seeing someone uttering the word love while being a wolf dressed up in sheepskin.
[894] Because not all people will be saved. Where are the freedom and the love?!... Let aside that God know form eternity whether or not one will be saved, because God is omniscient!
[895] All people suffer and die. So, where is the love?!...
[896] Giving a glass of water and a slice of bread or a comforting word to the needy it fulfills all commandments. High theology divided the One Church and persecute and killed people.
[897] It only makes you accomplice to that evil.
[898] Some theologians argue that the Creation ended after Genesis. But I think creation continues with any born creature and with any element of evolution, in the animate or in the inanimate beings and objects.
[899] No one can protect you against dying in a war, or in an accident, or in an epidemic. The statistics are not appliable to individuals.
[900] If somebody searches for the truth, she/he will ask questions and draw their own conclusions. If one rejects something any attempt to persuade her/him will be useless.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Why would God cerate a world and a body which to make it impossible for us to live according to His commandments[901]?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Why would God cerate us weak, incapable, and then put us in unescapable situations and, because of us being unable to escape those situations, God will punish us for eternity?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If there was no God, whom would we put the blame on[902]?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Sin is something harming others[903].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: "Everything is vanity!" it includes the theology as well.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Invoking the possibility of existing worse situations it is not an argument for being grateful for the trouble and suffering which you are in.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Life is an already lost battle to death.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: There's no valid explanation for the devil, yet (AD 2025).

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Humbleness as preached by the Holy Fathers excludes and forbids any question about God[904].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: There's no such thing as spiritual pleasure[905].

---

[901] Are the sayings of the theologians really true?!...
[902] So, let's start by blaming on ourselves for all the evil we commit!
[903] And not a theoretical or imaginary concept.
[904] But the Holy Fathers were the first not to respect that. And so, the theology has been constantly evolving, and it still is.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Pleasure is one with life[906].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God isn't bigotry[907].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If one was unable to find God while living on Planet Earth, sending her/him in hell would be purposeless[908].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: A saint saying about herself/himself that she/he is worse than a sinner it implies the inherent conclusion that he could be better by sinning[909]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Religious nationalism and supremacy are abominations[910].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Truth has no friends[911]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: All religions will experience reshaping because they tied their theology to Planet Earth[912].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Facilitating to somebody to commit sin it makes you accomplice to that sin.

---

[905] The brains are body parts as well. The pleasure if felt through the same psychological-physiological mechanisms. Only the triggering stimulus differs.

[906] Living means at least having the bodily health to live. The Saints were / are searching for pleasure too, but they use different stimuli which they reckon as being spiritual. The Saint rejoice of life and of health as well, even when being unaware of that. Staying alive is a commandment inscribed by God in our mind. There must be not healthy and sane people who want to die.

[907] Quite the opposite. In bigotry there are the bigots and their master who isn't God.

[908] It won't solve of repair anything. It will only consecrate and admit a loss.

[909] Beware of false humbleness. The emotional effusions are dangerous.

[910] "Abomination of the desolation put in an honorable place". Religious nationalism and supremacy lead to persecutions and killings in the name of God. God is God of every human being, regardless her/his religion or nationality.

[911] Neither political or religious parties!

[912] And to some primitive stages of the science. In the space era theologians will have to deal with extraterrestrial beings and realities. But there isn't actually any contradiction between God and the multiverse: God created the multiverse and God governs the multiverse, whether we can understand it or not.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Individualized life on Planet Earth is sustained by killing and eating another individualized live[913].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Do not eat stale bread while you have fresh bread[914].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Our prayers do not guarantee us obtaining something concrete from God[915].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: I've been wrongly rejecting the present in searching for eternity[916].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: No symbol changes the reality on its own[917].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: One can more easily convince somebody to do evil than to do good[918].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: As long as even one person goes hungry on Planet Earth the Christendom hasn't been actually achieve yet[919].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Discerning between body and souls is impossible[920].

Ontology / Epistemology: Human being cannot transcend herself/himself[921].

---

[913] Suffering and death are associated to life.
[914] Some people think they are humble by eating stale bread while they have fresh bread. Who has given them the fresh bread? Not God?! So, let's not offend God with our vain-gloriousness, by rejecting His gifts bestowed to us!
[915] But praying to God will strengthen our connection with God.
[916] I haven't realized that God is in both present and eternity.
[917] But people shaping their beliefs and their thinking and their actions and their behaviors according to symbols and doctrines, they can change the reality, both in better and in worse.
[918] It is a characteristic of the human nature as tending to evil.
[919] But only declared. Only a few saints have really been Christians. And the martyrs who died confessing Christ and fulfilling His commandments. The rest is pure declarative and unsubstantiated claim.
[920] It is only a widely-spread prejudice, because of not being us able to understand yet (AD 2025) the human being.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Worldly glory is vain[922].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Self-annulation or self-destruction are false humbleness[923].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: The Most Important Human Person on Planet Earth, forever and ever, is Virgin Mary Mother of our Lord God Jesus Christ[924].

Ontology / Epistemology" When all the people forsake you, our Lord God Jesus Christ is still near and together with you.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some theologians took the things so far that they preached the need to have this life itself[925].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Any religion telling you that only you are right while all the others are wrong, it contains in it the germ of the violence.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Judgment of criminals after their death won't repair their crimes.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Communion with others is wishful thinking[926].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: No one has become fully spiritualized as long as the gravity still pushed her/him down to the ground.

---

[921] The whole impulse towards transcendentalism is a characteristic of the human condition. But we belong to this order of existence – which we wrongly call it as immanent – and we cannot transcend it while living.
[922] Still, theologians claim that God wants to be glorified and extolled by the world.
[923] True humbleness means doing the good deeds required by our Lord God Jesus Christ, while fighting to avoid committing the evil.
[924] It is not a wrong affirmation, for I take our Lord God Jesus Christ both as Man and as God all the time.
[925] But that means hating the plan of God with us in this life. And, God is the Life!
[926] We live and die alone. But we can choose as our martyrdom to do good deeds while expecting nothing in return, or even expecting evil as payment for the good we have done.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: The way which the religions treat the death in, it shows that they fear the death[927].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some theologians seem to be professional miss-interpreters of God's words[928].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: I prefer a moral atheist to a hypocrite believer[929].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We are energetic cells materialized in God's energy/matter body[930].

Ontology / Epistemology: If any secret human society / organization knew real secrets, they would change the surrounding reality[931].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Claiming that the whole theology has been inspired by the Holy Ghost means relativizing the whole theology[932].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Do the good that you can do and avoid doing the evil that you can avoid[933].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Don't we oppose God when praying Him to change something[934]?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Affirmation that one cannot apply logics to God is the result of a logical reasoning as well[935].

---

[927] No one understands death yet (AD 2025).
[928] "God said that, but He actually meant something else".
[929] I prefer a peaceful and moral and philanthropist atheist to a staunch believer preaching hatred and committing persecutions and killing on behalf of God. Maybe the atheist won't reach in heavens, but the hypocrite believer is going to go in hell.
[930] Praying, keeping the commandments told us by Our Lord God Jesus Christ, it helps us not to become cancerous cells.
[931] But they don't.
[932] If there was a sole theology, it wouldn't be deniable such a claim.
[933] Still, I feel myself guilty because of the good I cannot do and because of the evil I cannot impede from happening.
[934] I don't know the answer.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Faith doesn't absolve somebody of deeds[936].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: There's no superior to other Christian denomination[937].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: For the human being there is no thinking and knowledge outside the human brain and cognitive processes[938].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Refusing critical thinking means vain-gloriousness[939].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Supreme value has the love that isn't forcedly imposed[940].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Ossification tendencies affect the theology as well[941].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Blaming satan for my own sins means hypocrisy[942].

Ontology / Epistemology: Most of theology is anagogy[943].

---

[935] God isn't illogical! Only that we haven't been yet (AD 2025) able to find logical explanations to all aspects of God. But totally rejecting any logics about God and affirming His absolute paradox is wrong. Even the ascertainment of the supra-rational, of the paradox etc. comes from using the reasoning, the rationality, the logics.

[936] Faith must be accompanied by deeds.

[937] Let aside the declarative aspects. Look at the deeds and see that Orthodox believers are killing other Orthodox believers using Islamists, atheists, Hinduists etc. as allies (AD 2025).

[938] Actually, we do not know the human cognitive processes (AD 2025) so well. We are in the infancy of the brain's sciences.

[939] Humbleness, on the other side, it doesn't mean accepting arguments without submitting them to a critical thinking.

[940] Stockholm Syndrome determined the victims to love their tormentors. We need to discern among all sorts of possible love. And I am asking: what the love is?

[941] In all mankind's knowledge domains, there is a struggle between old and new, between innovation and conservatism, but in no other domains the new is suppressed so cruelly and the old is guarded as zealously as in theology is.

[942] Let's assume our responsibility and the fact that we are authors of our sins and guilty for them.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Except the martyrs for Christ, there are not other fully and truly Christians[944].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Humbleness means that you can defame yourself but you are forbidden to defame others[945].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Being Christians means being compassionate[946].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Human being in imperfect[947].

Ontology / Epistemology: Death as transfer of materialize energy is inherent to this universe[948].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Good never wins[949].

Ontology / Epistemology: I find not consolation in death[950].

Ethics: Could Adam and Eva be "dancing in Paradise"[951]?

---

[943] Theologians interpret the reality so that to serve their views and doctrines. But that doesn't change the very reality.

[944] Woe to us because we worship our Lord God Jesus Christ with our mouths, but we do not fulfill His commandments. I'm looking around and I see a humanity who has never been really Christians, but who has been claiming to be since the beginning.

[945] But this doesn't' mean that you totally abolish your critical thinking. Defamation, as I understand it, it means saying untruths about somebody, and not the simple fact of ascertaining her/his shortcomings. Otherwise, any live in community would be impossible. Telling the truth isn't a defamation.

[946] If one doesn't feel mercy on people starving and persecuted and being killed in the world, she/he isn't a Christian. In such conditions any withdrawal would be a rejection of one's personal responsibility.

[947] I think somebody somehow altered our Lord God Jesus Christ's words asking us to be perfect. And who have ever been perfect in the whole history of the mankind?!... except our Lord God Jesus Christ and His Mother Virgin Mary!

[948] I think death is a law on this universe placed in by God. The only seemingly not causing suffering and death transfer of matter and energy, that could sustain life, I reckon it is the eating of fruits which the plants produce in order to spread themselves. Anything other implies the phenomenon of death. Even the stars are physic-chemical reactions leading to their disappearance in time.

[949] It is only a continuous series of victories and loses. The evil is occasionally defeated, but not forever.

[950] Death is pure suffering and destruction.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Material things are essentially good[952].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Believers persecute and kill on behalf of their conventionalized/theologized perceptions[953].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Better be an moral and kind atheist than preaching lies about an evil and avenger God!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theologians and philosophers found as the only solution for one to be free, that of totally renouncing to her/his freedom.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Theology is a laudable attempt to know God[954].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Wars annuls freedom[955].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Religion fits better the totalitarian regimes[956].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Fear is not a fair argument for persuasion[957].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Forgiving doesn't mean accepting one's sins[958].

---

[951] According to theology they left behind a cursed world and a cursed human being, because of their ancestral sin… the theologians on occasions affirm the arbitrariness of God.
[952] Only the human beings find ways to use the material things in an evil manner.
[953] Our knowledge on God is relative, but we make of it an absolute thing when it serves our evil purposes.
[954] It becomes wrong when claiming its absoluteness and, because of that, it starts persecuting and killing in the name of God called Love.
[955] One who is forced to persecute and kill others or, otherwise, she/he will be persecuted and killed, she/he is not free. I cannot see any true freedom but that of the martyrs.
[956] At least until now (AD 2025), because the religion has been practiced as a totalitarian undertaken.
[957] I fear you and I do whatever you ask me to do, but I do that while unfree. The fear annuls the freedom.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Freedom supposes multiple choices[959].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Freedom supposes total control[960].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Friendship doesn't compel one to go in hell for his friend[961].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: God created the conscious forms of live in order the universe to become aware of itself[962].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Human being's freedom would imply nescience to God[963].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Patience needs right-reckoning[964].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Sin means a state of illness and insanity of the human being[965].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: How do I help God by wearing three big golden crosses around my neck, and vestments sewed in gold thread, and a hat made of gold and adorned with precious stones?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Human being has a gregarious nature[966].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Religious philosophy must keenly avoid referring to particular aspects[967].

---

[958] Otherwise, the difference between good and evil would be annulled.
[959] Not only Paradise or hell.
[960] We cannot control our birth, our illnesses, our misfortunes, our death.
[961] That's not friendship, actually.
[962] Withdrawal and refusing to participate to the universe's live and progress means rejecting God's will.
[963] And that is excluded!
[964] Patience doesn't mean approving one's sins.
[965] Then, to what extent one is guilty of his sin?!...
[966] Withdrawal is unnatural, let aside that it never can be total.
[967] As there are: nationalism, race, regional cultural biases etc.

Ontology / Epistemology: Theology is people's opinion on God[968].

Ontology / Epistemology: What is this universe and the Paradise and the hells are components of the multiverse?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Wishful thinking and exaggeration cannot hold the place for the truth[969].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: If you don't feel responsible for the whole world's suffering, you are still sleeping.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Anagogies tell us what God would have told[970].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Consensual and heterosexual sin is not at all a sin[971].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: God exists and He is present here and now[972]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Extraordinary complexity of the multiverse excludes the simple evolution[973].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: "I am your servant" supposes that I call you a slave master.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Why the Source of Life would create us in order us to suffer for Him[974]?!

---

[968] Only!
[969] Some theologians are prone to exaggerate and to present their wishes as actual reality.
[970] But there is no guarantee that God would have actually told that to us…
[971] It will be even better if sex is consumed within a faithful marriage.
[972] Despite the stories told us by some theologians being incoherent.
[973] Creation doesn't exclude evolution. We actually haven't yet (AD 2025) found out how the coexistence between creation and evolution come into coherence. And because of that, we – because of being lazy and shortsighted – have draw the conclusion that one excludes another. But I think the things will change.
[974] What the source of our suffering is?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Saint Stăniloae constantly warned about the danger of an egotistic faith[975].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some of the evilness and sufferings present in the world are the result of theologian's incapacity of presenting and representing God[976].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: A God could be understood by the human mind would be a simple idol.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: There's no valid advice except by deed.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: God cannot be contained by any theology's drawer[977].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: It might be that God saves the human beings individually[978].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Real faith can be rather found in cities[979].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Infallible sign of an idol: people persecute and kill other people on behalf of it.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God doesn't need people's favors[980].

---

[975] According to Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the Restorer of the Theology, the salvation takes place in common and not individually. He presented the salvation as a present bestowed to the believers gathered in the Church of Christ.

[976] But, instead of humbly admitting their guilt, they despise, they judge, and they blame the recipient of their message.

[977] Despite the constant tendency of some theologians to stick and lock God up in their drawers and then they to share the access to God under monopoly advantages. Such an approach provides them with worldly power.

[978] God saves one from a plane crash, or from war, or from a shipwreck, but not all the people will escape those disasters.

[979] In villages – or in small towns – the individual is forced to manifest himself as a believer, because of being prisoner of that community and because that community exerts pressure on her/him. You are truly a believer when you choose your faith freely and when you believe in God willingly.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theology says much about the human being and almost nothing about God[981].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some theologians say that our Lord God Jesus Christ died for us in order us to live in suffering.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Do isolated tribes who never heard about God and who have primitive morals automatically go in hell[982]?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theology has no valid explanation why death should be accompanied by suffering[983].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Only God can protect us against ourselves.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: There's no Christianity for now (AD 2025)[984].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Just do good deeds[985]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Relatively good a life here on Planet Earth could be a proof in favor of our faith[986].

---

[980] Being hypocritical, manipulating others, being biased despite evidence, it doesn't mean at all "defending" God!

[981] Theology as creation of the human being represent rather our shaping and manifestation under the influence the existence of God exerts upon us. Theology shows how we think about God, how we manifest relatedly to God. Theology is rather a mirror showing the image of the human being in relationship with God. But for now (AD 2025) we are able to tell only a few real things about God.

[982] But that would mean predestination to hell. And God is The One who gives them birth too.

[983] Even the science has only a partial and limited explanation for that.

[984] Only an external and formal and failed claim and imitation.

[985] On behalf of God! If you are not able to do that, do it in your personal name, on behalf of whatever philosophy. But avoid at all costs adding suffering to the already existing sufferings.

[986] Otherwise, the logical secondary premise of our reasoning should be that God created us to suffer – I think that that is wrong!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Due to the cruel and senseless war crimes perpetrated by Orthodox, any claim of their possession of right-reckoning has become untannable[987].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Temptations are destined for knowing on yourself[988].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: No testimony about afterlife made by reanimated people are valid[989].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If you affirm any limitation in God Whom you worship, you won't actually worship God[990].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Evil cannot be invoked as comparison term for the good[991].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Paradox is not knowledge[992].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: There's no freedom[993].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Any order triggers equivalent disorder[994].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Do you believe in our Lord God Jesus Christ[995]?

---

[987] Orthodoxy has proven (AD 2018-2025) that is equal to any other Christian denomination in not observing our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments.
[988] God doesn't need to test you in order Him to know you. God is omniscient.
[989] Because they haven't passed to the whole process, or otherwise they couldn't have been reanimated. It could be possible to integrate human brains with implants in order to decipher some of the phenomena, but even thus would be a reading through the lenses of some measuring devices.
[990] There is no limitation in God. Limited is only our capacity of knowing God.
[991] Or as a condition for the good. The possibility for the things to be worse, it is not a valid validation for the present suffering as being actually good. I define the good as the absence of the suffering.
[992] It actually is admitting the failure of the knowledge. One cannot build on paradoxes, except some useless speculations.
[993] Only some circumstantially limited degrees of slavery.
[994] Life triggers death, creation triggers destruction.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Willing blindness wont' make theology coherent[996].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theologians are required to prove their faith by their deeds[997].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Mosaic and Christian theology hold the human being accountable for all God's "mistakes"[998].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If faith meant denying the very reality, I would choose the reality[999]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Christian theologians took the Mosaic religion as a revolution that claims to have changed everything[1000].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Lack of written evidence on our Lord God Jesus Christ is totally unusual and unexplainable[1001].

---

[995] Or in the rites, vestments, cult, doctrine, theology, namely organized and administrative religion?!... and what do you actually know about your religion?!...

[996] I think that there is a need for constant evaluation and updating the theology, asking the most uncomfortable questions. Asking isn't a sin, but intentionally and knowingly providing wrong answer is.

[997] Otherwise, why would the people believe their theology?!... In the absence of the deeds as evidence, the people could believe in any theological elucubration. We are no longer able to directly hear and see Christ, and given the diversity of contradictory Christian theologies the only sure thing remaining is to see how the theologians apply in practice the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Chreist.

[998] We actually do not know yet (AD 2025) what the source of the evil is. And not the man created the devil… All theologies try somehow to protect their God by blaming the human being; but they actually haven't succeeded yet (AD 2025) to define the good and the evil except circumstantially.

[999] God is the very reality. God is also the reality surrounding us. Denying the reality means lying and it means also denying God. Some theologians, both because of not being able to and by claiming that they can theologize about God, they mislead the people to deny Go by denying the reality which God created us to live into.

[1000] The things have gone so far that one even has the impression that *The Old Testament* is claimed by Christian as theirs and as being Christian. We the Christians even give no thought to the fact that our Lord God Jesus Christ was a Jew, and not a European Christian.

[1001] Sumerians, Egyptians, Romans… all those empires and administrations wrote about the big events. Then why the Roman bureaucracy didn't mention anything of such a publ8ic and consequential event?!... The only thing I can think at, related to this lack of evidence, it is the deliberate erasing of all evidence by the Jewish clergy back then.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We seem to be willing and happy to do everything except fulfilling the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ[1002].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: People are bond to confound their thinking to God[1003].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Pharisaism is intrinsic to human condition[1004].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Some theologians and believers confound Orthodoxy to obtuseness, to bigotry, and to going backwards[1005].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Theology could benefit of having good relations with the science[1006].

[1002] Buildings, rituals, vestments, adornments, gold, silver, precious stones… while persecuting and killing our neighbor and our enemies…

[1003] It stays in the human condition to make of our own thinking products idols and to attempt to impose those to other people as being absolute knowledge on God. But that's vain-gloriousness and pride. We should always keep in mind that whatever the human being is able to think, regardless how superior, it still remains relative. The general idea is that the human mind cannot comprise – it won't ever be able to comprise – God satisfactorily. The human mind can stay in connection with God – even in a permanent connection – but all we can do is to fulfill our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments: give a glass of water, give a slice of bread, forgive, love our enemies.

[1004] Our Lord God Jesus Christ provided us with the means for overcoming our Pharisaism. But we have preferred, instead, to preserve our Pharisaism, by camouflaging it, and by – and that is even graver – claiming that we are Pharisees on behalf od God.

[1005] Such theologians and believers also confound the faith to stubbornness, to blindness, and to the denial of the very reality.

[1006] Science actually identifies – the word discover is inappropriate – the laws placed by God in His creation, in the very reality, in the multiverse. And the science is in its very early stages (AD 2025). In the end – that could come after millions of years from now – the science will draw the conclusion of the existent of God and it will be able to describe God's laws to unimaginable heights. Describing God's laws means also hinting at God's attributes and features. The only reason why some of the early theologians rejected the science and the philosophy as devilish was the fact that those theologians felt themselves inferior to scientists and to philosophers and unable to provide answers to the questions raised by their theology. And, also, both some theologians and some scientists, even today, (AD 2025) are too haughty and proud not to reckon their knowledge as ultimate and the others' knowledge as inferior.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Sacralizing unimportant things will lead to desecrate important things[1007].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Humbleness cannot coexist together with social acceptance and with success[1008].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Diversity of life on Planet Earth is a proof of God's existence and action[1009].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Church without love is a totalitarianism as any totalitarianisms[1010].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Primal and absolute struggle within God's creation is that between existence and non-existence[1011].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: We know enormously if compared to the human mind, but we know almost nothing if compered to what we need to know[1012].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Evil wins upon individuals[1013].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Persecuting and killing human beings on behalf of God makes an idol of God.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Seventy years are too few for salvation[1014].

[1007] Theologians reach to reckon as sacred even stones, fabric, wood, metal etc. But that's idolatry.

[1008] Except in the case of geniuses and of saints.

[1009] Why haven't been there only one living species consuming the existent matter as combustible. Also, what force keeps the subatomic particles – which, by the way, are identical, at least as we know today (AD 2025) – in diverse atoms which are kept together in diverse substances, cosmic objects, and beings?!... Why isn't the universe just a soup of subatomic particles?!...

[1010] When the Church loses the love, it supports all sorts of tyrannical regimes.

[1011] Here existence means aggregation, while inexistence means disaggregation.

[1012] This affirmation could be annulled if we finally reached to use the human mind at its full capacity. In my view the human mind has been intentionally locked up on a given level. But some geniuses, or even some accidents, unlock the human mind exposing unbelievable capabilities.

[1013] Statistics don't matter relatedly to the individual's life. If one dies, the good's victory after his death won't benefit him at all.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Hierarchical stratification of the Church excludes that humbleness which the Holy Fathers spoke about[1015].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If God was beyond good and bad, how could the people use the categories of good and bad[1016]?

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If some theological doctrines had been from the Holy Ghost, they wouldn't have been generated persecutions and killings.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Let's imagine a theology if our Lord God Jesus Christ has been born today[1017]!

[1014] Genetics, society, destiny, satan, all of those plotting against the poor human being who is given only seventy years to live. Most people don't even reach psychic maturity during this short period of time. And then, having all odds against, would be us thrown in hell for eternity?!... I am optimistic and I invoke here what Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology said: God doesn't forsake His creation even in hell! And, according to Saint Dumitru's theology on hell, I draw the conclusion that there isn't only an ultimate state of salvation, but there could be also infinite levels of salvation. "In the house of My Father, there are many dwelling places".

[1015] If I was so humble as they prescribed, how would I accept even to be a priest. Let aside being a bishop, or a patriarch etc. Actually, in the volume no. XII of *The Romanian Philokalia*, Saint Isaiah the Hermit accuses of vain-gloriousness the people how accept to be anointed as priests.

[1016] The only ways the human beings are able to use the categories of good and bad are circumstantial and depending on a certain level and sort of culture and civilization. Then, how can such a relative approach constitute the ground for eternal judgment and condemnation?!... A usual deed reckoned as normal a few thousands ago it is now reckoned a cruelty and sin. Would all the people be condemned to hell as the culture and civilization progresses and the mankind changes its perceptions on sin?!... Saint Dumitru the Restores of the Christian theology argues that at the Final Judgment all the souls – even those already condemned to hell – would be judged according to the state of the humanity at the judgment's moment, so that the smallest punishment will be invoked. But then, some souls would have spent even millions of years in hell before being sent to Paradise…

[1017] This could be explored in order to determine the directions the human condition has been leading the theology. I think we would crucify Him again, maybe on a stretcher, and we would administrate him a lethal injection, and we would cremate Him. Ans where would Jesus Christ come today (AD 2025): in Ukraine or in Gaza? As script for a movie: *Hi, I am Joshua, the Son of God*: Jesus born as a Christian in Gaza, surviving the persecutions and killings of children of the new Herod, wounded, transferred in the USA, going to university, falling in love with a nice girl, a class mate, but she rejects Him because she is an atheist and laughs at Him, studying and graduating astrophysics, starting preaching in an Evangelical Church and His having first disciples around 30 years old, starting to bother the Evangelicals who accuse Him of being liberal, starting to be spied by FBI, the politicians attempting to

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Persecutions and killings are a clear sign that paganism has survived in Christendom[1018].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: We cannot understand yet (AD 2025) the death of the self[1019].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Religions aren't God[1020].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Failure of religious people won't mean failure of God[1021].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Exaggeration doesn't mean a big faith[1022].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Religiousness is part of the human nature[1023].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Whole multiverse is life[1024].

---

enroll Him to their politic and in their parties, far-right, condemned as insane, staged a crime etc. Namely He would fight again the human condition.

[1018] Persecuting and killing in the name of our Lord God Jesus Christ shows that the human condition – which is the same in paganism too – it hasn't been changed yet (AD 2025).

[1019] That's one reason why all cultures and religions imagine the immortality, but without being able to justify and explain it. I think the desire for immortality is a trait of the human condition.

[1020] Religions are the only way we understand and manifest our relationships with God. They are ways of attempting to understand God. But they are not God. When one starts worshipping his religion, he has carved an idol for herself/himself, and idol which will lead her/him to persecuting and killing other human beings who are also created by God. When one persecuted and kills in the name of his religion, she/he actually persecutes and kills the sons and the daughters of God against God.

[1021] Look at Ukraine and Gaza (AD 2025) when Christians and Mosaics perpetrate the most horrible persecutions and crimes against humanity. I reckon this wars as a failure of the monotheistic religions: Christianity, Mosaism, Islamism. But their failure is a failure of the theologians who misled their peoples and not a failure of God.

[1022] As also bigotry and fanaticism don't mean a big faith either. Exaggeration only leads to excesses and to persecutions and killings. God in equilibrium too.

[1023] Worshipping something is a natural behavior inscribed in our genes. Even the atheists worship their atheism. Vegetarians worship their vegetarianism etc. Religiousness has been placed by God within the human condition in order to offer us the means and the possibility for trying to communicate with Him. Religiousness is a proof of God's existence.

[1024] Life understood as existence: physical and chemical processes.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We are kind or evil circumstantially[1025].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Sex isn't a sin per se[1026].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Sin is a complex psychic-physiological process[1027].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: I don't trust the human condition[1028].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Civilization doesn't necessarily include kindness[1029].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: The more sins have been invented and the graver those sins have been defined theologically, the stronger clergy's grip upon society has become[1030].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: God cannot be separate from His Creation[1031].

[1025] Don't think about yourself that you are generous for you are giving away alms, because God has put you in that position of superiority to the needy. Don't think that you are superior because of being Christian, because God has born you as Christian. Don't think that you are moral due to the fact that you are kind by nature, because your education has been provided to you by God, in the circumstances He has wanted you to be. What if you were the opposite?!...

[1026] God placed the sex in his living creatures because He wanted them to use it for perpetuation of their species. Having a temperate a balanced sex life isn't a sin. As anything else in the Creation, the sex too becomes a sin when loosing the control upon. But how beautiful can be a Christian family procreating and raising children in a clean and loving and nice and moral climate!

[1027] It supposes adopting a certain cognitive-behavioral pattern. Addiction to sin means that that cognitive-behavioral pattern has strengthened itself and it becomes usual. But nothing is permanent!

[1028] And how could I?!... We even killed God!

[1029] To same extent it is even on the opposite. Many civilizations placed their spirituality and rules above the human being, some of them even celebrated the cruelty and blamed the kindness as weakness. Even when preached as such, the kindness has been rarely manifested when it came about religious persecutions and wars. And this is valid for all religions. Civilized doesn't necessarily mean kind, but it means somebody observing certain social, cultural, and religious rules at a given time.

[1030] Any institution – and this includes the Church – tends to control the social and economical fluxes. They who have the monopoly on the truth, they tell you what is true and what it isn't.

[1031] Creation as act conveys the Creator's conception upon His Creation. And His conception expresses Him, it represents Him, it describes Him.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If you stay in a monastery because of not being able to make a living elsewhere, you are not a monk.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: No saint has stopped any war yet (AD 2025).

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: A forced faith is a hypocritical faith[1032].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Faint alone doesn't guarantee the truth[1033].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Nothing guarantees the truth of any vision[1034].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Faith doesn't mean irrationality[1035].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Human condition absorbed and annulled the freedom brought us by our Lord God Jesus Christ[1036].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Isolation allows one to live in an imaginary world – to some extent[1037].

[1032] One can be forced to believe in God because she/he is ill or in troubles, and she/he finds help nowhere else. Let's see is she/he will still believe in God after those suffering and needs have passed! Also, if you do good deeds only because of fearing God or because you want something in return from God, you are not a king person, you are only a hypocrite. You have not real love.

[1033] People have all sort of believes which they strongly believe in. But some beliefs are totally odd. People can have strong beliefs regarding anything.

[1034] One can force herself/himself – in ascesis or not – into all sorts of hallucinations.

[1035] Don't accept anything uncritically, including here the faith. Don't reject anything uncritically and irrationally, including here the faith.

[1036] Because of the need the human condition manifests for hierarchy.

[1037] For instance, a monk living in a nice community can believe that the human natura has been restored by our Lord God Jesus Christ. And the world too. Meanwhile, there has been no pause in wars since the recorded history existed.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Part of the theology – if not all of it – reckons the evil as a precondition for the good.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Quantum physics can justify getting pregnant without sex and giving birth without losing the virginity[1038].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Religion can organize a society as any other political doctrine[1039].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If one is truly a Christian, she/he won't be able to survive[1040].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Keeping your silence when witnessing evil means partnership with satan[1041].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: It seem that we quote God's commandments and then we do the exact opposite.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Materialization of energy is a proof for God's existence[1042].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theological speculation cannot compensate for our real and structural incapacity of knowing God[1043].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Isn't a creator responsible at all for the failure of his creation?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: What prayers uttered our Lord God Jesus Christ[1044]?

[1038] The energy-light-matter transformations. The energy-light-matter nature of this universe.
[1039] Human condition implies the need for social hierarchy and structure.
[1040] Except by the grace of God. Because a single child's death in a war should make us weeping to death. But lucky that we are callous: we continue eating, drinking etc.
[1041] And by no means humbleness. If you think that you are humble by doing that, you are actually only selfish and hypocrite.
[1042] How else could the subatomic particles coagulate in atoms, in substances, in objects, and in living creatures?!... Wand what keeps them coagulated, and what makes them break apart after ending their intended existence?!...
[1043] Our mind and thoughts remain within the present order of the reality.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Why should we not wait for the second coming of our Lord God Jesus Christ with joy and hope[1045]?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: We must preserve our rationality[1046].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Freedom isn't a neutral state[1047].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Omniscience annuls the planning[1048].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Most of the theology seem searching excuses for God[1049].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Atheism too supposes a strong faith[1050].

Ontology / Epistemology: death causes me an unhealable melancholy.

Ontology / Epistemology: Entire universe is a broth of life and death.[1051]

[1044] Besides *Our Father Who Are in Heavens…* And His Holy Mother, and the holy apostles?!... JI mean before the Christian prayers had been invented.

[1045] But with desperation and fear?!... Why the fearsome judgment should be more important than His second coming?!... What would our Lord God Jesus Christ enjoy more at His second coming: our joy for Him, or our fear of Him?!...

[1046] Otherwise, how could we determined who our enemy is in order to know who the recipient of our love should be?!... If we abolish any logics and judgment, we become some brainless vegetables.

[1047] There is actually a pressure to be free placed in the human condition.

[1048] Planning why?!... you already and instantaneously know even the results of your activity of planning.

[1049] Because theologians and philosophers and scientists are unable yet (AD 2025) to explain the origin and the need for evil, so the easiest solution is that of blaming ourselves.

[1050] And a denial of those parts of the reality which the science cannot explain yet (AD 2025) or which seem to contradict their atheism. I thin that is a characteristic of the human condition not being able to actually dialogue, but emotionally and irrationally defending even our undefendable opinions and beliefs.

[1051] Continuously and concomitantly and in an entangled manner. Inexistence makes sense only when referring to individualization. But does the individualization really count!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: No theology or philosophy or science have been able yet (AD 2025) to reconcile the surrounding reality with God.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Love your enemy makes sense in correlation with the predestination[1052].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Multiverse is a multi-orders-verse[1053].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Quantum physics can explain crossing through the locked doors[1054].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Christian constantly complain about how callous was the Old Law, while they have made of the New Law an equally restrictive doctrine.

Ontology / Epistemology: Whole multiverse is an embodiment of God.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Using ready-made answers doesn't mean you either understand those answers or you know what you are talking about[1055].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Why did our Lord God Jesus Christ come on Planet Earth exactly at that given time?

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Most of theology says that it knows, but it actually doesn't know what it says[1056].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Faith can actually change the surrounding reality[1057].

[1052] If there was no predestination, the Christianity would make a constant victim of us, which is not!

[1053] Dying in the present universe and passing into another universe, a happier one, it can mean entering the Kingdom of God, or the Paradise. Similarly in case of the hell.

[1054] And the Immaculate Conception and Birth, and the Resurrection etc. But I feel that some theologians rather want to keep the "mysteries" of their religion unexplained. And that is a magician-like behavior.

[1055] Critical thinking is needed even in the most-spiritual knowledge.

[1056] About God.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Human being is a mix of good and evil, in diverse proportions[1058].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: I am very amazed that people want to live at all costs[1059].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Take literally, the Christianity annuls the personal and individual property.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theologian avoid speaking about the human side of our Lord God Jesus Christ[1060].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Without kindness Christianity is only another religion[1061].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Current theology (AD 2025) doesn't offer you a guarantee for happiness in the present life[1062].

Ontology / Epistemology: If the human brain was unlocked, the world would be unnecessary.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: There's no such thing as purely rational decision or behavior[1063].

[1057] And I mean both in good and in evil: human right, respect for the human person, kindness, a certain cognitive-behavioral pattern, or: persecutions and killings.
[1058] And constantly changing while living. Only the Saint and the martyrs are perfect.
[1059] Paraplegics, unbearable pains, embarrassing conditions etc. But I think that preservations instinct is inscribed by God in the human being so that it is a characteristic of the human condition. Only the saints and the martyrs are able to overcome the desire for living. Most of us actually live because of being programmed to fear the death, and not because we consciously have a purpose in life.
[1060] Although the dogma of His two natures in one hypostasis is a fundamental dogma. But what about that time's: sociolect, technolect, nationality, beliefs etc.?
[1061] Some will say: without love. I don't understand the notion of love. It is invoked always like a slogan, but have anyone succeeded in thoroughly defining the love? While kindness means concrete cognitive-affective-behavioral patterns and consequences.
[1062] All it does is finding you guilty for everything bad happening to you and urging you to love your suffering (which is masochism).
[1063] Cognition and affects intermix in a compound.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: I have chosen our Lord God Jesus Christ to be my God[1064].

Ontology / Epistemology: Religious services and the prayers are modalities of potentiating the spirit influence upon the matter[1065].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Even the largest and the widest knowledge one can possibly have will still be limited and personal[1066].

Ontology / Epistemology: God keeps the multiverse in existence by His will[1067].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Is God belonging to only one religion?

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Ordination's grace isn't infallible[1068].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: People born in other religions have almost no chance to convert[1069].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Preaching poverty while amassing insane wealth isn't Christian at all[1070].

[1064] Not because some theologians frighten me that I will end forever in hell if not, not because I have been convinced by who knows what theological elucubration, but because of the truth of His words and because there is no other teaching better that His. I willingly have chosen to apply His commandments in practice, for they are so compassionate and full of kindness and of truth, and not because otherwise I will end in hell for eternity. *I have chosen You, my Lord God Jesus Christ, to be my Lor d and God, even if you haven't chosen me*.

[1065] Quantum.

[1066] This is one more characteristic of the human condition.

[1067] Call His will as grace, if you want. And God does that by keeping the energy and the light is state of matter.

[1068] Tens of thousands of children raped by priests, and all sorts of grave sins committed by clergy, they are witnesses to the fallibility of the ordination grace. Also, the fallibility isn't absolute, because there are priests who are saints and martyrs too!

[1069] Should they automatically be condemned to hell, because of being destined to hell by birth?!...

[1070] Read again our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Part of the Christian theology preaches the love and the joy while celebrating the suffering.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: One can say anything about spirit if she/he rejects and denies the very surrounding reality.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Tanking for evil and suffering makes God unnecessary[1071].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Total humbleness excludes dignity.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Whys is needed a material life?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: As we have individual minds, we have individual gods[1072].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Prophecies cannot be trusted[1073].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Holy relics are unnecessary[1074].

[1071] If one love to suffer and there will be plenty of suffering in hell, why will she/he need God?!... I think we must thank God for the good things He offers us – because we cannot do anything on our own – and we should ask God for alleviating our sufferings.

[1072] There're no such things as identical cognition, affection, and behavior even in two human individuals, let aside in all believers. Each person crafts her/his god depending on the level of her/his understanding. Therefore, each theologian has her/his own theology and faith. Why then should you uncritically accept what one tells you?!...

[1073] We cannot access the future, for now, so that we cannot verify the truthfulness of any prophecies. Retroactively validating the prophecies is a lie, because for any fulfilled prophecy there are countless unfulfilled prophecies. So, the solution is: let's accomplish on a daily basis, concretely, the simple and clear commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ! That's all.

[1074] And most of them are hoaxes and generated financial extortion. People all of religions and even atheists can have their bodied preserved to a certain degree, after their death, in given conditions. We search for our Lord Jesus Christ in places by taking pilgrimages, in material objects, in holy relics etc. etc. But He told us that God is in our heart. And in the case of the Holy Relics, as in case of the whole Christianity by and large, there too is present the thick anachronism; for instance, Saint Longinus didn't know Who Jesus Christ was; neither people understood back then who Jesus Christ was, except, maybe, for a few Jews. So why should they preserve the lance which Jesus was stabbed with? Or the wooden cross? And why Longinus who stabbed our Lord God Jesus God is even a saint?

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Holy Ghost is a Spirit of the critical thinking[1075].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Humbleness as rejection of the critical thinking is wrong[1076].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Humbleness as total obedience is wrong[1077].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Life is an already lost battle to death[1078].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: I don't think that understanding the very reality is actually possible in this life, at this moment (AD 2025)[1079].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Neither gratitude nor hate last forever[1080].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Saints haven't succeeded to improve the human condition[1081].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Salvation means feeling the need for keeping our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments in concrete your deed[1082].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: The more you search the less you will find[1083].

[1075] Of the rationality.
[1076] Such a humbleness is an offense to the Holy Ghost.
[1077] There were thousands of priests raping hundreds of thousands of children who totally obeyed them as saints. And those priests belonged to all religions.
[1078] Actually, death as process is part of life.
[1079] Despite all the so-called breakthroughs.
[1080] After a while, people start hating their benefactors and they start loving their torturers, after the time when the ethereal ideas got ripen.
[1081] See the constant wars allover the world. See the satanic war waged by the largest Christian Orthodox country in the world upon the second Christian Orthodox country in the world, for more than twelve years now (AD 2025) and still continuing.
[1082] Salvation doesn't mean fanaticism but normalcy. I don't think any fanatic gets saved.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theologians and God are on different time lengths[1084].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: No theology hasn't got yet (AD 2025) an explanation why God allows the wars.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Total knowledge is forbitten to man by God[1085].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Total obedience makes fanatics[1086].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: We imagine that we speak about everybody's One God, while we actually speak only about our individual God[1087].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: What we call as our God is the result of our cognition and affection[1088].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Why do the Christian bury their dead in the soil while our Lord God Jesus Christ was buried in a tomb carved ins tone[1089]?

[1083] And this is valid for all kinds of knowledge. Because of becoming aware of the fact that everything the human mind can produce is utterly relative. But, if you accept readymade explanation uncritically, you will have the impression of knowing everything.

[1084] Theologians project their reasonings on decades, centuries, and millennia. But that's totally unsatisfactory when if comes about God. God is a God of infinite time. How could we expect to understand the Infinite One by using our finite minds and lives?!... and from here comes out the tendency – unconscious – of wishing the Doomsday to come even tomorrow only in order to confirm our limited and wrong theology.

[1085] It could lead to freedom in evilness. It could lead to total enslaveme3nt of the fellow humans by them who had total knowledge.

[1086] The more obedient you are the more fanatic you will become. And fanatics are relentlessly critical to other beliefs. So, total obedience doesn't actually remove criticism but it only removes the objection against fanaticism, while it installs a total criticism on others.

[1087] Everybody filters the idea of God through his individual characteristics. There is genetics generating each individual's God and faith.

[1088] And that is not the actual God, but it is only what we thing about God. It is a God made in our image and likeness.

[1089] There's a huge business based on selling burial plots, administrating the cemeteries, collecting money from relatives, keeping the grasp on believers. There is no reason why cremated people would go to hell! If had been so, all people burnt in fires would be there in hell.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: God prescience is one with God's will[1090].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: There is no such thing as absolute justice[1091].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Absolute power of men upon others is but evil.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Any god promoted aggressively is added by people an image of a bully[1092].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Believers' crimes are proofs for how dangerous is for one to renounce to self-interpreting and understanding his religion.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Did really our Lord God Jesus Christ has given the whole power to the priests[1093]?

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Cults develop a perfect trap for humans[1094].

Ontology / Epistemology: Faith in not limited to a certain religion[1095].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If a priest has forgiven my sins, why will I be judged again by God for the same sins?!...

[1090] God know what He has wanted to be. Otherwise, there will be a contradiction within God, which is absurd! God know from eternity what He wants to take place.

[1091] Or knowledge. Everything is circumstantial. Except in the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ, but haven't even started yet (AD 2025) to apply them in our deeds!

[1092] Christians included here.

[1093] "All the things you will forgive they will be forgiven, and all the things you will keep their will be kept". If it has been so, why would have been necessary another judgment?!... Would have that introduced a concurrent power to God's power?!... Could this have been introduced by apostles?!...

[1094] Only the clergy can provide the salvation, only they are the way to God. For instance, in Christianity, our Lord God Jesus Christ didn't condition the Impartation on some human rituals and procedures… They cults develop the guilt and the punishments decided by humans.

[1095] It is a phenomenon present in all human domains as a part of the cogweb.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Knowing all the theology makes one no longer understand what the people think that God wants from us[1096].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: No god has any chance facing the cult developed by people on his behalf[1097].

Ontology / Epistemology: Isolation makes it easier for you to convince yourself about your truth[1098].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Our Lord God Jesus Christ is about Christian religion[1099].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Russian war on Ukraine (2012-2025 AD) means bankruptcy of Christian Orthodoxy[1100].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Curiosity is not a sin.

Ontology / Epistemology: Imagine that we are the simplest possible nucleotides and God is mentation: how could we be able to understand God?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: No one can say that she/he even started being humble, without having raised even a sole child[1101].

[1096] Knowing nothing or only a few not enough, it makes one knowing that he knows everything about God.

[1097] No pure teaching survives from being defiled by the human condition. The human condition takes over any cult. The proof is that any cult – including Christianity – falls into persecutions and killings.

[1098] If there's nothing to contradict you, if you are oblivious to the cruel realities taking place allover the world, you will think that you have a strong faith. But a strong faith means remaining human and kind and compassionate in the middle of the evilness, and applying in practice the simple commands of our Lord God Jesus Christ.

[1099] And about any tradition invented by clergy. Tradition is a worn-out straitjacket leading to persecutions and killing. Our Lord God Jesus Christ remains forever fresh, pure, new!

[1100] And of the Christianity as religion. When and where did our Lord God Jesus Christ tell us to persecute and kill people?!...

[1101] Other sacrifices than those done for children in need and for people in need are purposeless and Unchristian; such sacrifices are rather vain-gloriousness.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Not developing their reasonings to the ultimate of their consequences is a form of lie[1102].

Ontology / Epistemology: The less you know the stronger you believe that little that you know.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: God wants you to live for Him[1103]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Monks shouldn't rule the world[1104].

Ontology / Epistemology: The more I study theology the more I doubt it[1105].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Our Lord God Jesus Christ was Mosaic[1106].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: There's a desperation in theology because of not actually being able to spiritualize the surrounding matter or the human being[1107].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theologians conceive God in their image and likeness[1108].

[1102] We say only that what doesn't contradict us or only that what meets our arguments, and we leave aside or overlook or minimize that what contradicts our assumptions. This sort of lying is preferred by theologians too; they even menace the people who question their assumptions that they will be sent in hell. And in some places the clergy even persecutes and kills people (AD 2025).

[1103] And not to die for Him! Affirming that God wants you to die for Him is a forced theological justification of why you are killed.

[1104] They live in isolation, they actually reject God's creation, they reject the body, their reject the society with all its progress, they don't marry, and they don't raise children… or else they are not monks. So, bishops, popes, patriarchs, should be married priests living in the middle of their parishes, who are able to understand the world.

[1105] I think that we are actually incapable of knowing God.

[1106] Then why we assume that He was Christian?!... Was Him influenced by being a man?!... Was Him influenced by the sociolect and of the time He lived in?!...

[1107] From here comes also the tendency of the clergy to anathemize anyone who disagrees them.

[1108] Limitative, cruel, avenger etc. etc. Our Lord God Jesus Christ hasn't ever asked anyone to persecute or to kill somebody. But the clergy has been constantly urging their believers to do that on behalf of Christ!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Orthodoxy doesn't give you anything in plus[1109].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: The way the Christian treat the suicidals is totally unethical[1110].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: What does it matter to the people killed in wars that later on the dictators killing them will go to hell?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Our brain is locked up by design[1111].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Humbleness means common sense, respect, civility, and admitting that you don't know everything and even that what you know is relative and by no means absolute.

Ontology: AI wont' ever become equal to humans and animals[1112].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Forcing other to do something on behalf of God is actually idolatry[1113].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Decontextualization is a way of lying[1114].

[1109] It only asks you for something in plus! Therefore, bragging in our sermons and speeches that we are superior for being Orthodox, it is only vaingloriousness and anything but Orthodoxy!

[1110] They punish the suicidals even after their death. An religiously-based ascesis causing someone's death is a suicide too!

[1111] If we were able to unlock our brain's real capabilities, we would be able to know the truth about God too

[1112] Life is of a superior order to the mere matter. AI remains matter.

[1113] The idols in such cases are: our knowledge, our theology, our religion, our church, our mosque, our synagogue, out temple, our tradition etc. And we sacrifice to these idols the freedom granted by God to those people whom we are persecuting and killing.

[1114] For instance, the sayings and the teachings of the Holy Fathers implicitly present them as equally holy during the whole their life, while those sayings and teachings were selected from among so many others as them best among all. Also, if one removed the context of the monastic practices, some of those practices could be today seen as mere abominations.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some powerful people persecuted Christians urged by clergy[1115].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: No honest human being who knows even only a little history can be satisfied with the human condition[1116].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Freedom and death are incompatible[1117].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: I'll believe that the human condition has been improved if I see some signs of that[1118].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Individual ana limited experiences mustn't be stated as generally valid principles[1119].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: We did see God but we haven't changed ourselves[1120].

[1115] The Jews too persecuted Christians – among them Saint Apostle Paul too – at the advice and at the urge of the Mosaic clergy. Diverse Christian denominations were persecuted by other Christian denominations, led by their leaders, but they did that because their clergy told them to do it. So, let's contextualize and take for our reasoning all the sides.

[1116] The whole history of the mankind has been a continuous bloody war, before Christ and after Christ. Nothing has changed in the human condition, because we don't want to put in practice the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ, but we rather take Him as ally to our evilness.

[1117] How can we say that we are free while being bond by body's needs and by death?!... Freedom and suffering are incompatible as well.

[1118] Otherwise, it is just a wishful thinking. Only affirming it, against the very reality, it won't change the actual thing.

[1119] But it happens all the time in theology.

[1120] We saw our Lord God Jesus Christ! What seems to be a difficult to answer question is that of why God doesn't show Himself to us, so that we could believe His existence? But God showed Himself to us in the Person of our Lord God Jesus Christ. Theologians hurried up and complicated the things and they make them contradictory by affirming that our Lord God Jesus Christ restored the human being as it was in the beginning of the Creation. Sadly, one can just look around and see that we are the same murderous people as before Christ's coming. So, the human nature hasn't changed. So, why would God show Himself to us for the second time, of for the third time, knowing – God is omniscient – that we won't change?!... Also, the period of time passed from the first coming of our Lord God Jesus Christ is insignificant even to our human history, so that we are only impatient. So, what should we do?!... Just apply in practice the simple and clear commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ! Some ascetics affirm that they are capable of seeing the unapproachable light of God, that is one of His divine uncreated energies. That could be only a mentation, a cognitive process, a psychophysiological process… But what else is the whole our psychic life except a

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God wants us to life for Him and in His name[1121].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Saint prayers do not seem helping the world[1122].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Our Lord God Jesus Christ came and showed us that this state of the human being can be saved[1123].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Self-justification affects even the saints[1124].

---

mentation?!... So, I wouldn't totally deny such a way for knowing God without myself experiencing it. If God showed Himself to us, let's say, tomorrow, we would firstly deny Him, and then start to use Him on our criminal purposes, and then we would start hating Him and trying to kill Him. That's why His showing won't solve anything of our human condition. We have the possibility – to some extent – to be kind even now, without seeing God, so connecting our choice to do good deeds to His showing, it is a deeply hypocritical of us.

[1121] And by no means to die for Him! Why would the creator want His creatures to die for Him?!... Theologians misled the believers because of not being able yet (AD 2025) to answer why God doesn't help the believers killed because of their faith in Him. But they forget about Saint Apostle Peter who denied our Lord God Jesus Christ for three times, despite knowing Him as Son of God, despite seeing live His wonders etc. They also forget about Saint Apostle Paul who persecuted – and probably killed – Christians. Instead, theologians urge people to go to wars in the name of God and kill and let themselves be killed! It seems we haven't understood anything of our Lord God Jesus Christ yet (AD 2025). Why not keeping our faith secret – in dreadful circumstance – and continuing to be faithful to our Lord God Jesus Christ and to do His commandments, concretely, with our deeds?!... because there intervenes the lust for power characterizing the clergy as well, sop that they urge their believers to die for their theology and church in the name of God who actually wants us to live!!!....

[1122] There has been a continuous war since the recorded history existed, namely from about 6000 years before Christ. If one argued that without those prayers the situation would be worse, I would ask her/him how much worse could the situation be for the killed children?!...

[1123] Namely the state called by theologians as "corrupted after the falling of our proto-parents into sin". We haven't changed since our Lord God Jesus Christ embodied Himself among us. Our human condition is the same: we persecute and kill one another. So, the theme of the restoration of the human being is false, or, at least, it hasn't happened yet (AD 2025). There was never a total peace among people on earth, in the recorded history (approx. BC 6000 – AD 2025). There's nothing visible in the cognitive-behavioral human profile to justify the claim of a restoration of the human condition. Our Lord God Jesus Christ didn't say that He came to restore anything. This false theme has been invented by theologians and it sounds great, but it is misleading and it is void of content.

[1124] It is inscribed in the human condition the need for self-justification, for self-valuation, and for validation from others. Even the saints want to be loved and honored. And how difficult – I think even impossible – would be if one tried to convince an Orthodox Saint that the

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: You cannot search for our Lord God Jesus Christ while "lying for faith"[1125]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Pride can mimic a strong faith[1126].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Humbleness invited other to persecute and even kill you[1127].

---

sainthood of Catholic saints is equal to his, and vice versa! It is also in the human condition to think that herself/himself is superior to anyone and she/he is the center of the world, at least in what regards her/his beliefs. People confound a strong faith to stubbornness and to denial of the very reality. A strong faith means remaining kind in front of evilness and doing good deeds while expecting to and suffering persecutions and killings: "Love your enemy!". Anything else will be idolatry if it supposes persecuting and killing other people who disagree with us.

[1125] You only lie to yourself, hypocritically! And the ways of "lying for faith" are: anachronism, intentionally corrupt reasonings as sophism, your attitude of superiority to the lay and childish people, denying the very reality, searching for an answer at all costs instead of searching and accepting the truth that contradicts your beliefs, idolatrously loving the church's institution and the rites and the ceremonials and the vestments, claiming the superiority of your denomination and being ready to persecute and kill for that, claiming that you persecute and kill in the name of God, hiding and overlooking the evil and abominable deeds of some priests and bishops "in order not to affect the church", involvement in politics in order to gain worldly power and wealth, enriching the church with worldly things etc. etc. Another way of lying is urging the people to fucus themselves on the main characters of the theological stories, while overlooking the other characters in the same stories. For instance, we speak about the valiantness of a hierarch unjustly persecuted, exiled, and killed, but we overlook the fact that that hierarch was persecuted and exiled at the initiative, accusation etc. of other hierarchs and bishops and priest who accused him in front of an emperor. And then we accuse the emperor too. It is like overlooking the abominable deeds perpetrated by the Jewish clergy against our Lord God Jesus Christ, and accusing Pilat, instead. One should write a history of all evilness perpetrated by Christian clergy and laymen and then initiate an apology on behalf of the Christian Church to all its victims. Until we do not plainly and openly and honestly admit that we are wrong, there won't be any redemption or salvation or truth among us. Another way of lying is that of uttering works and issuing advices which yourself do not believe in or apply, but you expect other to do that.

[1126] It makes the stubborn feel good about himself and admire himself as having a strong faith, while she/he actually is only proud about his faith.

[1127] It is inscribed in the human condition the instinctual inconsideration stirred up in other by one who is humble. They will reckon her/him as stupid, they will mock her/him, they will hate her/him, and they will even kill her/him. There's no such thing of being appreciated for your humbleness, except by a few saints. A truly humble person cannot openly contribute to the progress of the world because of not being accepted her/his contribution. In order to contribute to society, a humble person must bring such an undeniable contribution that the denigrators to be forced to accept it. Usually, a humble person's contribution to society remains unrecognized during her/his life, or it remains anonymous even after her/his death.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: A beginning of humbleness is that or reckoning that your good deeds and works are bigger than you are[1128].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Ending the life on Planet Earth will be a slow and painful process[1129].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Everything depends on God[1130].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: God omniscience and plan annul any human freedom[1131].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Christian nationalism is an abomination[1132].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Creationists at least have an explanation for the multiverse[1133].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Human kindness is limited. Human evilness is infinite[1134].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Our Lord God Jesus Christ warned us about the worldly excesses[1135].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: people search for matching opinions and not for truth[1136].

[1128] Somehow, your capabilities are smaller than your achievements, and that cannot be but from God!

[1129] It could be a sudden catastrophe too, caused by a celestial event, but in the absence of such a disaster we still poison and make our planet uninhabitable.

[1130] There's no independence from God.

[1131] If God know from eternity what I am going to do and be, how could I contradict His omniscience?!...

[1132] Nationalism, by and large, it is an abomination to God. God doesn't belong to any nation or religion. Nobody has monopoly on God.

[1133] Creation offers a cause and an order. The lack of God would mean chaos.

[1134] The maximum a kind human being can do is yielding her/his life for other. But an evil human being can even destroy the Planet Earth entirely. The fact that we haven't destroyed Planet Earth yet (AD 2025) is another proof of the existence of God. And it is another proof that God controls us.

[1135] But we have fallen into another excess that only seems the opposite, but it still is a worldly excess: disregarding the Creation of God: the world and the body.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Part of the theology teaches and urges us to reject the Creation created by God[1137].

Ontology / Epistemology: Our Lord God Jesus Christ's presence at the Holy Liturgy is real[1138].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: We cannot answer questions about God before understanding our human condition[1139].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: A lie will remain a lie, even if it is told with love[1140].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Courage and calmness when facing death doesn't guarantee your salvation[1141].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Can a hierarchical structure claim its subordinated have freedom?![1142]...

[1136] Regardless what wrong such opinions would be like. People search for validation, for self-validation, and not for truth that contradicts them and prove them wrong.
[1137] Others, truer, ask us to improve ourselves and the world.
[1138] And it determines our cognitive behavioral state/stance: thoughts, gestures, words, attitudes, behavior etc. What do you want more real than that?!...
[1139] I think we haven't ever been able yet (AD 2025) to really answer any questions about God. We claimed we have done it, but this is another manifestation of our human condition: pretending to know the truth because of our desire for achieving worldly power. We hypocritically ignore or overlook what contradicts our answers, we use sophisms at will etc. I don't' think we are even capable of asking real questions about God! Our questions about God are only tentative questions or answers about God are directly depending on our human condition. No one has succeeded yet to overcome her/his human conditions, despite many claiming that they done it. Overcoming our human condition would be like defeating God's way of creating us. And that's why I think that's impossible. Still, if one feels the ethical urge to be kind, let her/him do it!
[1140] Some theologians think that fi they lie to people out of love, their lies will be justified. Nope, any lie will still remain a lie! Thinking that you bring people to Christ by lying to them because you love them, it is an abomination to God. How can one think that any lie draws people to the Truth?!... And when and where did our Lord God Jesus Christ teach us to lie?!...
[1141] Suicidal people can be calm and courageous too. People fighting in wars and going to a certain death can be courageous and calm too. They can be a sign, but they aren't a guarantee.
[1142] The first think any disciples of a religion founder start doing – sometimes even during the religion's founder's life – is that of fighting for power and organizing some sorts of institution which institutionalize their faith. The believers – the simple participants to that religion – are always reckoned as stupid sheep who must obey and pay in order to be frugally imparted with

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Translating the name of our Lord God from Joshuah Messiah into Jesus Christ deprived Christianity of its real Jewish roots and connections[1143].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We fail in getting closer to God because we get bogged down in our human condition[1144].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Ho heresies aren't so heretic after all[1145].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Bias sees a causation in any correlation[1146].

---

the truth of that religion. There occurs some sort of clergy grabbing the power and who decide what is good and what is evil, and who reach – unavoidably – to instill persecution and killings upon people who resist them. So, in my view, the freedom preached by any religions founder hasn't survived yet (Ad 2025) to the clergy's assault on power. The freedom is the first think taken away from any believer by any sort of clergy. Ane even the clergy aren't free, because everyone has a boss who controls him.

[1143] Christian theologians have been keenly preoccupied with affirming and claiming the absolute novelty of the Christian teaching and implicitly expressing the Christians' ownership upon our Lord God Jesus Christ. We painted Him as white and having blue eyes, we claimed that only we, the white guys, accepted his teaching, while, actually, our Lord God Jesus Christ was a Jew, preaching His teaching mainly to the Jews, living on the land of the Jewish Kingdom, having His parents Jewish etc. Meanwhile, we use the Old Testament, we recite King David's Psalms etc. The Apostles were all of them Jews. We forget that the first Christians were Jews, and then Greeks. We forget that the first documented Christian monasticism appeared and flourished in Africa, in Egypt. So, the white Christianity is the product of a theft and of a plagiarism.

[1144] Any upsurge of spirituality eventually gets bogged down in the human condition. We start having good intentions but then we deviate from our good path because our human condition kicks in and we become again as we are: irrational, selfish, and evil. That's why I am saying that no god survives his believers' human condition.

[1145] But the power structures of any religion amplified any difference and vilified it, in order to preserve their monopoly upon God and upon salvation. I still cannot understand why God would give us birth in a world in order us to save ourselves form that world and even from ourselves?!... Have us been guilty of something even before being us born?!... But that would introduce our preexistence before being us born in this world… Do we fatally become guilty after being born?!... But that would mean that we are not so guilty, if there is an unavoidable low to become guilty… Our infinite inferiority to God does make us automatically guilty in front of Him?!... But that' would not be a surprise to God, so that He should punish us for our stupidity…

[1146] For instance, if somebody goes well it is because God helped; if somebody goes wrong, it is because the man was a sinner. But sometimes awful abomination go without any hindrance, while the best intentions and efforts and innocence are destroyed in wars. Constantly blaming the human for everything is but a biased attitude trying to flatter God. God doesn't need us for

Ontology / Epistemology: Here has come the time for approaching the knowledge about God in a scientific manner[1147].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Prayers can work miracles[1148].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Saying that the saints from heavens avenge themselves on people who disrespect them, it is just stupid[1149]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Let's be like God[1150]!

---

flattering Him, but for working in our concrete deeds His commandments! At the basis of this whole wrong direction stays our claim of knowing everything about God… For instance, we pray for rain, and the rain comes in a few days… But maybe the rain would have come anyway. So, where can we know form if our prayers have worked?!... Everything is from God, the rain is from God, but only if we are biased, we will affirm that we have determined God to send us the rain.

[1147] (AD 2025). There's no contradiction between science and the knowledge about God. There's no contradiction between science and God! The science unveils the law placed by God in His Creation. Many great scientists intuited God, but the theologians refused and condemned any saying about God that is not in their image and likeness. God can be known in any technolect, not only in the outdate technolect of the theology. The science doesn't contradict God, the science doesn't even contradict the theology, but science can contribute to the coherence of the theology and to the removal of theological outdated assertions.

[1148] Even though the unexplained healing can take place because some unknown psycho-physiological mechanisms are triggered by prayer and by the religious services, that doesn't deny the merits of the prayers and of the religious services. Actually, the prayers and the religious services triggered those psycho-physiological processes of healing! So, because those prayers and religious services are addressed to God, we can plainly say that God performs those miraculous healings. But we must mention here the fact that we cannot force God, we cannot automatically trigger Ghis healing power by his prayers and rituals. I think that such cases of miraculous healing should benefit of the full attention paid by science, especially by medicine and psychology.

[1149] Or, if it had been so, they wouldn't have been saints at all, or the sainthood wouldn't have been a real thing. Some saints who still live on Planet Earth and in the present life, they could avenge themselves, they could inflict sufferings on other people, because they are not all the time saints, their condition is still unstable. But the saints from heavens, who are – as the theologians declare – in apocatastasis, in a stable condition of continuous ascending towards God, they do not want and they cannot avenge on anything.

[1150] This is a constant battle that we lose! Instead of becoming ourselves like God, we make God like us: evil. I said before that no god survives his cult, and that's because we infuse everything with the shortcomings of our human condition and so we worship a god that is like we are. Almost any religion founder started by issuing some good and kind principles, and describing a good and king god, but then the people transformed that teaching and that god in something that fits them: something evil. Even though in some cases the good teaching has

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Judge the deeds and not the persons[1151]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Destiny means slavery[1152].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: If healing somebody was a miracle making a saint of you, all physicians and surgeons should be declared saints!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: What could one do with an impossible to be known God[1153]?!...

Logics: Mere suppositions mustn't be issued as postulates[1154]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We throw ourselves in all directions, except to apply in concrete deeds the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ[1155].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: "Nothing is enough" annuls the rationality of any effort[1156].

---

been preserved, the actual deeds and facts perpetrated by believers are in direct contradiction to the initial teaching.

[1151] In similar circumstances all of us do the same evil. Except the martyrs.

[1152] What else?!... Freedom is a wishful thinking thrown by theologians as believers in order to blame them and to make the feel guilty.

[1153] But we know God from our Lord God Jesus Christ words and commandments. There/s no need for more knowledge on God. Let's just apply His commandments in our deeds, and the Planet Earth will become a Paradise and we will become saints. That's all we need.

[1154] Sadly, all theologians do that. Fir instance, who heard what God told to somebody?!... Who saw the happenings which took place in an isolated place to an individual human being?!... Who heard the word of God He said at Genesis?!... Here belong also the dreams, the visions etc.

[1155] We have erected wonderful churches, we invented wonderful rituals, we invented wonderful vestments and cultic objects, pilgrimages, theology, hierarchy and an institutionalized church, but we actually fail to apply in practice the simple and clear commandment given to us by our Lord God Jesaus Christ: "Love your enemy!". Instead, we persecute and kill millions of people each year. No, we haven't become Christians yet (AD 2025)!!!....

[1156] If nothing was enough, then why would one bother herself/himself to do any effort, anyway?!... It seems rather that the clergy who developed this vision aimed to strengthen their grip on the believers, by keeping the guilty and vasal, having not personal merits to lean on.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Present times need a realistic theology[1157].

Ontology / Epistemology: God cannot be comprised by any theology[1158].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theology makes impossible any causation[1159].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Superior attitude and self-esteeming of the clergy contradict our Lord God Jesus Christ's teaching[1160].

[1157] Letting aside the exaggerations and the fairytales of all sorts and focusing on applying in practice the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ. Letting aside any denomination-hatred, of even the hatred for other religions, and proving in deeds the nature of the teaching of our Lord God Jesus Christ. Admitting that anything we can know and do about God is relative, so that the only real way of being Jesus Christ's followers is that of applying His commandments in practice. Establishing as norms and recommendations what an average person can do in an average society, and not the spiritual peaks reached by only a few of the chosen monks. Bringing in coherence the diverse parts of the theology. Equality between woman and man. Getting rid of any violence. Accepting science. Adopting a perspective focusing on the entire multiverse. Renouncing to fearmongering. Preaching by own example.

[1158] Theologies are creations of the human mind, and the human mind cannot comprise God. God is an ultimate order reality, in comparison to the human mind which is a reality of inferior order, based and produced by matter. Everything we think is a sublimation and conceptualization of some material reality. Our thoughts work with material-like concepts and categories. An honest and true theology would begin by admitting that we actually cannot know God, and everything we theologize is an adequation (see Lucian Blaga's philosophy). There is God and there are human conceptions, doctrines, theologies, rites, vestments etc. created by human mind; between these to there is at most a correlation, but by no means a causation.

[1159] Despite accusing the human being of any existing evil. But there is no direct causation between prayers and help, there are no patterns because there always are exceptions contradicting any rule. The paradox is celebrated and proclaimed as an ultimate knowledge – while, actually, any paradox expressed a dead end and a blockage of knowledge! Even the distinction between good and evil is relativized by the sayings of some Holy Fathers, depending of circumstances. But a circumstantial truth cannot be a postulate, but only an adequation and a correlation.

[1160] But, because of the human condition, the Christian built and empire and they wanted to rule the earthly world, by claiming their monopoly on God and on salvation. All religions actually claim their monopoly on God and on salvation, and they want to build empires and the clergy want to rule the earthly world / Planet Earth. Now, how can you tell to a priest - whose school and tradition teach him that is superior to common people and he is chose by God and he is sealed by a special grace – that he is actually worshipping idols and not our Lord God Jesus Christ?... I wonder if this teaching about their superiority doesn't undermine any possibility of real humbleness to the priests… because, at least principledly, they admit their superiority…

Ontology / Epistemology: God can take any shape he wants[1161].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God fight in man the human condition[1162].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Our Lord God Jesus Christ wasn't Christian Orthodox[1163].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Bias means lying[1164].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Exaggeration means lying[1165].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Could the life's purpose be the death[1166]?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: In order to really understand God, I had to move away from theology[1167].

[1161] There is no limitation to God. So, saying that the only true God is the God imagined by us, it is wrong. Actually, as the apophatic theologians say, any affirmation, either positive or negative on God, it expresses a limitation in our knowledge about God. Actually, there are no two humani beings having the same image and conception on God, despite billions of people claiming the opposite. God is perceived by each human being in a totally individual manner.

[1162] And God asks us to overcome our human condition. But then, who created the human condition?!...

[1163] Neither Catholic, nor Protestant, nor Neo-Protestant. He was a Jew. So, anu claim of having monopoly upon our Lord God Jesus Christ, it is a lie. People invented and divided themselves in religious denominations, and not God!

[1164] Defending your religious denomination and doctrine at all costs, even by saying invented things or by misinterpreting what doesn't fit your claims, it means lying, and not love, as some bigoted and fanatic supported would want you to believe. Sophisms harm the faith and they don't strengthen it. Bias means lust for worldly power and wickedness.

[1165] And it means manipulation too. Exaggerating the elements of interest while overlooking the elements that do not fit our doctrine, it means lying and manipulation the target public. Exaggeration is a basic element for myths, but they are crucifying and killing the truth. But the truth always resurrects!

[1166] Are we born in this life only to reject it and to prepare for our death?!... Does the rejection of the present life bring merits for a better life to come?!... But then why do we even build cathedrals, churches, and why do we even work anything in society?!... The priests too work in society… they raise children, they receive wages, they collect taxes, they buy a car or more than one car, they graduate theology faculties, they write books, they write and publish articles, they ascend in ranks and in professorial positions, they become faculty deans, they amass wealth… as any other human being. So much of following our Lord God Jesus Christ's teaching! And, consequently, what is the real and true teaching of our Lord God Jesus Christ?...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Cooperating with the evil makes anyone accomplice to evil[1168].

Logics: That's not theology which falls apart facing logics[1169].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Theology has instituted unequal punishment for the same bad deeds[1170].

Ontology / Epistemology: Between science and theology there is no ontological or epistemological contradiction[1171].

Ontology: Feminine and masculine nature should be conveyed to the soul sin the afterlife[1172].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Teaching of Christ is the only original element in Christianity[1173].

[1167] Meaning liberating my sight, my ears, and my mind from the slavery to an obsolete theology. Before, I was seeing with other people's eyes, I was hearing with other people's ears, and I was reiterating other people's thoughts. The main fear of the theologians is materialized in the interdiction of having an own thinking. The punishment for independence if the eternal hell… But, does God want us to become some trepanned parrots?!... I am sure God wants each of us to search, with the best of his capabilities, for new ways of experiencing and knowing Him! And, actually, that is constantly taking place in the theology, though the theologians deny it at all costs!...

[1168] And it makes everyone using the evil as a tool.

[1169] God is logic, and not illogic! Illogic are the constructions of the human mind which – shamelessly – we attribute them to the inspiration come from the Holy Ghost God! God is not incoherent, but coherent! Incoherent are the productions of the human mind clamming of knowing and explaining God…

[1170] Apostle Peter rejected our Lord God Jesus Christ for three times, despite living with Him and knowing Him and seeing His miracles for three years; Apostle Thomas didn't believe that our Lord God Jesus Christ resurrected, not even when our Lord was present in front of him, until he placed his finger in His wounds; Apostle Paul zealously persecuted the first followers of Christr's teaching… now, for the same mistakes and weaknesses, one is sent straightly in hell…

[1171] Between scientists and theologians are all sorts of contradictions.

[1172] If the soul takes with her/him the experience they had in this life, that will be a feminine or a masculine experience.

[1173] The cult, the rite, the symbols, the hierarchy etc. etc. are a syncretism and similar to those from other religions, preexisting Christianity.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Mentioning only the miracles succeeded by the saints is and incomplete and biased approach[1174].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Our Lord God Jesus Christ really died for us[1175].

Ontology: This universe is continuously cascading irreparable deaths[1176].

Ontology: Burial in the soul is human composting[1177].

Ontology: Forbidding the human right to the weak and poor will make the powerful and healthy to be weak and right-less[1178].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Inexistence of God would have meant the total victory of the evil[1179].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Purpose of this life is species perpetuation[1180].

[1174] A study must be undertaken to research what is the percentage of the healed people among all the people asking for help. I intuit that the people who do not receive help and who are not healed might be far bigger than the people who are healed and helped. So, is it the saints' mercy selective?!... Are the healing and the help depending actually on the psycho-physiological profile of the healed and helped persons, and the saints is only the triggering mechanism of a self-suggestion-based healing and changing of behavior?!... This doesn't mean stopping or rejecting the prayer addressed to the saints, but it means analyzing the phenomenon and placing it in the truth.

[1175] For His teaching lasted being sealed and promoted by His death, so that we can have His beautiful teaching today and for us to know how to do good deeds. And he dies also because of us, because we killed him, because we are savages thirsty for blood.

[1176] On all levels of creatures. Those lives passed in death won't ever live again in this universe. In this universe death is definitive. The only way of continuing the present existence is that of crossing into another universe.

[1177] There is no difference among the ways of disposing the human body. The theologians claim that only their way of cremating, burying, letting to the vultures to consume etc. it is the accepted by God version, but they do that in order to tighten their grip on believers, on worldly power.

[1178] There is always somebody richer and more powerful to take away the human right from somebody who is less rich and less powerful than he is. So, actually, the society is a society of slaves.

[1179] Even the creation wouldn't have been possible, because the limit of the evil is the total destruction and the inexistence. Total evil – unhindered by the existence of God – means total inexistence. The existence is one more proof for the existence of God.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: True theologians can have a respectful dialogue even with the atheists[1181].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Trush is simple, self-standing, and irrefutable[1182].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Could there ne justice in a world of forgiveness?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Do the good willingly[1183].

Ontology: Each religion is an outburst of the good later on bogged down in the evilness of the human condition[1184].

Ontology: Exaggeration is characteristic to the human condition[1185].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Faith can and must better the reality[1186].

[1180] For any kind of creatures: from viruses, rickets, bacteria, mushrooms, monocellular organism, to the human being, or even to other kinds of beings existing in the presence universe and which can be far superior to the human beings. But why must we perpetuate our species, I cannot answer that!...

[1181] Not to mention with the theologians belonging to other religions. But there is a mechanism which urges the human being to hate people having a different opinion. In religion, to that is added the disqualification of the people belonging to other religions, as pagans, as subhumans, as people whom we say about that our God allows us to persecute and to kill them on His behalf, because they reject him. But hos can God ask one to commit deeds which Himself commanded us to totally reject?!... I rather think that hating others who do not obey our opinion is an expression of our lust for worldly power, so characteristic to the human condition.

[1182] Only the lie is meandering and it needs efforts and other lies in support for its lasting.

[1183] Not for reward, not for avoiding a punishment. Do the good out of benevolence and not out of fear. Otherwise, you are just a hypocrite.

[1184] No God survives His cult.

[1185] Bigotry, fanaticism, debauchery, all of those have at their basis the need for validation as manifestation of the human being's lust for power.

[1186] And not mystify it or reject it. Our mission is that of making Planet Earth and other planets in the present universe Gardens of Eden, by overcoming the shortcoming of our human condition. See in this sense Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the Restorer of the Christina Theology and Saint Maximos the Confessor.

Ontology / Epistemology: In this real world each one of us lives in his own imaginary world.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If everything human was a sin, there would be no salvation[1187].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: It is easy to think about yourself as having a strong faith, while living comfortably[1188].

Ontology: Love is total or it wouldn't be love at all[1189].

Ontology / Epistemology: How can one know whether his prayers helped others[1190]?

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Only proof of one's teaching's truth is her/him applying in practice her/his teaching[1191].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Saints are not constantly saints[1192].

[1187] Why would God have created the human being whose all psycho-physiological-natural needs are sins, and then ask the human being to save herself/himself of these psycho-physiological-natural needs by self-mortification?!...

[1188] Could Jews at Auschwitz still believe in Jehovah?!... and how could they still love Jehovah?!...

[1189] Love doesn't have degrees. Love is sacrificial or it won't be love at all. One cannot keep anything for himself and still claiming that he is capable of and manifesting love. Only our Lord God Jesus Christ had love. All the rest of us only claim having love, by words and not by deeds.

[1190] One can suppose that, but one cannot really know that her/his prayers helped others. That's why, let's put in practice our Lord God Jesus Christ's concrete commandments: give a slice of bread, give a glass of watewr, give a coat to the naked, give a good word to the distressed – those are certain things! And then after, let's pray! Let's don't hide our egotism behind our claim of praying for others!

[1191] Don't preach to other that what you do not apply in practice, in real deeds. How can a monk teach people how to raise their children, because he has never raised any child?!... Or, how can a monk give advice to married people, because he has never been married?!... Intelligence, or imagination, cannot replace the raw reality. Preaching something without applying that in your deeds might lead you to issue impossible conditions, which you won't actually be able to fulfill. That makes you just a hypocrite!

[1192] Speaking about the saints as they would have been constantly saints, it is a lie. Every human being has peaks and lows of functioning. Some saints did stupid things too. Some saints have their own theology, reckoned by other saints as heresy – see for instance the heretic cult Saint Gregory Palamas instituted and practiced for his deceased spiritual father, bringing him exile and persecutions from the official church. Mentioning only the good deeds

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: The only true Christian if our Lord God Jesusa Christ[1193].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Part of theology preaches impossible things[1194].

Ontology: True faith changes in good the surrounding real world[1195].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: No one should preach about things she/he knows only theoretically[1196].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: True religion means peace among people of all religions[1197].

Ontology: Does isolation bring insanity[1198]?

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Think critically, with your mind, what they are preaching to you[1199].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Only way the Christianity will fail is because of its clergy[1200].

---

of the saints and overlooking their other not so holy deeds, it is a live, and what could benefit the church of our Lord God Jesus Christ of lies?!...

[1193] We, all the others, only pretend to be and claim of being Christians, but we actually aren't!

[1194] While overlooking and disregarding the possible things. That makes everybody culpable and guilty, but it saves no one.

[1195] But we have only theoretical, declarative, vain-glorious faith that persecute and kills people. Our faith is an abomination to God!

[1196] Voluntarily limiting your knowledge, awareness, and responsibility to your monastery's courtyard or to your monastic cell's inside, it disqualified you from teaching people who live in the real world.

[1197] Any religion failing to preserve peace, to avoid persecutions and killings, and to preserve the planet which its believers live on, it is far from God.

[1198] Just look at the dictators, billionaires, imprisoned people, or even some monks…

[1199] Nothing is infallible in the present order of the existence. So, theologians – one of them even declared saints – persecuted and killed people in the name of the God Who is Love and Forgiveness, and they urged the believers to do the same. Therefore, one must revise and rethink her/his religion with her/his own mind, and place it in coherence with the current level of understanding the society has.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Early theologians imagine the human being – God relationships taking the slavery as template[1201].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some theologians claim correlation as causation.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We try to flatter God with our mouths, while attempting to do our wicked ways.

Ontology / Epistemology: All theologies are passing[1202].

Ontology / Epistemology: All theologies are rather about the human condition.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Working miraculous healings is a relative criterion for declaring somebody a saint[1203].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Each human being crafts her/his god in her/his image and likeness.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: How can be ethical for the human being to be born already guilty and sinner for the sin of somebody else[1204]?!...

Ontology: We need God[1205]!

[1200] Clergy is raised in an atmosphere of superiority being taught that they are special, they are chosen, they have the grace of the priesthood etc.; but, yet and again, some priests and bishops and popes and patriarchs commit the same sins as the average people, so that it is a proof that they haven't escaped their human condition.

[1201] They imagined God as a slaves master unaccountable for anything and absolute monarch. And, in the same time, the theologians speak about the absolute freedom of the human being…

[1202] And this can be clearly seen in the sharp evolution and progress of the Christian theology. Don't fool yourself saying that it is not progress but development and completion… If the theologies had been eternal, they would have replaced God – as they constantly attempt to do…

[1203] Doctors performs billions of healings which would have seemed miraculous even a decade ago. Still, they are not declared as saints.

[1204] Let aside that nobody choses whether, where, and how to be born. What about people born in religions other than ours and having a strong faith in their God and doing good deeds: are they guilty for that too?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Science must research prayer[1206].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Have always an eschatological perspective[1207]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Worldly power rarely does good deeds[1208].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Consequences of our deeds are irreversible[1209]!

Ethics: It infuriates me seeing hos wrongly some theologians interpret the teaching of our Lord God Jesus Christ!

Ontology: No prayer has stopped yet (AD 2025) any war[1210].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Hating and disregarding people of other religions means hating and disregarding God's plan with those.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God asks us for life for Him, and not to die for Him[1211]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: There's has been a constant tendency to materialize our faith[1212].

[1205] Religiousness is inscribed in the human condition.
[1206] And the religious phenomenon in its entirety. Not denying, from start, any efficiency of the religion and of the prayers.
[1207] Before making any decision, always ask yourself if that will make you feel easier, or appeased, when dying.
[1208] And never out of generosity, but out of interest. But it often cases unimaginable sufferings. It seems that the human condition makes powerful people become evil.
[1209] Our sins can be forgiven, but the consequences of our evil deeds will remain forever reverberating in this universe and in the universe to come, because they have been recorded in the very fabric of the existence. Our evil deeds can be forgiven but their effects upon people and upon nature have forever changed the future of the nature and of the people.
[1210] This who claim that they pray for the world, seem inefficient in their prayers.
[1211] To live and to work good deeds in His name and to be kind in his name! What would benefit God of my self-mortification?!...
[1212] To prove our faith materially. Namely: holy relics, the Lord's tomb, pilgrimages etc. But this is actually because of our faithlessness: we need proofs, but we aren't willing to work our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments in our concrete deeds! He taught us that the

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Constriction sets us far from God[1213].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Any human being has the duty of setting her/his beliefs in coherence[1214].

Ontology / Epistemology: Billions of people praying for good things achieve nothing, while one insane dictator kills millions unimpeded.

Ontology / Epistemology: Christian theologians speak about a series of God's failures[1215].

Ontology: Ecumenism is impossible[1216].

Ontology: God creates everything for a reason wanted by Him[1217].

Ontology: Only valid prayer is that for thanking[1218].

Kingdom of God is within us. Therefore, I don't believe any sermon without seeing the good deeds and the kind behavior of the person preaching me something. No one should preach what she/he doesn't apply in his concrete deeds! So, we can be living proof of Christ by fulfilling, concretely, His commandments!

[1213] The only true way of finding God is in freedom. Not because we are forced, not because we are frightened, but because we freely want to know God. Fear doesn't mean faith!

[1214] And this is even more required to the theologians. A person who is saved from epistemological point of view won't present circumstantial stances, but coherent stances regardless the circumstances. For instance, you cannot be proud of being citizen of a nation when it comes about patriotism and, in the same time, condemning the pride as a capital sin, when it comes about Christianity. No true Christian can be proud of anything, not even of being a Christian!

[1215] Satan betrayed Him, first people betrayed Him, people still are sinners after God gave His own Son to be crucified for people etc. But this comes in contradiction to God's omniscience.

[1216] If I reckon my faith the only correct faith and all other faits as offenses to God and outlaws and heresies and people of that faith as going straight to hell and people who must be avoid at all cost or else I will accompany them to hell… how can I accept their faith?!... Many believe that ecumenism means other people accepting our faith, but not us theirs…

[1217] Digestive tube for eating, sleep for restoring energy and resting, reproductive apparatus for procreation and perpetuation of species. Telling that having sex is a sin is an accusation brought to God that He would have created something not only unnecessary, but also compelling us to commit sin.

[1218] Because only God know - from eternity - what we really need, so that what we ask for could be inappropriate to us and we will not receive it.

Ontology / Ethics: Parents' duty is to sacrifice themselves for raising their children and providing them with the best chances to survive.

Ontology / Epistemology: Quantum physics can explain the Immaculate Conception and Birth, and the Resurrection, and the crossing through locked up doors, and the omnipresence of God[1219].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Sin means disequilibrium[1220].

Ontology / Epistemology: We can see God[1221].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Christianity shouldn't actually mean self-annulling or self-sabotaging[1222].

Ontology / Epistemology: We cannot actually understand the matter[1223].

Ontology / Epistemology: How can one discern between possession and mental illness[1224]?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Origin of the evil: entropy's law, competition for life as intaking of energy, imperfection of the human condition.

[1219] God actualized quantum field whenever wherever and however He wants.

[1220] Both in plus and I minus, or too much and too little. Naturally good things from creation become sins by disequilibrium consciously and intentionally introduced by the human being. The plants and animals do not consciously cause disequilibrium.

[1221] In nature, in the universe's grandeur and laws etc. But, because of our human condition, we want to imagine and see God as a human being, like a powerful King and Master etc. All these imaginings and assumptions about God are narrowing God and they impede us in perceiving and understanding God. God belongs to thew ultimately superior order of the reality, while we live in this initial order of the material reality.

[1222] But some Holy Fathers asked for that and they formulated and issued their doctrines on a sort of humbleness that required the total annulation of the self. Actually, ethical Christianity means involvement in society's progress and self-development as a king and efficient person in helping others, to the best of your capabilities.

[1223] We are matter thinking about matter. We cannot really have a meta-perspective upon matter form inside matter. And that's why I think that we can actually neither access into transcendental, into spiritual reality.

[1224] If our purpose is to help and heal the ill person and not to stubbornly prove our doctrine even at the costs of not helping that person, then let's accept the scientific approach too, and let's us prayer the best we can!

Ontology / Ethics: People can transform this very life which they will ever have in this present order, into a hell, by mortifying themselves[1225].

Ontology / Ethics: Salvation is individual[1226].

Ontology: Salvation: achieving the outmost of human progress in kindness according to your given capabilities inscribed in your human condition.

Ethics: Turning to faith before getting ill or before dying: mere hypocrisy[1227].

Ontology: God is infinitely more and above any religion and religiousness[1228].

Ontology: I rather think that Planet Earth is not so important to God as we like to think[1229].

Ontology: Monasticism, ascesis, cult, rites, symbols: human imaginings and inventions[1230].

[1225] I wonder how many caused illnesses to themselves and died to earlier?!... Isn't that reckoned as a suicide?!...

[1226] Nobody is perfect, not even the saints. Nobody achieves all the virtues to the highest possible degree. The virtues are maximal prescriptions, ideal but unreachable targets. Each human individual progresses up to a certain limit, depending of her'/his individual traits ads capabilities.

[1227] Start searching for God and applying His commandments in practice while you are healthy and seeming immortal to yourself. Start searching for God while you are rich and not after losing everything and becoming poor. Start searching for God out of generosity and kindness. You cannot fool God!

[1228] Avoid confounding God to your religion or cult. That's idolatry.

[1229] And we like to think that we are the belly-button of the universe because we are full of vain-gloriousness. Planet Earth is a speck of dust – or even less – in this universe and God could have created infinite kinds of humanoids all over galaxies. So, why would we think that God pays more attention to us than to any other thing created by Him?!... Somehow, our human condition determined us to manifest our vain-gloriousness while hypocritically claiming our humbleness. Saying that God loves us so much, it doesn't help here!

[1230] And so easy to become idols. The people imagined, the best they could, how to palace themselves in a relationship with God. But all of the mentioned things rather represent the people, than actually representing God. The similarities among the mentioned things tell us that the ways of our religiousness are rela6ted to our human condition. So, in this way, our religiousness comes from God Who created us like this.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Speaking sincerely about our human condition is a must[1231].

Ontology: Belonging to a nation is a curse[1232].

Ontology / Epistemology: It is a must becoming fully aware of the way in which our Lord God Jesus Christ and His Holy Mother are perceived by other religions.

Ontology: Tragic destiny is a characteristic of our human condition[1233].

Ontology / Ethics: There's no such thing as One Church[1234].

Ontology / Ethics: I heard monks preaching and teaching the laymen about forgiveness[1235].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Pleasure assures the survival of the mankind[1236].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We almost tell God: let us show You how it must be done[1237].

[1231] Lying about it won't make us better humans.

[1232] The persecutions, killings, and wars accompanying the mankind along the whole its existence are caused and fueled by the appurtenance to different nations and religions.

[1233] Happy destiny is rather uncharacteristic to our human condition. Tragical means failing to achieve the good because of being hindered and overwhelmed by evil.

[1234] We lie each time when we utter the Creed.

[1235] What does a monk have to forgive?!...

[1236] If there had been no pleasure, we wouldn't have been interested in having sex. And as we have demonstrated it yet and again, we don't obey God's commandments, so that fear wouldn't have been enough for us to procreate. But God placed the pleasure for having sex in all his creatures which are heterosexual, from the simplest to the human being. It's just stupid and against God to affirm that sex is a sin.

[1237] God tells us that we can eat any kind of food as long as we are temperate and stay in balance, but we invent the exaggerated fasting mortifying our bodies and we deem some foods as automatically leading one to hell. God tells us to have sex in order to procreated and to fill the Planet Earth, and God created us as man and woman having the sexual apparatuses specifically created on that purpose, but we deem the sex as a capital sin and persecute and condemn the woman as unclean and as source of all evils. And what we overlook and refuse doing is this: to be kind.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We assume infinite attributes to God, but then we fall into human imaginings[1238].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Personalistic doctrine on God is wrong[1239].

Ontology / Ethics: All religions start their theologies from automatically blaming the human being[1240].

Ontology: God is everywhere[1241].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We imagine satan in our image and likeness[1242].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: If possible, avoid committing definitive things.

Ontology / Ethics: Misogynism is a main trait to almost all religions[1243].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Prescience annuls freedom[1244].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: The longer and the thicker the tradition is, the farer will be from God[1245].

[1238] And therefore, we say about God that He is: avenger, plotting with satan against the human being, spying upon man etc. And this is because we cannot transcend our human condition and our mind and thinking still remain in this order of existence.
[1239] Because it presents God in our likeness and image.
[1240] All religions start with the conclusion and then they erect their theological scaffolding to the best their could in order to justify their conclusion. The questions are: why would God want to create a being who to be automatically from start?!... What would God benefit of that?!... And, isn't the designer guilty for the project and realization of his design?!... The core of this is the fact that we are tributary to our human condition and we cannot understand God yet (AD 2025).
[1241] So, is there any place where the evil is alone?!...
[1242] Due to our human condition, we cannot imagine any thinking being except in our image and likeness. But I think the true evil are ourselves, Let's stop blaming others for our own evilness.
[1243] Except the icon of the Holy Mother of the Lord, there are not other icons representing holy women/female saints in the Orthodox Church, only men/males. God is conceived as a man, or the most powerful gods are men. Woman is unclean and the only guilty for the man's sins etc.
[1244] How could one be free if her/his decision of being good or bad is already known?!...

Ontology / Epistemology: Some of the mystery of the religious words is provided by actually non-understanding them[1246].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: A lie common to all religions[1247].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Theology tells us rather about the human condition than about God[1248].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: How can be right only one way of Christian monasticism[1249]?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Can somebody who was misogynistic even be reckoned as a saint[1250]?!...

[1245] See also: No God survives His cult. In the beginning, there is an outburst of enthusiasm, clean feelings, commitment, purity, innocence. As the time passes, the human condition kicks back and a thick layer of egotism, lust for power, and greediness starts chocking the initial feeling. Then, gradually, there occurs a power structure offering its members: protection, status, respect, infallibility, superiority etc. etc. This layer of power-mongering clerks interposes between simple believer and God, and they start monopolizing the faith, and they gradually replace God. In management this is called *the secretary's complex*.

[1246] People grant for start to the religious words: holiness, mystery, truth, coerciveness etc. etc. And the less they understand the meaning of those words, the more mysterious those words become to them. Mystification and mythization are traits of the human condition. In time, a thick layer of explanations, interpretations, anagogies, translation, misunderstandings will be added upon those words, so that they often lose their initially intended meaning. The oldest known book containing all the four *Gospels* – Codex Sinaiticus – contains 37.000 corrections. I wonder what the remaining uncorrected words are, and how much of the words of our Lord God Jesus Christ are still His?!... No wonder that the *Gospels* contain contradictory provisions.

[1247] It's not for us, it's for God! Our God commanded us to be poor, so that we gather wealth for Him… our God commanded us to be humble, so we receive worldly honors and fame not for us, but for Him… but who on Planet Earth benefits of those worldly wealth and honors if not the clergy here in the same world they ask us to hate?!...

[1248] Though claiming the opposite. In any theology one can discern the limitations and the shortcoming of the human condition. Anything created by the human being represents the human being. Theology shows how bigoted, biased, liars, craving for worldly power and for worldly things, violent, egotistic, hypocrite we actually are.

[1249] There are so many types of Christian monasticism, differing in rites, ranks, names, vestments, rules of ascesis etc. How can one claim that only one of them is right!?... And can a monk or a nun say that their monasticism is superior to other types of monasticism, while not knowing what those types of monasticism are like?!... Isn't that a proof of vain-gloriousness?!...

[1250] Almos all religions disrespect the woman, they reckon the woman as subhuman and as a source of all evil. And entire theologies and doctrines have been developed which consider

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: A monk fights himself for himself being hold accountable for himself[1251].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Evil: everything that opposes the natural and good aspirations of the human being.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Evil: Everything that disbalances the balanced laws placed by God in His multiverse.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God forgives more easily than the people do[1252].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Only way to manage our human condition is to apply in practice the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ[1253].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God is not misogynistic[1254].

Epistemology / Ethics: No human knowledge must be reckoned as absolute[1255].

---

the woman as unclean and the sex as a capital sin. But then, don't all these religions actually accuse God of creating the woman?!... How can somebody so insensitive as a misogynist be reckoned as a saint?!... And there are also saints who killed people in wars or who were sheer racists… are they saints at all?!... Would it suffice for a religious movement to declare you a saint in order you actually be a saint?!... Could a religious movement twist the arm of God in somebody's favor?!...

[1251] A layman fight himself, for himself and for others who depend on him, and he is accountable for himself and for the people who depend on him. There's no reason for a monk to complain about the harshness of his life, let aside to feel superior to a layman.

[1252] We persecute and kill others not because God would have even asked us to do such abominations, but because of our human conditions. Comfortably and hypocritically, we invoke God or satan as causes for our evilness.

[1253] No other externally imposed and by human beings imagined regime has succeeded to manage the human condition yet (AD 2025).

[1254] But almost all religions are. So, do the misogynistic religions really represent God?!...

[1255] Everything we know is what we have been able to find out until now (AD 2025). Some of our main knowledge can even be transformed or even rejected in the future, as it happens all the time. Regarding the theology, the only sure thing is that God exists and His Son, our Lord God Jesus Chris told us what we should do in order us to become really human beings. All the rest can be proven as wrong one day. But it is in our human condition to reckon that what we know as absolute. The most difficult to a human being is admitting that she/he is wrong.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: People always want to bring you under their mastery[1256].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We try to trick God[1257].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: There's in all theologies a subjacent vain-gloriousness[1258].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Monopoly upon salvation provides absolute worldly power on people[1259].

Ontology / Epistemology: Characteristic to the Dogmatic Egg is the incapacity of wholism[1260].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Theoretical theology has exhausted its resources[1261].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Tradition must be balanced by renewing mechanisms[1262].

[1256] To bring you inside their groups, ranks, religion, beliefs, policy, party etc. All you are asked is to renounce to your freedom and be obedient to your chiefs. In hierarchical structure, as actually in the whole Planet Earth, there is no one really free, for he has chiefs and he is the chief of somebody. One who attempts to be free will be hated by all others. The urge for dominating others is inscribed in the human condition, and it is related to the preservation instinct.

[1257] We satisfy our pride and vain-gloriousness and lust for power by undertaking long prayers, processions, and rituals, asking God to stop the persecutions and the killings. But WE ARE the ones who must stop persecuting and killing other human beings!!!... It is in this an intrinsic and implicit accusation brought to God, look God, we are praying You but You still allow those persecutions and killings to take place… Let's therefore, do our job!!!....

[1258] While preaching about humbleness and other virtues, all theologies claim themselves as the only true, as having the monopoly upon God. But just look as their clergy's deeds!...

[1259] The clergy hasn't proven yet (AD 2025) that they are able to manage this absolute power without sinning themselves.

[1260] We experience and know the reality in a reductionist mode.

[1261] Theoretical theology said about God everything the human mind can conceive. Theologians have been repeating the same thing in their sermons, yet and again, for thousands of years, so that their sayings have lost their freshness and they have become slogans, shapes emptied of content. The chance is the practical theology, the applying in our deeds of our Lord God Jesus Christ's commandments, in the constantly new circumstances of our life and of the generations to come. I am thinking now at preaching the Gospel, with our deeds, to humanoids created by God in the whole multiverse, for hundreds of billions of years to come, until the end of the present universe.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Tying religion to nationalism and politics has been leading to persecutions and killing[1263].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Some theologians proceed to exaggeration in their intention to convince people about their truth[1264].

Ontology / Epistemology: Some theology attempt to control the universe with the means of the micromanagement[1265].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Our good or evil deeds are immortal[1266].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Death is a tragedy[1267].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Real and total causes and circumstances of somebody or of something cannot even be known[1268].

[1262] Human condition determined us to make idols of everything, especially of our traditions, religious or not. Actually, I think that there is no purely religious or lay tradition: they intermix with one another. But a tradition that becomes a burden and that impeded the progress, it is an idol whom we sacrifice our chance, bestowed to us by God, to push the mankind forwards.

[1263] A nationalist God is an abomination and an idol. God is universal, God is God of the entire multiverse. God has not bias.

[1264] The truth is beyond any rhetorics. The truth is self-obvious.

[1265] Namely, by limiting their vision and doctrines to earthly elements situated here on earth, while imagining that they actually control God. For instance, they created here on Planet Earth: symbols, rituals, buildings, hierarchy, rules and laws… and they claim that they actually control the universe!...

[1266] Once committed a good or an evil deed, it will convey consequences and causality to other good of evil deeds of ours and of others. This causal chain will never be interrupted. Our deeds, once committed, they are imprinted in the very fabric of the present universe. In this perspective, being written in the book of the life it makes sense. The live is the existence of the universe, imprinted by us through our good or evil deeds.

[1267] At least we are taught death is a tragedy. Death comes against the force keeping the existence existent. We don't know what or how would be the reality without the present existence. Death, at least according to what we know now (AD 2025) interrupts, for eternity, the way live exists in the present universe. Paradise of hell cannot exist in the present order of the universe as we are able to know it now (AD 2025), but only in different order or in a different universe of the multiverse. Imagining that Paradise in somewhere in the Planet Earth's atmosphere, or that hell is somewhere in an underground cave, it simply is stupid.

[1268] We are caught in a deterministic net composed of a tiny conscious part, but mostly of unconscious ties and caused. That's why affirming that we are free is a nonsense.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: If you cannot stand your faith to be contradicted, you are on the wrong faith.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: The fact that not all the people are evil is a proof of God's existence.

Ontology / Epistemology: Why would an almighty God need to fight anyone[1269]?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Why would God want us to fear Him[1270]?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Most religions express their worshipping by kiss[1271].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: I detect in almost all theological works the constant use of a flattery-sensationalistic-language[1272].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Presenting the good examples as general rule is a lie[1273].

[1269] Again, applying the attributes of God even to the Holy Scripture, let aside the theology, it annuls most of their texts. I don't even want to imagine that my God is not almighty, or not omnipresence, or all-knower! There is a constant contradiction between the attributes of God and the way we imagine Him.

[1270] I think that tool of the fear was reckoned effective by the idiolect of the time when some of the Holy Scripture's texts were written. The fear is surely effective on the surface, but it remains superficial. And, at least from today's perspective (AD 2025) inflicting fear upon somebody is reckoned barbaric and uncivilized. I think God rather wants us to understand the truth of His commandments and to apply them willingly and out of conviction, and not like some animals, because we fear Him.

[1271] And what about populations who do not use the kiss?!... Are they not candidate to salvation?!... Doesn't God love us if we do not kiss the cultic objects. Vestments, holy-relics etc.?!...

[1272] They cannot say the things as they were, without adding a thick layer of flattering and of sensationalistic language, which would express the love of the writer for the person whom he wrote about. This is another characteristic of the human condition, when we enjoy something, we want everybody to enjoy it, and we even hate them who don't, let aside the persecutions and the killings we perpetrate in the name of God Who asks us to love even our enemy!...

[1273] Theological works rarely talk about evil deeds and examples. When they tell us about the saints living in a community, they overlook or they edulcorate the evil monks and brothers living in those communities. This lie makes one think that everything was sugar and honey, and feel guilty for being her/him the only evil person on earth. Still, there spilled out some

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Its shortness makes life almost nonsensical[1274].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Theologians made Christianity unlivable[1275].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Miracles work even the fakirs[1276].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God had mercy on me and didn't allow me to become a monk[1277].

---

unwanted examples. For instance, in the Paterikon there are mentions about homosexual sex and masturbation. There is also an example of a monk splitting the womb of a pregnant woman to see the baby inside – not mentioned, but at that time, with the level of medical science, both bay and mother must die… There is also mentioned a disciple killing somebody with an ax… Or, in the Evergetinos, there is described a monk having sex with laywomen and the fact that after that monk died, the other monks threw him on the monastery's pile of garbage and let him rot there for 24 days, and they buried him only because if stank. One can only imagine how many atrocities were perpetrated in such close, isolated, and bigoted communities!...

[1274] In my view we live a meteoritical life. A flash of light in the eternity of the present universe. We are actually unable to understand something, let aside understanding God! We live in some sort of trance, hypnotized, sleeping… I think the only solution to this is to apply in practice, through our concrete deeds, the commandments given us by our Lord God Jesus Christ. We cannot do anything else, and I think "nothing else matters". We have a tiny chance of developing ourselves and becoming conscious of ourselves: let's grab it the soon we can and with the whole our soul, heart, power, and love!

[1275] Christianity evolved from gathering people together on evenings and banqueting, to the mortification of the body, withdrawal from the world, refusing the science, condemning the woman, refusing and trying to stop any natural need of the body. Every monk or ascetic added an extra zeal and an extra burden, making the life as Christian to be a continuous stress and guilt. The monks and the ascetics shaped Christian theology in their image and likeness, and let's not extend our deviations upon God! We cannot actually say anything about God, except the things told us by our Lord God Jesus Christ! The theology speaks rather about ourselves than about God.

[1276] Our need for miracles expresses the tendency of our human condition to disobey the universe's laws and to exit the present immanent into transcendent. Another characteristic of the human condition is that we need faith to ascertain miracles, and we can never be actually sure that a miracle is a simple hallucination, or a charlatanry.

[1277] I entered a monastery in the year 2021, but I only lasted there for one week. Before going there, I was praying God to show me what He wanted me to see and to help me understand what He wanted me to understand. If I remained there, I would at most perform mechanically the activities there, for I no longer believe in their absolute necessity. I don't say, by any means, that monasticism is wrong. By I say that it isn't absolute, it is only a choice, and laymen can live in ascesis too, and laymen can be saved too, as well as monks are not automatically saved by God.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Theologians preach the obedience but they rarely apply it[1278].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Take from everyone what she/he has good[1279].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God is no opposite to progress[1280].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Only the martyrs for kindness and for non-violence are above circumstances[1281].

Ontology / Ethics: You only can avoid adding suffering to world's sufferings[1282].

[1278] If theologians really had applied the obedience preached by them, the theology would have been even today restricted at gathering together on evenings, telling what they knew about Jesus, and then throwing a banquet and feeling well together. And maybe thus the religious persecutions and wars perpetrated by Christians would have never taken place. Let aside that in the current society (AD 2025) some theologians preach something but they do the exact opposite, disobeying that what they preach.

[1279] Don't ever reject the whole is there still can be found something good. On the opposite, don't accept the whole only because of having something good in it, so that you feel compelled to accept what that whole has also evil in it. Accept or reject each thing separately, after examining them. Don't act according to the labels applied on the things. Judge them with your own mind.

[1280] But our human condition forces us to glorify the past and to disregard and to dismiss the present. That's why religions are stuck into past, unable to adapt to the present sociolect. And clergy forces people to listen to the same music for thousands of years, to wear the same vestments for thousands of years, to perform the same gestures for thousands of years… but all of those are products of human imagination. God is alive, God is life, God is progress!

[1281] Even the saints are under and within circumstances. Churches declare as saints some people who persecuted and killed other people. But the martyrs never persecute and kill anybody. It dreads me that the self is actually unstable, relative, and circumstantial. Anyone of us – excluding the martyrs for kindness, for love, and for non-violence, for they are true selves, not depending on circumstances – will become what the circumstances which God placed him within are. Our self depends on religion, sex, political regime, family, education, and it is not actually our creation. I wonder to what extent a criminal is guilty for her/his crimes…

[1282] No one has been able to stop a war yet! Or an earthquake, or a tsunami etc. Not even the saints! But you can try to avoid adding more suffering to the already existing sufferings of the world. And, individually, with your limited possibilities, you can also try to alleviate some people's sufferings: give a glass of water, give a slice of bread, give a coat, give some money, or at least says something compassionate and show respect to the victims! There is such a tragedy the loneliness of the victim!!!....

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God is defined similarly by almost all religions[1283].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We feel the need for our knowledge to be constituted of dogmas[1284].

Ontology / Epistemology: Dogmatic Egg defines our mental incapacity of actually exiting the immanent and crossing into transcendent[1285].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Religions claim their absoluteness and force their believers not to know or think anything else.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: I think monks should have sex before becoming monks in order they to decide whether or not their choice of life suits them[1286].

[1283] What if God is best described by the values of the good inscribed in the human condition?!... There can be acknowledges instinctual kindness and evilness in people, starting from childhood.

[1284] Because our human condition still is determined by the fact that we live inside the dogmatic egg. Immediately as God tells us His principles, we imprison Him in a jail of dogmas. We are incapable of freedom yet (AD 2025, 31st of December – yes, I am working even on New Year's Eve). I think our need for dogmas is caused by our preservation instinct that determines us to always wanting to be right and to be right in an absolute manner. The persecutions and killings, regardless what is their circumstantial facilitators, their cause is actually our need for others to agree with our dogmas, and that makes us feeling strong and immortal.

[1285] Inside the Dogmatic Egg we are running around like in a death-spheroid, seemingly advancing but actually reaching nowhere else. Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology argued that the dogmas are actually expression of freedom. I think that's a simple paradoxical expression, a rhetorical one, a simple words-play. The only way we escape the Dogmatic Egg is by death, when our body is lest to recycling processes and our soul, free at last, escaped the magnetic field keeping us prisoners inside the Dogmatic Egg. The only problem is that nobody can tell us what the transcendent is like. Not even the people who experienced a prolonged coma, or even a cerebral death, after reanimated they won't be able to describe their experience but only by using some cliches or some images, which are unverifiable.

[1286] Childhood and adolescence, or even youth, are mostly immature and easily carried away by idealistic urges. How can one decide he doesn't want to marry without knowing what the sex actually is?!... And then the psycho-physiological processes kick in and an unnecessary suffering is inflicted, with all its derived consequences. Where and when did God tell us not to marry?!... And why would God create us male and female prepared for procreation if He didn't want us to have sex?!... Why would God place in man and in woman such a complex procreation system – which is central to the human body in allotted energy – only in order

Ontology / Epistemology: Knowing the future without being able to do anything to change it, it has no sense[1287].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Until now (Ad 2025) monasticism seems a failed attempt to exist the Dogmatic Egg[1288].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Theologians have conceived an abnormal relationship between the human being and God[1289].

Him to impede us from being saved?!... Monasticism is equally good as marriage. But, in order to be savior, both of them need good concrete deeds and a kind, gentle, and loving soul. But then, what would be the criterion for one to choose monasticism or marriage?!... By no means the superiority of one upon another, because that would be vain-gloriousness and pride! I think declaring one superior to another is wrong and it becomes an element of coercion. One chooses between marriage and monasticism as a personal choice, that's all. All the stories with replacing the angels, with being closer to God – Who- by the way, isn't spatially determined! -, are some manipulative statements aimed at tickling the ego of the candidate to monasticism, by offering him a way of feeling superior to laypeople. And there is in place another forcing mechanism: one is deeply condemned and reckoned guilty if he changes his mind from becoming a monk! Such changing of mind must be made inconceivable! The monastic environment and doctrine are based on totally renouncing to your freedom… If only the monks were saved, God would create us asexual or hermaphrodite. Condemning sex means condemning God's plan on creation. Do the monks reckon themselves as superior to laymen?!... because everything they say plainly indicate that! They are chosen by God, they are clean, they become angels on earth… isn't that vain-gloriousness?!... Somewhat, even one's desire to become perfect and to exist his lay condition while condemning those who don't, it hints at vain-gloriousness…

[1287] And it is good for nothing. What would I benefit of knowing that there is going to be a war which I am going to die in it, and which I am going to be unable to save myself from?!... It would only add suffering.

[1288] Claiming your superiority wont' make you superior. Claiming your salvation won't save you! Doing the good deeds commanded to us by our Lord God Jesus Christ don't necessarily and intrinsically need one to become a monk or a nun. A few monks and nuns reach a highly spiritualized state and they reach their full human potential. There can be a correlation with their monasticism, but that's far from being a causation. Maybe they were destined to be kind persons anyway. And who can tell how many spiritualized laypeople are highly spiritualized as well?... Or, maybe, in case of facing the same challenges as the laymen, the monks and the nuns too would behave as laypeople. Before experiencing myself the life in a monastic community, and before starting to see the fact that the patristic and Philokalian books mainly present the exceptions as usual reality, I thought monasticism is an absolute way. Now I think monasticism is a good way, but not the only or absolute way to become kind. Monasticism is not bad, but it isn't mandatory either!

[1289] Hiding, hinting, continuous extolling, fear, revenge, hell, mortification, denial of nature… etc. But this is because they pored their own self – unescapably affected and determined by our human condition – in their theology. Actually, I think that God won't be perceived by the human being but after removing the thick layer of blindness laid by theologians.

Ontology / Ethics: Through the Holy Mysteries the clergy exert a total control upon believers[1290].

Ethics: Dismembering saints' skeletons is a corpses' desecration[1291].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Only the sociolect has been changing and not the general state of the mankind[1292].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: There is no justification for war at Christians[1293].

[1290] The clergy will provide somebody with the Holy Mysteries only on their way, only within their rules, only according to their judgment etc. They claim having monopoly upon Christ's inheritance. But then there is no agreement among churches regarding who has the real monopoly or who does officiate the Holy Mysteries rightly, or there are churches which do not even officiate the Holy Mysteries. I think this state of affairs aimed to totally subdue and bring the believers in tight dependency on the clergy, and it was not intended by Christ as the Last Supper this way of officiating the Holy Mysteries, which has become actually an industry of making money and of accumulating power and wealth in this earthly life and world. The freedom brought and intended by Christ at the Lat Supper has been replaced by all sorts of tyranny and dictatorship. And how could our Lord God Jesus Christ tell to Peter: "I will build My church on you", while the actually word *church* was not coined at the time He lived on Planet Earth, because it was a Greek originating coinage in the first century A.D.?!...Our Lorde Jesus Christ soke Aramaic, and there was not such a word a *church* in Aramaic. Eventually, our Lord God Jesus Christ would have used the Aramaic words expressing the notion of assembly: Ladoth – assembly, Quehal – congregation, or Knista – congregation or synagogue. So, I think the translators were biased and in an anachronical manner they used the word *church* for persuading the believers in favor of Christianity. Actually, there was a linguistic and semantical void for calling the *church* at that time. And I also think that when our Lord God Jesus Christ commanded us to do what He did, in His name, He meant us to put in practice his concrete commandments and not to construe such an institution as the present Church is. He meant deeds not rituals and religious wars! But we misinterpreted everything He told to us through the lenses of our human condition, and we have perverted His teaching.

[1291] The sociolect regarding the holy relics of the saints misses this point, dismantling or dismembering skeletons or remnant of the saints still is a corpse desecration. And what can be more dehumanizing than trafficking body parts of the dead people, saints or not!

[1292] All generation deplore the old ages, or they condemn the new generations for not being so righteous and because of being bigger sinners. But that's totally false. Tour human condition is the same. Only that, to some extent, we have started to cherish the human life and the human rights. The former generations have been fighting relentless wars and subjugated other nations and committed unimaginable atrocities. Why would they have been more righteous than we are?!... If being faithful requires me to persecute and kill my neighbor, I will reject that faith!!!.... But the truth is that we haven't changes much (AD 2026) – just look as the multiple wars unfolding all over Planet Eerth and at the persecutions and killing perpetrated continuously… even in the name of God!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Bias in insanity[1294].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Life must be regarded as one of the supreme values[1295].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Most theological works have been written by monks[1296].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Miracles have a numerically and spatially limited efficiency[1297].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: If the wrongly understood fear didn't impede the theologians to ask the difficult questions about Christendom, those questions might be clarified by now[1298].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Strong faith doesn't mean blind faith[1299].

[1293] And how could it even be?!... We must love our enemy! Period! Full stop!

[1294] I call the bias as ultimate insanity. It is in the human condition that we feel the need, stirred up to us by our preservation instinct, to be unfair, biased, and hypocrite. But someone who is biased can be anything but Christian! Being biased to your faith is an abomination in front of God! You must be fair, honest, and not biased! The thing our Lord God Jesus Christ rejected the most was the hypocrisy!

[1295] Willingly searching for becoming a martyr is equal to committing suicide. If the circumstances force you do die confessing our Lord God Jesus Christ that's because of the will of God. Otherwise, you will commit suicide. And you have not moral right whatsoever to urge other to follow your example, as Constantin Brâncoveanu did to his four sons!

[1296] That's why most of theology presents the monasticism as the only path to salvation. There was no other way, because how could somebody who has chosen the monasticism as way of his life to say anything else that only the monks will be saved, otherwise he would contradict his own belief. And any thought contrary to saying that the monasticism is the only salvation path it was reckoned as coming from satan. But such a vision on salvation created an implicit split within church, for the church is composed also of married clergy and of married believers. But, as usual, such contradictions are intentionally overlooked in order not to cause disturbance among believers.

[1297] Some blind person recovers her/his sight, but the blindness still exists in people all over the world. Some palsy is healed, but there remain in the world so many paralyzed people. Some people are fed, but billions of people are starving on Planet Earth. And this raises two ethical questions: arbitrariness and bias. I am unable to even attempt answering them, at least for now (AD 2026).

[1298] Because any doubt is condemned as being from satan and leading directly to hell, even the theologians who doubt some aspects of their doctrine avoid asking them openly and publicly.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: There is a right-faith[1300].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Our Lord God Jesus Christ's teaching offers structure to my life[1301].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Faith must be more than mere self-suggestion[1302].

Ontology: Highly spiritualized human beings can heal others[1303].

Ontology / Epistemology: There's not only one understanding of the teaching of our Lord God Jesus Christ[1304].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Death equalizes us[1305].

[1299] People can have blind faith in everything, even in the stupidest things and in the biggest lies ever. A strong faith is based on truth! And the Truth is the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ!

[1300] Or a right-worshipping. Except no one has it. Maybe only the martyrs had it.

[1301] Following His commandments I can discern between good and evil. I can structure my life relatedly to applying in practice His commandments. I can relate to my neighbor as Jesus Christ told me to. But, beware, avoid getting bogged in the theology's aberrations!

[1302] Self-suggestion can go so far than you can become unable to perceive the very reality. Self-suggestion can make you see angels and devils in any religion. I think the time has come to listen to the science and to take its arguments proving the existence and the working of God all around us and in the entire universe! Basing one's faith on science isn't a bad thing! But some theologians will see in this a heresy because of their own loss of power… Why should I believe a certain theology without questioning it and without being proven to me, just because somebody tells me that it is so?!... If I did so, I could believe any theology, and there are many… One possible argument is that of seeing the preached things applied by the ones who preach them – but this is the rarest possible case…

[1303] Saint can heal sick people! I think that their spiritualization makes their being to be in coherence with God and they can bring other beings too in that coherence. It can be an energetical healing. It can be a restoration of the functioning of the brain and of the body of the ill ones. Can their energy whatever you want: I call it Holy Ghost! I think science should thoroughly investigate this!

[1304] Even the bystanders seeing Him directly and relating to Him and listening to His teaching, they understood different things. Even the apostles did that! So, I rather think each human being understands the teaching of our Lord God Jesaus Christ in a personal manner, and each of us can find in it the codes / ruler for better up himself.

[1305] Regardless how famous one becomes in this life on Planet Earth, or even in the whole multiverse, she/he will die in the end. The death is a tragical reality and, regardless the attempts of diverse theologies, we cannot explain it yet (AD 2026). Not even the science can explain either life or death!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: One must preserve his critical thinking[1306].

Ontology / Ethics: Our Lord God Jesus Christ didn't withdraw Himself from the world[1307].

Ontology / Epistemology: Importance granted to the writings of the Holy Fathers is disproportionate and outdated[1308].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Deploring the gradual losing of faith equals to deploring the defeat of God[1309].

[1306] Because there have constantly been charlatans claiming they have been saints, there have been constant heresies claiming they have discovered the ultimate truth etc. Why should I believe somebody claiming that he is a saint only because she/he tells me so, or even because many people tell me so?!... Sister, brother, LET'S SEE SOME CONCRETE DEEDS!... Faith doesn't mean fairytales or soap operas… But critical thinking means also not rejecting anything only because you wish so! Accepting real arguments too means critical thinking!

[1307] As Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the Restorer of the Christian theology said, an ethical stance of the man is to be involved in society and bring his contribution to the humanity's progress and to help his neighbor. Monasticism is not bad, but it isn't absolute: it is a good path but among other paths. Monasticism is a human invention; it is a personal choice.

[1308] Their writings were paramount, even miraculous, in a society constituted mainly of illiterates, when just the fact of writings and reading was reckoned a superhuman feat. At the time they wrote, their writings rivaled most of the philosophical or of other nature works. But nowadays it is wrong to give them he same status as they had two millennia ago. The world is no longer composed of water, fire, earth, and air. The human rights are no longer inexistent, and the society composed of slaves and masters evolved to democracy, to some extent (AD 2026). But the writings of Holy Fathers still contain some beautiful pages of ethics, or urges to love among people, of self-sacrificing for the good of the neighbor. Also, the writings of te Holy Fathers were conceived and written for monks, as the whole society followed to become composed only of monks. They rarely addressed the general people living in society and they rarely and mostly negatively mention the laypeople.

[1309] In an unscientific manner each generation deplores the decay of the generations following to them, and idealize the generations previous to themselves. The idealization blindly idolatrizes a barbarian world persecuting and killing people, burning people of stake, illiterate, unhygienic, destructive etc. etc. I thin that, on the opposite, the humanity has evolved a little after being exposed for almost two millennia to our Lord God Jesus Christ's teaching (AD 2026). The human rights, the treating of the human being in a dignified manner, are the product of His commandments. But we still do that mostly declaratively and lesser in deed. So, regarded from this standpoint, the humanity has evolved towards good and is has been improved, and hasn't been devolving! And this gives me hope that the ontology founded by Saint Maximos the Confessor and reiterated and developed by Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the Restore of the Christian theology is right: the human being and the universe are submitted to a process of deification in order to be bestowed back to their Creator, so that God will be victorious in the end. Otherwise, there will be questions regarding the omniscience of God:

Ontology / Epistemology: Death is the only certitude in this life[1310].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Regimentation is a main characteristic of the human condition[1311].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Admitting miracles isn't unscientific[1312].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God doesn't allow anybody to have monopoly upon miracles-working[1313].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Being called by God can easily be used as a reason for superiority[1314].

---

did God cerate a world and a human being knowing before creating those that they will end in a disaster and in a loss to Him?!...

[1310] Through the whole Creation of God. Everything and everybody will die in the end, after a certain while. Even the stars die. Nowadays people flirt with the idea of a relative time, or even of an inexistence time: everything would be an illusion. I ask them: there is succession between your birth and your death, and time means succession. One can look at time from whatever perspective she/he wants, one can even deny the time or anything else, but time doesn't care about that.

[1311] People tend to force others to join their doctrines, views, traditions, beliefs, faiths etc. And if not, they tend to persecute and even kill the disobedient. This is related also to the preservation instinct, for we feel menaced by people who are different than us: their simple existence challenges our feeling of safety and surety, because of being an argument against our absoluteness. People having different doctrines relativize our own doctrine. Religions are the paramount example in this regard.

[1312] Miracles do not contradict the science but they only exceed science's level of knowledge, yet (AD 2026). Science shy about researching the miracles. Science must, in the first place, engage in researching the plausible miracles. For instance, during episodes of mass worshipping of icons or holy relics or visions, by people, some ill persons are healed. The result is what it counts! It is a psycho-physiological process, it is something exceeding science's knowledge, let's study it and let's avoid rejecting it instantly! And if it is a psycho-physiological process, it won't that too be from God?!... Instead of rejecting this phenomenon, let's research how to use it better and how to improve it, if possible!

[1313] Some Saints work great miracles, but not all the time; they pray hard to God for God to commit those miracles; they never have the guarantee that their prayers will be listened to by God. If we had the opposite, no saint would remain saint because of vain-gloriousness and their worldly power would turn into something satanic.

[1314] Priests are called by God. Monks are called to monasticism by God. All the others are inferior to them, because of not being called by God. And, of course, all the others cannot understand that superior mission and sacrifice because they haven't been called by God to it. But then, at a closer gaze, one can see they are people like all others, they commit sins sometimes graver than the laypeople… The reality contradicts their claim of superiority…

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Condemning and judging the laypeople while still praising donners for erecting churches and for donating wealth to the church, it seems to be incoherence[1315].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Human prophecies seem not to change the future events they prophesize[1316].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: We are both sensationalists and panic-mongers[1317].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Once you've stated the guilt of the man, you will be able to found any religion[1318].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Half-learnt or even knowing nothing about something which we have strong fear and hate for, it is inscribed in our human condition[1319].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: No one hates so much than one who is in love[1320].

Only martyrs are superior for they succeed to surpass their human condition. We, the all-other people, are just slaves of our human condition, some more while others lesser.

[1315] Or hypocrisy, if they are aware of it.

[1316] And how could they?!... The future is known to God from eternity and at God the knowledge is identical to His will. And, therefore, what would a prophecy be good for?!... You know a disaster will strike but you are not able to change that or to save the people.

[1317] Because of our human condition. Sensationalism and panic-mongering are characteristic to the religions too, because we shape religions in our image and likeness.

[1318] All religions start by asserting the guilt of the human being. We seem to go to infinite length in order to defend God. Actually, we defend our image about God. God doesn't need us to defend Him.

[1319] Most theologians learn that other religions are pagan, but they don't actually know those religions. They teach their believers those slogans of fear and hate without actually knowing the content of what they fear and hate.

[1320] People loving their God are capable of unimaginable hatred, persecutions, and killings. Also, women and men loving somebody are capable of persecuting and even killing other people staying in their way. The love is the gate to ultimate egotism, as exercised by us. Hating, persecuting, and killing those who do not love what we love or who impede us from loving what we want to love, it is inscribed in our human condition. So, we are unable yet (AD 2026) to understand and apply that kind of love which our Lord God Jesus Christ told us about.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Nobody mentions any unsuccessful healing[1321].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Receiving a religion means spiritual colonization[1322].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Fear excludes love[1323].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Monasticism is the glorification of an exception[1324].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Avoiding speaking about failures won't encourage the believers[1325].

[1321] There is no mention, whatsoever, about cases when the prayers and the healing actions of the saints failed. There are only mentioned the successes as they would have been the rule, or the only possible result. I am sure that the unsuccess surpassed the successes, and the people remaining unhealed were in an overwhelming number in comparison to the healed ones. But, as usual, we are biased also in this, and we lie by omission, as it is characteristic to our human condition. As I said it already, if the healing is a miracle, the millions of doctors of today perform trillions of healings. Still, there remain unexplainable healing which are recorded by the doctors themselves, and which can fully and plainly be reckoned as miracles! And, regardless any miracles, people around are still dying in billions. A true and verifiable and undeniable miracle would have been the immortality!

[1322] Bringing good but also bad things. The receiver will assimilate also the language and the sociolect and the spirituality of the sender of that religion, but the receiver will add also its particularities. This exchange of information makes a syncretism of any religion. A new religion enriched the target language especially with transliterations. And it enriches also the original spirituality. There is a struggle between new and old, and both are affected. No religions remain purely as in the language which it was initiated in. Once a religion leaves its original language and area of apparition, it will no longer be under the control of its initiators.

[1323] Why would God want us to fear Him and to tremble in front of Him? And how could one love somebody whom he fears of, except by developing the Stockholm Syndrome?!... It seems rather that all this theology of the fear is an expression of our human condition. I think that God rather wants us to be His partners, willingly engaged in applying in practice His commandments. But the theologians of the feat reckoned that fear would help to spread and obey their religion. Of course, the fear is the least-resistance-path to accepting a religion. But I think that starting with the fear deforms the believer's soul.

[1324] And of a disequilibrium. It aims to leave the immanent for transcendent, but it actually cannot. It tries to stop the natural laws place by God in His Creation, but it can only diminish them to a certain extent. Monasticism is not a guarantee for high spiritualization, but it is a way to achieve that. Monasticism is not bad, but it is wrong to preach it as the only way to salvation.

[1325] As also speaking about failures it won't dissuade anybody. But intentionally and knowingly avoiding speaking about failure is a lie and a sin. And it misleads the sincere believer. But in the *Gospel* is the only mention of a case which the Apostles were not able to

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Without God there is neither order nor sense[1326].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: You must pay thorough attention whom you obey to[1327].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Traditional Christian cannot escape the Holy Fathers' doctrinal trap[1328].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: People usually condemn somebody or something without even knowing those[1329].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Sin isn't only pleasure[1330].

Ontology: Life has no happy ending[1331].

Ontology: Humans are like a constant raining[1332].

---

heal a man, only Christ was. But the theologians somehow became "more Catholic than the Pope is".

[1326] The entire multiverse is characterized by the action of inextricable laws acting as in a concert, resulting in the existence of the multiverse. Itself the existence of the multiverse is a proof of the existence of God. Existence without God makes no senses, though we haven't yet (AD 2026) understood what the senses of the existence is, and that's because we cannot understand God yet (AD 2026). And a fundamental mistake is that we limit God and man to the Planet Earth – this being another characteristic of the human condition, but that will change if we have really started the spatial era.

[1327] Humbleness doesn't mean stupidity or blindness. The human condition makes of people predators of other people. And indiscriminate obedience can drive to directly to hell. Wrongly understood obedience and humbleness contributed to perpetuate misogynism in Christendom, because the women themselves have never asked to be equal to men, and themselves condemned other women who wanted to no longer be disregarded. Christianity must develop a doctrine of the woman's equality to man.

[1328] Except by self-annulling or self-abolition.

[1329] People are, usually, semi-taught, catching some slogans by ear, never check the matter in depth, being satisfied with having something or somebody to hate and to feel superior to. As some Holy Fathers did and as some contemporary people do to philosophy and to philosophers and to science and to scientists.

[1330] The sinner suffers too, for his sins.

[1331] Everything and anyone end in death. The life is a continuous suffering and struggle and efforts ending in death… here and there small satisfactions and pleasures. This life is essentially a tragical life.

Ontology / Epistemology: God is not mystic[1333].

Ontology / Epistemology: Man is desperate for seeing God[1334].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Man's life is everything but dogmatic[1335].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: None of us is Christian yet (AD 2026)[1336].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Serve your neighbor before yourself[1337].

Ontology: If everything is energy than there is no separation between inanimate matter and life[1338].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: People claim they are Christians, but they actually have no idea of what that means[1339].

[1332] Rain droplets condensing, falling, dispersing. Our life has a duration only in comparison to other people's lives. Our time in insignificant. One living, let's say, for 500.000 years will perceive the mankind as a flux of seconds, almost without individuality… like a raining: water almost instantly individualized in droplets, and then dispersing again in water after a short dropping. Our whole thinking is based on ourselves, and this is characteristic to the human condition. We think at everything by using us as measure ("Man is the measure of all things"), even of God. We think about God as limited to our insignificant persons and existences and to this insignificant Planet Earth. Er actually cannot conceive God because we are unable to conceive the infinite.

[1333] Or absconded, or hidden! God is real, manifest, everywhere. Our mysticism reflects our human condition, trying to lie monopoly upon something we cannot understand but we still want to control, in order us to dominate our fellow humans. Mysticism is an expression of our constant tendency to superiority and domination: vain-gloriousness.

[1334] But no religion is able to show God to us. I think we might not look how and where we should. And this is a self-inflicted blindness, and it is not God to be blamed for our stupidity. The religions rather show the man and the human condition than God. If I institute a doctrine of a mystic God and I claim that only I am able to unveil God's secrets, then I swill actually institute my absolute domination and worldly power on other people – But God commanded us to do the exact opposite.

[1335] God is a living God that cannot be ossified in human concepts. God didn't give us dogmas about Himself. We invented the dogmas because of our incapacity of perceiving God.

[1336] We are not Christians as long as there is a single child starving, or abused, or enslaved, or persecuted, or maimed, of killed! We only claim that we are Christians, but we actually are anything but Christians! One paramount indicator of the aspiration towards God is how people are treating the children.

[1337] Lo an all-comprising commandment.

[1338] The multiverse is an ocean of energy experiencing places of coagulation and manifestation of itself.

Ontology / Epistemology: We are like water droplets flowing in the torrent of the universe at the speed of light.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Atheism is caused by theologians, and not by God[1340].

Ontology / Epistemology: Beyond mysticism, symbols, gestures… there is only our human condition[1341].

Ontology: Religiousness as characteristic to the human condition is one more proof that God exists.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: There's no wisdom without kindness[1342].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: There's no truth against God[1343].

[1339] It isn't enough one to attend a certain church, to be submitted to a certain baptism, to be born to a certain family, to wear certain objects of vestments, to have a certain haircut, to do some gestures etc. Because all of those do not change the world in good on their own. In order to be Christian, you must apply the commandments of Our Lord God Jesaus Christ, in practice, in the real life, all the time, even upon yourself and even when your egotism and your superiority suffer.

[1340] The theologians' human condition determines them to do the exact opposite to what God commanded us to actually do! We confound a strong faith to bigotry and we confound our love for God to persecuting and killing others who, in our view, offend our faith. We confound the truth of our faith to the absolutism and we confound our condition as believers to our superiority on other people, and we confound the disbelieve of other people to our right to reckon them as subhumans. So, we are the ones causing the atheism. We are sources of hate.

[1341] And not God. There's no hidden truth. There's only unknown (for now, AD 2026) truth.

[1342] I actually think that the only form of wisdom possible to the human being is her/his kindness. I don't know even wisdom is possible at all. Instead, we can be kind to one another. Wisdom would mean a strategy of finding the appropriate answer to any kind of situations. But there is an infinite number of possible situations, so that we obviously are incapable of give all these situations an appropriate answer. We, as human beings, are approximate and we live and approximate life and we have an approximate knowledge – and this is one more characteristic of our human condition.

[1343] As there's no lie in favor of God! If something contradicts the theology on good bases, that means that the theology is wrong, and that is not an offense brought to God. Holy Fathers believed that the creation is composed of air, earth, water, and fire, or even of ether. There is no offense to God saying that they were wrong! A time will come for the Christian theology to be restored in its intended tracks. Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the Restorer of the Christian theology took a first step: he mellowed the excesses, he paid attention to nature, he changed

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: When contradicted theologians become violent because they rather are concerned about themselves[1344].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: There's no superiority yet (AD 2026) in the human being comparatively to animals[1345].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Absolutism means that everybody is against us[1346].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: A rich church shelters liars[1347].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Humbleness doesn't mean cowardice or laziness or indifference or giving up to your ethical accountability[1348].

---

the main paradigms of the Christian theology, he cast bridges between science and theology etc.

[1344] And not with God. If they were concerned with God they wouldn't persecute and kill people who don't agree with their theology. Hating and becoming violent when contradicted is one more characteristic of the human condition and it is an expression of our preservation instinct. And there is also a fundamental condition: we confound God to ourselves.

[1345] We have been mainly using our intelligence to developed destructive means. That's not superiority at all. We stated about ourselves that we are superior and we are flattered by that. The animals being led by instincts they do not persecute and they kill only for eating. There is no intended cruelty or sadism in animals. No animal species kills its own children. No animal species wages wars. There is no destructive greed in animals which to lead to reckless environmental pollution. So, from this perspective, the animals are superior to man.

[1346] If we have monopoly upon the absolute truth, then everybody else having a different relationship with God will be seen by us as our enemy. But what if we are wrong?!... The more our Lord God Jesus Christ's teaching has been submitted to interpretation through time, the farer from it the interpretations have reached, and the more they are, actually, misinterpretations, mainly due to our human conditions droves us to rather justify ourselves instead of applying in practice the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ. We have been constantly trying to use Him, in a perverted manner, for justifying our crimes: see the religious persecutions, crimes, and wars!

[1347] You cannot both preach the poverty and accumulate wealth. Even the monks taking the oath of poverty but striving to enrich their monastery are hypocrites. Because the richness of the monastery influences their life, despite they declaring themselves as having no earthly things. Psychologically they have the CAB (cognition, affects, behavior) of rich people. When you administrate the monastery's wealth you have the same satisfactions of dissatisfactions as a wealthy person administrating his own wealth. The only difference is the arrangements of owing the actual wealth. I don't' see a problem in existing rich monks, but I see a problem in being hypocrite.

[1348] If you have a question about God but you fear to ask it you are a coward. If you have a question about God but you feel comfortable not to ask it, you are lazy. If you are a question about God but you overlook it, you are indifferent. And, if you have a question about God but

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: The fact that not all the people are devils is one more proof that God exists[1349].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Anyone who accepted to lead anybody is vainglorious[1350].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: It might be that the Orthodoxy is the most difficult to be observed among all Christian doctrines[1351].

---

you somehow try to trick God by not asking that question, you are unethical. Some of the Holy Fathers warned the disciples not to ask question about God, or not to spy on God, or not to be too inquisitive; they condemned such an attitude as being devilish. But I don't think a self-annulment of the own mind is something to be followed. They also spoke hyperbolically about the cases when a deep and unquestioned humbleness and obedience led to high spirituality, but no one of them spoke anything about cases when disciples were bullied, tricked, used, or even worse, by inappropriate leaders, who led the disciples to hell… So, to some extent, unquestioned obedience must encounter a truly spiritual leader, otherwise is suicidal.

[1349] There is a naturally kind foundation in some people. To some extent, the environment makes us what we are, but, somehow, there can be found kind people even among the evilest and most savage groups. Also, to some extent, almost everybody will become evil if submitted to an evil environment. Even the saints. Except the martyrs!!!.... This raises the question of our accountability…

[1350] Even they who accepted to become priests have been vainglorious, according to Saint Father Isaiah (see *The Romanian Philokalia*¸ volume XII). So, either we admit that the extreme definition given by the Holy Fathers to humbleness is wrong, or we should abolish any hierarchical structure, formal or informal, from the Church. This is another rupture making the present theology (AD 2026) incoherent.

[1351] I use *might* because despite I have some theoretical knowledge about other Christian doctrines, I haven't lived my life under their patronage or in the environment created by those. I actually think that no human being is able to really know other religions without living her/his whole life in that religion and in the environment created by that particular religion. That's why, on the other hand, I think the ecumenism is impossible, because we are not able to really understand other denominations or religions. But theoretically speaking, other Christian denominations adjusted the original Christian doctrine to the progress of the world, while the Orthodoxy stubbornly maintained itself on an outdating course that makes it difficult to be lived in the present world (AD 2026). Although, Saint Dumitru the Restorer of the Christian theology operated the necessary modifications and he throw the necessary bridges and he sown the necessary seed for making the Orthodoxy / Christianity livable again. By the way, the superiority of the Christian Orthodoxy hasn't been confirmed yet (AD 2026), but even on the contrary, for 16 years by now the greatest Christian Orthodox country from Planet Earth has been persecuting, attacking, killing, destroying, perpetrating all sorts of abominations upon the second largest Orthodox Country on Planet Earth, one that responded almost in kind, except tortures, rapes, and intentionally killing civilians. So, where are the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ observed by Orthodox?!... Our deeds prove that we haven't started yet (AD 2026) to be Christians!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Isn't the affirmation that your faith is the only true faith, a sign of vaingloriousness[1352]?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Book of the Life is multiverse's existence[1353].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God, please, I want my mind to ascertain You and not to created You[1354]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: All theologians claim their theology is absolute and unquestionable[1355].

Ontology: Perspective of no afterlife it more dreadful than the perspective of spending the eternity in hell[1356]!

[1352] It intrinsically implies the admission of superiority. Can one be humble and in the same time claiming that she/he is the only right one?!... Doesn't humbleness imply also a degree of relativity?!...

[1353] Our evil deeds and our good deeds influence forever the evolution of the multiverse. Their consequences cannot be turned back to square one. Once a certain deed has been committed, its consequences have already changed the multiverse and they have started new flows. They have become new caused for further consequences. Each deed of ours triggers a chain-reaction. So, even though our sins could be forgiven, the evil consequences of our evil deeds won't ever be restored to their initially state.

[1354] One may have faith in regardless what! The fact that somebody tells me to have faith in his perception and interpretation of God isn't enough for me to rust him. In order one to be sure that her/his faith is correct, there must be some proofs. In this regard, one proof is the content of the teaching of our Lord God Jesus Christ, a teaching that stirs up and challenges our conscience. And we feel His kindness and His trueness!

[1355] Which, or course, it isn't so! Any theology elaborated by man is relative, and it represent that man's way of perceiving God. That's all! As I said before, the theology tells us more about ourselves rather than about God.

[1356] This awful offer of a nonsensical life appearing randomly and ending here in this reality caused me a strong claustrophobic reaction! The science hasn't succeeded yet to demonstrate that human being's existence finishes at death. On the opposite, there have been recorded all sorts of phenomena justifying rather the opposite: afterlife, and even metempsychosis; but honorable scientists desperately trying to tailor an unblemished career overlook and run away from investigating such realities. This soothing perspective of the afterlife is maybe the greatest contribution brought by religions to humanity's wellbeing. Even is one died and there was nothing beyond, the fact of still having a hope when dying – and avoiding dying in despair - would have succeeded in alleviating one's dread of dying. Atheists fear their death as well! And why should the afterlife be automatically tied to any religion?!... Why cannot be there an after-existence even without God, as a part of multiverse's ontology?!… But, in my view, God won't allow His creation to pass into inexistence for eternity!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Saying something nice but untrue still is a lie[1357]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Making a sin of everything keeps the Paradise underpopulated[1358].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Egotism is the ground of all sins[1359].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God is fair[1360].

Ontology: Immobility contravenes to life[1361].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Our human condition bonds us to dedicate our life to somebody or to something[1362].

[1357] Saying unverifiable things even about saint or martyrs, as undeniable facts, it still remains a lie. Some theologians confound their love for God to lying about God sand about His saints. But God doesn't need us to lie about Him! God doesn't need us to exaggerate and to tell fairytales about His saints and martyrs! God wants us to tell the truth!!!....

[1358] Especially by making a sin of the natural bodily needs placed by God in His Creation. If having sex was sin and the woman was the source of all sins, why would God cerate them?!... Theologians intuited that straining to maximum the straitjacket of the believers will provide them with absolute power upon them. Themselves, as documented by justice, haven't observed their own rules and committed abominable sins, for instance by raping hundred of thousands of children, while preaching that marriage is forbidden and sex is a capital sin!... And this intuition was doubled down by the fanatical and by the bigoted who thought, in their hallucinations and insanity, that their opinions came from the Holy Ghost and od Himself spoke through them.

[1359] And if there is no egotism, there won't be any sin.

[1360] If one goes in the same hell, for eating meat on a Friday, where are sent the mass killers causing the death of hundreds of millions of people, that would mean God is unfair… But not God is unfair, but our theology is unfair!!!... We, with our limited human mind, we cannot understand God, though we claim doing it!

[1361] Christian dogmas have been a help until now (AD 2026) but I expect, in a million years, they will be updated of even removed. We have to change the shortsighted perspective of our theology. Apostle Paul waited for our Lord God Jesus Christ to come back while Paul was still alive. Then the Christian prophesized a turning back in the year 1000… then in the year 2000… I think that we, because of our human condition, are bond to imagine the things around us as unchangeable… we need certitudes for psychic comfort… but persecuting and killing others in the name of the dogmas invented by men, now that's monstruous!...

[1362] It could be people, it could be an idea, it could be a religion. The only thing is that we have no certitude that what our dedication is right of wrong. The fact that others tell to us that we are right or wrong isn't enough evidence either in favor or against our dedication. We need existential proofs, not words. We need concrete examples of martyrs and not mythical

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: There's nothing majestic in sabotaging, mortifying, or even suiciding yourself[1363].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: People are just quantic plants[1364].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Immersing oneself in prayer will create a certain reality around her/him[1365].

Ontology / Epistemology: There's no future at God[1366].

Ontology: We cannot bear the reality without God[1367].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: I'm appalled of how much suffering the theologians caused to the mankind[1368]!

Ontology / Epistemology: The less one know the surer she/he will be in his bigotry[1369].

---

exaggerations. And even though one was a martyr, would that mean that I must be a martyr too?!... What if our destiny is that of being a sinner?!... Wasn't Judah chosen by God to fulfill his dirty job?!...

[1363] Monks and nuns do that. I don't condemn them at all. What I oppose is the theology making of monasticism the only path to salvation and condemning all the other people who are not monks or nuns. It is like in the case of vegans: let them eat whatever they want, but let them not forcedly impose their conception upon us.

[1364] We are the result of a fecundation and we grow up and we die. The fruit we produce is our conscience, a quantic coagulation of a special kind of energy, and the one existing as a continuation of our life on Planet Earth. If this fruit, this conscience of ours is a highly spiritualized one, it is going to exist in higher energies realities, or, if our conscience is coarse, evil, it is going to exist in lower energies places.

[1365] There is a two-ways effect: we will perceive the reality in a certain way and, by that, we will act and the actual reality will be changed by us, to some extent.

[1366] God knows everything from eternity. And what God knows is the certain reality that is going to be. Between God's omniscience and His will there is no separation of contradiction.

[1367] This is one more proof that God exists.

[1368] Becoming a theologian, claiming about yourself or about your fellow theologians that you are special and chose and having a special grace and saints means nothing without good deeds. Sadly, the theologians too remain under the mastery of their human condition, and causing sufferings to other because of our greed, stupidity, cruelty, shortsightedness, bigotry, violence… etc. it is characteristic to our human condition. Only the martyrs escape their human condition.

[1369] And this is one more characteristic of the human condition. And, also, the less one knows the easier will be to indoctrinate her/him to become a persecutor and a killer, because

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Declaring/claiming one's superiority doesn't make her/him really superior[1370].

Ontology / Epistemology: Confusions of plans makes theology confusing too[1371].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Can there be real love without justice?!...

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: God isn't hold back even by His prescience[1372].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Part of the theology seems placing all sorts of obstacles in the way to salvation and then lamenting for the difficulty of the path to salvation[1373].

---

uneducated people doubt less and they tend to obey authority without questioning that authority, especially when that reality superimposes upon the uneducated people's tendency towards evil.

[1370] All clergy of all religions claim they are superior to the commoner and that they are chosen by their God. But just look at the deeds they commit! Are those deeds really superior and chosen"!... And" would God chose persecutors and criminals as His servants and as His chosen people?!... So, there is only one real superiority: that expressed in good deeds, regardless on behalf of whose God one commits those good deeds. There is only One God asking us to commit good deeds and helping us to commit those good deeds, and there is only one real superiority: that of one who commits goods deeds and remains modest and hidden. Claiming a monopoly upon God, claiming of having a special grace, claiming of being superior and chosen by God, all of those remain simple manifestations of our human condition bonding us to dominate other people, as expression of our preservation instinct. A real superiority can bee seen in avoiding to dominate tour neighbor, and that means avoiding to impose anything to anybody.

[1371] Mixing more general plans with less general plans, mixing the general picture with details, speaking about principles and then mentioning individual cases, or, on the opposite, drawing general conclusions and formulating principles out of one of out of only a few isolated case – all of these cause the theology's being confused and confusing. Here too, I have to mention the confusion – deliberate or not – made between correlation and causation in almost the entire theology.

[1372] Otherwise, God's prescience would be His destiny. Or, God's prescience would be His God. Add in this context the prayers and ascertain that our human mind cannot understand God.

[1373] Imagining and uttering all sorts of impediments in one's way to salvation and then preaching that only a total submission to the clergy will save the human being, it is a way of strengthening the worldly dominance and control upon masses. But, nevertheless, it affects also the clergy's life making a hell of it.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Clergy adds upon believers some burdens never intended by God[1374].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Any technological technolect tend to become a dead language[1375].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Main concern of the evil is to waste human life[1376].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: There cannot be any dialogue with religious fanatics and bigots[1377].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Ther's no more awful abomination of the desolation than that of claiming that you protect God and His Church by persecuting and killing His subjects in His name[1378]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theologians urge the believers to reject the very reality[1379].

[1374] And this is valid for all religions. Our Lord God Jesus Christ warned us not to do so!

[1375] Regardless the religion it belongs to! When the theologians keep repeating the same collocations, or the same messages having the same content, that ossified theological technolect will lose its connections with the very reality and it will become mechanical and pompous, loaded with ethereal slogans. I think the salvation from such an ossification can be brought by applying the commandments of our Lord God Jesus Christ in our concrete deeds, for there won't ever be insufficient people in need whom we can help, and that brings to our souly feeling constantly new content! Let's talk less, and let's do more! What would benefit a hungry child of our dogmatic gibberish?!...

[1376] Religious or not! In religious wars – there haven't been even a single war not involving religions / religions, to a certain extent. Wasting human life for anything is from the evil and not from God! God gives life, the evil wastes it, usually using justifications from clergy. Wasting life is a crime against God. Wasting life is the true sin! The true abomination in an honorable place is wasting life in the name of God and invoking God for justifying the life wasting!

[1377] Fanatic and bigots use a strong faith to remove their own rationality. But God is a rational God, so that fanaticism and bigotry are true sins against God. The teaching of our Lord God Jesus Christ warned us against fanaticism and bigotry! But theologians of all sorts and religions, they are slaves to their human condition, so they willingly misinterpret everything in order to justify their own evilness.

[1378] Working the opposite of God's commandments in His name and in his behalf is an abomination of the desolation! And there's no connection between nationalism, fanaticism, and bigotry, and God!

[1379] And not because God asks us to do so, but because the very reality contradicts their theology. And this stance is valid for all religions: the theologians cannot control the very

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Clinging on faith despite any arguments is egotism[1380].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: If the woman is inferior to the man, the woman will be unfree[1381].

Ontology: Are the miracles transgressions of the law of God placed in his creation[1382]?

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: If people were as humble as the Holy Fathers preached it, no one would do any theology.

Ontology: Man has nothing of his own[1383].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Theologians will reject science proving the existence of God[1384].

Ontology: A God Whose prescience was not destiny to the human being, it would be only a super-being[1385].

---

reality – because that would mean they can control God! – so that they tend to eliminate the argument coming in contradiction with their theology: the very reality! They cannot eliminate or explain the evil, so that they blame the man for evil. They cannot eliminate or explain the sufferings, so that they claim the sufferings are good and the comfort is bad, so that the people should search for suffering and not for comfort…

[1380] If you truly love your neighbor, an even your enemy, you owe to find counterarguments so that to clarify your neighbor's questions and to save him too. Rejecting the entire world in order only you to be saved is rather against the teaching of our Lord God Jesus Christ: you should rather give your live for your friends, as a supreme proof of your love!

[1381] Freedom can exist only among equal people. That's why, also in what regards the relationship between human being and God the freedom is excluded, totally!

[1382] Or are they uses of the natural laws which are unknown to us. Two thousand years ago healing a lepper was a miracle, but nowadays it can be done by medicine. Is this a miracle?!...

[1383] Regardless how rich and powerful one thinks she/he is. God gives us everything, or He takes everything from us, according to reasonings which we cannot understand yet (AD 2026). We all are only renters or borrowers.

[1384] Because they've made an idol of their doctrine on God. It's their way or no way!

[1385] And not God! At God, His prescience form eternity is the same with His will. God doesn't lie to Himself. Let's not confound our incapacity to understand that, to an argument against that!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: God secularized the religion because of clergy pulling to become gods in this world.

Ontology / Epistemology: Human being's soul is information that has become aware of itself[1386].

Ontology / Epistemology: Personalism regarding God is far from being well established yet (AD 2026)[1387].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Theologians disappoint God the most[1388].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: There's only one possibility: God[1389].

Ontology: Claiming or pretending of living in an imagined reality won't actually change the very reality[1390].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Some theologians describe God as an absolute Master asking us for impossible and guaranteeing nothing to us in this life.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Some of the clergy instituted and they have been using the Holy Mysteries in their attempt to world domination[1391].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: God's omniscience and prescience mean that everything has only one way and one state and one result[1392].

[1386] Newer physics (ADE 2026) say that information is eternal, it cannot be destroyed. Lo the proof for afterlife!

[1387] Or from being well defined, if defined at all! We imagine God as a man, which is insane limitation!

[1388] Because some of them preach against God, because they do ugly and immortal deeds while preaching the good, because they claim an absolute holiness upon themselves while proving the opposite, and because of preaching their own infallibility while falling as any laypeople.

[1389] If one says that the universe if the result of a hazard, then that hazard will become her/his God.

[1390] Declaring the suffering as a blessing won't change the suffering into pleasure, except by altering your normal brain functioning.

[1391] Instead of being the Holy Mysteries a path to God they have been transformed in a gate impeding the people to reach God; and the key to that gate is claimed to belong to clergy.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Lacking destiny would mean disorder in the multiverse created by God.

Ontology / Epistemology: My faith isn't a proof that I am right[1393].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Restoring our Lord God Jesus Christ's teaching is a must[1394]!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Self-annulling and self-destructive humbleness as imagined by the Holy Fathers is not good[1395].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Some theology dehumanized our Lord God Jesus Christ[1396].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Theology is a philosophy with a particular perspective[1397].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Fact that an insignificant percentage of people reach to be saints doesn't save the human condition[1398].

Ontology: Being the human being unable to take care of herself/himself is a proof of our need for God[1399].

[1392] Any other possibility is excluded.
[1393] People have strong faiths in all sorts of wrong things.
[1394] Saint Dumitru Stăniloae the Restorer of the Christian theology already started that. It must be continued, by removing all the excesses and the obsolete assertions form theology, and by putting in place a constant updating mechanism. Some theologians distorted the teaching from – and even in – the *Gospels*, and that separates us from our Lord God Jesus Christ.
[1395] Neither applicable, nor applied. It destabilizes and it deranges the normal and balance psychic. Gor never wanted us to be fakirs. On the opposite, our Lord God Jesus Christ warns us, repeatedly, about excesses. And such an ascesis and such a humbleness is a huge excess.
[1396] Because of their drive to show Him without what they call sin. But, for instance, Christ never commanded us to fast to death. He described Himself as a gluttonous and as a wine drinker. He entered the sinners' houses and He feasted there. In this case too, the theologians proved themselves to be "more Catholic than the Pope". In the same time the theologians strongly asserted that Christ was a full man. But they made a robot of Him.
[1397] And each time when somebody speaks about God without applying in practice God's commandments, she/he simply philosophizes about God.
[1398] Most people are evil.
[1399] And that is a proof of God's existence.

Ontology: Beyond certain limits ascesis may replace the natural pleasure for unnatural and masochistic pleasure[1400].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Mosaism didn't spread because of the jealousy of the Jewish people[1401].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Something's wrong with the mankind[1402].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Death is an equalizer[1403].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: We tend to ask others to be like we are[1404].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Our Lord God Jesus Christ hasn't forbidden to us updating our faith[1405].

[1400] And that means replacing sanity for insanity. Regardless religion of philosophy.

[1401] And pride. They had the only true God Who chose only them and they felt themselves superior due to that. They didn't want to share their God with anyone else. Our Lord God Jesus Christ opened Mosaism to the world.

[1402] No religions or philosophies or morals of traditions have succeeded yet (AD 2026) in stopping the persecutions and the killings and the wars, but they rather encouraged the abominations.

[1403] Regardless power, money, genius, importance etc. no one leaves this order of existence alive.

[1404] And this is a characteristic of our human condition, a manifestation of our survival instinct. And our survival instinct determines sus to search for not being contradicted by admitting that there are other ways too for one to survive. Religion isn't spare by this either. Religion is a conception of survivability, in this life and in the life to come. So, when one contradicts our religious suppositions – I think we cannot speak, yet (AD 2026) about a religious knowledge – we feel menaced and we tend to somehow remove and annihilate the menace. So, this leads to persecutions, killing, and religious wars.

[1405] On the opposite, it is heresy to argue these days that the Paradise is somewhere in the Planet Earth's atmosphere and the hell is hidden somewhere in a subterranean cave. I wonder what would have looked Christianity like if our Lord God Jesus Christ had come on Planet Earth during the current spatial era (AD 2026) … God is not a God of only the Planet Earth! God is God of the entire multiverse. The earthlings are not the navel of the multiverse, but only a humanoid species living in a small and insignificant planet. Saying that we are the only chosen of God implies an unhinged vain-gloriousness and pride! The main obstacles faced by any attempt to update the theology are the clergy themselves, because of: fear, commodity, and nescience.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: There's nothing absconded at God[1406].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Theologians rebuilt the hell[1407].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Blaming the suicidals is a plain manifestation of our barbaric callousness[1408].

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: To what extent it affects the credibility of the theology its incoherences[1409]?!

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics / Logics: Some theologians attempt to live in a fantasy[1410].

[1406] God is everywhere and plainly present. God isn't beyond something, hiding Himself. That's why there's no truth in symbols, secrets, or anagogies, but only human useless imagination. It was a time when I was charmed by and passionate with anagogies. I was wrong. Anagogies are products of human imagination, and they become lies when proclaimed as mandatory for other minds. That's why any secret brotherhood is a childish play and against God.

[1407] Conceptually speaking. And at least here on Planet Earth. Or, the hell has never been destroyed. But we, the theologians affirm that by descending to hell, our lord God Jesus Christ destroyed and emptied the hell. But the same theologians affirm that there is a hell where the sinners will be sent, on two occasions, at their death and at the general and final judgment. Is this second hell a new hell? Who has built it? Or it is the same old hell, but somebody has rebuilt it? Did our Lord God Jesus Christ do a superficial destruction of the hell?!... It seems that the two affirmations: the destruction of the hell and the current existence of the hell cannot stand together, logically! If hell was destroyed it would no longer exist. Or, if exists, God the Father has rebuilt it, because who else could do it! And if it was so, there would be a division within the Holy Trinity, because the Father opposed and corrects an action of His Son. So, either the hell hasn't been destroyed, or it no longer exists!... I am adding here the great injustice God would have done it if it had been as the theologians say that Adam and Evan are in heavens and dancing of joy! While we here on Planet Earth are suffering the deadly consequences of their sin… I think the times has come (AD 2026) that all this incoherence to be solved by theologians.

[1408] Churchly, religious, or not!

[1409] I mean, if something in theology is wrong, why would be the other things right?!... And who will decide what is right and what is wrong, among the other theological affirmations?!... Turning a bling eye and a deaf ear to the unsolved matters, would that be pleasant to God?!... Does God ask us for lying on His behalf?!... (of course not! This is a rhetorical question!!!). I rather thing that God doesn't like our fear of questioning the theology, our flattering which we think we trick Him with, our laziness and cowardice of living our comfort zone, by lying to Him and to ourselves!

[1410] And not in the very reality which they deny! But the reality goes on without seeking their approval. And God is real and the reality if God's will. So, hypocritically speaking about the reality won't change the reality, for the reality is the will of God.

Ontology / Epistemology / Ethics: Isolation allows you to think and say whatever you want[1411].

By the will of God, it will continue!

[1411] But that won't mean that what you think or say has anything to do with the reality. A hermit living withdrawn in a deep forest, without any constant with the people, she/he could affirm that God is kind and loving of people. But that becomes almost impossible to one who is under bombardment and surrounded with dead or dying children, unless she/he is a sociopath and lacking any empathy. I think that we rather cannot yet (AD 2026) anything about God! The question is: is it ethical to demand from others the same values as yours, despite they are living in totally different conditions than you are?! For instance, if you live outside the range of any immediate danger, will you be a mere hypocrite demanding courage from people living in the immediacy of being killed?!... Or. as long as you live in the absence of the suffering and you can easily say that God is kind to you, will you be a hypocrite demanding to people who are being killed to say that God is kind to them too?!... Theologians wrote their theology while living peaceful times in their peaceful monastic cells, served by their disciples, seeing only people whom they wanted to see, being treated as saints while still in this life etc. Theologians who were being persecuted and killed they didn't have the time and the conditions to write theological texts. Would God be upset if Jew Children tormented and killed at Auschwitz concentration camp didn't believe He is a kind God?!... In the first place we must realize that God doesn't get upset, or glad, as we the people do, or He wouldn't be God at all! This incapacity of us to understand God is enhanced by the personalism of God preached by theologians. Theologians should research and thoroughly define what the consequences of such a personalism are and reevaluate their theology!